

OXFORD

Eumycetoma causative agents: A systematic review to inform the World Health Organization priority list of fungal pathogens

Julia E. Clark^{1,#}, Hannah Yejin Kim^{©2,3,4,#}, Wendy W.J. van de Sande^{©5}, Brendan McMullan^{©6,7,8}, Paul Verweij^{®9}, Ana Alastruey-Izquierdo^{®10}, Arunaloke Chakrabarti^{®11}, Thomas S. Harrison ^{12,13}, Felix Bongomin ¹⁴, Roderick J. Hay ^{15,16}, Rita Oladele ¹⁷, Jutta Heim¹⁸, Peter Beyer^{® 18,‡}, Marcelo Galas¹⁹, Siswanto Siswanto²⁰, Daniel Argaw Dagne²¹, Felipe Roitberg²², Valeria Gigante^{®23}, Justin Beardsley^{®2,4,24}, Hatim Sati^{®23,†}, Jan-Willem Alffenaar^{®2,3,4,†} and C. Orla Morrissey^{®25,*,†}

²Infectious Diseases Institute (Sydney ID), The University of Sydney, Camperdown, New South Wales, Australia

- ⁶School of Clinical Medicine, University of New South Wales, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia
- ⁷Department of Infectious Diseases, Sydney Children's Hospital, Randwick, New South Wales, Australia

⁸National Centre for Infections in Cancer. Peter MacCallum Cancer Centre, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

⁹Department of Medical Microbiology, Radboud University Medical Center, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

¹²Institute for Infection and Immunity, and Clinical Academic Group in Infection and Immunity, St. George's, University of London, and St. George's University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK

¹³MRC Centre for Medical Mycology, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK

¹⁴Department of Medical Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Medicine, Gulu University, Gulu, Uganda

¹⁵St Johns Institute of Dermatology, King's College London, London, UK

¹⁶The International Foundation for Dermatology, London, UK

¹⁷Department of Medical Microbiology and Parasitology, College of Medicine, University of Lagos, Lagos, Nigeria

¹⁸Global Antibiotics Research and Development Partnership Foundation, Geneva, Switzerland

¹⁹Antimicrobial Resistance Special Program, Communicable Diseases and Environmental Determinants of Health, Pan American Health Organization, Washington, District of Columbia, USA

²⁰South-East Asia Region Office, World Health Organization, New Delhi, India

²¹Department of Control of Neglected Tropical Diseases, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland

²²Department of Noncommunicable Diseases, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland

²³AMR Division, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland

²⁴Westmead Institute for Medical Research, Westmead, New South Wales, Australia

²⁵Department of Infectious Diseases, The Alfred and Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Prof. C. Orla Morrissey, MD, PhD, Department of Infectious Diseases, The Alfred and Monash University, Level 2, Burnet Building, 85 Commercial Road, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia. Tel: +619076 5436; Email: orla.morrissey@monash.edu *Shared first authorship.

[†]Shared last authorship.

[‡]Previous employee of the World Health Organization

Abstract

The World Health Organization, in response to the growing burden of fungal disease, established a process to develop a fungal priority pathogens list. This systematic review aimed to evaluate the epidemiology and impact of eumycetoma. PubMed and Web of Science were searched to identify studies published between 1 January 2011 and 19 February 2021. Studies reporting on mortality, inpatient care, complications and sequelae, antifungal susceptibility, risk factors, preventability, annual incidence, global distribution, and emergence during the study time frames were selected. Overall, 14 studies were eligible for inclusion. Morbidity was frequent with moderate to severe impairment of guality of life in 60.3%, amputation in up to 38.5%, and recurrent or long-term disease in 31.8%-73.5% of patients. Potential risk factors included male gender (56.6%-79.6%), younger age (11-30 years; 64%), and farming occupation (62.1%-69.7%). Mycetoma was predominantly reported in Sudan, particularly in central Sudan (37%-76.6% of cases). An annual incidence of 0.1/100 000 persons and 0.32/100 000 persons/decade was reported in the Philippines and Uganda, respectively. In Uganda, a decline in incidence from 3.37 to 0.32/100 000 persons between two consecutive 10-year periods (2000–2009 and 2010–2019) was detected. A community-based, multi-pronged prevention programme was associated with a reduction in amputation rates from 62.8% to 11.9%. With the pre-specified criteria, no studies of antifungal drug susceptibility, mortality, and

Received: September 16, 2023. Revised: December 14, 2023. Accepted: April 25, 2024

© The Author(s) 2024. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of The International Society for Human and Animal Mycology. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact <email>journals.permissions@oup.com

¹Queensland Children's Hospital and School of Clinical Medicine, University of Queensland, St Lucia, Queensland, Australia

³Faculty of Medicine and Health, School of Pharmacy, The University of Sydney, Camperdown, New South Wales, Australia ⁴Department of Pharmacy, Westmead Hospital, Westmead, New South Wales, Australia

⁵Department of Medical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, Erasmus MC, University of Rotterdam, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

¹⁰Mycology Reference Laboratory, National Centre for Microbiology, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Majadahonda, Madrid, Spain ¹¹Doodhadhari Burfani Hospital and Research Institute, Haridwar, India

hospital lengths of stay were identified. Future research should include larger cohort studies, greater drug susceptibility testing, and global surveillance to develop evidence-based treatment guidelines and to determine more accurately the incidence and trends over time.

Key words: mycetoma, eumycetoma, Madurella mycetomatis, Trematosphaeria grisea, Falciformispora senegalensis, mycosis, skin, subcutaneous tissue, risk factors, incidence, complications.

Introduction

Mycetoma is a chronic infection of the skin and subcutaneous tissues caused by both bacteria (actinomycetoma) and fungi (eumycetoma). The most common fungi causing eumycetomas are Madurella mycetomatis, Scedosporium boydii, Falciformispora senegalensis, and Trematosphaeria grisea.¹ Eumycetoma mostly affects people in poor and remote areas in tropical and sub-tropical countries that sit at latitudes of 30° North and 15° South-the so-called 'mycetoma belt'.²⁻⁴ Fungi predominate as the cause in Africa (Sudan, Somalia, and Senegal), whilst bacteria predominate in South and Central America (e.g., 92% of cases in Mexico).^{2,3} The climate in Africa, where short rainy seasons with narrow daily temperature fluctuations are followed by long dry seasons associated with wide daily temperature fluctuations, predisposes to the survival of the causative fungi that have been detected in the soil and water in endemic areas.⁵

The fungi causing eumycetoma enter through broken skin, inoculate, and proliferate in subcutaneous tissues, where they precipitate a local and systemic inflammatory reaction.¹ The injury begins as a small painless nodule. Left unattended the fungi proliferate and infiltrate the subcutaneous tissue, resulting in an accumulation of purulent fluid, subcutaneous swelling, draining sinuses, and discharging grains. As the disease progresses, the fungi spread to the underlying muscles and bones, leading to deformity, disfigurement, disability, and sometimes death.⁶ As a result, eumycetoma causes a significant economic burden in already poor countries.¹ Risk factors include living in endemic areas, low socio-economic status, agricultural employment, a history of trauma, and limited access to consistent and reliable healthcare.⁶ Eumycetoma predominates in men (1.5-4.2:1), and the most common age of occurrence was 11-30 years.^{7–11}

