environmenta

Environmental Microbiology (2018) 20(1), 324–336 doi:10.1111/1462-2920.14000

Sporulation capability and amylosome conservation among diverse human colonic and rumen isolates of the keystone starch-degrader Ruminococcus bromii

Indrani Mukhopadhya,¹ Sarah Moraïs,^{2†} Jenny Laverde-Gomez,¹ Paul O. Sheridan,¹ Alan W. Walker D[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5099-8495)¹ William Kelly,³ Athol V. Klieve, 4,5 Diane Ouwerkerk, 5,6 Sylvia H. Duncan,¹ Petra Louis,¹ Nicole Koropatkin,⁷ Darrell Cockburn,⁷ Ryan Kibler,⁷ Philip J. Cooper,⁸ Carlos Sandoval,⁸ Emmanuelle Crost,⁹ Nathalie Juge,⁹ Edward A. Bayer² and Harry J. Flint^{1*} ¹Microbiology Group, The Rowett Institute, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, UK. ²Department of Biomolecular Sciences, The Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel. ³AgResearch Limited, Grasslands Research Centre, Palmerston North 4442, New Zealand. ⁴School of Agriculture and Food Sciences, The University of Queensland, QLD, St Lucia, Australia. ⁵Queensland Alliance for Agriculture and Food Innovation, The University of Queensland, QLD, St Lucia, Australia. ⁶Department of Agriculture and Fisheries, Agri-Science Queensland, Brisbane, QLD, Australia. ⁷Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, MI, USA. 8Hospital Cantonal "Padre Alberto Buffoni", Avenida 3 de Julio y Victor Villegas, Quininde, Esmeraldas Province, Ecuador. ⁹The Gut Health and Food Safety Institute Strategic Programme, Institute of Food Research, Norwich, UK.

Summary

Ruminococcus bromii is a dominant member of the human colonic microbiota that plays a 'keystone' role in degrading dietary resistant starch. Recent evidence from one strain has uncovered a unique cell

surface 'amylosome' complex that organizes starchdegrading enzymes. New genome analysis presented here reveals further features of this complex and shows remarkable conservation of amylosome components between human colonic strains from three different continents and a R. bromii strain from the rumen of Australian cattle. These R. bromii strains encode a narrow spectrum of carbohydrate active enzymes (CAZymes) that reflect extreme specialization in starch utilization. Starch hydrolysis products are taken up mainly as oligosaccharides, with only one strain able to grow on glucose. The human strains, but not the rumen strain, also possess transporters that allow growth on galactose and fructose. R. bromii strains possess a full complement of sporulation and spore germination genes and we demonstrate the ability to form spores that survive exposure to air. Spore formation is likely to be a critical factor in the ecology of this nutritionally highly specialized bacterium, which was previously regarded as 'non-sporing', helping to explain its widespread occurrence in the gut microbiota through the ability to transmit between hosts.

Introduction

Ruminococcaceae are an important family of Firmicutes bacteria within gut microbial communities (La Reau et al., 2016). These bacteria account for around 20% of the healthy human colonic microbiota based on molecular surveys (Suau et al., 2001) with two species, Faecalibacterium prausnitzii and Ruminococcus bromii, among the four most abundant contributors to the human faecal metagenome in European adults (Zhernakova et al., 2016). While F. prausnitzii in particular has been implicated in health maintenance (Sokol et al., 2008) a scarcity of cultured isolates, partly due to fastidious growth requirements (Herbeck and Bryant, 1974; Ze et al., 2012) has limited the information available on other Ruminococcaceae. R. bromii is thought to be a specialist starch-utilizing bacterium, with at least one strain showing superior ability to degrade insoluble starches when compared with other amylolytic

 $©$ 2017 The Authors. Environmental Microbiology published by Society for Applied Microbiology and John Wiley & Sons Ltd. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received 13 September, 2017; revised 25 October, 2017; accepted 16 November, 2017. *For correspondence. E-mail: h.flint@abdn.ac. uk; Tel: 00441224712751; Fax +441224 438699. Present address: Faculty of Natural Sciences, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva 8499000, Israel.

human gut bacteria (Ze et al., 2012). Resistant starch (RS), i.e., dietary starch that escapes digestion by host amylases, often provides the largest single source of energy for microbial growth in the human colon and its fermentation is considered to provide health benefits (Nugent, 2005). Significantly, the relative abundance of R. bromii 16S rRNA gene sequences has been found to increase rapidly and dramatically in faecal samples from human volunteers after switching onto diets high in RS (Walker et al., 2011; Salonen et al., 2014), indicating an outstanding ability to compete for RS in vivo. Similar rapid population increases have been reported for R. bromii within the rumen microbiota in cattle fed starch-enriched diets (Klieve et al., 2007). The primary role played by R. bromii in releasing energy from RS to other members of the microbial community, and the drop in RS fermentation when this species is absent from the community, justifies designating it as a 'keystone' species within the human colonic microbiota (Ze et al., 2012; 2013).

An initial investigation into the amylases of the human R. bromii strain L2–63 concluded that several starchdegrading enzymes are organized into unique multienzyme complexes that we have termed 'amylosomes', which may explain the exceptional RS-degrading activity of this strain (Ze et al., 2015). Amylosome complexes are assembled via interactions between dockerin and cohesin modules present in enzymes and structural proteins (scaffoldins) (Ze et al., 2015) in a manner suggested by the organization of cellulosome complexes implicated in bacterial degradation of lignocellulose (Bayer et al., 2008). Highly elaborate forms of cellulosome organization are found in the related rumen cellulolytic species Ruminococcus flavefaciens (Ding et al., 2001; Rincon et al., 2010) and in the human cellulolytic species Ruminococcus champanellensis (Ben David et al., 2015; Moraïs et al., 2016). Amylosome organization has been demonstrated so far only in R. bromii L2–63 however and its significance and occurrence across other strains of R. bromii is unknown. Indeed, with only a single genome available for analysis until now there has been no information on genetic variation and limited opportunity to probe the microbial ecology of this species. Here we examine the genomic and phenotypic characteristics of four human R. bromii strains of diverse origin and of one rumen R. bromii strain. This investigation reveals remarkable new insights into the conservation of systems involved in substrate utilization and degradation and into survival mechanisms in this important, but little known, gut symbiont.

