Supplementary Materials

Identification of Gestational diabetes in European electronic healthcare databases: Insights from the ConcePTION project

Ditte Mølgaard-Nielsen, Vera R Mitter, Angela Lupattelli, Vjola Hoxhaj, Constanza Andaur Navarro, Saeed Hayati, Sandra Lopez-Leon, Joan Morris, Anja Geldof, Susan Jordan, Maarit K Leinonen, Visa Martikainen, Marco Manfrini, Luca Cammarota, Amanda Neville, Laia Barrachina-Bonet, Clara Cavero-Carbonell, Laura García-Villodre, Anthony Caillet, Marie Beslay, Christine Damase-Michel, Marleen M.H.J. van Gelder, Hedvig Nordeng

Table of Contents

l	upplementary Materials	1
	METHODS	2
	Search strategy and results of the systematic review of validation studies of GDM algorithms	2
	Supplementary Table S1. Characteristics of the data sources	3
	Figure S1. Details of ConcePTION Pregnancy Algorithm for each DAP	4
	Supplementary Table S2. Ethical and/or governance review boards approval for data use	5
	Supplementary Table S3. Search terms	6
	Supplementary Table S4. Data extraction of validation studies of GDM algorithms identified in t systematic literature review	he 7
	Supplementary Table S5. Concept Set to Identify GDM and (Pre-Gestational) Diabetes Mellitus	10
	Supplementary Table S6. Additional Concepts to Identify GDM for Each Data Source	11
	Supplementary Table S7. Component algorithms for GDM and (pre-gestational) diabetes mellitudes and (pre-gestational) diabetes mellitudes and (pre-gestational) diabetes mellitudes are supplementary.	us 12
	Figure S2. Flow chart of study populations	13
	Supplementary Table S8. Prevalence of GDM Stratified by Calendar Year for Each Data Source	14
	Supplementary Table S9. Prevalence of GDM Stratified by Maternal Age for Each Data Source	15

METHODS

Search strategy and results of the systematic review of validation studies of GDM algorithms

The search strategy included the following components: (1) terms to identify GDM; (2) terms to identify validation studies of algorithms; and (3) terms to exclude studies not likely to utilize electronic health data. We used Medline and the publications were limited to those in English and published between January 1, 2010 and March 1, 2024 (details of the search terms included in Table S3). Additional inclusion criteria were: (1) studies conducted in North America or Europe; (2) used routinely collected healthcare data to identify GDM; (3) validation studies using different reference standards (e.g. medical charts, electronic health records) and reported performance statistics of the algorithm (e.g. sensitivity, specificity, positive or negative predictive value).

The Medline search identified 127 studies; all titles and abstracts were reviewed. If the title and/or abstract met the inclusion criteria described above, the studies were selected for full text review. This resulted in 12 studies undergoing full text review, after which a further 4 studies were excluded due to the inclusion criteria, leaving 8 studies for data extraction. We extracted key information from the identified studies using a standardized data collection form based on prior work by mini sentinel including specification and performance the outcome-identifying algorithms (further details of the extraction are provided in the Supplementary Table S4).

Suppler	mentary Ta	ble S1. Charac	cteristics of th	ne data sourc	ces			
0	Data	Data Access	Name of data	Data cover	age period	Data domain, healthcare setting for data collection and coding system used		
Country	coverage	Providers (DAPs)	sources	Start date	End date	Diagnosis	Medication	Disease-specific variables
Norway	Nationwide	University of Oslo (UOSL)	Norwegian National registries	01-jan-2008 (data from the Medical Birth Registry from 2004)	31-dec-2020	Inpatient and outpatient hospital visits and outpatient specialist visits classified according to ICD-10. Primary care visits (e.g., GPs) classified according to ICPC2	Filled outpatient prescription medication classified according to ATC	Yes, registration during pregnancy of GDM in the Medical birth registry
Finland	Nationwide	Finnish Institute for Health and Welfare (THL)	Finnish National registries	01-jan-2008 (data from the Medical Birth Registry from 1996)	31-dec-2018	Inpatient and outpatient hospital visit classified according to ICD-10 Primary care visits classified according to ICD-10 or ICPC2.	Filled outpatient prescription medication classified according to ATC	Yes, registration during pregnancy of GDM in the Medical birth registry
Italy	Regional: Emilia Romagna	University of Ferrara (UNIFERR)	Healthcare administrative	01-jan-2009	31-dec-2020	Inpatient hospital and emergency room visits classified according to ICD-9.	Dispensed outpatient prescription medication classified according to ATC	No
Spain	Regional: Valencian Region	Rare Disease Research Unit FISABIO	RDRU_Fisabio	01-jan-2013	31-dec-2018	Inpatient hospital visits classified according to ICD-10ES	Dispensed outpatient prescription medication classified according to ATC	No
France	Regional: Haute- Garonne	University Hospital in Toulouse (CHUT)	EFEMERIS	01-jan-2008	31-dec-2020	Inpatient hospital visits classified according to ICD-10	Dispensed outpatient prescription medication classified according to ATC	Yes, registration of maternal GDM in child health certificate



