BMJ Open Association between poor oral health and deterioration of appetite in older age: results from longitudinal analyses of two prospective cohorts from the UK and USA

Suruchi G Ganbavale ⁽ⁱ⁾,^{1,2} Ziyi Cai,¹ John C Mathers,³ Olia Papacosta,⁴ Lucy Lennon,⁴ Peter H Whincup,⁵ Robert Weyant,⁶ S Goya Wannamethee ⁽ⁱ⁾,⁴ Sheena E Ramsay⁷

ABSTRACT

Objective This study investigated the association of poor oral health with appetite loss and deterioration in appetite longitudinally in older adults.

Design Cross-sectional and longitudinal observational study.

Setting Data came from two population-based cohorts of older adults from the UK and USA.

Participants The British Regional Heart Study (BRHS) included men (n=1348, age=79–87 years in 2016–2017 at baseline and 81–89 years in 2018–2019 at follow-up). The US Health, Aging and Body Composition (HABC) Study included men and women (n=2998, age=71–77 years in 1998–1999 at baseline and 73–79 years in 2000–2001 at follow-up). Objective and self-reported oral health measures were collected.

Outcome measures Loss of appetite, at baseline and 2-year follow-up, was based on the Simplified Nutrition Assessment Questionnaire in the BRHS and self-reported appetite loss in the HABC Study. In the BRHS, changes in oral health over time were also assessed. Logistic regression models were adjusted for sociodemographic, behavioural and health-related factors.

Results Cross-sectionally, poor self-rated oral health, dry mouth, eating or chewing difficulty, food avoidance and cumulative oral health problems were associated with appetite loss in both studies. Longitudinally, in the BRHS, dry mouth (OR=2.12 (95% CI=1.40 to 3.20)), eating or chewing difficulty (OR=1.59 (95% CI=1.02 to 2.48)), food avoidance (OR=1.75 (95% CI=1.16 to 2.65)) and cumulative oral health problems (OR=2.84 (95% CI=1.80 to 4.50)) at baseline were associated with sustained poor/deterioration in appetite over the follow-up, after full adjustment. In the HABC Study, self-rated oral health ((OR=1.13 (95% CI=1.01 to 1.27)), tooth loss (OR=1.78 (95% CI=1.15 to 2.76)), dry mouth (OR=1.76 (95% CI=1.02 to 3.03)), eating or chewing difficulty (OR=1.88 (95% Cl=1.41 to 2.50)) and cumulative oral health problems (OR=1.89 (95% CI=1.33 to 2.70)) at baseline were associated with sustained poor/deterioration in appetite during follow-up. In the BRHS, sustained poor/ deterioration in oral health markers (self-rated oral health,

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- ⇒ This study investigated cross-sectional and prospective associations of a comprehensive set of oral health measures with appetite loss in two population-based cohort studies of older adults in the UK and the USA.
- ⇒ Additionally, this study examined the association of changes in oral health over time with changes in appetite loss prospectively using UK-based cohort data.
- ⇒ The UK-based cohort comprised White British men only, and the USA-based cohort included White and African American men and women living in Pittsburgh and Memphis, USA. Consequently, the generalisability of the study findings to women and other ethnic groups needs to be further investigated.
- ⇒ In the USA-based cohort, dry mouth and appetite loss were assessed using single-item questions, which may not have fully captured the extent of these conditions in this cohort sample.
- ⇒ This study examined the association between poor oral health and deterioration of appetite in older adults after adjusting for their individual sociodemographic characteristics and health-related conditions and behaviours in both UK and USA-based cohorts. However, the information on their dental history and reasons for tooth loss were not available for either cohort. Consequently, the possibility of residual confounding remains in this study.

dry mouth, eating or chewing difficulty, food avoidance, loose denture/s) over the follow-up were associated with sustained poor/deterioration of appetite. **Conclusion** Oral health is a potentially important contributor to maintaining good appetite in older age.

INTRODUCTION

Appetite loss in older adults ('anorexia of ageing'), characterised as decline in

To cite: Ganbavale SG, Cai Z, Mathers JC, *et al.* Association between poor oral health and deterioration of appetite in older age: results from longitudinal analyses of two prospective cohorts from the UK and USA. *BMJ Open* 2025;**15**:e083973. doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2024-083973

Prepublication history and additional supplemental material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (https://doi.org/10.1136/ bmjopen-2024-083973).

Received 04 January 2024 Accepted 14 January 2025

Check for updates

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2025. Re-use permitted under CC BY. Published by BMJ Group. For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Dr Suruchi G Ganbavale; s.ganbavale@liverpool.ac.uk; Suruchi.Ganbavale@newcastle. ac.uk appetite and food intake, is a major public health concern.¹ Between 5% and 25% of community-dwelling older adults in developed countries experience appetite loss.² This prevalence of appetite loss may be as high as 62% in hospitalised populations and 85% in nursing home populations.² Persistent appetite loss in older age can lead to poor quality of life, malnutrition, unintentional weight loss, frailty and sarcopenia, thereby increasing the risk of mortality.¹⁻⁵ Understanding contributors to appetite loss is, therefore, important to help maintain optimum appetite in later life. Appetite loss is a multifactorial health condition.^{1 3 6 7} Factors that contribute to appetite loss in older age are pathophysiological factors, including inability to feed oneself, lowered metabolic rate, reduced physical activity, sensory impairments (taste, smell and vision), increased activity of satiety factors (eg. cholecystokinin); chronic diseases, including pulmonary disease, abdominal ischaemia, cancer, recurrent infections; and psychosocial factors including, poverty, difficulty in shopping and preparing food, lack of socialisation, loneliness and depression; and neurocognitive factors, including dementia.1367

Alongside these factors, poor oral health is recognised as a potential contributor to loss of appetite in older age.^{7–9} A cross-sectional study in Japan¹⁰ revealed that poor oral health status (based on teeth and other oral tissues (eg, gums), saliva, dentures, oral hygiene and dental pain) was associated with appetite loss in older adults aged ≥ 65 years. Another study, from Australia, reported that men aged ≥ 76 years with poor appetite were more likely to wear dentures, had limited chewing capacity, dry mouth and poorer self-evaluated oral health.¹¹ Most of the current evidence^{7–11} is from cross-sectional studies and the prospective relationship between poor oral health and appetite loss remains underexplored in ageing populations.