Results

Comparative genomics of R. bromii strains

Draft genomes were obtained here for three human R. bromii strains L2-36, 5AMG, ATCC27255 and for the rumen

Comparative genomics of Ruminococcus bromii 325

strain YE282. The existing draft genome of the human strain R. bromii L2-63 was re-annotated for comparison (Supporting Information Table S1, Fig. 1, Experimental procedures). Genome size estimates for the four human strains (2151–2400 kb) are slightly lower than for the rumen YE282 strain (2539 kb). The genomic sequences of the R. bromii human strains share 95%–100% average nucleotide identity with each other and 86% identity with the rumen strain. Genomes of L2–63, L2–36, 5AMG, ATCC27255 and YE282 R. bromii strains were compared using BLAST and the atlases were generated using Blast Ring Image generator (BRIG) software (Fig. 1A). A pangenome analysis identified 735 core genes common to all 5 strains, with R. bromii YE282 possessing by far the highest number (1561) of unique genes thus establishing its divergence from the human strains (Fig. 1B, Supporting Information Fig. S1, Data File S1).

Conservation of glycoside hydrolases and carbohydratebinding modules among R. bromii strains

The genomes of the four human R. bromii strains encode between 24 and 26 glycoside hydrolases (GHs), and the rumen strain YE282 28 GHs (Supporting Information Table S2), with no polysaccharide lyases detectable at the known polypeptide sequence level. This represents an unusually limited CAZyme repertoire for a carbohydrateutilizing gut bacterium (El Kaoutari et al., 2013) with the great majority of CAZymes belonging to family GH13, and to families (GH23, GH24, GH25) that encode lysozymes (Fig. 1C) although the GH23 family is also known to encode chitinases. Among the families that are represented, GH13, GH31 and GH77 enzymes are mostly concerned with starch utilization (Fig. 1C). 17 GH13 enzymes were found in all four human R. bromii genomes and 15 in the rumen strain YE282 which lacked homologues of Amy3 and Amy7 (Table 1). With three exceptions, genes encoding GH13 enzymes were not clustered (Fig. 1A). Phylogenetic analysis revealed remarkable conservation of homologous GH13 sequences as shown in Fig. 2 for the 9 extracellular GH13 enzymes that carry N-terminal signal peptides. Furthermore CBM26 modules that have been implicated in starch binding (Boraston et al., 2006) are present in the same 7 extracellular GH13 proteins in all five strains (Table 1).

The four human R. bromii genomes, but not the rumen YE282 strain, encode multiple copies of a novel family of accessory ('X') module closest in sequence to CBM37 (Xu et al., 2004) (Supporting Information Table S2). In contrast to R. albus CBM37-like modules (Xu et al., 2004), none of the R. bromii X modules was found in association with GH domains, cohesins or dockerins. Furthermore, bioinformatic analysis of 50 X modules from R. bromii strains revealed that they belong to a phylogenetic clade distinct

^{© 2017} The Authors. Environmental Microbiology published by Society for Applied Microbiology and John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Environmental Microbiology, 20, 324–336

Fig. 1. Comparison of five R. bromii genomes.

A. Pan-genome analysis of core, accessory and unique genes among five R. bromii strains. A total of 735 core orthologous groups (OGs), 1456 variable OGs and 2240 unique genes were detected in the R. bromii pan-genome. Overall, the R. bromii YE282 strain genome has the highest number of unique genes (1561) compared to the human R. bromii genomes suggesting that these may be associated with colonization of the rumen.

B. Genomic comparison of four R. bromii genomes to reference strain ATCC27255. Diagram represents BLASTn results of each genome against ATCC2755 strain with results rendered using the BRIG program. The inner circle represents the reference genome R. bromii ATCC27255. Each genome is colour coded as indicated by the legend. Relative shading density (from darker to lighter) within each circle represents relative levels of nucleotide homology. White regions indicate regions with no identity to the reference. The location of genes encoding GH13 enzymes (Amy1–17) is also indicated.

C. Representation (number per genome) of glycoside hydrolase families encoded by R. bromii genomes.

from the CBM37 modules of R. albus (Supporting Information Fig. S2). Several of these new R. bromii X modules are adjacent to domains annotated as invasin/intimin cell adhesion fragments, cell wall hydrolase/ autolysins and a L,D-transpeptidase.

New insights into amylosome structure and conservation of amylosome components

The formation of enzyme complexes (amylosomes) in R. bromii L2–63 was previously inferred from the interactions of dockerin modules present in four extracellular GH13 enzymes with cohesin modules found in four scaffoldin proteins (Ze et al., 2015). The present analysis identifies a fifth dockerin-containing GH13 enzyme, Amy16, and shows that the same five dockerin-carrying

enzymes (Amy4, Amy9, Amy10, Amy12 and Amy16) are encoded by all five R. bromii strains (Table 1, Supporting Information Data File S2). Not only the GH13 sequences, but also the size and modular organization of these five enzymes show remarkable conservation between all five strains. Notably, the Amy4 enzyme carries both a dockerin and cohesin module in all strains, although the YE282 enzyme differs in carrying two cohesins and there is some variation also in the number of CBM26 modules carried. Comparison of the activities of the Amy16, Amy4 and Amy9 enzymes from R. bromii L2–63 following expression in E. coli showed that they acted as α –(1,4)- amylases with no activity against pullulan, indicating a lack of activity against α -(1,6)-linked glucose residues (Supporting Information Fig. S3). In contrast, recombinant Amy10 and Amy12 enzymes act as Table 1. Conserved modular organization of Ruminococcus bromii gene products that include GH13 glycoside hydrolase domains.

a. Amy3 and Amy7 were absent in rumen strain YE282.

b. absent in YE282.

c. 3 modules present in YE282.

d. only present in YE282.

SP, signal peptide; CBM, carbohydrate binding module; GH, glycoside hydrolase, DUF, domain of unknown function; MucBP, Mucin binding protein.