Footnotes: ^aPregnancies with gestational age of at least 12 gestational weeks, ^bPregnancies with gestational age of at least 20-22 gestational weeks available Figure legend. Description of data streams and record quality

PROMT: Birth-, termination- or spontaneous abortion registries where a record implies end of a pregnancy.

CONCEPTSETS: Diagnostic codes, procedure codes or other codes where a record refer to an end of a pregnancy or an ongoing pregnancy.

Green: Pregnancy start date and pregnancy end date recorded.

Yellow: Pregnancy start date imputed and pregnancy end date recorded.

Blue: Pregnancy start date recorded and pregnancy end date imputed.

	Table S2. Ethical and/or governance review boards approv	
Country	Ethical and/or governance review boards	Approval Number
Norway	The study was approved by the Regional Committee for	85224 and 519858
	Research Ethics in South-East Norway and by the Data	
	Protection Officer at the University of Oslo. Data were	
	handled and stored in accordance with the General	
	Data Protection Regulation.	
Finland	Ethical approval is not required for register-based	THL/543/6.02.00/2021
	studies. Institutional Review Board at the Finnish	
	Institute for Health and Welfare approved the study	
	and waived the requirement for obtaining informed	
	consent for the secondary use of health administrative	
	data from study participants. Data were handled and	
	stored in accordance with the General Data Protection	
	Regulation.	
Italy, Emilia	The study was approved by the local ethical	593/2023/Oss/UniFe
Romagna	committee. Data were handled and stored in	
	accordance with the General Data Protection	
	Regulation and in agreement with the Authority for	
	Healthcare and Welfare, Emilia Romagna Regional	
	Health Service, Bologna, Italy.	
Spain,	The study (code: IMI-IMN-2019-01) was classified as an	1/2020
Valencian	Observational Post-authorisation Study "Other	
Region	designs" (EPA-OD) by Spanish Medicines Agency	
	(AEMPS), available on: https://sede.aemps.gob.es; and	
	approved by the Arnau de Vilanova Hospital's Clinical	
	Research Ethics Committee on 29th January 2020,	
	according to the Spanish regulation. At regional level	
	following the national Personal Data Protection and	
	guaranteeing digital rights (Law 3/2018), the study was	
	approved by the Commission of the Regional	
	Government (PROSIGA) that has the right of giving	
	RDRU Fisabio authorisation to process the data	
	(references: SD2556; SD2577; SD2578; SD2579;	
	SD2580; SD2581; SD2582).	
France, Haute-	The EFEMERIS cohort was approved by the French Data	05-1140
Garonne	Protection Authority on 7 April 2005. This study was	
	performed on anonymized patient data. The women	
	included in the EFEMERIS database were informed of	
	their inclusion and of the potential use of their	
	anonymized data for research purposes. They could	
	oppose the use of their data at any time. The women	
	included in the EFEMERIS database know that their	
	collected and anonymized data can be used for medical	
	research purposes and can thus be published. The	
	study was approved by the EFEMERIS steering group.	
	Data were handled and stored in accordance with the	
	General Data Protection Regulation.	