Diagnosis is challenging and relies on characteristic clinical features as well as a number of laboratory tests.¹² In addition, molecular diagnostic assays are few, and those that exist are not widely available. Importantly, actinomycetoma need to be differentiated from eumycetoma, as even though the clinical presentation is very similar, the treatments are quite different.^{13,14} The treatment of eumycetoma involves antifungal agents for long durations, as well as surgical excision, debridement, or, in some cases, amputation.^{15–17}

In 2016, the World Health Organization (WHO) determined that only 50% of affected countries had the capacity to diagnose and treat mycetoma, and the World Health Assembly approved the declaration by the WHO of mycetoma as a neglected tropical disease.^{18,19} Given the challenges of access to medical care, diagnosis, treatment and its clinical, and societal impacts, eumycetoma is recognized as a fungal disease of great importance. The aim of this systematic review is to evaluate eumycetoma against a set of criteria: mortality, inpatient care, complications and sequelae, antifungal susceptibility, preventability, annual incidence, global distribution, and emergence in the 10 years from 1 January 2011 to 19 February 2021. The generated data identified knowledge gaps for eumycetoma, informing the fungal priority pathogens list (FPPL) being developed by the WHO.

Methods

Study design

A systematic review was performed using the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) Guidelines.²⁰

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Studies were included if they reported data on: (a) adults and/or paediatric populations; (b) eumycetoma; (c) at least one criterion (e.g., mortality, inpatient care, complications/sequelae, antifungal susceptibility, preventability, annual incidence, global distribution, and emergence in the previous 10 years); (d) retrospective or prospective observational studies, randomized controlled trials, epidemiological, or surveillance studies; and (e) were published between 1 January 2011 and 19 February 2021. Studies were excluded if they reported on/were: (a) animals and/or plants only; (b) other diseases, fungi, or criteria; (c) included <30 isolates; (d) novel antifungals in pre-clinical studies or early-phase trials or unlicensed; (e) *in vitro* resistance mechanisms only; (f) case reports, conference abstracts, or reviews; (g) not in English; and (h) outside the study time frames.

Search strategy

We conducted a comprehensive search for studies published in English using the PubMed and Web of Science Core Collection databases between 1 January 2011 and 19 February 2021. On PubMed, the search was optimized using medical subject headings (MeSH) and/or keyword terms in the title/abstract for mycetoma and each criterion. On the Web of Science, MeSH terms are not available, and therefore, topic, title, or abstract searches were used. The final searches used can be found in the Supplementary material available online.

PubMed and related databases are underpinned by a standardized taxonomy database, so using a species name as a search term will retrieve articles with obsolete or updated nomenclature.²¹

Study selection

The final search results from each database were imported into the reference manager, EndnoteTM, and the online systematic review software, Covidence[®] (Veritas Health Innovation, Australia), and duplicates were removed. The remaining articles underwent title and abstract screening based on the eligibility criteria, and no reasons were provided for excluding articles at this step. Then, full text screening was performed to determine the final eligible articles with the reasons for excluding any articles recorded. The title/abstract screening and full text screenings were performed independently by two reviewers (H.Y..K. and J.C.) in Covidence®. Any discrepancies were resolved by a third reviewer (J.W.A.). Any additional articles identified from the references of the included articles were added.

Data extraction

Data from the final set of eligible studies were extracted for each relevant criterion by a screening reviewer (H.Y.K.) and independently checked for accuracy by a second reviewer (J.B.).

Risk of bias assessment

Risk of bias assessment was independently performed by two reviewers (H.Y.K. and J.B.) for the included studies. Risk of bias tool for randomized trials version 2 (ROB 2) and risk of bias tool for non-randomized studies (RoBANS) were used in this assessment.^{22,23} For the overall risk, using ROB 2 tool, the studies were rated low, high, or with some concerns. Using RoBANS tool, the studies were rated as low, high, or unclear risk.

This systematic review was intended to inform on specific criteria; therefore, we used each criterion as an outcome of the study and assessed if any bias was expected based on the study design, data collection, or analysis in that particular study. With this approach, studies classified as unclear or high overall risk were still considered for analysis.

Data synthesis

The extracted data on the outcome criteria were quantitatively (e.g., proportions [%], mean, median, range) or qualitatively analysed depending on the amount and nature of the data.

Results

Study selection

Between 1 January 2011 and 19 February 2021, 183 and 151 articles were identified in PubMed and World of Science Core Collection databases, respectively. After excluding the duplicated and non-relevant articles, 27 articles underwent full-text screening, of which 14 studies were deemed eligible. A flow diagram outlining the process of study selection is shown in Figure 1.

Risk of bias

The overall risk of bias can be found in Table 1. Of the included studies, six (42.9%) were classified as a low risk of bias in the domains used for classification (i.e., study design, data collection, or data analysis) (Table 1).^{8,11,24–27} Six (42.9%) studies were classified as a high risk of bias, mostly related to the measurement of exposure (5/6 [83.3%]).^{7,10,28–31} The details of the risk of bias assessment for each domain can be found in the supplementary materials (Supplementary Table 1).

Analysis of the criteria

Complications, sequelae, mortality, and risk factors

Seven (50%) studies reported on disability (Table 2).^{7,10,11,26,27,29,32} These studies were predominantly conducted in Sudan (6/7 [86%]).^{7,10,26,27,29,32} One study reported that 60.3% of patients had moderate impairment or disability affecting their quality of life.³² Disabilities included impairment of mobility (39.7%) and pain (22.4%–52.8%) (Table 2).^{10,11,26,29,32} Amputation rates ranged from 2.8% to 38.5% (Table 2).^{10,11,26,27} Long-term (up to 10 years) or recurrent mycetoma infections were seen in 31.8%–46.6%.^{7,10,11} A higher recurrence rate (73.5%) was reported in patients with head and neck mycetoma (Table 2).²⁹ Un-

employment rates due to prolonged disability or illness were reported in 9%–14.3%, and one study reported that 126 (46.7%) patients had difficulty in financially supporting themselves due to mycetoma infections (Table 2).^{10,29,32} Mortality as a consequence of mycetoma was not specifically reported.