Fig. 2. Phylogenetic tree based on the amino acid sequences of GH13 catalytic domains of the 9 extracellular GH13 enzymes (that carry signal peptides) from 5 R. bromii strains. The sequences of the GH13 genes shown here from the five

R. bromii strains fall into 9 significant clusters, with sequences from the four human R. bromii strains clustering more closely with each other than the YE282 rumen strain. YE282 sequences are identified here by their numerical identifiers in the JGI database, whereas genes from the human strains are prefixed by the strain designation. Boxes indicate GH13 domains that are associated with dockerins. Bootstrap values, expressed as a percentage of 1000 replications, are given at the branching nodes. This tree is unrooted and reconstructed using the maximum-likelihood method. The scale bar refers to the number of amino acid differences per position. Similar clustering was also observed for the remaining GH13 enzymes listed in Table 1 that are common to all five strains (not shown).

pullulanases that are more active against pullulan than against glycogen and show minimal activity against α -(1,4) linkages.

A fifth scaffoldin, Sca5 was also detected here in the re-annotated L2–63 genome. This comprises two similar modules (51% amino-acid identity) of unknown function, two cohesins and a C-terminal sortase signal and therefore represents a second potential mode of anchorage, along with Sca2 (Ze et al., 2015), to the bacterial cell surface. Bioinformatic analysis (BLASTP) using L2–63 cohesins and dockerins as queries showed that five similar scaffoldin proteins are present in all five strains (Supporting Information Fig. S4). A cohesin from R. bromii L2–63 Sca5 was expressed as a fusion protein in E . coli to determine its interactions with selected dockerins. The Sca5 cohesin bound strongly to dockerins from Amy4, Amy12 and Amy9, but only weakly to those from Amy10 and Amy16 (Fig. 3A and B). The Amy16 dockerin did however exhibit strong binding activity for the cohesins from Amy4 (Sca1) and Sca3 (Fig. 3A and B). We also investigated the interaction of a GFP-tagged dockerin from R. bromii L2-63 Amy4 (GFP-doc-13a) with R. bromii L2–63 cells. Since dockerin: cohesin interactions require calcium, cells were first treated with EDTA to disrupt protein complexes; subsequent incubation with GFP-doc-13a showed binding in the presence but not in the absence of calcium (Fig. 3C, D, and E), thus strongly supporting the hypothesis that binding of Amy4 to the cell surface occurs through dockerin:cohesin interactions. Based on these new data we can now propose a comprehensive scheme for the R. bromii L2–63

Fig. 3. Cohesin-dockerin binding measured by ELISA.

A. A microtiter plate was coated with XyndocAmy16. Positive interactions of the Amy16 dockerin were observed with coh1 and 3; coh1, 2, 3 and 4 are from Sca1 (Amy4), 2, 3 and 4, respectively.

B. ELISA plates were coated with CBM-Coh from Sca5. Positive interactions of the cohesin from Sca5 were observed with Xyndocs 14720, 16032, Amy4, Amy12 and Amy9, low interaction was also observed with Xyndoc Amy10. (Please note that to allow comparison the CBL numbering for Xyndocs given here is consistent with that given previously (Ze et al., 2015) and does not refer to the L2–63 genome reannotation). Error bars indicate SD from the mean of duplicate samples from one experiment.

C. Recombinant gfp-Amy4doc protein incubated with EDTA-pretreated, washed R. bromii L2–63 cells in the presence of Ca²⁺. (D) as (C) but in the absence of Ca^{2+}

E. R. bromii L2–63 cells in the absence of gfp-amy4doc.

amylases and pullulanases

Fig. 4. Updated model for cell-bound and cell-free amylosome complexes in R. bromii L2–63. The Amy4 and Amy9 enzymes are likely to bind to the cell surface via the Sca2 scaffoldin protein. Amy4 has then the potential to self-aggregate through interactions between its own cohesin and dockerin or to integrate Amy9, Amy10 or Amy16. Further complexes are likely to form between the Amy4, Amy9, Amy10, Amy12, Amy16 and either the Sca3 or Sca4 proteins to form cell-free amylosomes. In addition, Amy4, Amy9, Amy10, Amy12 can be integrated to the first cohesin of Sca5 and attached to the cell-surface. The binding specificities of cohesin modules of the second Sca5 cohesin (shown in light grey) have yet to be determined.

amylosome that includes Amy16 and a presumed role for the Sca5 scaffoldin whose C-terminal sortase signal indicates that is attached to the cell surface (Fig. 4).

We also compared the ability of R. bromii strains to utilize RS. The genomes of all five strains indicated a very limited capacity for vitamin synthesis, with only niacin predicted to be synthesized (Magnúsdóttir et al., 2015). For this reason the growth tests were carried out in rumen fluid (M2) medium which was used for the initial strain isolations (Herbeck and Bryant, 1974; Ze et al., 2015). Consistent with the conservation of their amylase systems, the five R. bromii strains showed a similar ability to utilize pre-boiled resistant starches. The disappearance of total sugar after 48 h incubation ranged from 77% to 89% for RS2 (mean 82.4%) and from 71% to 87% for RS3 (mean 78.9%) (Fig. 5A and B). These figures slightly underestimate the extent of degradation since there was some accumulation of unabsorbed reducing sugar, as noted previously for R. bromii L2–63 (Ze et al., 2012).

Capacity for sugar utilization by Ruminococcus bromii strains

The five R. bromii genomes encode limited sets of carbohydrate transporters. An ABC transport system predicted to take up maltose and maltodextrins, the major products of starch hydrolysis, is encoded by genes upstream of the Amy5 amylase (Supporting Information Fig. S5). Separately, linked malP and malQ genes encode maltodextrin phosphorylase and a GH77 glucanotransferase, suggesting that maltose is metabolized via a phosphorylase/glucanotransferase cycle similar to that in E. coli (Boos and Shuman, 1998). A fructose-specific phosphotransferase gene cluster (Supporting Information Fig. S5) and a galactose utilization gene cluster including a $Na⁺$ galactoside symporter (melB) are also present in the four human R. bromii genomes (Fig. 5C). The ability to grow with galactose as sole energy source, not previously identified in this species, was confirmed experimentally, while only strain L2–36 grew with glucose as sole energy source (Fig. 5D). In contrast, the genome of the rumen strain YE282 lacks a close homologue of melB and carries an incomplete fructose utilization cluster. YE282 grew well on maltose and starch but failed to utilize any of the monosaccharides tested suggesting that it must rely solely on the uptake of oligosaccharides (Fig. 5D).

