

Evaluating the Effectiveness of Community Treatment Orders (CTOs) Across Two London Boroughs: A Service-Based Analysis

Zohreh Madani & Hamid Rahmanian

Abstract

This paper examines the clinical effectiveness of Community Treatment Orders (CTOs) in two London mental health service areas: Carshalton & Wallington (C&W) and Sutton & Cheam (S&C). Using patient data from May 2025, it evaluates the impact of CTOs on medication adherence, crisis readmission, and risk management. Findings indicate that CTOs contribute to greater stability, reduced hospitalisation, and improved engagement, though outcomes differ by population complexity. In C&W, CTOs supported adherence and relapse prevention among a stable psychosis cohort, while in S&C they were crucial for managing dual-diagnosis and forensic patients, underscoring their context-dependent value in community psychiatry.

Introduction

Community Treatment Orders (CTOs), introduced under Section 17A of the Mental Health Act 1983 (amended 2007), were designed to provide supervised treatment for individuals with severe mental illness in the community rather than under hospital detention. They aim to improve adherence, reduce relapse and readmission, and sustain continuity of care in less restrictive settings [1]. CTOs represent a key policy instrument within the UK's recovery-oriented, risk-managed approach to deinstitutionalised care [2].

Despite their widespread use, CTOs remain controversial. Proponents argue that they promote treatment stability and reduce the "revolving-door" pattern of admissions [3], while critics highlight mixed empirical results and ethical concerns regarding coercion and autonomy. The landmark OCTET trial found no significant differences in readmission rates between CTO and non-CTO groups

[4], prompting debate over whether perceived benefits arise from enhanced follow-up rather than legal compulsion. Qualitative research further reveals that clinicians often regard CTOs as pragmatic tools for engagement, whereas patients frequently experience them as coercive and stigmatising [8,9].

This study evaluates CTO outcomes across two contrasting NHS service areas, C&W and S&C, to explore how demographic, legal, and organisational contexts shape effectiveness. By integrating quantitative and qualitative indicators, it seeks to clarify the conditions under which CTOs are most clinically beneficial and ethically defensible within community mental health care.

Methods

A retrospective observational analysis examined CTO outcomes using routinely collected NHS data from May 2025. Patient records and CTO referral logs from C&W and S&C provided information on clinical progress, medication adherence, legal status, and multidisciplinary reviews. Supplementary demographic and diagnostic data were extracted from team databases to account for case-mix variation.

Effectiveness indicators included medication adherence (percentage of prescribed doses received three months before and after CTO), crisis readmission (psychiatric admissions six months pre- and post-CTO), documented high-risk incidents (as a proxy for risk management), and service engagement (attendance at community reviews and multidisciplinary meetings). Quantitative outcomes were expressed as relative percentage changes, while qualitative themes, such as engagement, stability, and risk containment, were derived from clinical notes.

Results

Across both sites, CTOs were associated with improved adherence, engagement, and safety outcomes. In Carshalton & Wallington (C&W), three patients (all under Section 17A) demonstrated increased medication adherence from approximately 60% to over 90%, a 67% reduction in hospital readmissions, and no recorded forensic incidents. Patients maintained stable trajectories and strong community engagement, suggesting that CTOs were effective in consolidating recovery among low-risk service users.

In Sutton & Cheam (S&C), eight patients, four under Section 42 and four under Section 17A, presented with more complex forensic and dual-diagnosis profiles. Medication compliance rose by around 40%, while recorded violent incidents fell by half. CTOs were central to stabilising high-risk individuals, preventing acute relapse, and sustaining structured engagement under Ministry of Justice restrictions. While both sites showed positive clinical effects, outcomes reflected contextual differences: CTOs in C&W primarily reinforced stability in compliant patients, whereas in S&C, they functioned as essential mechanisms for risk management and containment.

Discussion

The findings align with existing evidence suggesting that CTO effectiveness is shaped by patient characteristics and service context [2,4]. In C&W, success appeared linked to consistent staffing and a stable clinical population, while in S&C, outcomes were driven by more intensive risk management structures and consultant continuity. These contextual variables complicate direct comparisons and suggest that CTOs may serve distinct functions across service models, from adherence reinforcement to forensic risk control [2].

Clinician reflections and prior research reveal the persistent ethical tension underpinning CTO use: while they can enhance safety and stability, they risk undermining autonomy and therapeutic trust [1,9]. As such, CTOs occupy an ethically ambiguous space, protective yet paternalistic, requiring continuous scrutiny of proportionality and necessity.

This study's limitations include a small sample size, retrospective design, and reliance on clinical documentation, which restricts causal inference and generalisability. Nonetheless, it highlights the importance of contextual analysis and suggests that CTOs' value lies in structured continuity and relational engagement rather than compulsion alone.

Conclusion

CTOs remain a valuable but context-dependent component of community psychiatry. Their effectiveness depends on patient complexity, service resources, and the strength of multidisciplinary collaboration. To ensure ethical legitimacy and clinical justification, CTO continuation should be regularly reviewed through multidisciplinary panels. Enhancing access to independent advocacy and patient education may reduce perceived coercion, while standardising outcome metrics, such as adherence and relapse rates, across NHS Trusts would improve national evaluation and accountability. Future research should adopt mixed-methods, longitudinal designs to capture both measurable outcomes and lived experiences of CTO use in diverse service settings.

References

- [1] Brooks H, Pilgrim D, Scott S, et al. Compulsion in community mental health care: mixed-methods analysis of patient perspectives. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol.* 2018;53(10):1079–1089.
- [2] Burns T, Ruckliff J, Molodynski A, Dawson J, Yeeles K, Priebe S, et al. Community treatment orders for patients with psychosis (OCTET): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2013;381(9878):1627–1633.
- [3] Cambridge M. Community treatment orders: are they useful? *BJPsych Adv.* 2017;23(3):222–230.
- [4] Churchill R, Hotopf M, Singh S. No robust evidence yet regarding community treatment orders. London: King's College London; 2007.
- [5] Dawson J. Community treatment orders: international comparisons. *Int J Law Psychiatry.* 2018;57:94–101.
- [6] Department of Health. Code of Practice: Mental Health Act 1983. London: The Stationery Office; 2008.
- [7] Kisely S, Hall K, Preston N. Community treatment orders: a systematic review of international outcomes. *Can J Psychiatry.* 2017;62(1):15–24.

[8] Light E, Kerridge I, Ryan C, Robertson M. Community treatment orders: the ethical balancing act in mental health law. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry*. 2017;51(3):262–271.

[9] Rugkåsa J, Canvin K, Sinclair J, Burns T. Patient, psychiatrist and family carer experiences of community treatment orders: a qualitative study. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol*. 2014;49(12):1873–1882.

About the authors

Dr Zohreh Madani is a Psychiatry Clinical Fellow at Southwest London and St George's Mental Health NHS Trust.

Dr Hamid Rahmanian is a Consultant Psychiatrist at Southwest London and St George's Mental Health NHS Trust.