Nine (64%) studies suggested potential risk factors for mycetoma infections, mostly based on the observed prevalence or trends rather than from univariate or multivariate risk factor analyses (Table 3).^{7-11,24,28-30} All reported a male preponderance (56.6%–79.6% were male; female to male ratios of 1:1.5–4.2) (Table 3).^{7-11,24,28-30} The most common age group was <30 years (64%) (Table 3).^{8,10} One study reported that 63.3% were <40 compared with 10.2% who were >50 years of age.²⁹ The main occupational groups reported were students, domestic workers, and farmers (Table 3).^{9-11,24,28} Two studies reported that a high proportion of farmers had mycetoma infections (62.1%–69.7%) (Table 3).^{9,11}

Hospital length of stay and antifungal susceptibility testing No studies fulfilling the pre-specified eligibility criteria were found on hospital length of stay and antifungal susceptibility.

Global Distribution

Mycetoma was predominantly reported in Sudan (60%) (Table 4).^{7,8,10,24,29,31} Gezira State in the central part of Sudan was the highest endemic region of the country, reporting 37%–76.6% of mycetoma cases (Table 4).^{8,10,29,31} Other countries to report cases series were Togo, Uganda, and Mexico (Table 4).^{9,28,30} One study performed at the Mycetoma Research Centre in Khartoum, Sudan, reported that 33 (0.5%) of patients came from neighbouring countries, including Chad, Ethiopia, Saudi Arabia, Eritrea, and Yemen (Table 4).¹⁰ In Sudan, the proportion of mycetoma that were eumycetoma was 70%–86.2%,¹⁰ whereas in the Guerrero State of Mexico, this proportion was lower at 21.4%.²⁸ A study conducted in Senegal found that the proportion of eumycetoma was greatest in the northern regions (60%-65%) eumycetoma vs. 15%-30%actinomycetoma) (Table 4).¹¹Madurella mycetomatis was the predominant pathogen identified, reported in 50%-88.2% of eumycetoma cases (Table 4).7-10,28

Annual incidence

Annual incidence estimates from retrospective sentinel site data collection were reported from the Philippines²⁵ and Uganda.³⁰ In the Philippines, an estimated rate of 0.1/100 000 persons was based on cases seen at Philippine Dermatological Society training institutions in 2015.²⁵ It was not specified whether the cases were eumycetoma or actinomycetoma. In Uganda, between 2010 and 2019, the average incidence of mycetoma (88.8% eumycetoma, 10% actinomycetoma) was 0.32/100 000 persons/decade (range 0.01/100 000–0.96/100 000/persons/decade) (Table 4).³⁰ Darré et al. reported an annual incidence of 1.3 cases in Togo, West Africa.⁹



Figure 1. Flow diagram for the selection of studies included in the systematic review of eumycetoma. Based on: Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement.²⁰

Table 1. Overall risk of bias for included studies.

Author	Publication year	Risk	Reference
Abbas et al.	2018	Unclear	32
Abdelrahman et al.	2019	High	7
Ahmed et al.	2020	Low	8
Bakhiet et al.	2018	Low	24
Batac et al.	2017	Low	25
Darré et al.	2018	Unclear	9
Estrada-Castanon et al.	2019	High	28
Fahal et al.	2015	High	10
Fahal et al.	2015	High	29
Kwizera et al.	2020	High	30
Mhmoud et al.	2014	Low	26
Omer et al.	2016	High	31
Sow et al.	2020	Low	11
Zein et al.	2012	Low	27

The global trend of mycetoma incidence within the last 10 years was not evaluable from the studies identified. One study estimated the burden of mycetoma in Uganda over a 70-year period and demonstrated a declining rate from 3.37 to 0.32/100 000 persons between two consecutive 10-year periods (2000–2009 and 2010–2019, respectively).³⁰

Prevention

Potential preventative measures were investigated in one study conducted in Sudan.²⁴ A regional mycetoma management centre was established in one of the endemic villages in Sennar State. Several community campaigns were implemented, including early case detection, health care provider training, hygiene, and environmental improvement. Local villagers were involved in reducing the environmental transmission risk factors, such as thorns, sharp objects, and animal dung. In addition, socio-economic constraints that hinder early presentation and adequate treatment were addressed (e.g., provision of free itraconazole). These interventions resulted in a decreased amputation rate from 137 (62.8%) to 26 (11.9%) over the study period.²⁴

Discussion

This systematic review evaluated the impact, outcomes, and epidemiology of invasive disease due to eumycetoma causative agents. There were little to no data identified on mortality and hospital length of stay which may be related to the omission of case reports. In addition, only 42.9% of studies were classified as having a low risk of bias.^{8,11,24–27} Nevertheless, the extracted data indicate that eumycetoma is associated with

Table 2. Complicati	ions and sequalae re	elated to eumycetoma.						
Author	Year	Study design	Study period	Country	Level of care	Population description	Number of patients N (%)	Disability N (%)
Abbas et al. ³²	2018	Qualitative research Single-centre	May 2016– January 2017	Sudan	Tertiary	Patients with confirmed mycetoma	300 228 (76%) were male	 181 (60.3%) had moderate impairment or difficulty in at least one of the eight life domains. 119 (39.7%) had a mobility impairment or difficulty walking. 103 (34.3%) had significant pain. 126 (46.7%) reported difficulty in their ability to economically sustain themselves.
Abdelrahman et al. ⁷	2019	Case series Multi-centre	2013–2016	Sudan	Tertiary	Patients with eumycetoma suitable for reconstruction post-excision	26	9/26 (34.6%) operations were for recurrent eumycetoma. Post-operative interviews: Adequate satisfaction with the cosmetic result. Mobility in all patients (100%) returned to pre-morbid state.
Fahal et al. ¹⁰	2015	Retrospective cohort study Single-centre	January 1991–July 2014	Sudan	Tertiary	Patients with confirmed mycetoma	6792	Median duration of disease was 3 years (mean 6 ± 0.1 SE). Localized pain was reported in 1834 (27%). 3847 (57%) had previous surgical excisions and recurrence. 807 (11.8%) had an amputation. Due to the prolonged illness and disability, 628 (9%) patients were unemployed.

Table 2. Continued

Author	Year	Study design	Study period	Country	Level of care	Population description	Number of patients N (%)	Disability N (%)
Fahal et al. ²⁹	2015	Case series Single-centre	January 1991– October 2014	Sudan	Tertiary	Patients with confirmed head and neck mycetoma	49 16 (32.7%) had eumycetoma	Median duration of disease was 11.23 ± 19.7 years. 11 (22.4%) have pain at the site of disease. 36 (73.5%) had a prior history of recurrent disease and surgical excisions. Due to the prolonged illness and disability, 7 (14.3%) patients were unemployed. Antifungal therapy with various surgical excisions was used as a treatment. 14 (28.5%) were lost to follow up.
Alhmoud et al. ²⁶	2014	Prospective cohort study Single-centre	January 2011–June 2013	Sudan	Tertiary	Patients with confirmed Madurella mycetomatis eumycocoma and Stapbylococcus aureus co-infection	337	Complete or partial response 86/142 (60.6%) of those who received amoxicillin-clavulanic acid and ketoconazole vs. 28/93 (30.1%) of those who received ciprofloxacin and ketoconazole vs. 37/102 (36.3%) of those who received ketoconazole alone. Mobility 123/139 (88.5%) of the and and ketoconazole treated group had no mobility issues vs. 2/93 (2.2%) of the ciprofloxacin and ketoconazole treated group. (P < .001) Amputation 4/142 (2.8%) of the amoxicillin-clavulanic acid and ketoconazole-treated group. (P < .001) Amputation 4/142 (2.8%) of the ciprofloxacin and ketoconazole-treated group.