Therefore, we investigated the association of poor oral health with appetite loss and deterioration in appetite over time in older adults. We employed a range of oral health measures, both subjective and objective measures, and examined their associations longitudinally with appetite loss, and with the changes in appetite over time, using two cohort studies of community-dwelling older adults, in the UK and the USA. Additionally, we investigated the association of changes in oral health measures with changes in appetite over time.

METHODS

Data for this cross-sectional and longitudinal observational study came from two population-based cohorts of older adults from the UK and the USA described below.

The British Regional Heart Study (BRHS)

The British Regional Heart Study (BRHS), an ongoing cohort study that commenced in 1978–1980, comprises a socioeconomically and geographically representative sample of 7735 men (aged 40–59 years) registered with general practices across 24 towns in Great Britain.¹² In 2016-2017, surviving participants (aged 76-96 years, n=2076) were invited to complete a postal questionnaire, including self-reported medical history, general health, and oral health, appetite, socioeconomic conditions, and physical function (n=1348, response rate=65%). These data served as the baseline in the present study. In 2018–2019, surviving participants (aged 78–98 years, n=1633) underwent a physical examination, involving an objective oral examination undertaken by a dental hygienist (n=667, response rate=41%), and completed a similar questionnaire as of 2016–2017 (n=1009, response rate=62%), serving as a follow-up in the present study. Details on assessments and validity have been reported.^{13 14} Ethical approval was granted by the National Research Ethics Service (NRES) Committee, London Central region. Participants provided written informed consent in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

The Health, Aging, and Body Composition (HABC) Study

The Health, Aging and Body Composition (HABC) Study commenced in 1997–1998¹⁵ and included a socially representative cohort (ie, representing different education attainment levels) of 3075 White and African American men and women aged 68–79, able to walk a quarter of a mile or climb 10 steps without a difficulty at the time of initial examination. Participants lived across two sites that is, Memphis, Tennessee and Pittsburgh, PA in the USA. Individuals of White ethnicity were sampled from Medicare enrollees whereas African American participants were sampled from designated zip codes within the study sites.

In 1998–1999 (year 2 of the HABC Study), a total of 2998 of 3040 (response rate=99%) surviving participants aged 69-80 years underwent physical assessments including health status, symptoms, behaviours, medications, psychosocial conditions, anthropometric measurements and physical functioning, provided blood samples, and completed questionnaires involving questions on oral health and appetite. Data were collected via clinic or home visits, which aided in a high response rate. Additionally, 1975 participants attended an objective oral examination undertaken by dental hygienists or periodontists. Year 2 data served as the baseline in the present study. In 2000-2001 (year 4 of the HABC Study), a total of 2700 of 2702 surviving participants were again followed-up through a physical assessment and questionnaire survey, serving as a follow-up in the present study. Ethical approval was granted by the University of Pittsburgh, the University of Tennessee, Memphis, the University of California San Francisco, and the US National Institutes of Health. Written informed consent was gained from participants.

Measures of oral health

In the BRHS, the number of natural teeth was measured using a single question (ie, Do you have any of your natural teeth?: yes/no) at baseline, and using the same question and a count of natural teeth (which can range from 0 to 32 teeth) as part of the oral examination at follow-up. In the HABC Study, participants had an oral examination, which included a count of natural teeth. Both studies included self-reported measures on selfrated oral health (excellent, good, fair or poor),^{12 14 15} dry mouth (measured using the Xerostomia Inventory Scale¹⁶ in the BRHS, and a single question (Does your mouth feel dry when eating?) in the HABC Study), difficulty eating or chewing foods due to dental problems (ie, problems related to mouth, teeth or denture/s), food avoidance due to dental problems, and denture/s use, which were grouped as yes/no. Online supplemental appendix 1 table 1 presents the key oral health measures available at baseline and follow-up in both cohorts.

Measures of changes in oral health

The BRHS cohort had information on oral health at baseline and follow-up, including tooth loss (edentulism), selfrated oral health (excellent, good, fair, poor), number of dry mouth problems, difficulty eating or chewing foods due to dental problems (some/no difficulty), food avoidance due to dental problems (yes/no), loose denture/s (yes/no) and number of cumulative oral health problems. Changes in these oral health markers over the follow-up period were examined. These measures/variables were categorised as sustained good/improved and sustained poor/deterioration in oral health over time. Detailed description of sustained poor/deterioration in each oral health measure is provided in online supplemental appendix 2 figure 1. Since the HABC cohort was not followed up for oral health measures over time, it was not possible to calculate changes in oral health among participants of the HABC Study.

Measures of appetite loss

In the BRHS, appetite loss was measured using the Simplified Nutritional Appetite Questionnaire (SNAQ) at baseline and follow-up.¹⁷ SNAQ is a validated, self-reported measure for assessing appetite in older adults.^{8 10} It comprises four questions in total with their responses ranging from 1=verypoor to 5=verygood. SNAQ score of \geq 14 to 20 indicates appetite loss with a significant risk of \geq 5% weight loss within 6months.¹⁷ BRHS participants with an SNAQ score of \geq 14 were categorised as having loss of appetite.^{8 10} Information on SNAQ score collected at baseline was used in to examine the cross-sectional relationships between measures of poor oral health and appetite loss. Information on SNAQ score collected at follow-up was used to determine changes in appetite loss over time.

In the HABC Study, appetite loss at baseline was determined through a self-reported question during a clinic visit (ie, In the past month, would you say that your appetite or desire to eat has been?). Participants not attending the clinic visit were asked a similar question during the home visit (ie, In general, would you say that your appetite or desire to eat has been?). Responses ranged from 1=very good to 5=very poor. Participants reporting having BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2024-083973 on 3 February 2025. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on March 3, 2025 at SGUL Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies.

moderate/poor/very poor appetite were categorised as having appetite loss at baseline.⁷ This question was repeated at the follow-up, which was used to determine changes in appetite loss over time.

In both studies, changes in appetite loss were categorised as sustained good/improved (no appetite loss at baseline and at follow-up; or appetite loss at baseline but not at follow-up) and sustained poor/deteriorated (appetite loss at baseline and at follow-up; or no appetite loss at baseline but reported appetite loss at follow-up).

