TO THE EDITOR:

Is GPIb α really a master regulator of platelet activation?

Brianna E. Watson¹ and Isabelle I. Salles-Crawley^{1,2}

¹School of Health and Medical Sciences, Cardiovascular and Genomics Research Institute, City St George's University of London, London, United Kingdom; and ²Department of Immunology and Inflammation, Centre for Haematology, Hammersmith Hospital Campus, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom

We read with great interest the recent publication from Yan et al in *Blood Advances* entitled "Essential role of glycoprotein lb α in platelet activation."¹ The study presents findings showing that the deletion of 10 amino acids (aa) from the cytoplasmic tail of glycoprotein lb α (GPlb α) in mouse platelets results in defective platelet responses downstream of the von Willebrand factor (VWF)–GPlb α interaction but also all other major platelet receptors including GPVI, thromboxane receptor, P2Y12, and protease-activated receptor 4. Unsurprisingly, these mice exhibited impaired hemostasis and reduced thrombus formation after laser- or FeCl₃-induced endothelial injury of arterioles and were protected in a model of pulmonary thromboembolism.¹ Mechanistically, this thromboprotective phenotype was linked to reduced protein kinase C (PKC) activity in 10aa^{-/-} platelets. Complementary to these findings, the authors also show that a myristoylated peptide of GPlb α (MP α C),² previously shown to prevent the binding of 14-3-3 ζ to GPlb α , is able to activate platelets via PKC in washed platelets but not in platelet-rich plasma. Although the authors should be commended for the amount of data generated in this article, there are several crucial issues with the study that requires further consideration.

Primarily, we would like to comment on the validity of the 10aa^{-/-} mouse model. Due to the difficulty of genetically modifying platelets and the limited availability of specific inhibitors of platelet receptors, the creation of novel mouse models is invaluable to provide insights into molecular mechanisms of platelet signaling/activation. There are many GPIb α mouse models nicely reviewed by Jerry Ware,³ and particularly relevant in the context of the present 10aa^{-/-} model are the hTg^{Y605X} and GpIb $\alpha^{\Delta sig/\Delta sig}$ mice, which harbor a deletion of the last 6 or 24 aa of the intracellular tail of $\overline{GPIb\alpha}$, respectively.^{4,5} The hemostatic responses in the 10aa^{-/-} mice are quite different from the ones observed in hTg^{Y605X} and $Gp/b\alpha^{\Delta sig/\Delta sig}$ mice.^{4,5} Briefly, none of these 2 mice exhibited altered hemostasis. The increase in bleeding time observed in 10aa-/- mice could perhaps have been influenced by a more severe challenge to the mouse hemostatic system (5-mm tail transections vs 2 mm for $Gplba^{\Delta sig/\Delta sig}$ mice). Collagen related peptide- as well as thrombin- and adenosine 5'-diphosphate (ADP)-induced platelet aggregation was normal in $Gp/b\alpha^{\Delta sig/\Delta sig}$ mice as well as platelet accumulation and fibrin deposition after laser-induced thrombus formation.⁵ However, a significant decrease in P-selectin and activated $\alpha_{IIb}\beta_3$ expression was observed in collagen related peptide-stimulated $Gplba^{\Delta sig/\Delta sig}$ compared with wild-type platelets but not when platelets were stimulated with ADP or thrombin.⁵ In light of the results from Yan et al showing a thromboprotective phenotype for 10aa^{-/-} mice in laser- or FeCl₃-induced thrombosis models of microvessels, it is also important to highlight that, in a FeCla-induced carotid thrombosis model, hTg^{Y605X} mice were unable to form stable thrombi and exhibited increased embolization events, despite normal hemostasis and platelet counts.⁶ It is unclear whether this defect in hTg^{Y605X} mice can be attributed to decreased signaling or lower affinity of human GPIb α for murine VWF.7 Although Yan et al do mention the differences observed in their mouse model compared with hTa^{Y605X} and $G\rho lb\alpha^{\Delta sig/\Delta sig}$ mice, they have not considered why this might be.

There is very little information on the generation of $10aa^{-/-}$ mice via clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats-associated protein 9 technology. Data provided verifying that only the last 10 aa of the cytoplasmic tail of GPIb α have been deleted with no other changes are not entirely convincing.

Submitted 28 August 2024; accepted 8 October 2024; prepublished online on *Blood Advances* First Edition 22 October 2024; final version published online 28 January 2025. https://doi.org/10.1182/bloodadvances.2024014324.

^{© 2025} by The American Society of Hematology. Licensed under Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), permitting only noncommercial, nonderivative use with attribution. All other rights reserved.

This now becomes important for interpreting the discrepancies between different platelet response phenotypes among different mouse models. The western blot using an anti-GPlb α C-terminal antibody (Figure 4B from Yan et al¹) suggests that indeed a portion of the tail of GPlb α has been deleted; however, it is also important to highlight no further information on this antibody is provided. Moreover, the loss of binding does not confirm the precise deletion of the last 10 aa of GPlb α . It is, therefore, essential that the GPlb α genomic DNA flanking the site of modification is sequenced to ensure that the desired deletion and stop codon have been correctly generated and at the right genomic location. Omitting such details limits the readers' ability to establish how reliable the presented data are and its significance, given that already generated models gave very different phenotypes.

