**S1 Table. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative studies (COREQ) checklist**

Developed from:

Tong A, Sainsbury P, Craig J. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. Int J Qual Health Care. 2007;19(6):349-357.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| No. Item | Guide question/description  | Reported on Page #\* |
| **Domain 1: Research team and reflexivity**  |  |  |
| Personal characteristics  |  |  |
| 1. Interviewer/ facilitator
 | Which author/s conducted the interview or focus group? | Page 6 |
| 1. Credentials
 | What were the researcher's credentials? E.g. PhD, MD | Page 6 |
| 1. Occupation
 | What was their occupation at the time of the study? | Page 6 |
| 1. Gender
 | Was the researcher male or female? | Page 6 |
| 1. Experience and training
 | What experience or training did the researcher have? | Page 6 |
| Relationship with participants |  |  |
| 1. Relationship established
 | Was a relationship established prior to study commencement? | Page 6 |
| 1. Participant knowledge of the interviewer
 | What did the participants know about the researcher? e.g. personal goals, reasons for doing the research | Page 7 |
| 1. Interviewer characteristics
 | What characteristics were reported about the interviewer/facilitator? e.g. Bias, assumptions, reasons and interests in the research topic | Page 7 |
| **Domain 2: study design**  |  |  |
| Theoretical framework  |  |  |
| 1. Methodological orientation and Theory
 | What methodological orientation was stated to underpin the study? e.g. grounded theory, discourse analysis, ethnography, phenomenology, content analysis | Page 5 |
| Participant selection  |  |  |
| 1. Sampling
 | How were participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball | Page 6 |
| 1. Method of approach
 | How were participants approached? e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email | Page 6 |
| 1. Sample size
 | How many participants were in the study? | Page 6 |
| 1. Non-participation
 | How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons? | Page 6 |
| Setting |  |  |
| 1. Setting of data collection
 | Where was the data collected? e.g. home, clinic, workplace | Page 6, 7 |
| 1. Presence of non-participants
 | Was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers? | Page 7 |
| 1. Description of sample
 | What are the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date | Page 8 |
| Data collection  |  |  |
| 1. Interview guide
 | Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested? | Page 6, 7S2 File Interview guide |
| 1. Repeat interviews
 | Were repeat interviews carried out? If yes, how many? | N/A |
| 1. Audio/visual recording
 | Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data? | Page 7 |
| 1. Field notes
 | Were field notes made during and/or after the interview or focus group? | Page 7 |
| 1. Duration
 | What was the duration of the interviews or focus group? | Page 7 |
| 1. Data saturation
 | Was data saturation discussed? | Page 6 |
| 1. Transcripts returned
 | Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or correction? | N/A |
| **Domain 3: analysis and findings** |  |  |
| Data analysis |  |  |
| 1. Number of data codes
 | How many data coders coded the data? | Page 7 |
| 1. Description of the coding tree
 | Did authors provide a description of the coding tree? | N/A |
| 1. Derivation of themes
 | Were themes identified in advance or derived from the data? | Page 7 |
| 1. Software
 | What software, if applicable, was used to manage the data? | Page 7 |
| 1. Participant checking
 | Did participants provide feedback on the findings? | N/A |
| Reporting |  |  |
| 1. Quotations presented
 | Were participant quotations presented to illustrate the themes / findings? Was each quotation identified? e.g. participant number | Page 8-17 |
| 1. Data and findings consistent
 | Was there consistency between the data presented and the findings? | Page 8-17 |
| 1. Clarity of major themes
 | Were major themes clearly presented in the findings? | Page 8-17 |
| 1. Clarity of minor themes
 | Is there a description of diverse cases or discussion of minor themes? | Page 8-17 |

\*Page number corresponds to final manuscript submission pages.