Covariates

In the BRHS, covariates included age, social class, smoking status, alcohol consumption, and history of diabetes or cardiovascular disease (CVD), and dry mouth medications. Age was a continuous variable. For social class, occupational categories including I-professionals, II-managerial and III-semiskilled non-manual were grouped as non-manual; and III-semiskilled manual, IV-partly skilled and V-unskilled were grouped as manual (based on the Registrar General's Social Class classification).^{13 14 18} Participants were categorised as current smokers, former smokers (gave up before 2016-2017) and those who never smoked.¹⁹ Alcohol intake was dichotomised as moderate to heavy drinkers (ie, having 5-6 drinks or >6 drinks daily or on most days of the week), and occasional or non-drinkers.^{20 21} History of diabetes was assessed via selfreported history of diagnosis. History of CVD included self-reported doctor-diagnoses of angina, heart attack or heart failure. Dry mouth medications were based on participants taking medications with dry mouth as a side effect and were grouped into 0, 1 and ≥ 2 medications.

In the HABC Study, age, gender, race, education level, smoking status, history of diabetes or CVD were employed as covariates. History of CVD included self-reported doctor-diagnosis of heart attack, angina, stroke or heart failure. Age was a continuous variable; gender was dichotomised as male and female; race included White, American African and other; education level (high school or lower, high school graduate, post-high school)²⁰; smoking status was categorised as current smoker, former smoker and having never smoked.²⁰ Alcohol consumption was not measured at baseline in the HABC Study.

Statistical analyses

Descriptive analyses were undertaken to assess the key characteristics of both cohorts, where mean and standard deviation (SD) were calculated for continuous variables and the percentages were calculated for categorical variables.

For oral health measures, tooth loss was categorised as with or without any natural teeth (ie, complete tooth loss) in the BRHS and the HABC Study. Self-rated oral health was categorised as excellent/good vs fair/poor;¹⁹ dry mouth was grouped as 0, 1–2 or >2 symptoms (BRHS),¹⁹ or as yes/no (HABC). All other oral health variables were categorised as yes/no.

Additionally, a cumulative measure of oral health was computed to quantify the number of oral health problems experienced by each participant in both cohorts to assess the severity of poor oral health.²⁰ Oral health measures included complete tooth loss (edentulism); dry mouth; difficulty eating or chewing foods; food avoidance due to dental problems; sensitivity to hot, cold or sweet foods (BRHS); and loose dentures (BRHS). Cumulative oral health problems were categorised as 0, 1, or ≥ 2 oral health problems.¹⁹

Logistic regression was undertaken to examine crosssectional relationships between each oral health marker and appetite loss, separately using the baseline data from both studies, to obtain ORs and 95% CIs. Models were first adjusted for age, and then further adjusted for other covariates.

In the BRHS, prospective assessments included (i) the associations of oral health measures at baseline with changes in appetite loss from baseline to follow-up and (ii) the associations of changes in oral health measures with changes in appetite loss over the follow-up period. Logistic regression models were performed to obtain ORs (95% CIs) for sustained poor/deterioration in appetite according to measures of oral health and changes in oral health, respectively. Models were first adjusted for age, and then further adjusted for other covariates.

In the HABC Study, similar prospective analyses, involving logistic regressions, were undertaken to examine the associations of oral health measures at baseline with changes in appetite loss from baseline to follow-up. Age-adjusted and fully adjusted models were employed to obtain ORs (95% CIs) for poor/deterioration in appetite according to oral health measures.

All analyses were undertaken separately for each cohort using SAS 9.4. The study adhered to STROBE (Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology) guidelines (https://www.strobe-statement.org/).

RESULTS

Table 1 presents baseline characteristics of the BRHS (n=1348) and HABC (n=2998) cohorts. The mean age of the BRHS and the HABC samples was 83 years (SD=4 years) and 74 years (SD=3 years), respectively. The BRHS included men. In the HABC Study, 52% participants were male and 40% participants were African American. In the BRHS, 45% participants were from manual occupational social class. In the HABC Study, 24% participants did not attain high school graduation. Three per cent and nine per cent of participants were current smokers in the BRHS and HABC Study, respectively. In the BRHS, 28% of the participants had a history of CVD, which was 3% in the HABC participants. Eighteen per cent and five per cent of the participants had a history of diabetes in the BRHS and HABC Study, respectively. In the BRHS, 38% and 23% of the participants had at least 1 and ≥ 2 cumulative oral health problems, respectively. Twenty-three per cent of the participants in the BRHS experienced

Table 1Baseline characteristics of participants in theBRHS (2016–2017) and Health ABC Study (1998–1999)

Baseline characteristics	BRHS (n=1348)	Health ABC Study (n=2998)
Age mean (SD)	83 (4)	74 (3)
Gender: male	1348 (100%)	1575 (52%)
Race		
White	1036 (76.8%)	1628 (60%)
Other*	4 (0.3%)	1072 (40%)
Manual occupational social class	587 (45%)	Not assessed
Education level attained		
High school or lower	247 (18.3%)	644 (24%)
High school graduate	-	874 (32%)
Post-high school	988 (73.3%)	1161 (43%)
Data missing	113 (8.3%)	21 (0.8%)
Smoking status		
Never smoked	519 (38%)	1213 (45%)
Current smokers	38 (3%)	251 (9%)
Former smokers	788 (58%)	1218 (45%)
History of cardiovascular disease	377 (28%)	92 (3%)
History of diabetes	242 (18%)	129 (5%)
Moderate or heavy alcohol consumption	47 (4%)	Not assessed

*In the HABC Study, the category 'Other' for the variable 'Race' was entirely comprised of African American adult population. BRHS, British Regional Heart Study.

appetite loss at baseline and 24% of the participants experienced sustained poor/deteriorated appetite from baseline to follow-up. In the HABC Study, 19% and 12% of the participants had at least 1 and \geq 2 cumulative oral health problems, respectively. Nineteen per cent of the HABC participants experienced appetite loss at baseline and 12% of the participants experienced sustained poor/ deterioration of appetite from baseline to follow-up.