Results using the MPaC peptide also seem unclear. MPaC is a GPIb α C-terminal sequence peptide with phosphorylated Ser⁶⁰⁹ and has been shown to inhibit VWF-induced platelet aggregation in platelet-rich plasma.² They also have previously shown that it diminished platelet aggregation triggered by low-dose thrombin in washed human platelets at 10-µM concentration.⁸ Although they have reproduced these results (see supplemental Figures 2 and 9 from Yan et al¹), the author's in vitro results demonstrate that 100μM MPαC induces activation of washed human and mouse platelets but not when platelets are in plasma. Based on this, it is therefore difficult to reconcile how MPaC could exert an effect in vivo by rescuing the defects seen in 10aa^{-/-} washed platelets. The authors did not discuss the possible off-target impacts of $MP\alpha C$, nor do they make consideration of the mechanism of entry for MPaC into platelets in plasma-free vs whole-blood conditions. To add to the complexity of the MPaC mode of action, the authors have previously demonstrated that, when injected into C57BL/6J mice, this peptide delayed occlusion time after FeCl₃ injury of the carotid,⁹ which is in stark contradiction with the present findings in vivo in which it shortens the occlusion time in 10aa^{-/-} mice while having no effect on wild-type animals.

Finally, the authors primarily focus on the role the GPlb α cytoplasmic tail has on moderating PKC activity via sequestering 14-3-3 isoforms, a concept they proposed 19 years ago, and conclude this is how the GPlb α cytoplasmic tail regulates platelet activation.² Here again, it is puzzling that the authors have not even considered there could be additional mechanisms taking place; particularly because the GPlb α cytoplasmic tail has other binding partners it uses to transduce platelet signals, which the authors have not discussed. It is very difficult to understand how this 14-3-3 sequestration model works in different scenarios: normal and shorter GPlb α in the presence of the MP α C peptide, with or without platelet agonists.

In summary, Yan et al assign an important role to the tail of GPlb α in platelet signaling and activation through its binding to 14-3-3, promoting PKC activation. Although this is an elegant concept, we suggest that these conclusions deserve additional thoughts based on the lack of key information related to the tools and models used and especially considering that patients with Bernard Soulier

syndrome generally have normal platelet aggregation profiles with agonists other than ristocetin.^{10,11} Few case studies, nevertheless, report defective platelet aggregation with ADP or collagen, but here again, the difficulty of adequately comparing the aggregation profiles of platelets from patients with Bernard Soulier syndrome with healthy platelets due to their size and low counts should be acknowledged and perhaps deserve further investigation.

Contribution: B.E.W. and I.I.S.-C. developed, wrote, and proof-read this commentary.

Conflict-of-interest disclosure: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

ORCID profiles: B.E.W., 0000-0002-6636-3096; I.I.S.-C., 0000-0001-7394-0587.

Correspondence: Isabelle I. Salles-Crawley, School of Health and Medical Sciences, Cardiovascular and Genomics Research Institute, City St George's University of London, Cranmer Terrace, London SW17 0RE, United Kingdom; email: isalles@sgul.ac.uk.

References

- 1. Yan R, Xia Y, Zhou K, et al. Essential role of glycoprotein lbα in platelet activation. *Blood Adv.* 2024;8(13):3388-3401.
- Dai K, Bodnar R, Berndt MC, Du X. A critical role for 14-3-3zeta protein in regulating the VWF binding function of platelet glycoprotein Ib-IX and its therapeutic implications. *Blood*. 2005;106(6):1975-1981.
- **3.** Ware J. Murine models of glycoprotein Ib-IX. *Platelets*. 2022;33(6): 811-816.
- Kanaji T, Russell S, Cunningham J, Izuhara K, Fox JE, Ware J. Megakaryocyte proliferation and ploidy regulated by the cytoplasmic tail of glycoprotein lbalpha. *Blood.* 2004;104(10):3161-3168.
- Constantinescu-Bercu A, Wang YA, Woollard KJ, et al. The GPIbα intracellular tail - role in transducing VWF- and collagen/GPVImediated signaling. *Haematologica*. 2022;107(4):933-946.
- Jain S, Zuka M, Liu J, et al. Platelet glycoprotein lb alpha supports experimental lung metastasis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2007; 104(21):9024-9028.
- Chen J, Tan K, Zhou H, et al. Modifying murine von Willebrand factor A1 domain for in vivo assessment of human platelet therapies. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2008;26(1):114-119.
- 8. Estevez B, Kim K, Delaney MK, et al. Signaling-mediated cooperativity between glycoprotein Ib-IX and protease-activated receptors in thrombin-induced platelet activation. *Blood*. 2016;127(5):626-636.
- Yin H, Stojanovic-Terpo A, Xu W, et al. Role for platelet glycoprotein Ib-IX and effects of its inhibition in endotoxemia-induced thrombosis, thrombocytopenia, and mortality. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* 2013; 33(11):2529-2537.
- 10. Andrews RK, Berndt MC. Bernard-Soulier syndrome: an update. Semin Thromb Hemost. 2013;39(6):656-662.
- 11. Lopez JA, Andrews RK, Afshar-Kharghan V, Berndt MC. Bernard-Soulier syndrome. *Blood.* 1998;91(12):4397-4418.