Sporulation, spore survival and germination

The R. bromii L2–63 genome encodes 73 genes with inferred functions in sporulation and spore germination. These include the key regulator Spo0A, along with sporulation sigma factors (σH , σF , σE , σG and σK) and their regulators [the spoIIAA, spoIIGA and spoIIIA operons (Hutchison et al., 2014)] and regulatory proteins (soj and

Fig. 5. Carbohydrate utilization in R. bromii strains. Total sugar utilized and the concentration of free soluble reducing sugar (glucose equivalents) are shown after 48 h incubation in M2 medium containing (A) RS2 (High-maize 958) or (B) RS3 starch (Novelose 330). Data plotted in graphs are the mean \pm SD OD readings (OD₄₉₀ for total sugar assay and OD₄₁₅ for reducing sugar assay) of three biological replicates and three technical replicates for each time-point studied for each strain. (C) Organization of the galactose operon in the human R. bromii strains (P indicates likely promoters and the hairpin indicates the likely transcriptional terminator). (D) Growth of the five R. bromii strains with soluble potato starch, maltose, fructose, galactose, lactose, glucose and arabinose (0.2% w/v) as sole sources of carbon. Data plotted in graphs are the mean OD_{650} readings of three replicates of each strain grown on different sugar substrates. As the SD values were very small in all cases they were not plotted on to the graph.

spo0J families) involved in chromosome partitioning (Quisel and Grossman, 2000) (Fig. 6A, Supporting Information Data File S2). These 73 genes were also detected in R . bromii L2-36 and their products were identical in sequence. R. bromii 5AMG, ATCC27255 and YE282 lack 3, 8 and 6 of these genes and the corresponding gene products share 98%–100%, 81%–100% and 30%–98% amino acid identity, respectively, with L2– 63 homologues (Supporting Information Data File S2). The majority of core sporulation genes described recently in Clostridium difficile strain 630 and Bacillus subtilis strain 168 (Browne et al., 2016) are present in the five R. bromii genomes.

We tested the sporulation capabilities of R. bromii L2-63 strain by subjecting cultures pre-grown for 72 h in M2S liquid medium to heat inactivation (80 $^{\circ}$ C for 20 min) and exposure to air. Restoration of anaerobic conditions resulted in good growth (OD_{650} $>$ 0.6) after 24 h incubation in liquid M2S medium containing 0.1% sodium taurocholate. This indicated germination of functional spores that had survived these conditions and 16S rRNA gene sequencing confirmed the identity of the re-grown cultures as R. bromii. R. bromii endospores were detected by TEM in images obtained after heat treatment of 72 h and 30 day old cultures. R. bromii cells showed diffuse cell surface features after 72 h growth in liquid M2S medium (Fig. 6B), whereas endospore-like structures were detected in cells grown for 30 days (Fig. 6C and D) along with release of endospores from R. bromii cells (Fig. 6E and F).

Indications of horizontal gene transfer

An 8-gene vancomycin resistance cluster (Depardieu et al., 2003) was detected in R. bromii L2–63 and L2–36 but not in 5AMG, ATCC27255 or YE282 (Supporting Information Fig. S6AB). All four human R. bromii strains were however fully inhibited by vancomycin at 1 μ g/ml, while growth on 0.4 μ g/ml vancomycin was similar for all five strains, showing that resistance was not expressed (Supporting Information Fig. S6C). The regions flanking the VanG cluster contain genes (XerC site-specific recombinase, TraC, VirB4 and VirD4 Type IV secretion) that suggest acquisition through conjugative-transposon-like elements. Unambiguous CRISPR arrays were found in three of the 5 R. bromii strains (ATCC27255, 5AMG and YE282) and possible CRISPR structures in all 5 strains. CRISPR structures are also prevalent in related Ruminococcus species (Berg Miller et al., 2012; Wegmann et al., 2014).

Discussion

The organization of carbohydrate-degrading enzyme systems and transporters in polysaccharide-utilizing microorganisms appears fundamental to their niche specificity and to their competitive ability within the microbial community (Flint et al., 2008). In R. bromii the unique organization of starch-degrading enzymes into extracellular amylosome complexes is proposed to underpin the exceptional ability to degrade dietary resistant starches (Ze et al., 2012; 2013). We have now shown that R. bromii L2–63 encodes

Comparative genomics of Ruminococcus bromii 331

Fig. 6. Sporulation gene signature and TEM of R. bromii sporulation. (A) A set of 65 genes with known sporulation function were detected in the genome of R. bromii L2–63 strain. These genes corresponded to: initiation of spore formation (Stage I), asymmetrical division into a larger mother cell and a smaller forespore (Stage II), engulfment of the forespore by the mother cell (Stage III), formation of the spore cortex (Stage IV), deposition of the spore coat (Stage V) followed by lysis of the mother cell and release of the endospore (Stage VI). A set of 8 genes related to germination of the endospore were also detected. Spo0A, which is a critical transcription factor to initiate sporulation and the specialized sporulation sigma factors (oH, oF, oE, oG and oK; small proteins that direct RNA polymerase to specific sites on DNA to initiate gene expression) along with the regulators of these sigma factors (such as spoIIAA, spoIIGA and the spoIIIA operon) were also present. Key regulatory proteins involved in chromosome partitioning, belonging to the soj (parA_1, parA_2, parA_3,) and spo0J (parB_1, parB_2, parB_3, parB_4, parB_5) families were also detected. In the absence of spoJ, soj is known to negatively regulate expression of several sporulation genes by binding to the promoter regions and inhibiting transcription indicating a tightly regulated energy-intensive process for survival. Comparison with core sporulation and germination related genes from Clostridium difficile strain 630 and Bacillus subtilis strain 168 described recently by Browne and colleagues (2016) showed that all the key sporulation genes were present. (B) TEM image of a R. bromii L2–63 strain after 72 h growth on M2S medium. (C and D) Endospores were visible from R. bromii cells (E) Release of endospores from R. bromii after lysis of the mother cell. (F) Endospores released into the surrounding medium.

five dockerin-carrying amylases and five cohesin-carrying scaffoldins (one of which, Amy4, is also an amylase) that participate in these complexes. Two scaffoldins (Sca2, Sca5) carry C terminal sortase signals likely to mediate attachment to the cell surface and a gfp-tagged dockerin from Amy4 (that recognizes cohesins in Sca2 and Sca5) was shown here to bind R. bromii L2-63 cells in a calciumdependent manner. Our evidence suggests the formation of alternative cell surface and cell-free scaffoldin-based amylase complexes (Fig. 4).