Table 2 presents the prevalence of oral health measures, and ORs (95% CIs) for appetite loss according to measures of oral health from cross-sectional analyses undertaken using baseline data from the BRHS and the HABC Study. In the BRHS, age-adjusted models demonstrated that the odds of appetite loss were higher for those reporting fair/poor self-rated oral health (OR=1.83 (95% CI=1.39 to 2.42)) compared with those reporting excellent/good oral health; and this remained significant in fully adjusted models. The risk of appetite loss was higher for those with complete tooth loss (OR=1.84 (95% CI=1.34 to 2.55)) on full adjustment. Participants having >2 symptoms of dry mouth had an increased risk of experiencing appetite loss (fully adjusted OR=2.84 (95% CI=1.95 to 4.15)). Increased risk of appetite loss was also observed for those

Table 2 Cross-sectional assoc	ciations between oral health	measures and loss of	f appetite in the BRHS	(2016-2017) and Health A	BC Study (1998–1995	(
	BRHS data (n=1348)			HABC Study data (n=290	38)	
	Number of participants	Model A*	Model B†	Number of participants	Model C‡	Model D§
Oral health markers	(n=1348)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	(n=2998)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)
Self-rated oral health	1301			2718		
Excellent/good	n=884 (68%)	1.00	1.00	n=1889 (70%)	1.00	1.00
Fair/poor	n=417 (32%)	1.83 (1.39 to 2.42)	1.80 (1.35 to 2.40)	n=829 (30%)	1.28 (1.16 to 1.40)	1.22 (1.11 to 1.35)
Tooth loss	1323			1973		
Have natural teeth	n=1054 (80%)	1.00	1.00	n=1765 (90%)	1.00	1.00
No natural teeth	n=269 (20%)	2.12 (1.57 to 2.86)	1.84 (1.34 to 2.55)	n=208 (10%)	1.49 (1.05 to 2.13)	1.42 (0.97 to 2.08)
Dry mouth	1343					
0 problems	n=367 (27%)	1.00	1.00	I	I	I
1-2 problems	n=405 (30%)	1.16 (0.77 to 1.73)	1.18 (0.77 to 1.81)	I	I	I
>2 problems	n=571 (43%)	2.83 (2.00 to 4.01)	2.84 (1.95 to 4.15)	I	I	I
Dry mouth score (per unit increase in number of symptoms)		1.20 (1.15 to 1.26)	1.19 (1.14 to 1.25)	I	1	1
Dry mouth				2719		
Does not experience dry mouth		1	1	n=2612 (96%)	1.00	1.00
Experiences dry mouth		I	I	n=107 (4%)	2.18 (1.403 to 3.38)	2.03 (1.29 to 3.18)
Difficulty eating or chewing foods	1340			2725		
No difficulty	n=1155 (86%)	1.00	1.00	n=2191 (80%)	1.00	1.00
Some difficulty	n=185 (14%)	2.66 (1.91 to 3.71)	2.26 (1.59 to 3.22)	n=534 (20%)	1.72 (1.37 to 2.17)	1.60 (1.26 to 2.04)
Food avoidance due to dental problems	1326			2722		
No	n=1095 (83%)	1.00	1.00	n=2334 (86%)	1.00	1.00
Yes	n=231 (17%)	3.14 (2.30 to 4.28)	2.61 (1.88 to 3.62)	n=388 (14%)	1.95 (1.51 to 2.51)	1.78 (1.37 to 2.32)
Denture/s use	1336			2757		
Does not wear denture/s	n=597 (45%)	1.00	1.00	n=1867 (68%)	1.00	1.00
Wears denture/s	n=739 (55%)	1.41 (1.08 to 1.85)	1.37 (1.03 to 1.82)	n=890 (32%)	1.09 (0.88 to 1.34)	1.02 (0.82 to 1.27)
Cumulative oral health problems	1346			2759		
0 problems	n=521 (39%)	1.00	1.00	n=1910 (69%)	1.00	1.00
1 problem	n=517 (38%)	1.82 (1.31 to 2.52)	1.84 (1.31 to 2.57)	n=529 (19%)	1.28 (1.00 to 1.65)	1.27 (0.97 to 1.64)
						Continued

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2024-083973 on 3 February 2025. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on March 3, 2025 at SGUL . Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies.

Open access

5

Table 2 Continued						
	BRHS data (n=1348)			HABC Study data (n=299	(8)	
	Number of participants	Model A*	Model B†	Number of participants	Model C‡	Model D§
Oral health markers	(n=1348)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	(n=2998)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)
≥2 problems	n=308 (23%)	4.70 (3.29 to 6.70)	4.20 (2.88 to 6.14)	n=320 (12%)	2.40 (1.82 to 3.16)	2.14 (1.60 to 2.85)
OR (95% CI) written in bold ind. *Adiusted for age.	icate p<0.05.					
†Adjusted for age, individual so	cial class, smoking status, a	alcohol consumption,	history of diabetes or	CVD.		
‡Adjusted for age.						
§Adjusted for age, gender, race	 education, smoking status 	, history of diabetes c	or CVD.			
BRHS. British Regional Heart S	tudv: HABC. Health. Aging ;	and Body Compositio	Π.			

having difficulty eating or chewing foods, avoiding foods, those using denture/s and those who had loose denture/s (fully adjusted OR=1.86 (95% CI=1.24 to 2.81)). Teeth sensitivity, which was available in the BRHS cohort, was not significantly associated with appetite loss in the ageadjusted model (OR=1.23 (95% CI=0.77 to 1.95)). In the HABC Study, while tooth loss was associated with appetite loss in age-adjusted model, this was not significant on full adjustment. The risk of appetite loss was greater in participants with poor self-rated oral health, dry mouth, having difficulty eating or chewing foods, avoiding foods, and those with having ≥ 2 oral health problems in both ageadjusted and fully adjusted models.