Supplementary Table S3. Search terms

1. Terms to identify GDM

diabetes, gestational [MeSH Terms] OR (diabetes[All Fields] AND gestational[All Fields]) OR gestational diabetes[All Fields] OR (gestational[All Fields] AND diabetes[All Fields] AND mellitus[All Fields]) OR gestational diabetes mellitus[All Fields]

2. Terms to identify validation studies

(validation study [Publication Type] OR validation studies as topic [MeSH Terms] OR validation studies [All Fields]) OR (("valid"[All Fields] OR "validate"[All Fields] OR "validated"[All Fields] OR "validated"[All Fields] OR "validational"[All Fields] OR "validations"[All Fields] OR "validities"[All Fields] OR "validity"[All Fields]) AND ("international classification of diseases"[MeSH Terms] OR ("international"[All Fields] AND "classification"[All Fields] AND "diseases"[All Fields] OR ("international"[All Fields] AND "classification"[All Fields] AND "classification"[All Fields] AND "disease"[All Fields]) OR "international classification of disease"[All Fields] OR "ICD-9"[All Fields] OR "ICD-9"[All Fields])) OR ("Validation"[Title/Abstract] AND ("algorithms"[Title/Abstract] OR "phenotype"[Title/Abstract] OR "positive predictive value"[Title/Abstract]))

3. Terms to exclude studies not likely to utilize electronic health data

"Case Reports"[pt] OR "Clinical Trial"[pt] OR "Clinical Trial, Phase I" [pt] OR "Clinical Trial, Phase II" [pt] OR "Clinical Trial, Phase III" [pt] OR "Cinical Trial, Veterinary" OR "Comment" [pt] OR "Controlled Clinical Trial" [pt] OR "Editorial" [pt] OR "Guideline"[pt] OR "Letter" [pt] OR "Meta-Analysis" [pt] OR "Observational study, veterinary"[pt] OR "Randomized Controlled Trial" [pt] OR "Review"[pt] OR "systematic review"[pt]

5. Searches 1, 2 combined with AND, excluding Search 3

Total before filters applied: N= 162 English article language only: N=160

Publication date from 01-01-2010 to 01-03-2024: N=127

Reference	Algorithm to identify GDM	Algorithn	n performance	Study characteristics	ConcePTION	
PMID, First author and year of publication	Specifications of algorithm components	Reference standard (including No.of charts / cases reviewed)	PPV, SEN, NPV, SPEC	Other Findings	Country, Data Source and Dataset dates	Comments
PMID: 22020902 Andrade, 2011	≥1 procedure code for OGTT (CPT code 82951 or 82952) OR ≥1 diagnostic code for GDM (ICD-9 code 648.8–648.89) during pregnancy. AND NO antidiabetic drug OR no diagnostic codes for pregestational diabetes (ICD-9 250–250.99) 365-180 days prior to delivery Additional criteria: 1) ≥2 outpatient diagnoses of GDM on different dates 2) ≥1 outpatient diagnosis of GDM AND ≥1 procedure code of DM management / training 3) ≥1 outpatient diagnosis of GDM AND ≥1 dispensing of an antidiabetic medication 4) ≥1 diagnosis of GDM during an inpatient visit	172 Medical records	PPV 82% (75–87) w. additional criteria: 1)	Preliminary data showed that 50% of women with a diagnosis of GDM recorded during pregnancy also had a diagnosis of pregestational diabetes in the 6-month prior to pregnancy. Therefore, necessary to exclude pre-gestational diabetes.	claims data, 2006- 2008	The algorithm includes procedure code for OGTT for which there may be low specificity (false positives) ir most settings e.g. if universal OGTT is screening practice. Algorithm b that includes procedure code of DM management / training only had a moderate performance.
PMID: 33252439 Stanhope, 2021	Delivery hospitalization ICD codes of O24.41x, O24.42x, O24.43x GDM case definition: a 1-hour glucose loading test results greater than 200mg/dL, a failed 1-hour test (<140mg/dL) followed by a failed 3-hour glucose tolerance test (defined as two or more elevated glucose values of the four measurements using the Carpenter Coustan Criteria) or elevated glucose based on home blood glucose monitoring.	3654 medical records	PPV 85.6% (80.8-90.4) SEN 94.7% (91.5-97.9) SPEC 99.1% (98.8-99.4) NPV 99.7% (99.5-99.9) (ICD-10 code prevalence 5.7% (4.9-6.4) Medical record prevalence 5.1% (4.4-5.9)	gestational DM according to MR (both had ICD-10 codes of pre- gestational DM). The	Memorial Hospital in Atlanta, 2016-2018.	30 false positives cases of GDM where the majority had not any diabetes according to MR. The algorithms only include ICD-10 codes from delivery hospitalization and may not be extrapolated to the validity of ICD-10 codes for ambulatory prenatal care. Delivery hospitalization codes may be more accurate, as claims filed at the end of the stay when diagnoses have been verified and unlikely to represent rule-out diagnoses.