ð
nu
onti
0 N
ole

Table 2. Continued	T							
Author	Year	Study design	Study period	Country	Level of care	Population description	Number of patients N (%)	Disability N (%)
Sow et al. ¹¹	2020	Retrospective cohort study Multi-centre	January 2008– December 2018	Senegal	Tertiary	Patients diagnosed with mycetoma	193 91 (47.2%) had eumycetoma	 90 (46.6%) had a mycetoma for 1–5 years. 29 (31.8%) had eumycetoma for 5–10 years. 102 (52.8%) had pain at the site. 76/91 (83.5%) of those with a eumycetoma had prior traditional phytotherapy. 68 (74.7%) of those with a eumycetoma were treated with terbinafine. 35/91 (38.5%) of those with a eumycetoma made a full recovery following treatment.
Zein et al. ²⁷	2012	Prospective cohort study Single-centre	January 2004– January 2009 Follow up until May 2011	Sudan	Tertiary	Patients with mycetoma	1544 1242 (80.4%) had a eumycetoma. Of those with a eumycetoma, 971 (78.2%) were male, and the median age was 25 (range 4-80) years of age	 35/1242 (2.8%) of those with a eumycetoma had an amputation. 671 (54%) of those with a eumycetoma dropped out of out-patient clinical reviews. Predictors of amputation: Larger lesions 5-10 cm c/w <5 cm in size OR 1.7: 95% CI 0.3-10.2, >10 cm c/w <5 cm in size OR 20.9: 95% CI 6.2-70.5 Longer duration of disease OR 1.1: 95% CI 1.0-1.1
N, number; SE, sta	ndard error; C/w, c	ompared with; OR, odds	ratio; CI, confidence	e interval.				

Author	Year	Study design	Study period	Country	Level of care	Population description	Number of patients N (%)	Risk factors N (%)
Abdelrahman et al. ⁷	2019	Case series Multi-centre	2013-2016	Sudan	Tertiary	Patients with eumycetoma suitable for reconstruction post-excision	26	Male gender: M:F ratio 21:5
Ahmed et al. ⁸	2020	Retrospective cohort study Single-centre	January 2015– January 2017	Sudan	Tertiary	Patients with suspected mycetoma who underwent surgery	138 suspected eumycetoma confirmed: 119 (86.2%)	Male gender: 86/119 (72.3%) were male M.F ratio 2.6:1 Age: Most affected age group: 11-30 years of age: (≅64% vs. 32%)*
Bakhiet et al. ²⁴	2018	Cross-sectional study	2015-2017	Sudan	Primary (CH)	Patients with suspected mycetoma from 19 villages in the Eastern Sennar Governate, Sennar State	758 suspected 220 (29%) confirmed	Male gender: 134 males (60.9%) vs. 86 (39.1%) females Age: Most common age group: 15–30 vs. 31–60 years of age: 15–30 vs. 31–60 years of age: 106/220 (48.2%) vs. 61/220 (27.7%) Occupational groups: Students 68 (30.9%) Housewives 54 (24.5%) Farmers 35 (15.9%)
Darré et al. ⁹	2018	Retrospective study Anatomical Pathology Laboratory based Single-centre	1992–2016	Togo	Tertiary	Patients with mycetoma	61 cases retrieved 33 (54.1%) clinically and microbiologically confirmed 24 (72.7%) were of fungal etiology (eumycetoma)	Male gender: M.F ratio 1.5:1 Age: Mean 29.7 ± 1.34 years Occupation: Farmers 23 (69.7%)

8

Table 3. Risk factors for eumycetoma.

Author	Year	Study design	Study period	Country	Level of care	Population description	Number of patients N (%)	Risk factors N (%)
Estrada- Castanon et al. ²⁸	2019	Retrospective cohort study Multi-centre	In the 20 years prior to 2019	Mexico	Tertiary and secondary	Patients attending the Dermatology Department at the Acapulco Hospital and Community Dermatology Clinics	 14 000 consultations 113 confirmed mycetoma cases 22 eumycetoma (21.4%) cases detected out of all the confirmed mycetoma cases 	Male gender: 85/113 (75.2%) male Occupation: Farmer 53 (48.6%)
Fahal et al. ¹⁰	2015	Retrospective cohort study Single-centre	January 1991–July 2014	Sudan	Tertiary	Patients with confirmed mycetoma	6792	Male gender: 5150 (75.8%) male Age: Age <30 years: 4353 (64%) were <30 years of age Occupation: Students 1902 (28%) Farmers 1223 (18%) Manual domestic workers 1223 (18%)
Fahal et al. ²⁹	2015	Case series Single-centre	January 1991– October 2014	Sudan	Tertiary	Patients with confirmed head and neck mycetoma	49 16 (32.7%) had eumycetoma	Male gender: 39 males (79.6%), Age <40 years: 31 (63.3%) <40 years old vs. 5 (10.2%) >50 years old
Kwizera et al. ³⁰	2020	Retrospective study and systematic review Pathology Laboratory based	January 1950– September 2019	Uganda	Tertiary	Patients diagnosed with mycetoma using biopsy reports	249 cases identified by retrospective review of biopsy reports30 cases identified by systematic review of the literature89% caused by fungi (eumycetoma)	Male gender: 141/249 (56.6%) Age: Most affected age group: 21–30 years 61 cases Median (IQR) age: 37 (26–50)#
Sow et al. ¹¹	2020	Retrospective cohort study Multi-centre	January 2008– December 2018	Senegal	Tertiary	Patients diagnosed with mycetoma	193 91 (47.2%) eumycetoma	Mean age was 38.3 (±16.4) years. Male to female ratio: 2.94. 120 (62.1%) were farmers
N, number; M:F, *Numbers estima #N = 228.	male:female; CH, ted from data rep	community health; IQR, orted by Ahmed et al. ⁸ A	, interquartile ratio. Actual percentages r	1.01 reported in tex	kt or tables.			