Table 3 presents OR (95% CI) for prospective associations of oral health measures with changes in appetite loss over time in the BRHS. Participants with >2 dry mouth symptoms had a greater risk of poor/deterioration in appetite (age-adjusted OR=2.30 (95% CI=1.56 to 3.38)) compared with those without dry mouth symptoms, and this remained significant on full adjustment. Participants having difficulty eating or chewing foods (OR=1.59 (95% CI=1.02 to 2.48)) and food avoidance (OR=1.75 (95% CI=1.16 to 2.65)) due to dental problems had greater risks of poor/deterioration in appetite on full adjustment. In the age-adjusted model, the risk of poor/ deterioration in appetite increased in participants using dentures (OR=1.43 (95% CI=1.04 to 1.96)); however, this association became statistically insignificant on full adjustment. Cumulatively, participants having one oral health problem (fully adjusted OR=1.61 (95% CI=1.11 to 2.34)) had higher risk of poor/deterioration in appetite. This risk increased even further in participants with ≥ 2 oral health problems (fully adjusted OR=2.84 (95%) CI=1.80 to 4.50)).

Table 4 presents the results from prospective analyses between oral health measures at baseline and changes in appetite loss from baseline to follow-up in the HABC Study. In the fully adjusted models, the risk of having sustained poor/deterioration in appetite was higher among the participants having fair/poor self-rated oral health (OR=1.13 (95% CI=1.01 to 1.27)), those with no natural teeth, dryness of mouth, difficulty eating or chewing foods, and with cumulative oral health problems than their counterparts.

Table 5 presents ORs (95% CI) for prospective relationships between changes in oral health measures and changes in appetite loss over time in the BRHS. Sustained poor/deterioration in self-rated oral health compared with those reporting sustained good/improved self-rated oral health was associated with a higher risk of sustained poor/deterioration in appetite (OR=1.59 (95% CI=1.12 to 2.24)). Sustained poor/deterioration in dentition was not associated with sustained poor/deterioration in appetite. Having sustained poor/deterioration in dry mouth was associated with sustained poor/deterioration in appetite on full adjustment (OR=1.84 (95% CI=1.31 to 2.57)). Similarly, increased risks of sustained poor/deterioration in appetite were observed for sustained poor/

 Table 3
 Longitudinal associations between oral health measures at baseline (2016–2017) and deterioration of appetite loss (measured using the SNAQ score) over a 2-year follow-up in the BRHS

	Number of participants	Model A*	Model B†
Oral health markers	(n=934)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)
Self-rated oral health	912		
Excellent/good	653	1.00	1.00
Fair/poor	259	1.22 (0.87 to 1.73)	1.18 (0.82 to 1.68)
Tooth loss	921		
Has natural teeth	761	1.00	1.00
No natural teeth	160	1.35 (0.91 to 2.01)	1.16 (0.77 to 1.77)
Dry mouth	932		
0 problems	265	1.00	1.00
1–2 problems	285	0.88 (0.56 to 1.38)	0.84 (0.52 to 1.36)
>2 problems	382	2.30 (1.56 to 3.38)	2.12 (1.40 to 3.20)
Dry mouth score (per unit increase in number of symptoms)	932	1.16 (1.09 to 1.23)	1.14 (1.07 to 1.22)
Difficulty in eating or chewing foods	931		
No difficulty	815	1.00	1.00
Some difficulty	116	1.89 (1.24 to 2.88)	1.59 (1.02 to 2.48)
Food avoidance due to dental problems	923		
Yes	781	1.00	1.00
No	142	1.99 (1.34 to 2.95)	1.75 (1.16 to 2.65)
Denture/s use	926		
Does not use denture	427	1.00	1.00
Uses dentures	499	1.43 (1.04 to 1.96)	1.35 (0.97 to 1.88)
Loose denture/s	499		
Wears dentures but does not have loose dentures	410	1.00	1.00
Wears dentures and has loose dentures	89	1.60 (0.96 to 2.65)	1.38 (0.81 to 2.36)
Teeth sensitivity	882		
No sensitivity	799	1.00	1.00
Experiences sensitivity	83	1.26 (0.75 to 2.12)	1.28 (0.75 to 2.21)
Cumulative oral health problems	934		
0 problems	386	1.00	1.00
1 problem	395	1.75 (1.22 to 2.51)	1.61 (1.11 to 2.34)
≥2 problems	153	3.09 (2.01 to 4.77)	2.84 (1.80 to 4.50)

OR (95% CI) written in bold indicate p<0.05.

*Adjusted for age.

†Adjusted for age, individual social class, smoking status, alcohol consumption, history of diabetes or CVD.

BRHS, British Regional Heart Study; SNAQ, Simplified Nutritional Appetite Questionnaire.

deterioration in difficulty eating or chewing foods, food avoidance and cumulative oral health problems.

DISCUSSION

This study of two cohorts of older adults from the UK and the USA demonstrates that poor oral health is associated with increased risk of appetite loss in later life. Cross-sectional findings showed that self-rated oral health, dry mouth, eating or chewing difficulty, food avoidance, and cumulative oral health problems were associated with appetite loss, independent of other covariates in both cohorts. Notably, we observed that several oral health markers including tooth loss, eating or chewing difficulty, and dry mouth were associated with appetite loss
 Table 4
 Longitudinal associations between oral health measures at baseline (1998–1999) and deterioration of appetite loss (measured using the self-rated appetite variable) over a 2-year follow-up in the Health ABC Study

	Number of participants	Model A*	Model B†
Oral health markers	(n=2700)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)
Self-rated oral health	2488		
Excellent/good	1754	1.00	1.00
Fair/poor	734	1.23 (1.10 to 1.38)	1.13 (1.01 to 1.27)
Tooth loss	1830		
Has natural teeth	1642	1.00	1.00
No natural teeth	188	2.14 (1.43 to 3.22)	1.78 (1.15 to 2.76)
Dry mouth	2490		
Yes	2393	1.00	1.00
No	97	1.93 (1.13 to 3.30)	1.76 (1.02 to 3.03)
Difficulty in eating or chewing foods	2494		
No difficulty	2021	1.00	1.00
Some difficulty	473	2.07 (1.57 to 2.74)	1.88 (1.41 to 2.50)
Food avoidance due to dental problems	2492		
Yes	2152	1.00	1.00
No	340	1.31 (0.94 to 1.84)	1.09 (0.77 to 1.55)
Denture/s use	2521		
Does not wear denture/s	1706	1.00	1.00
Wears denture/s	815	1.19 (0.92 to 1.54)	1.08 (0.83 to 1.41)
Cumulative oral health problems	2522		
0 problems	1763	1.00	1.00
1 problem	479	1.55 (1.15 to 2.11)	1.48 (1.08 to 2.02)
≥2 problems	280	2.35 (1.67 to 3.30)	1.89 (1.33 to 2.70)

OR (95% CI) written in bold indicate p<0.05.