PMID:	ICD-10 codes of O24.4 or O24.8 in:	749 pregnant	2002-2009:		Canada, the Alberta	
37451402	Delivery hospitalization records only	women with	1) PPV 97%		Pregnancy Birth	
Ngwezi, 2023	Outpatient clinical records during pregnancy only	GDM in the	NPV 59%		Cohort (administrative	
11611023	3) Delivery hospitalization records and outpatient records	Diabetes in	2) PPV 97%		health care data,	
	during pregnancy	pregnancy	NPV 99%		Alberta), 2002-2019	
		clinical	3) PPV 98%			
ļ		registry	NPV 99%			
PMID:	ICD-10 codes of O24.4 or O24.8 in:	Pregnant	1) PPV 92.6% (91.8-93.4)		Canada, MOMBABY	
	1) Delivery hospitalization records with ICD-10 codes of	women with	NPV 99.0% (98.9-99.1)		(administrative health	
Shah, 2023	O24.4 or O24.8	oral glucose	SEN 83.2% (82.1-84.4)		care data, Ontario),	
311a11, 2023	2) Physician claims with ICD 8 codes 250 (only code for	tolerance test	SPEC 99.6% (99.6-9.7)		January 2019-	
	diabetes in ICD-8) in 90-day lookback period before	results in the	(GDM prevalence 5%)		December 2019	
	delivery	Ontario	2) PPV 90.7% (89.8-91.6)			
	,	Laboratory	NPV 99.1% (99.1-99.2)			
	GDM case definition:	Information	SEN 85.1% (84.1-86.2)			
	75-g oral glucose tolerance test with a	System	SPEC 99.5% (99.4-99.5)			
ļ	-fasting glucose of ≥5.3 mmol/L or,	,	(GDM prevalence 5.3%)			
	-1-hour glucose of ≥10.6 mmol/L or,					
ļ	-2-hour glucose of ≥9.0 mmol/L					
PMID:	Two algorithms:	The Alberta	1) PPV 78%		Population-level	
26555571	National Diabetes Surveillance System algorithm:	Perinatal	NPV 97%		health-care	
Bowker, 2017	Diabetes incidence date followed by an obstetrical claim	Health	SEN 25%		administrative data,	
DOWRET, 2017	within 0–120 days or if it preceded an obstetrical claim by	Program	SPEC 100%.		Alberta, Canada, 1999-	
	0–180 days, the diabetes case was gestational diabetes	(APHP), a	(GDM prevalence 1.3%)		2010	
ļ	(Diabetes case: one hospitalization for diabetes (ICD-9	database with	2) PPV 85%			
	code: 250 or ICD-10: E10 - E14); or two physician fee-for-	detailed	NPV 99%			
	service claims for diabetes within a 2-year period)	information	SEN 86%			
ļ	2) Gestational diabetes-specific ICD code-based algorithm:	of all	SPEC 99%			
ļ	ICD-9 codes of 648.8 or ICD-10 code of O24.4, O24.8 (i.e.	deliveries in	(GDM prevalence 4%)			
	gestational diabetes-specific codes) in any diagnosis field	the province				
ļ	of the delivery-related hospitalization.	of Albert.	(GDM prevalence gold			
ļ			standard 3.9%)			
	GDM diagnosis in the APHP database was considered the					
	reference (gold) standard.					
PMID:	1) ICD-10 code of O24.4 (in any diagnosis field) recorded up	Laboratory	1a) PPV 59% (57–60)			The positive predictive value ranged
27743395	to 270 days prior to delivery	data	SEN 86% (84–87)			from 57 to 62% in all databases
Bowker, 2017	a. In outpatient data only		SPEC 98% (98–98)			because of a high number of
	b. In inpatient data only		(GDM prevalence 5.2%)			false-positives; however, 81.0, 84.8,
1	c. In outpatient or inpatient data		1b) PPV 62% (60-64)			77.9, and 85.3 of false-positives in
1	2) GDM recoded the APHP database		SEN 83% (82–85)			the outpatient only, inpatient only,
1			SPEC 98% (98–98)			outpatient or inpatient combined,
1	GDM case definition: A gestational diabetes diagnosis was		(GDM prevalence 4.8%)			and APHP databases, respectively,
1	defined in the laboratory data as ≥2 abnormal values on a 75-g		1c) PPV 57% (55–59)			met the criteria for impaired
1	oral glucose tolerance test or a 50-g glucose screen ≥10.3		SEN 92% (91, 93)			glucose tolerance.
1	mmol/l. (reference standard)	1	SPEC 97% (97, 98)	1		