Table 3. Continued

Author	Year	Study design	Study period	Country	Level of care	Population description	Number of patients	Prevalence
Abdelrahman et al. ⁷	2019	Case series Multi-centre	2013–2016	Sudan	Tertiary	Patients with eumycetoma suitable for reconstruction post-excision	26	17/22 (65%) cases were due to Madurella mycetomatis
Ahmed et al. ⁸	2020	Retrospective cohort study Single-centre	January 2015– January 2017	Sudan	Tertiary	Patients with suspected mycetoma who underwent surgery	138 suspected eumycetoma confirmed: 119 (86.2%)	Madurella mycetomatis most predominant: 88.2%* Areas with highest endemicity: Southern Gezira: 85/111 (76.6%) Sinnar state: 26/111 (23.4%)
Bakhiet et al. ²⁴	2018	Cross sectional study	2015-2017	Sudan	Primary (CH)	Patients with suspected mycetoma from 19 villages in the Eastern Sennar Governate, Sennar State	758 suspected 220 (29%) confirmed	Geographical distribution was uneven in the governate. Wad El Nimear village had the highest prevalence: 40 (18.2%)
Darré et al. ⁹	2018	Retrospective study Anatomical Pathology Laboratory based Single-centre	1992–2016	Togo	Tertiary	Patients with mycetoma	 61 cases retrieved 33 (54.1%) clinically and microbiologically confirmed 24 (72.7%) were of fungal etiology (eumycetoma) 	24/33 (72.7%) came from the Savanes Region (northern most region of Togo) Etiological fungi Madurella mycetomatis (black grains): 21 (63.6%) Falcifomispora senegaliensis (black grains): 3 (10%)
Estrada- Castanon et al. ²⁸	2019	Retrospective cohort study Multi-centre	In the 20 years prior to 2019	Mexico	Tertiary and secondary	Patients attending the dermatology Department at the Acapulco Hospital and the Community Dermatology Clinics	14 000 consultations 113 confirmed mycetoma cases 22 eumycetoma (21.4%) of all the confirmed mycetoma cases	 22 eumycetoma/14 000 patients reviewed in Guerrero State: (0.16%) Etiological fungi Madurella mycetomatis: 16 (72.8%) Trematosphaeria grisea: 3 (13.7%) Seedosporium boydii: 2 (9.0%) Phomopsis longicola: 1(4.5%)

Table 4. Global distribution and incidence of eumycetoma.

Table 4. Continue	q							
Author	Year	Study design	Study period	Country	Level of care	Population description	Number of patients	Prevalence
Fahal et al. ¹⁰	2015	Retrospective cohort study Single-centre	January 1991–July 2014	Sudan	Tertiary	Patients with confirmed mycetoma	6792	Eumycetoma: 4754 (70%) Madurella mycetomatis: 2379 (50%) Geographical regions Gezira State: 2476 (37%) Khartoum State: 1037 (15%) White Nile State: 337 (12%) North Kordofan State: 837 (12%) North Kordofan State: 747 (11%) Darfur States were the least affected 33 (0.5%) of patients were from neighbouring countries: Chad, Ethiopia, Ethiopia, Yemen
Fahal et al. ²⁹	2015	Case series Single-centre	January 1991– October 2014	Sudan	Tertiary	Patients with confirmed head and neck mycetoma	49 16 (32.7%) had eumycetoma	Total number of patients with head and neck mycetoma: 49/6792 (0.72%) Geographical regions: The majority of the cases were from Central Sudan: 27 (55.1%)

σ
n e
.⊑
LUC DU
Ŭ
4
e
9

Table 4. Continu(þe							
Author	Year	Study design	Study period	Country	Level of care	Population description	Number of patients	Prevalence
Kwizera et al.30	2020	Retrospective study and systematic review pathology laboratory based	January 1950– September 2019	Uganda	Tertiary	Patients diagnosed with mycetoma using biopsy reports	249 cases by retrospective review of biopsy reports 30 cases identified by systematic review of the literature	Incidence: No. of cases: average of 4/year and range of 0-17/year Per decade: Average of 0.32/100 000 persons and range of 0.01/100 000-0.96/100 000 persons and a range of 0.01/100 000-0.24.98/100 000 persons and a range of 0.32/100 000 to 24.98/100 000 persons # Frevalence: Per decade: Average of 8.32/100 000 persons # Geographic distribution [†] : Highest number of cases were recorded in the Kampala district 30 and Jinja district 19 Other districts recorded <10 cases/year Distribution was essentially even across the four regions ⁸ Trends: A gradual decline in cases has been detected over the years: 1970–1979: 87 1990–1999 16 2000–2009 37 2000–2019

Author	Year	Study design	Study period	Country	Level of care	Population description	Number of patients	Prevalence
Omer et al. ³¹	2016	Retrospective cohort study Single-centre	1991–2015	Sudan	Tertiary	Patients with confirmed mycetoma of the hand	533 444 (83.3%) had eumycetoma	Proportion of total numbers seen at the MRC in Khartoum during the study time frame: 7.4% Geographical distribution: The majority from the Sudan mycetoma belt: Geizira State: 227 (42.6%) Khartoum State: 80 (15%) White Nile State: 62 (11.6%) White Nile State: 48 (9%) Northern Kordofan State: 44 (8.3%)
Sow et al. ¹¹	2020	Retrospective cohort study Multi-centre	January 2008– December 2018	Senegal	Tertiary	Patients diagnosed with mycetoma	193 91 (47.2%) eumycetoma	Eumycetoma more prevalent in the central and northern regions of Senegal: 60%–65% eumycetoma vs. 15%–30% actinomycetoma Geographic distribution: Thies 47 (24.4%) 20 (10.4%) 23 (11.9%) 23 (11.9%) 21 (10%) 21 (10%) 21 (10%) 21 (10%) 21 (10%) 21 (10.4%) 23 (17.6%)
CH, community he *Detected by specie	alth; No., number; s-specific PCR and	, MRC, Mycetoma Resea d universal fungal ITS–PC	rch Centre. DR and sequencing					

#Based on the assumption that mycetoma patients would live with the disease for an average of 26 years, which is, in turn, based on Ugandan life expectancy. [†]Data likely incomplete as cases were only registered for 58/134 districts. ^{\$Uganda} is divided into 134 districts and the capital, Kampala, which are grouped into 4 administrative districts (Northern, Western, Eastern, and Central regions).