We find that the modular organization of the five dockerin-carrying amylases and of the five scaffoldin proteins is very highly conserved across the five available R. bromii strains. These include human strains from the USA (ATCC27255), Ecuador (5AMG) and the UK (L2–63, L2–36), but also a rumen strain from Australia (YE282). While the rumen strain shows the most divergence, its amylosome components nevertheless share the main features found in their homologues in the human strains.

This degree of similarity points to the likelihood of an early evolutionary origin for such complexes among specialist starch-utilizing bacteria that colonized the animal gut that predates acquisition of these bacteria by humans. Starch present naturally in the diets of hind-gut fermenting animals will be in the raw state, making it more resistant to the action of mammalian amylases than is starch present in cooked foods consumed by modern day humans. In pre-gastric fermenting ruminants, raw starch present in ingested feed is exposed only to salivary amylases before entering the microbial community of the rumen. Thus the evolution of sophisticated microbial systems capable of degrading a wide range of starches including raw starch particles in the animal gut may well explain the high particulate starch-degrading capacity of human R. bromii strains. The significance of fructose and galactose utilization by the human strains, although not in the rumen strain, is unknown, but suggests that these sugars might provide alternatives to starch as an energy source in the human

332 I. Mukhopadhya et al.

colon. Some *Ruminococcus* strains from the rumen have been shown to possess an unusual transformation mechanism mediated by membrane vesicles that also contain cellulosome complexes (Klieve et al., 2005). In view of this the possibility that horizontal gene transfer might be mediated by amylosome-containing membrane vesicles in Ruminococcus bromii deserves investigation.

Until recently, most of the predominant anaerobic gut bacteria found in gut communities were regarded as 'nonsporing'. The detection of large sets of sporulation genes in many of these species (Browne et al., 2016) has however called this assumption into question. We have shown here that all five R. bromii strains possess a complete set of genes required for spore formation and spore germination. In the presence of taurocholate as an inducer of spore germination (Browne et al., 2016), R. bromii L2-63 was able to recover from 80°C heat treatment and exposure to air, conditions that kill vegetative cells but are resisted through spore formation. Spore formation has profound consequences for gut microbial ecology as it may help to explain the acquisition of this strictly anaerobic species in human infants around the time of weaning. Sporulation must also increase the potential for acquisition of strains from environmental sources, even in adults; thus although Ruminococcus spp. are strongly associated with gut communities rather than non-host-associated environments (La Reau et al., 2016) this does not preclude their transmission between hosts via spores of faecal origin. It has been proposed that for human populations in many industrialized countries gut microbiota diversity is decreasing with each generation as members of more diverse (typically rural) microbial communities are lost (Bokulich et al., 2016). The transmissibility of key anaerobic species between hosts appears crucial in assessing this hypothesis.

As one of the most dominant bacterial species in the human colon, R. bromii has the potential to influence host physiology and health via primary and secondary metabolite production (Donia et al., 2014) and via interactions with the immune system. Furthermore since we know that diet composition has a profound impact on the population of this nutritionally specialized species, it is essential to gain a better understanding of its impact on the host. This has to start with better understanding of the microbial ecology, physiology and genomics of this little studied bacterium and this work represents an important step towards this.

Experimental procedures

Bacterial isolates and growth medium

Human R. bromii isolates include ATCC2755 (Moore et al., 1972) and two strains (L2–63 and L2–36) isolated from a healthy male UK child (Barcenilla, 1999; Ze et al., 2012) with approval from Grampian Research Ethics (project no 00/ 00133). Strain 5AMG strain was isolated at the Rowett from a

faecal sample of a healthy individual from rural Ecuador. The rumen strain YE282 was isolated from a steer fed on high grain diet from Australia (Klieve et al., 2007) Strains were routinely maintained in M2GSC medium containing 30% clarified bovine rumen fluid and 0.75% agar (Miyazaki et al., 1997) in Hungate tubes (7.5 ml aliquots). M2 medium supplemented with 0.2% carbohydrate (Miyazaki et al., 1997) was used for growth tests based on OD_{650} of triplicate cultures. M2S medium contained 0.2% soluble potato starch.

Resistant starch utilization

Hi-maize 958 is a natural RS2 corn starch and Novelose 330 a retrograded RS3 corn starch (Ze et al., 2012) Raw starch solutions (2% wt per vol) were boiled for 10 min before addition to M2 medium (final concentration 0.2%). Total sugar was determined by the phenol sulfuric acid assay (Dubois et al., 1956) and reducing sugar according to (Lever, 1977).

Sequencing, assembly and annotation of Ruminococcus bromii draft genomes

Overnight cultures of R. bromii human strains were centrifuged at 14 000 X g for 10 min and genomic DNA extracted from cell pellets using FastDNA® Spin Kit for Soil (MP Biomedicals, Cambridge, UK). Sequencing of the R. bromii L2– 63 genome was done at the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute, Cambridge UK, by the Pathogen Genomic group ([http://www.](http://www.sanger.ac.uk/resources/downloads/bacteria/metahit) [sanger.ac.uk/resources/downloads/bacteria/metahit/](http://www.sanger.ac.uk/resources/downloads/bacteria/metahit)) and of the R. bromii YE282 genome at the U.S. Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute (DOE JGI, California) as part of the Hungate 1000 project. These sequences were publicly available. The R. bromii L2–63 genome was re-annotated using Prokka (Seemann, 2014) which resulted in an increase of 14.2% in identified coding sequences (CDS) to a total of 2111 compared with 1811 reported previously (Ze et al., 2015).