				2)	(GDM prevalence 5.8%) PPV 61% (60–63) SEN 83% (81–85) SPEC 98% (98-98) (GDM prevalence 4.8%)		
PMID: 32404391 Goueslard, 2020	1) 2)	Discharge abstract with ICD-10 Codes O24.4 Discharge abstract with ICD-10 Codes O24.4-O24.9	482 medical records	2)	PPV 80.6% (79.2-82.0) SEN 78.4% (77.0-79.8) PPV 80.8% (79.4-82.2) SEN 79.5% (78.1-80.9)	The French hospital database, France, 2014	

Supplemen Mellitus	ntary Table S5	. Concept Set	to Identif	y GDM and (Pre-Gestational) Diabetes
Data domain	Concept set label	Concept	Coding system	Code
			ICD10	024.4
		GDM	ICD10ES	O24.4, O24.41, O24.410, O24.414, 24.415, O24.419, O24.42, O24.420, O24.424, O24.425, O24.429, O24.43, O24.430, O24.434, O24.435, O24.439,
	GDM		ICD9	648.8
			ICPC2	W85
			ICD10	024.9
		Unspecified diabetes mellitus in	ICD10ES	O24.9, O24.91, O24.911, O24.912, O24.913, O24.919, O24.92, O24.93
			ICD9	NA
		pregnancy	ICPC2	NA
			ICD10	024.0 024.1, 024.2, 024.3
Diagnosis		Pre-existing diabetes mellitus in pregnancy	ICD10ES	024.0, 024.01, 024.011, 024.012, 024.013, 024.19, 024.02, 024.03, 024.11, 024.111, 024.112, 024.113, 024.119, 024.12, 024.13, 024.31, 024.311, 024.312, 24.313, 024.319, 024.32, 024.33, 024.8, 024.81, 024.811, 024.812, 024.813, 024.819, 024.82, 024.83
			ICD9	648.0
			ICPC2	NA
	S	Diabetes mellitus	ICD10	E10, E11, E12, E13, E14
	Diabetes mellitus		ICD10ES	E10.10, E10.11, E10.21, E10.22, E10.29, E10.31, E10.311, E10.319, E10.321, E10.3211, E10.3212, E10.3213, E10.3219, E10.329, E10.3291, E10.3292, E10.3293, E10. 3299, E10.33, E10.3311, E10.3311, E10.3312, E10.3313, E10.3319, E10.339, E10.3391, E10.3392, E10.3393, E10.3399, E10.34, E10.3411, E10.3411, E10.3412, E10.3413, E10.3419, E10.3491, E10.3492
Medication	GDM or Diabetes Mellitus (depending on timing of records)	Antidiabetic medication	ATC	T89, T90 A10A, A10B, A10X

Supplemen	tary Table	e S6. Addit	ional Con	cepts to Identify GDM f	or Each Data Sou	rce
Data domain	Concept set label	Concept/va riable	DAP	ConcePTION CDM table	Original variable	Value/ Code
			UiO	SURVEY_OBSERVATIONS	diabetes_mellitus	4
			THL	SURVEY_OBSERVATIONS	Vocabulary (ICD10)	024.4
Data source		GDM	UNIFERR	NA	NA	NA
specific variables	GDM	DM registratio n	RDRU_FI SABIO	NA	NA	NA
			CHUT	SURVEY_OBSERVATIONS	J8_DIABETE, IMG_DIABETE	1,1

Supplementary Table S7. Component algorithms for GDM and (pre-gestational) diabetes mellitus