Table 4. Continued

significant morbidity, with up to 39.7% experiencing mobility issues, 52.8% experiencing pain, and 38.5% requiring an amputation.^{11,32}

The data derived from the present systematic review was used, along with the data from the systemic reviews of 18 other fungal pathogens (Supplementary Table 2), to develop the WHO FPPL.³³ This involved a level being assigned to each of the pre-selected criteria (i.e., mortality, inpatient care, complications and sequelae, antifungal susceptibility, risk factors, preventability, annual incidence, global distribution, and emergence) using the data generated from each of the systematic reviews.³³ For example, using the data from Table 2 of the present study, a medium level (30%-70%) was assigned to the complications and sequelae of eumycetoma.³³ Then a discrete choice experiment (DCE) was performed to determine the importance and weight of each pre-specified criteria.^{33–35} The allocated level for each criterion for each pathogen from the systematic reviews was then multiplied by the importance weight for each criterion from the DCE to create the research and development (R&D) rank.³³ Following this, a best-worst scaling (BWS) survey was performed to determine the weight of each pathogen according to perceived public health importance.³³ Finally, the R&D rank and the public health rank were combined according to their relative weight to formulate the final FPPL.³³ The final FPPL, developed using the data from the systematic reviews (including the present one), will be used in the future to identify preventative strategies to reduce the burden of fungal infection.33

Many of the studies identified that younger men were disproportionately affected.^{7-11,24,28-30} Two studies reported that mycetoma occurred in 62.1%–69.7% of farmers.^{9,11} This indicates that eumycetoma causative agents are likely environmentally acquired, although the precise mechanisms were not reported. Importantly, no multivariate analysis was performed to eliminate potential confounders and accurately identify independent risk factors for developing eumycetoma. Hospital length of stay was not quantified, but patients were likely only in hospital when the lesions were being surgically removed or amputation was being performed. Anecdotally, lengths of stay in Sudan range from 2 days to 2 weeks and are partially dependent on how far away from the hospital the patient lives. Although mortality is likely to be uncommon, it can occur in cases in which the mycetoma is in the skull or lungs. Compounding this was the fact that fungal and bacterial mycetoma were reported together in some studies. Future studies should differentiate between eumycetoma and actinomycetoma, be designed to systematically capture mortality and hospital length of stay, and have adequate statistical support to determine the independent risk for infection, morbidity, and mortality. Further studies are also required to determine the precise environmental sources and mechanisms of infection.

Mycetoma is globally distributed and has been described in all WHO regions, with Sudan reporting the highest numbers.² However, in this systematic review, cases were only reported from Sudan, Senegal, Uganda, Togo, the Philippines, and Mexico (Table 4). A study of 6792 patients attending the Mycetoma Research Centre in Khartoum, Sudan, found that 33 (0.5%) came from neighbouring Chad, Ethiopia, Saudi Arabia, Eritrea, and Yemen.¹⁰ Given the poverty in some of these neighbouring countries and the costs of treatment and travel, 33 cases were likely only a fraction of their true numbers of mycetoma cases. This is more consistent with a lack of reporting rather than with a lack of disease. A WHO study confirmed this by demonstrating that many countries are unaware of their own burden, half do not have the capacity to detect or treat mycetoma, and only one country has a national surveillance programme.¹⁹

Mycetoma incidence rates are likely to be underestimated. Only two (14.3%) studies included in this systematic review reported such data.^{25,30} In one study from the Philippines, the incidence rates (0.1/100 000 persons) were based on cases observed in dermatology training institutions, and in the other study from Uganda, the incidence rates (0.32/100000 person/decade) were calculated from reported cases and pathology laboratory data from one hospital.^{25,30} Such selective reporting also likely influenced the incidence estimates. In addition, data exist in the wider literature to indicate that mycetoma occurs more widely.¹ Prevalence rates are also likely underestimated. Previous prevalence estimates from published studies and population numbers ranged from 0.002/100000 for India to 3.49/100000 for Mauritania.^{1,4} Sudan had a prevalence of 1.81/100000 and Mexico of 0.06/100000. However, data from individual villages in endemic regions clearly demonstrate that these numbers are an underrepresentation, as in some endemic villages, the prevalence ranged from 0/1000 to 8.5/1000 inhabitants.^{24,36} Abu Gumri, an endemic village in Sudan, reported in 1960 a prevalence rate of 6.2/1000 inhabitants.¹ One study reported that the number of cases was on the decline (from 3.37 to 0.32/100000 persons between two consecutive 10-year periods [2000-2009 and 2010–2019]).³⁰ However, these declining rates could not be confirmed by other studies. Surveillance systems need to be implemented to generate accurate data that will allow for the development of optimized, cost-effective, and evidence-based public health interventions, the monitoring of their effectiveness, and the determination of accurate incidence, prevalence, and trend estimates.

Mycetoma significantly and severely affects quality of life, with prolonged or recurrent disease for longer than 10 years reported in one third of patients and devastatingly in up to three quarters of those with head and neck mycetoma.^{7,10,11,29} As effective treatment options are limited, preventative measures and early diagnosis and management strategies are even more important to explore and develop. One study reported on a public health initiative, which included local care, directed health education, training to detect and treat early, improved hygiene, and removal of environmental sources of infection, implemented in an endemic village in Sudan.²⁴ This initiative reduced amputation rates from 63% to 12% and is a model of care that could be replicated in other endemic areas. With the complications of delivering effective drug treatments, a standard of care has been developed that includes long courses of triazole antifungal agents and surgical excision. Treatment with itraconazole or terbinafine with or without surgery has reduced the number of amputations.^{1,37-39} The use and availability of these drugs vary widely among countries; 19 countries (37%) reported that drugs to treat mycetoma were on their list of essential medicines.¹⁹ However, if cost-effective models of care could be broadly implemented, they could prove to be self-sufficient. Detailed cost analyses are also required to evaluate the effectiveness of any public health intervention.

There are *in vitro* susceptibility studies on eumycetoma causative agents; however, none fulfilled the criteria for inclusion in this systematic review.^{40–48} There were not enough strains collected and tested worldwide, and those that were available originate mainly from Sudan. Based on the *in*

vitro susceptibilities published to date, there appears to be a difference in susceptibility between the different causative agents.⁴⁹Madurella mycetomatis was the most frequently identified fungal pathogen in this review. Pathogens belonging to the order Sordariales (Madurella species) have lower minimum inhibitory concentrations against azole antifungal agents than those belonging to the order Pleosporales (e.g., Falciformispora species).⁴¹ The in vitro susceptibilities were determined using a modified CLSI-based methodology.^{40,48} Using this method, it was demonstrated that the azole antifungal agents and olorofim⁴⁵ were able to inhibit M. mycetomatis growth very well, higher concentrations of terbinafine, and amphotericin B were needed, but, even at the highest concentrations, the echinocandins or 5-flucytosine were not able to inhibit growth.^{47,48} Although azole antifungal agents inhibit hyphal growth in vitro, it is less certain that they act in vivo within the grains themselves, as patients who have been treated for 6 months with high doses of itraconazole and then have surgical removal of any lesions still have viable organisms within the grains. In addition, itraconazole results in a more encapsulated lesion, which makes the excision of the lesion easier,¹⁷ but, in some cases, it does not inhibit growth or even reduce the size of the lesion.

There is an urgent need to find effective, safe, and affordable oral antifungal agents that can be used for shorter durations. To discover novel compounds with activity against eumycetoma causative agents, the Open Source Mycetoma (MycetOS) initiative was founded.⁵⁰ Through the MycetOS,⁵⁰ it has been discovered that improved treatment outcomes in an invertebrate model can be achieved when either the chemical properties of the drug or the grain itself are changed so that drugs can penetrate the grains more easily. Clinical trials of therapy are extremely limited. Only one clinical trial is currently registered on ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT03086226), a trial of clinical superiority of fosravuconazole versus itraconazole combined with surgery in subjects with eumycetoma in Sudan. The results were recently presented at the European Congress on Tropical Medicine and International Health (20-23 November 2023), and fosravuconazole has similar efficacy (65% [300 mg arm], 85% [200 mg arm]) to itraconazole 80%.⁵¹ Further efforts to find more effective treatments for eumycetoma are required.