*Adjusted for age.

†Adjusted for age, gender, race, education, smoking status, history of diabetes or CVD.

over time. Furthermore, we observed that deterioration in oral health markers (including self-rated oral health, dry mouth, eating or chewing difficulty, food avoidance, loose denture/s, and cumulative oral health problems) over time was associated with deterioration of appetite loss during follow-up in the UK cohort.

The study findings are consistent with those of Nomoto *et al*,¹⁰ which demonstrated associations between appetite loss and a cumulative measure of oral health status through examination of oral cavity including teeth, gums and dentures; and Kimura *et al*,⁸ which reported associations between poor chewing ability and appetite loss. The relationships of appetite loss with denture/s use, chewing capacity, dry mouth, and self-evaluated oral health were also observed in Takehara *et al*.¹¹

Poor oral health, particularly, tooth loss, higher likelihood of wearing denture/s, dry mouth, cumulative oral health problems, difficulty in eating or chewing foods due to dental problems can limit chewing (masticatory) function and intake of certain foods in older adults, thereby reducing their nutritional intake.^{22 23} Tooth loss resulting in higher likelihood of wearing dentures may in turn affect the ability to eat different types of foods and influence the food preferences of older adults.⁷ Dry mouth due to decreased saliva production and side effects of multiple medications taken in older age can also cause difficulty in swallowing foods thereby, impacting the appetite.^{24 25} Furthermore, with increased frailty and reduction in sense of taste and smell, older adults who have poor oral health may have less pleasure in eating food.²⁶ Together, these issues of difficulty chewing and swallowing food, poor nutrition, and reduced eating pleasure may result in worsening of appetite over time.

A key strength of this study is that we investigated cross-sectional and prospective associations of a comprehensive set of oral health measures with appetite loss in two large cohorts of older adults from the UK and the USA. Detailed information on sociodemographic, behavioural and health-related factors within these cohorts allowed adjustment of key confounders in the investigations. Furthermore, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to examine the association of changes in oral health over time with

Model A* Model A* Changes in oral health measures N=934 OR (95% CI) I.00 1.00 I.00 I.00 I.00 I.00 I.00 I.20 (0.7) I.20 (0.7	
Changes in oral health measures N=934 OR (95% CI) OR (95% CI) Self-rated oral health 872	В†
Self-rated oral health 872 Sustained good/improved 574 1.00 1.00 Sustained poor/deteriorated 298 1.78 (1.28 to 2.46) 1.59 (1.47) Tooth loss 842 1.00 1.00 1.00 Sustained good/improved 704 1.00 1.00 1.20 (0.7) Sustained poor/deteriorated 138 1.37 (0.90 to 2.08) 1.20 (0.7) Dry mouth 910 1.00 1.00 Sustained good/improved 593 1.00 1.00 Sustained poor/deteriorated 317 1.96 (1.43 to 2.69) 1.84 (1.3) Difficulty in eating or chewing foods 909 1.00 1.00 Sustained good/improved 727 1.00 1.00	% CI)
Sustained good/improved 574 1.00 1.00 Sustained poor/deteriorated 298 1.78 (1.28 to 2.46) 1.59 (1.17 Tooth loss 842 1.00 1.00 1.00 Sustained good/improved 704 1.00 1.20 (0.77) Sustained good/improved 138 1.37 (0.90 to 2.08) 1.20 (0.77) Dry mouth 910 1.00 1.00 Sustained good/improved 593 1.00 1.00 Sustained good/improved 593 1.00 1.00 1.00 Difficulty in eating or chewing foods 909 1.00 1.00 1.00	
Sustained poor/deteriorated 298 1.78 (1.28 to 2.46) 1.59 (1.17) Tooth loss 842 Sustained good 704 1.00 1.00 Sustained poor/deteriorated 138 1.37 (0.90 to 2.08) 1.20 (0.7) Dry mouth 910 1.00 1.00 Sustained good/improved 593 1.00 1.00 Sustained poor/deteriorated 317 1.96 (1.43 to 2.69) 1.84 (1.3) Difficulty in eating or chewing foods 909 1.00 1.00	
Tooth loss 842 Sustained good 704 1.00 1.00 Sustained poor/deteriorated 138 1.37 (0.90 to 2.08) 1.20 (0.7) Dry mouth 910 1.00 1.00 Sustained good/improved 593 1.00 1.00 Sustained poor/deteriorated 317 1.96 (1.43 to 2.69) 1.84 (1.3) Difficulty in eating or chewing foods 909 1.00 1.00	12 to 2.24)
Sustained good 704 1.00 1.00 Sustained poor/deteriorated 138 1.37 (0.90 to 2.08) 1.20 (0.7) Dry mouth 910 1.00 1.00 Sustained good/improved 593 1.00 1.00 Sustained poor/deteriorated 317 1.96 (1.43 to 2.69) 1.84 (1.3) Difficulty in eating or chewing foods 909 1.00 1.00	
Sustained poor/deteriorated 138 1.37 (0.90 to 2.08) 1.20 (0.7) Dry mouth 910 100 1.00 Sustained good/improved 593 1.00 1.00 Sustained poor/deteriorated 317 1.96 (1.43 to 2.69) 1.84 (1.3) Difficulty in eating or chewing foods 909 1.00 1.00 Sustained good/improved 727 1.00 1.00	
Dry mouth 910 Sustained good/improved 593 1.00 1.00 Sustained poor/deteriorated 317 1.96 (1.43 to 2.69) 1.84 (1.3) Difficulty in eating or chewing foods 909 1.00 1.00 Sustained good/improved 727 1.00 1.00	76 to 1.87)
Sustained good/improved5931.001.00Sustained poor/deteriorated317 1.96 (1.43 to 2.69)1.84 (1.3 Difficulty in eating or chewing foods9091.001.00Sustained good/improved7271.001.00	
Sustained poor/deteriorated3171.96 (1.43 to 2.69)1.84 (1.3Difficulty in eating or chewing foods909Sustained good/improved7271.001.00	
Difficulty in eating or chewing foods909Sustained good/improved7271.001.00	31 to 2.57)
Sustained good/improved 727 1.00 1.00	
Sustained poor/deteriorated 182 2.64 (1.85 to 3.77) 2.44 (1.65)	68 to 3.53)
Food avoidance due to dental problems 832	
Sustained good/improved 676 1.00 1.00	
Sustained poor/deteriorated 156 2.46 (1.68 to 3.60) 2.35 (1.5)	58 to 3.50)
Loose denture/s 471	
Sustained good/improved 388 1.00 1.00	
Sustained poor/deteriorated 83 1.79 (1.07 to 2.99) 1.78 (1.07 to 2.99)	01 to 3.12)
Cumulative oral health problems 929	
Sustained good/improved 758 1.00 1.00	
Sustained poor/deteriorated 171 2.53 (1.76 to 3.63) 2.33 (1.6)	60 to 3.41)