No.	Description of component	Setting	Data domain	Time window of records	Concept set label
1	Diagnosis of GDM in primary or secondary care	Primary care, Hospital	Diagnosis	From gestational week 12 until pregnancy end date	GDM
2	Diagnosis of unspecified diabetes during pregnancy in secondary care	Hospital	Diagnosis	From gestational week 12 until pregnancy end date	GDM
3	Diagnosis of early GDM (unrecognized pre-existing diabetes) in primary or secondary care	sis of early GDM gnized pre-existing Primary care, s) in primary or Hospital		From pregnancy start until gestational week 12	Diabetes mellitus
4	Diagnosis of diabetes mellitus in primary or secondary care	Primary care, Hospital	Diagnosis	Up to 6 months prior to pregnancy start until gestational 12	Diabetes mellitus
5	Diagnosis of pre-existing diabetes mellitus during pregnancy in secondary care	Hospital	Diagnosis	Up to 6 months prior to pregnancy start until gestational 12	Diabetes mellitus
6	Registration of GDM during pregnancy in birth registry	Hospital	Data source specific variables	Pregnancy end	GDM
7	Filled/dispensed outpatient antidiabetic medication for GDM	Primary care	Medication	From gestational week 12 until pregnancy end date	GDM
8	Filled/dispensed outpatient antidiabetic medication for diabetes mellitus	Primary care	Medication	Up to 6 months prior to pregnancy start until gestational 12	Diabetes mellitus
		•	•		

Figure S2. Flow	chart of study	y populations
-----------------	----------------	---------------

Footnotes:

^aInformation in Figure S1.

ata source	Total		Preva	lence per 100 (95% CI) accord	ding to diffent composite algor	ithms	
Calendar yearscatego ries	number of pregnancies, no.	Only diagnosis	Diagnosis or prescriptions	Confirmed diagnosis or prescriptions	Diagnosis (incl. unspecified diabetes in pregnancy) or prescriptions	Diagnosis (excl. pre-existing diabetes in pregnancy) or prescription	Registration of GDM in birth registry
orway					•		
2009-2012	223,705	2.6 (2.5-2.7)	2.7 (2.6-2.8)	2.1 (2.0-2.2)	3.0 (2.9-3.1)	2.1 (2.0-2.2)	2.2 (2.1-2.3)
2013-2016	217,649	5.0 (4.9-5.1)	5.1 (5.0-5.2)	4.0 (3.9-4.1)	5.2 (5.1-5.3)	4.5 (4.4-4.6)	4.5 (4.4-4.6)
2017-2020	161,543	5.8 (5.7-5.9)	5.9 (5.8-6.0)	4.9 (4.8-5.0)	6.0 (5.9-6.1)	5.4 (5.3-5.5)	5.3 (5.2-5.4)
inland							
2009-2012	237,033	11.0 (10.9-11.1)	11.1 (11.0-11.2)	8.8 (8.7-8.9)	12.5 (12.4-12.6)	10.5 (10.4-10.6)	11.1 (11.0-11.2)
2013-2016	215,815	16.3 (16.1-16.5)	16.3 (16.1-16.5)	14.0 (13.9-14.1)	17.7 (17.5-17.9)	15.9 (15.7-16.1)	15.4 (15.2-15.6)
2017-2020	55,056	20.9 (20.6-21.2)	21.1 (20.8-21.4)	18.7 (18.4-19.0)	22.3 (22.0-22.6)	20.8 (20.5-21.1)	21.7 (21.4-22.0)
aly, Emilia Ro	magna						
2009-2012	146,254	2.1 (2.0-2.2)	2.7 (2.6-2.8)	0.7 (0.7-0.7)	2.7 (2.6-2.8)	2.3 (2.2-2.4)	NA
2013-2016	131,478	4.6 (4.5-4.7)	5.5 (5.4-5.6)	1.3 (1.2-1.4)	5.5 (5.4-5.6)	4.8 (4.7-4.9)	NA
2017-2020	96,277	8.0 (7.8-8.2)	9.2 (9.0-9.4)	2.2 (2.1-2.3)	9.2 (9.0-9.4)	8.1 (7.9-8.3)	NA
pain, Valencia	n Region						
2009-2012	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
2013-2016	118,514	4.9 (4.8-5.0)	5.7 (5.6-5.8)	1.4 (1.3-1.5)	5.7 (5.6-5.8)	5.4 (5.3-5.5)	NA
2017-2020	74,981	6.2 (6.0-6.4)	7.0 (6.8-7.2)	1.8 (1.7-1.9)	7.1 (6.9-7.3)	6.6 (6.4-6.8)	NA
rance, Haute-	Garonne						
2009-2012	42,630	2.7 (2.5-2.9)	4.1 (3.9-4.3)	1.4 (1.3-1.5)	4.1 (3.9-4.3)	4.0 (3.8-4.2)	4.2 (4.0-4.4)
2013-2016	43,658	3.2 (3.0-3.4)	4.8 (4.6-5.0)	1.8 (1.7-1.9)	4.8 (4.6-5.0)	4.7 (4.5-4.9)	6.1 (5.9-6.3)
2017-2020	30,474						