This systematic review has a number of limitations. The inclusion/exclusion criteria may have resulted in a number of important studies being excluded. This may have affected the findings of this systematic review. The failure to include conference abstracts and studies that were in languages other than English may also have biased the findings. This is likely very relevant for eumycetoma, given that they occur more commonly in non-English-speaking countries.

Conclusions

Most of the mycetoma disease burden is concentrated in lowincome countries with poor case reporting and patient registration. The burden of disease in terms of complications and sequelae is high and impactful. Future research in this area should include the performance of a more comprehensive systematic review of eumycetoma, which includes case reports and conference abstracts, removes language restrictions, and widens the study period. Global surveillance and epidemiological studies examining eumycetoma only are urgently needed to determine the current incidence rates, and to inform global distribution and trends. Antifungal susceptibility data are available; however, the strains are limited in number and geography. Concerted efforts are required to develop a large collection of eumycetoma causative agents to comprehensively determine antifungal susceptibility patterns. Public health interventions need to be developed, implemented, and evaluated for efficacy, and treatment strategies can be improved through the evaluation of different antifungal agents in clinical trials. The cumulative effect of all these research efforts will be the improved outcomes for eumycetoma discernible at a local and regional level.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge all members of the WHO Advisory Group, the commissioned technical group, and all external global partners, as well as Dr. Haileyesus Getahun (WHO Antimicrobial Resistance Division), for supporting this work.

Author contributions

Julia E. Clark (Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing), Hannah Yejin Kim (Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Writing - original draft, Writing review & editing), Wendy W.J. van de Sande (Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing), Brendan McMullan (Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing - review & editing), Paul Verweij (Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Writing - review & editing), Ana Alastruey-Izquierdo (Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Writing - review & editing), Arunaloke Chakrabarti (Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing), Thomas S. Harrison (Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Writing - review & editing), Felix Bongomin (Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing), Roderick J. Hay (Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Writing - review & editing), Rita Oladele (Formal analysis, Writing - review & editing), Jutta Heim (Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Writing - review & editing), Peter Beyer Dr jur (Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Writing - review & editing), Marcelo Galas (Formal analysis, Writing - review & editing), Siswanto Siswanto (Formal analysis, Writing - review & editing), Daniel Argaw Dagne (Formal analysis, Writing - review & editing), Felipe Roitberg (Formal analysis, Writing - review & editing), Valeria Gigante (Formal analysis, Project administration, Writing - review & editing), Justin Beardsley (Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Writing - review & editing), Hatim Sati (Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Methodology, Supervision, Writing - review & editing), Jan-Willem Alffenaar (Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Supervision, Writing - review & editing), and C. Orla Morrissey (Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing).

Supplementary material

Supplementary material is available at *Medical Mycology* online.

Funding

The work was supported by funding kindly provided by the Ministries of Education and Science, Governments of Austria and Germany.

Conflict of interest

The authors alone are responsible for the views expressed in this article and do not necessarily represent the decisions, policies, or views of the World Health Organization. Ana Alastruey-Izquierdo has given educational talks on behalf of Gilead Sciences and Pfizer. The other authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

- 1. van de Sande WW. Global burden of human mycetoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2013; 7: e2550.
- 2. Emery D, Denning DW. The global distribution of actinomycetoma and eumycetoma. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2020; 14: e0008397.
- Bonifaz A, Tirado-Sánchez A, Calderón L, et al. Mycetoma: experience of 482 cases in a single center in Mexico. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2014; 8: e3102.
- van de Sande W, Fahal A, Ahmed SA, Serrano JA, Bonifaz A, Zijlstra E. Closing the mycetoma knowledge gap. *Med Mycol.* 2018; 56: 153–164.
- Ahmed A, Adelmann D, Fahal A, Verbrugh H, van Belkum A, de Hoog S. Environmental occurrence of *Madurella mycetomatis*, the major agent of human eumycetoma in Sudan. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2002; 40: 1031–1036.
- Ahmed AO, van Leeuwen W, Fahal A, van de Sande W, Verbrugh H, van Belkum A. Mycetoma caused by *Madurella mycetomatis*: a neglected infectious burden. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2004; 4: 566–574.
- Abdelrahman M, Jumabhoy I, Saad EA, Abdulla GM. Reconstructive surgery for mycetoma: a case series. *Eur J Plast. Surg.* 2019; 42: 385–390.
- Ahmed EA, Nour BYM, Abakar AD, et al. The genus *Madurella*: molecular identification and epidemiology in Sudan. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2020; 14: e0008420.
- Darré T, Saka B, Mouhari-Toure A, et al. Mycetoma in the Togolese: an update from a single-center experience. *Mycopathologia*. 2018; 183: 961–965.
- 10. Fahal A, Mahgoub E, El Hassan AM, Abdel-Rahman ME. Mycetoma in the Sudan: an update from the Mycetoma Research Centre, University of Khartoum, Sudan. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2015; 9: e0003679.
- 11. Sow D, Ndiaye M, Sarr L, et al. Mycetoma epidemiology, diagnosis management, and outcome in three hospital centres in Senegal from 2008 to 2018. *PLoS One*. 2020; 15: e0231871.
- Ahmed AA, van de Sande W, Fahal AH. Mycetoma laboratory diagnosis: review article. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2017; 11: e0005638.
- Welsh O, Vera-Cabrera L, Welsh E, Salinas MC. Actinomycetoma and advances in its treatment. *Clin Dermatol.* 2012; 30: 372–381.
- 14. Nenoff P, van de Sande WW, Fahal AH, Reinel D, Schöfer H. Eumycetoma and actinomycetoma-an update on causative agents, epidemiology, pathogenesis, diagnostics and therapy. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol*. 2015; 29: 1873–1883.
- Welsh O, Al-Abdely HM, Salinas-Carmona MC, Fahal AH. Mycetoma medical therapy. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2014; 8: e3218.
- Elkheir LYM, Haroun R, Mohamed MA, Fahal AH. Madurella mycetomatis causing eumycetoma medical treatment: the challenges and prospects. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2020; 14: e0008307.
- 17. Suleiman SH, Wadaella El S, Fahal AH. The surgical treatment of mycetoma. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2016; 10: e0004690.
- 18. Zijlstra EE, van de Sande WW, Fahal AH. Mycetoma: a long journey from neglect. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2016; 10: e0004244.