Table 5 Longitudinal associations between changes in oral health and deterioration of appetite loss (measured using the

OR (95% CI) written in bold indicate p<0.05.

*Adjusted for age.

†Adjusted for age, individual social class, smoking status, alcohol consumption, history of diabetes or CVD.

BRHS, British Regional Heart Study; SNAQ, Simplified Nutritional Appetite Questionnaire.

changes in appetite loss prospectively. The study also has limitations. The BRHS sample comprised White British men only; whereas, the HABC Study included White and African American men and women living in Pittsburgh and Memphis, USA. These inclusion criteria limit the generalisability of our findings to women and other ethnic groups. However, participants in both studies were socially representative and population-based samples. In the HABC Study sample, all participants were free of disability at baseline and had lower frequency of tooth loss and dry mouth and so may have been healthier than the BRHS cohort. In the HABC Study, dry mouth and appetite loss were assessed using single-item questions, which may not have fully captured the extent of these conditions in this cohort sample. Additionally, since repeated measurements of oral health were unavailable in this cohort, the relationship between changes in oral health and changes in appetite loss could not be examined in the HABC Study. In both studies, most oral health measures were subjective (self-reported), which could result in under-reporting or mis-reporting of these

measures. Consequently, future research may benefit from the examination of the relationship between objective oral health measures such as number of natural teeth, bleeding gums, growth of oral bacteria and appetite loss in older age.

Even so, consistent findings in both study populations, the prospective nature of investigations, and the strength of estimates reported provide some indication that oral health could potentially have an influence on appetite loss. However, the possibility of residual confounding remains due to unmeasured confounders such as dental history and reasons for tooth loss, and inadequately capturing cofounders such as socioeconomic factors and behavioural factors and their influence across the lifecourse (including diet, smoking), and comorbidities such as dementia and cognitive decline. It is also possible that the observed associations were more a reflection of overall deterioration in physiological systems and age-related changes which influence decline in oral health and other aspects of health, including sensory impairment, and cognitive and physical decline.

Nevertheless, these two unique and relatively comparable cohorts of older adults facilitated investigation of, and showed similar relationships between, poor oral health and appetite loss in older age.

CONCLUSION

This study of older adults in the UK and USA shows that poor oral health is associated longitudinally with appetite loss in older age, particularly with dry mouth, difficulty eating or chewing foods, food avoidance, and cumulative oral health problems. Consequently, better oral health can potentially play a key role in maintaining good appetite in older populations and so mitigate appetite loss that is very common in older age. Appetite loss may be prevented or improved through timely maintenance of oral health to prevent oral health conditions and their deterioration. Policy makers should consider addressing barriers to maintaining good oral health, such as access to dental services and oral health promotion in older adults, thereby facilitating maintaining adequate appetite in older age and helping to avoid poor quality of life, malnutrition, unintentional weight loss, frailty, sarcopenia and premature mortality. The findings have implications for policy at different levels, including early prevention across the lifecourse, as well as adequate access to dental services, addressing barriers to maintaining good oral health and promoting oral health in older age, and including opportunistic dental health promotion for older adults embedded in other health screening activities. Further prospective investigations involving individuals from different ethnicities are needed to assess the generalisability of these findings.

Author affiliations

 $^1\mbox{Newcastle}$ University Population Health Sciences Institute, Newcastle Upon Tyne, UK

²Department of Public Health, Policy and Systems, University of Liverpool Institute of Population Health, Liverpool, UK

³Human Nutrition Research Centre, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK ⁴Department of Primary Care and Population Health, University College London, London, UK

⁵City St George's, University of London, London, UK

⁶Dental Public Health, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA ⁷Institute of Health & Society, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK

Contributors SGG, ZC, SER, JCM, PHW, SGW and RJW contributed to the conception and design of the study. SGG conducted the statistical analysis. ZC and AOP provided their technical input for analysis. SGG developed the first draft of the manuscript and edited the manuscript according to the coauthors' suggestions. SGG and SER drew up the final draft. PHW, SGW, LTL, SER, AOP, RJW and JCM contributed to the data acquisition. All authors contributed to the interpretation of data and the final version of the manuscript, and SER is the guarantor.

Funding The British Regional Heart Study (BRHS) was supported by core funding from the British Heart Foundation (BHF). Since 2009, BHF funding has included both programme grants (RG/08/013/25942, RG/13/16/30528, and RG/19/4/34452) and project grants (PG/13/86/30546 and PG/13/41/30304) for the BRHS, and US National Institute on Aging contracts (N01-AG-6-2101, N01-AG-6-2103, and N01-AG-6-2106), a National Institute on Aging grant (R01-AG028050), and a National Institute of Nursing Research grant (R01-NR012459) for the HABC Study. Funding

has been received from the Dunhill Medical Trust (R592_0717, R592_0515, and R396_1114) and partial funding from the US National Institutes of Health—National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research grant (R03 DE028505-02) for the BRHS. SER receives funding from the NIHR Patient Safety Research Collaboration (NIHR204291), and is Director of Fuse: Centre for Translational Research in Public Health.