	3.8 (3.6-4.0)	6.0 (5.7-6.3)	2.0 (1.8-2.2)	6.0 (5.7-6.3)	5.9 (5.6-6.2)	7.4 (7.1-7.7)

Data source		Prevalence per 100 (95% CI) according to diffent composite algorithms							
Maternal age categories, years	Total number of pregnancies, no.	Only diagnosis (Dx)	Diagnosis or prescriptions (DxRx)	Confirmed diagnosis or prescriptions (2Dx2Rx)	Diagnosis (incl. unspecified diabetes in pregnancy) or prescriptions (DxRx broad)	Diagnosis (excl. pre-existing diabetes in pregnancy) or prescription (DxRx narrow)	Registration of GDM in birth registry (BR)		
Norway	•								
15-24	98,988	2.6 (2.5-2.7)	2.6 (2.5-2.7)	2.0 (1.9-2.1)	2.8 (2.7-2.9)	2.3 (2.2-2.4)	2.2 (2.1-2.3)		
25-34	402,253	3.9 (3.8-4.0)	4.0 (3.9-4.1)	3.2 (3.1-3.3)	4.2 (4.1-4.3)	3.5 (3.4-3.6)	3.5 (3.4-3.6)		
35-49	101,656	7.5 (7.3-7.7)	7.7 (7.5-7.9)	6.3 (6.2-6.4)	8.0 (7.8-8.2)	6.6 (6.4-6.8)	6.9 (6.7-7.1)		
inland									
15-24	99,377	9.0 (8.8-9.2)	9.0 (8.8-9.2)	7.2 (7.0-7.4)	10.1 (9.9-10.3)	8.8 (8.6-9.0)	9.1 (8.9-9.3)		
25-34	323,712	14.0 (13.9-14.1)	14.1 (14.0-14.2)	11.8 (11.7-11.9)	15.4 (15.3-15.5)	13.7 (13.6-13.8)	13.7 (13.6-13.8)		
35-49	84,815	21.9 (21.4-22.0)	21.9 (21.6-22.2)	18.9 (18.6-19.2)	23.7 (23.4-24.0)	21.0 (20.7-21.3)	21.3 (21.0-21.6)		
taly, Emilia R	omagna								
15-24	37,428	2.7 (2.5-2.9)	3.2 (3.0-3.4)	0.7 (0.6-0.8)	3.2 (3.0-3.4)	2.8 (2.6-3.0)	NA		
25-34	215,930	4.0 (3.9-4.1)	4.8 (4.7-4.9)	1.1 (1.1-1.1)	4.8 (4.7-4.9)	4.2 (4.1-4.3)	NA		
35-49	120,651	5.9 (5.8-6.0)	7.1 (7.0-7.2)	1.8 (1.7-1.9)	7.1 (7.0-7.2)	6.2 (6.1-6.3)	NA		
Spain, Valenc	ian Region								
15-24	22,253	2.3 (2.1-2.5)	2.6 (2.4-2.8)	0.5 (0.4-0.6)	2.6 (2.4-2.8)	2.4 (2.2-2.6)	NA		
25-34	109,122	4.7 (4.6-4.8)	5.4 (5.3-5.5)	1.3 (1.2-1.4)	5.4 (5.3-5.5)	5.0 (4.9-5.1)	NA		
35-49	62,120	7.7 (7.5-7.9)	9.0 (8.8-9.2)	2.5 (2.4-2.6)	9.1 (8.9-9.3)	8.6 (8.4-8.8)	NA		

15-24	18,268	2.3 (2.1-2.5)	3.1 (2.8-3.4)	0.9 (0.8-1.0)	3.1 (2.8-3.4)	3.0 (2.8-3.2)	3.8 (3.5-4.1)
25-34	79,645	2.9 (2.8-3.0)	4.3 (4.2-4.4)	1.5 (1.4-1.6)	4.3 (4.2-4.4)	4.2 (4.1-4.3)	5.2 (5.0-5.4)
35-49	18,849	5.4 (5.1-5.7)	8.9 (8.5-9.3)	3.6 (3.3-3.9)	8.9 (8.5-9.3)	8.8 (8.4-9.2)	10.0 (9.6-10.4)