- 19. World Health Organization. Results of the 2017 global WHO survey on mycetoma. 2018; 93(33): 423–428.
- Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ*. 2021; 372: n71.
- 21. Federhen S. The NCBI taxonomy database. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012; 40: D136–D143.
- 22. Sterne JAC, Savović J, Page MJ, et al. RoB 2: a revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *BMJ*. 2019; 366: 14898.
- 23. Kim SY, Park JE, Lee YJ, et al. Testing a tool for assessing the risk of bias for nonrandomized studies showed moderate reliability and promising validity. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2013; 66: 408–414.
- 24. Bakhiet SM, Fahal AH, Musa AM, et al. A holistic approach to the mycetoma management. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2018; 12: e0006391.
- Batac MCR, Denning D. Serious fungal infections in the Philippines. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2017; 36: 937–941.
- 26. Mhmoud NA, Fahal AH, Mahgoub El S, van de Sande WW. The combination of amoxicillin-clavulanic acid and ketoconazole in the treatment of *Madurella mycetomatis* eumycetoma and *Staphylococcus aureus* co-infection. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2014; 8: e2959.
- 27. Zein HA, Fahal AH, Mahgoub El S, El Hassan TA, Abdel-Rahman ME. Predictors of cure, amputation and follow-up dropout among patients with mycetoma seen at the Mycetoma Research Centre, University of Khartoum, Sudan. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg.* 2012; 106: 639–644.
- 28. Estrada-Castanon R, Estrada-Chavez G, Chavez-Lopez M. Diagnosis and management of fungal neglected tropical diseases In community settings-mycetoma and sporotrichosis. *Trop Med Infect Dis.* 2019; 4: 81.
- 29. Fahal A, Mahgoub el S, El Hassan AM, Jacoub AO, Hassan D. Head and neck mycetoma: the Mycetoma Research Centre experience. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2015; 9: e0003587.
- Kwizera R, Bongomin F, Meya DB, Denning DW, Fahal AH, Lukande R. Mycetoma in Uganda: a neglected tropical disease. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2020; 14: e0008240.
- Omer RF, El Din NS, Rahim FAA, Fahal AH. Hand mycetoma: the Mycetoma Research Centre experience and literature review. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2016; 10: e0004886.
- Abbas M, Scolding PS, Yosif AA, et al. The disabling consequences of mycetoma. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2018; 12: e0007019.
- World Health Organization. WHO fungal priority pathogen list to guide research, development and public health action. 2022; 1–48. ISBN: 978-92-4-006024-1.
- 34. Tervonen T, Gelhorn H, Sri Bhashyam S, et al. MCDA swing weighting and discrete choice experiments for elicitation of patient benefit-risk preferences: a critical assessment. *Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf.* 2017; 26: 1483–1491.
- 35. Thokala P, Devlin N, Marsh K, et al. Multiple criteria decision analysis for health care decision making–an introduction: report 1 of the ISPOR MCDA Emerging Good Practices Task Force. *Value Health*. 2016; 19: 1–13.
- 36. van de Sande WW, Maghoub El S, Fahal AH, Goodfellow M, Welsh O, Zijlstra E. The mycetoma knowledge gap: identification of research priorities. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis*. 2014; 8: e2667.
- Zijlstra EE, van de Sande WWJ, Welsh O, Mahgoub ES, Goodfellow M, Fahal AH. Mycetoma: a unique neglected tropical disease. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2016; 16: 100–112.
- Oladele RO, Akase IE, Fahal AH, et al. Bridging the knowledge gap on mycoses in Africa: setting up a Pan-African mycology Working Group. *Mycoses*. 2020; 63: 244–249.
- Reis CMS, Reis-Filho EGM. Mycetomas: an epidemiological, etiological, clinical, laboratory and therapeutic review. *An Bras Dermatol.* 2018; 93: 8–18.
- 40. Ahmed AO, van de Sande WW, van Vianen W, et al. In vitro susceptibilities of *Madurella mycetomatis* to itraconazole and amphotericin B assessed by a modified NCCLS method and a viability-based 2,3-Bis(2-methoxy-4-nitro-5- sulfophenyl)-5-

[(phenylamino)carbonyl]-2H-tetrazolium hydroxide (XTT) assay. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2004; 48: 2742–2746.

- **41**. Ahmed SA, de Hoog GS, Stevens DA, Fahal AH, van de Sande WW. In vitro antifungal susceptibility of coelomycete agents of black grain eumycetoma to eight antifungals. *Med Mycol*. 2015; 53: 295–301.
- **42**. Ahmed SA, Kloezen W, Duncanson F, et al. *Madurella mycetomatis* is highly susceptible to ravuconazole. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2014; 8: e2942.
- **43**. Ahmed SA, Kloezen W, Fahal AH, de Hoog GS, van de Sande WW. In vitro interaction of currently used azoles with terbinafine against *Madurella mycetomatis*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2015; 59: 1373–1374.
- 44. Kloezen W, Meis JF, Curfs-Breuker I, Fahal AH, van de Sande WW. In vitro antifungal activity of isavuconazole against *Madurella* mycetomatis. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2012; 56: 6054– 6056.
- 45. Lim W, Eadie K, Konings M, et al. *Madurella mycetomatis*, the main causative agent of eumycetoma, is highly susceptible to olorofim. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2020; 75: 936–941.
- 46. van Belkum A, Fahal AH, van de Sande WW. In vitro susceptibility of *Madurella mycetomatis* to posaconazole and terbinafine. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2011; 55: 1771–1773.

- 47. van de Sande WW, Fahal AH, Bakker-Woudenberg IA, van Belkum A. *Madurella mycetomatis* is not susceptible to the echinocandin class of antifungal agents. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2010; 54: 2738–2740.
- 48. van de Sande WW, Luijendijk A, Ahmed AO, Bakker-Woudenberg IA, van Belkum A. Testing of the in vitro susceptibilities of *Madurella mycetomatis* to six antifungal agents by using the Sensititre system in comparison with a viability-based 2,3-bis(2methoxy-4-nitro-5-sulfophenyl)-5- [(phenylamino)carbonyl]-2Htetrazolium hydroxide (XTT) assay and a modified NCCLS method. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2005; 49: 1364–1368.
- 49. van de Sande WWJ. In vitro susceptibility testing for black grain eumycetoma causative agents. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg.* 2021; 115: 343–354.
- 50. Lim W, Nyuykonge B, Eadie K, et al. Screening the pandemic response box identified benzimidazole carbamates, olorofim and ravuconazole as promising drug candidates for the treatment of eumycetoma. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2022; 16: e0010159.
- 51. (DNDi) DfNDi. World's first clinical trial for devastating fungal disease mycetoma shows efficacy of new, promising treatment. https://dndi.org/press-releases/2023/worlds-first-clinical-trialfor-mycetoma-shows-efficacy-new-promising-treatment/2023 (accessed 3 December 2023).

17

Received: September 16, 2023. Revised: December 14, 2023. Accepted: April 25, 2024

© The Author(s) 2024. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of The International Society for Human and Animal Mycology. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact <email>journals.permissions@oup.com