R. bromii strains L2–36, 5AMG and ATCC2755 were newly sequenced at the Earlham Institute (Norwich, UK), using Illumina HiSeq (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) generating paired end reads with read lengths of 50 bp. Libraries were prepared from genomic DNA using the KAPA high throughout Library Prep Kit (Part No: KK8234). Genomic DNA sonicated to an average size of 500 bp, end repaired, tailed, adaptor-ligated, fractionated, purified and enriched. The constructed libraries were normalized and equimolar amounts pooled into one final pool of 7.7 nM (8.1 nM for strain 5AMG) using elution buffer (Qiagen). The library pools were then diluted, transferred into a 200 µl strip tube, spiked with 1% PhiX Control and placed on ice before loading onto the Illumina cBot. Template hybridization and first extension was carried out on the cBot utilizing the TruSeq Rapid PE Cluster Kit v1 or HiSeq Rapid PE Cluster Kit v2 prior to the flowcell being transferred onto the HiSeq2500 for the remainder of the clustering process. The sequencing chemistry used was TruSeq Rapid SBS Kit v1 or HiSeq Rapid SBS Kit v2 using HiSeq Control Software 2.2.58 and RTA 1.18.64. The library pool was run in a single lane for 50 cycles of each paired end read. Reads in bcl format were de-multiplexed based on the 6 bp Illumina index by CASAVA

^{© 2017} The Authors. Environmental Microbiology published by Society for Applied Microbiology and John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Environmental Microbiology, 20, 324–336

1.8, allowing for a one base-pair mismatch per library, and converted to FASTQ format by bcl2fastq.

The raw data reads were aligned against the R. bromii L2-63 genome using the mapping tool Bowtie2 (version 2.2.6). De-novo assembly of the three R. bromii strains used ABYSS (version 1.9.0). Assemblies were annotated using Prokka (version1.7.2)(Seemann, 2014) and employing Pfam (Punta et al., 2012), Prosite (Sigrist et al., 2010) and RNAmmer (Lagesen et al., 2007) to identify protein families and functional protein sites and rRNA.

Data availability

This Whole Genome Shotgun project has been deposited at DDBJ/ENA/GenBank under the accession numbers NPHY00000000 (R. bromii L2–36 strain), NNSR00000000 (R. bromii ATCC27255 strain) and NNBY00000000 (R. bromii 5AMG strain). The version described in this article is version NPHY01000000, NNSR01000000 and NNBY01000000 respectively.

Genome analysis

Blast Ring Image generator (BRIG) software was used for genome comparisons (Alikhan et al., 2011). Pangenome analysis used BPGA software Version 1.3 (Chaudhari et al., 2016). Orthologous genes (OGs) present in all five R. bromii genomes were defined as core genes, sequences with orthologues present in 2–4 strains were considered as variable or accessory genes and sequences that were found only in one strain were considered unique genes. Analysis of the Carbohydrate-active enzymes (CAZymes) relied on the CAZY website [\(http://www.cazy.org](http://www.cazy.org)/) supplemented by Hidden Markov models (Sheridan et al., 2016). Cohesin and dockerin sequences were predicted using the BLASTP and tBLASTn algorithm (Altschul et al., 1997) utilizing known dockerin and cohesin query sequences. Hits of E -value $< 10^{-4}$ were individually examined. Signal Peptide sequences were predicted using the SIGNALP server [\(http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/](http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP) [SignalP/](http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP)). ClustalW was used for multiple sequence alignments and phylogenetic trees constructed by the maximumlikelihood method, using MEGA7.0 software (Kumar et al., 2016). Promoter sequences were identified using BPROM (<http://www.softberry.com>) and Rho-independent transcription terminators predicted using ARNold ([http://rna.igmors.u-psud.](http://rna.igmors.u-psud.fr/toolbox/arnold/index.php) [fr/toolbox/arnold/index.php](http://rna.igmors.u-psud.fr/toolbox/arnold/index.php)). Antibiotic resistance genes were analysed through the Comprehensive Antibiotic Resistance Database (CARD) (version 1.0.3) using BLASTP (McArthur et al., 2013). Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats (CRISPR) arrays were detected by CRISPRFinder web tool (Grissa et al., 2007).

Expression of cohesins and dockerins in E. coli

Cloning of the xylanase fused-dockerin from Amy16, GFP fused-dockerin from Amy4 (GFP-doc-13a) and CBM-fused Sca5 cohesin, expression in *E.coli* BL21 (DE3) cells and purification of the recombinant proteins were performed following previously published protocol (Barak et al., 2005). Primers

Comparative genomics of Ruminococcus bromii 333

used in this study are listed in Supporting Information Table S3.

Expression of R. bromii amylases in E. coli

Full length Amy4, Amy9 and Amy16 sequences were amplified from genomic DNA using the primers listed in Supporting Information Table S3 and cloned into the vectors indicated. Following transformation into E. coli BL21 (DE3) and overexpression (Ben David et al., 2015), 6His-tagged products were purified and their activities against alpha-glucan substrates (Supporting Information Fig. S3AB) assayed by reducing sugar release (Ze et al., 2015). The Amy10 and Amy12 sequences were amplified from genomic DNA to express the soluble portions of the proteins minus the signal peptides or dockerin sequences. These gene fragments were cloned into the LIC vector pETite-Chis (Lucigen, Madison, WI) to include a 6His tag at the C-terminus as well as a TEV cleavage site. The proteins were expressed in Rosetta (DE3) $pLysS$ cells, purified via $Ni²⁺$ -affinity chromatography, and the 6His tag removed via TEV using methodology previously described (Cockburn et al., 2015). Activity on 0.3% or 0.075% pullulan, and 0.3% glycogen was measured in triplicate (Supporting Information Fig. S3C). For activity assays with polysaccharide substrates the production of free reducing ends was monitored using the bicinchoninic acid (BCA) method (Waffenschmidt and Jaenicke, 1987; Cockburn et al., 2015). Assay substrates were: potato starch (Sigma S2004); corn starch (Sigma S4180); glycogen (Sigma G8751); Novelose 330 (National Starch & Chemical); pullulan (Megazyme).