Disclaimer The funders had no role in undertaking this research, and the views expressed in this manuscript are solely of the authors and not necessarily those of the funding bodies.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval Data for this study came from two population-based cohorts namely, the British Regional Heart Study (BRHS) and the US Health, Aging and Body Composition (HABC) Study. Ethics approval was granted by the National Research Ethics Service (NRES) Committee, London Central region for the BRHS (Ref: MREC/02/2/91), and by the University of Pittsburgh, the University of Tennessee, Memphis, the University of California San Francisco, and the US National Institutes of Health for the HABC Study. Participants gave informed consent to participate in the study before taking part.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data may be obtained from a third party and are not publicly available. Data sharing information for the British Regional Heart Study (BRHS) is available from https://www.ucl.ac.uk/epidemiology-health-care/research/primary-care-and-population-health/research/brhs and data for the Health, Aging and Body Composition (Health ABC) Study are available from https://healthabc.nia. nih.gov/.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Unported (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to copy, redistribute, remix, transform and build upon this work for any purpose, provided the original work is properly cited, a link to the licence is given, and indication of whether changes were made. See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

ORCID iDs

Suruchi G Ganbavale http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1580-5795 S Goya Wannamethee http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9484-9977

REFERENCES

- Morley JE, Silver AJ. Anorexia in the elderly. *Neurobiol Aging* 1988;9:9–16.
- 2 Roy M, Gaudreau P, Payette H. A scoping review of anorexia of aging correlates and their relevance to population health interventions. *Appetite* 2016;105:688–99.
- 3 Cox NJ, Morrison L, Ibrahim K, *et al.* New horizons in appetite and the anorexia of ageing. *Age Ageing* 2020;49:526–34.
- 4 Fielding RA, Landi F, Smoyer KE, et al. Association of anorexia/ appetite loss with malnutrition and mortality in older populations: A systematic literature review. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle 2023;14:706–29.
- 5 Merchant RA, Woo J, Morley JE. Editorial: Anorexia of Ageing:
- Pathway to Frailty and Sarcopenia. *J Nutr Health Aging* 2022;26:3–5. 6 Jadczak AD, Visvanathan R. Anorexia of Aging - An Updated Short
- Review. J Nutr Health Aging 2019;23:306–9.
 7 Lee JS, Kritchevsky SB, Tylavsky F, et al. Factors associated with impaired appetite in well-functioning community-dwelling older adults. J Nutr Elder 2006;26:27–43.
- 8 Kimura Y, Iwasaki M, Ishimoto Y, *et al.* Association between anorexia and poor chewing ability among community-dwelling older adults in Japan. *Geriatrics Gerontology Int* 2019;19:1290–2.

- 9 Müller F, Shimazaki Y, Kahabuka F, et al. Oral health for an ageing population: the importance of a natural dentition in older adults. *Int Dent J* 2017;67 Suppl 2:7–13.
- 10 Nomoto A, Shimizu A, Ohno T, et al. Poor oral health and anorexia in older rehabilitation patients. *Gerodontology* 2022;39:59–66.
- 11 Takehara S, Hirani V, Wright FAC, *et al.* Appetite, oral health and weight loss in community-dwelling older men: an observational study from the Concord Health and Ageing in Men Project (CHAMP). *BMC Geriatr* 2021;21:255.
- 12 Kimble R, McLellan G, Lennon LT, *et al.* Cohort Profile Update: The British Regional Heart Study 1978-2018: 40 years of follow-up of older British men. *Int J Epidemiol* 2023;52:e187–94.
- 13 Ramsay SE, Papachristou E, Watt RG, *et al.* Socioeconomic disadvantage across the life-course and oral health in older age: findings from a longitudinal study of older British men. *J Public Health (Bangkok)* 2018;40:e423–30.
- 14 Ramsay SE, Whincup PH, Watt RG, *et al.* Burden of poor oral health in older age: findings from a population-based study of older British men. *BMJ Open* 2015;5:e009476.
- 15 Stewart R, Weyant RJ, Garcia ME, et al. Adverse oral health and cognitive decline: the health, aging and body composition study. J Am Geriatr Soc 2013;61:177–84.
- 16 Thomson WM, Chalmers JM, Spencer AJ, et al. The Xerostomia Inventory: a multi-item approach to measuring dry mouth. Community Dent Health 1999;16:12–7.
- 17 Wilson M-MG, Thomas DR, Rubenstein LZ, et al. Appetite assessment: simple appetite questionnaire predicts weight loss in

community-dwelling adults and nursing home residents. Am J Clin Nutr 2005;82:1074–81.

- 18 Office of Population Censuses and Surveys. Classification of occupations. London:HM Stationary Office, 1970.
- 19 Ramsay SE, Papachristou E, Watt KG, et al. Influence of Poor Oral Health on Physical Frailty: A Population-Based Cohort Study of Older British Men. J Am Geriatr Soc 2018;66:473–9.
- 20 Kimble R, McLellan G, Lennon LT, *et al.* Association between oral health markers and decline in muscle strength and physical performance in later life: longitudinal analyses of two prospective cohorts from the UK and the USA. *Lancet Healthy Longev* 2022;3:e777–88.
- 21 Lennon LT, Ramsay SE, Papacosta O, *et al.* Cohort Profile Update: The British Regional Heart Study 1978-2014: 35 years follow-up of cardiovascular disease and ageing. *Int J Epidemiol* 2015;44:826–826g.
- 22 Kotronia E, Brown H, Papacosta AO, et al. Poor oral health and the association with diet quality and intake in older people in two studies in the UK and USA. Br J Nutr 2021;126:118–30.
- 23 Krall E, Hayes C, Garcia R. How dentition status and masticatory function affect nutrient intake. *J Am Dent Assoc* 1998;129:1261–9.
- 24 Solemdal K, Sandvik L, Willumsen T, et al. The impact of oral health on taste ability in acutely hospitalized elderly. PLoS ONE 2012;7:e36557.
- 25 Ship JA, Pillemer SR, Baum BJ. Xerostomia and the Geriatric Patient. *J American Geriatrics Society* 2002;50:535–43.
- 26 Lamy M, Mojon P, Kalykakis G, et al. Oral status and nutrition in the institutionalized elderly. J Dent 1999;27:443–8.