Binding assays

Cohesin-dockerin binding was measured by ELISA (Barak et al., 2005). The interaction between the GFP-tagged Amy4 dockerin (GFP-doc-13a) protein and R. bromii cells was assessed using 24 h cultures of R. bromii L2–63 grown in M2S medium (OD_{650} =0.6). After centrifugation the cell bacterial pellet was washed with sterile PBS and divided into three separate tubes. In the first tube, the pellet was re-suspended in PBS, treated with 10 mM EDTA and washed with PBS three times. Cells were then treated with GFP-doc-13a protein (40 μ I) along with 10mM calcium and incubated for 1 h at room temperature on a rotating platform. The cells were then washed with PBS three times to eliminate any unbound protein, centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 10 min, re-suspended in PBS, smeared on microscope slides, air-dried, overlaid with Vectashield anti-fade mounting Medium (Vector Laboratories, Peterborough, UK) and examined with a Zeiss Axio Observer Z1 inverted fluorescent microscope (Carl Zeiss, Cambridge, UK) using a Zeiss 10 FITC filter. The R. bromii pellet from the second tube was treated exactly as the first tube but without the addition of calcium. The third tube was a control where the bacterial pellet was simply washed in PBS.

Spore germination assay

R. bromii L2–63 cultures grown for 72 h were heated to 80° C for 20 min to kill vegetative cells. Subsequently, the cells were exposed to air, pelleted by centrifugation, washed in sterile

334 I. Mukhopadhya et al.

PBS and used to inoculate fresh M2S medium containing 0.1% sodium taurocholate. Inoculated tubes were incubated anaerobically at 37° C and OD_{650} checked after 24 h. PCR amplification and sequencing of the full-length 16S rRNA gene was used to confirm species identity. Sporulation images of R. bromii strains cultured for 72 h and 30 days were captured using transmission electron microscopy (TEM) (Lawley et al., 2009).

Acknowledgements

Support is acknowledged from BBSRC grant no. BB/L009951/ 1 and the Scottish Government. We thank Hilary Browne (Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute, UK) for re-analysis of the R. bromii L2–63 genome and Gillian Donachie for technical help. E.A.B. acknowledges grants from the Israel Science Foundation (ISF) (1349/13) and the United States-Israel Binational Science Foundation (BSF). E.A.B. holds the Maynard I. and Elaine Wishner Chair of Bio-organic Chemistry. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Author Contributions

HJF, NJ, WK devised the study. IM, JLG, SM performed the experiments. IM, POS, SM, JLG, HJF, EAB analysed the data and prepared figures and tables. SHD, PL, AK, DO, WK, AWW, EC, PC, CS, NK, RK, DC provided critical resources and support. HJF, IM, EAB, SM wrote the paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

References

- Alikhan, N.F., Petty, N.K., Ben Zakour, N.L., and Beatson, S.A. (2011) BLAST Ring Image Generator (BRIG): simple prokaryote genome comparisons. BMC Genomics 12: 402.
- Altschul, S.F., Madden, T.L., Schaffer, A.A., Zhang, J., Zhang, Z., Miller, W., and Lipman, D.J. (1997) Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic Acids Res 25: 3389–3402.
- Barak, Y., Handelsman, T., Nakar, D., Mechaly, A., Lamed, R., Shoham, Y., and Bayer, E.A. (2005) Matching fusion protein systems for affinity analysis of two interacting families of proteins: the cohesin-dockerin interaction. J Mol Recognit 18: 491–501.
- Barcenilla, A. (1999) Diversity of the butyrate-producing microflora of the human gut. PhD Thesis. Aberdeen, UK: Robert Gordon University.
- Bayer, E.A., Lamed, R., White, B.A., and Flint, H.J. (2008) From cellulosomes to cellulosomics. Chem Rec 8: 364–377.
- Ben David, Y., Dassa, B., Borovok, I., Lamed, R., Koropatkin, N.M., Martens, E.C. et al. (2015) Ruminococcal cellulosome systems from rumen to human. Environ Microbiol 17: 3407–3426.
- Berg Miller, M.E., Yeoman, C.J., Chia, N., Tringe, S.G., Angly, F.E., Edwards, R.A. et al. (2012) Phage–bacteria relationships and CRISPR elements revealed by a metagenomic survey of the rumen microbiome. Environ Microbiol 14: 207–227.
- Bokulich, N.A., Chung, J., Battaglia, T., Henderson, N., Jay, M., Li, H., et al. (2016) Antibiotics, birth mode, and diet

shape microbiome maturation during early life. Sci Transl Med 8: 343ra382–343ra382.

- Boos, W., and Shuman, H. (1998) Maltose/maltodextrin system of Escherichia coli: transport, metabolism, and regulation. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 62: 204-229.
- Boraston, A.B., Healey, M., Klassen, J., Ficko-Blean, E., van Bueren, A.L., and Law, V. (2006) A structural and functional analysis of α -glucan recognition by family 25 and 26 carbohydrate-binding modules reveals a conserved mode of starch recognition. J Biol Chem 281: 587-598.
- Browne, H.P., Forster, S.C., Anonye, B.O., Kumar, N., Neville, B.A., Stares, M.D., et al. (2016) Culturing of 'unculturable' human microbiota reveals novel taxa and extensive sporulation. Nature 533: 543–546.
- Chaudhari, N.M., Gupta, V.K., and Dutta, C. (2016) BPGA- an ultra-fast pan-genome analysis pipeline. Sci Rep 6: 24373.
- Cockburn, D.W., Orlovsky, N.I., Foley, M.H., Kwiatkowski, K.J., Bahr, C.M., Maynard, M., et al. (2015) Molecular details of a starch utilization pathway in the human gut symbiont Eubacterium rectale. Mol Microbiol 95: 209–230.
- Depardieu, F., Bonora, M.G., Reynolds, P.E., and Courvalin, P. (2003) The vanG glycopeptide resistance operon from Enterococcus faecalis revisited. Mol Microbiol 50: 931–948.
- Ding, S.-Y., Rincon, M.T., Lamed, R., Martin, J.C., McCrae, S.I., Aurilia, V., et al. (2001) Cellulosomal scaffoldin-like proteins from Ruminococcus flavefaciens. J Bacteriol 183: 1945–1953.
- Donia, M.S., Cimermancic, P., Schulze, C.J., Brown, L.C.W., Martin, J., Mitreva, M., et al. (2014) A systematic analysis of biosynthetic gene clusters in the human microbiome reveals a common family of antibiotics. Cell 158: 1402–1414.
- Dubois, M., Gilles, K., Hamilton, J., Rebers, P., and Smith, F. (1956) Colorimetric method for determination of sugars and related substances. Anal Chem 28: 35–356.
- El Kaoutari, A., Armougom, F., Gordon, J.I., Raoult, D., and Henrissat, B. (2013) The abundance and variety of carbohydrate-active enzymes in the human gut microbiota. Nat Rev Microbiol 11: 497–504.
- Flint, H.J., Bayer, E.A., Rincon, M.T., Lamed, R., and White, B.A. (2008) Polysaccharide utilization by gut bacteria: potential for new insights from genomic analysis. Nat Rev Microbiol 6: 121–131.
- Grissa, I., Vergnaud, G., and Pourcel, C. (2007) CRISPR-Finder: a web tool to identify clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats. Nucleic Acids Res 35: W52–W57.
- Herbeck, J.L., and Bryant, M. (1974) Nutritional features of the intestinal anaerobe Ruminococcus bromii. Appl Microbiol 28: 1018–1022.
- Hutchison, E.A., Miller, D.A., and Angert, E.R. (2014) Sporulation in bacteria: beyond the standard model. Microbiol Spectr 2: TBS-0013–2012. doi[:10.1128/microbiolspec.](info:doi/10.1128/microbiolspec) TBS-0013–2012.
- Klieve, A.V., Yokoyama, M.T., Forster, R.J., Ouwerkerk, D., Bain, P.A., and Mawhinney, E.L. (2005) Naturally occurring DNA transfer system associated with membrane vesicles in cellulolytic Ruminococcus spp. of ruminal origin. Appl Environ Microbiol 71: 4248–4253.
- Klieve, A.V., O'Leary, M.N., McMillen, L., and Ouwerkerk, D. (2007) Ruminococcus bromii, identification and isolation as a dominant community member in the rumen of cattle fed a barley diet. J Appl Microbiol 103: 2065-2073.

^{© 2017} The Authors. Environmental Microbiology published by Society for Applied Microbiology and John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Environmental Microbiology, 20, 324–336

- Kumar, S., Stecher, G., and Tamura, K. (2016) MEGA7: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. Mol Biol Evol 33: 1870–1874.
- La Reau, A.J., Meier-Kolthoff, J.P., and Suen, G. (2016) Sequence-based analysis of the genus Ruminococcus resolves its phylogeny and reveals strong host association. Microbial Genomics 2: e000099.
- Lagesen, K., Hallin, P., Rødland, E.A., Stærfeldt, H.-H., Rognes, T., and Ussery, D.W. (2007) RNAmmer: consistent and rapid annotation of ribosomal RNA genes. Nucleic Acids Res 35: 3100–3108.
- Lawley, T.D., Croucher, N.J., Yu, L., Clare, S., Sebaihia, M., Goulding, D., et al. (2009) Proteomic and genomic characterization of highly infectious Clostridium difficile 630 spores. J Bacteriol **191:** 5377-5386.
- Lever, M. (1977) Carbohydrate determination with 4 hydroxybenzoic acid hydrazide (PAHBAH): effect of bismuth on the reaction. Anal Biochem 81: 21–27.
- Magnúsdóttir, S., Ravcheev, D., de Crécy-Lagard, V., and Thiele, I. (2015) Systematic genome assessment of Bvitamin biosynthesis suggests co-operation among gut microbes. Front Genet 6: 148.
- McArthur, A.G., Waglechner, N., Nizam, F., Yan, A., Azad, M.A., Baylay, A.J., et al. (2013) The comprehensive antibiotic resistance database. Antimicrob Ag Chemoth 57: 3348–3357.
- Miyazaki, K., Martin, J.C., Marinsek-Logar, R., and Flint, H.J. (1997) Degradation and utilization of xylans by the rumen anaerobe Prevotella bryantii (formerly P. ruminicola subsp. brevis) B(1)4. Anaerobe 3: 373–381.
- Moore, W., Cato, E., and Holdeman, L. (1972) Ruminococcus bromii sp. n. and emendation of the description of Ruminococcus Sijpestein. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 22: 78–80.
- Moraïs, S., David, Y.B., Bensoussan, L., Duncan, S.H., Koropatkin, N.M., Martens, E.C., et al. (2016) Enzymatic profiling of cellulosomal enzymes from the human gut bacterium, Ruminococcus champanellensis, reveals a finetuned system for cohesin-dockerin recognition. Environ Microbiol 18: 542–556.
- Nugent, A.P. (2005) Health properties of resistant starch. Nutr Bull 30: 27–54.
- Punta, M., Coggill, P.C., Eberhardt, R.Y., Mistry, J., Tate, J., Boursnell, C., et al. (2012) The Pfam protein families database. Nucleic Acids Res 40: D290–D301.
- Quisel, J.D., and Grossman, A.D. (2000) Control of sporulation gene expression in Bacillus subtilis by the chromosome partitioning proteins Soj (ParA) and Spo0J (ParB). J Bacteriol 182: 3446–3451.
- Rincon, M.T., Dassa, B., Flint, H.J., Travis, A.J., Jindou, S., Borovok, I., et al. (2010) Abundance and diversity of dockerincontaining proteins in the fiber-degrading rumen bacterium, Ruminococcus flavefaciens FD-1. PLoS One 5: e12476.
- Salonen, A., Lahti, L., Salojarvi, J., Holtrop, G., Korpela, K., Duncan, S.H., et al. (2014) Impact of diet and individual variation on intestinal microbiota composition and fermentation products in obese men. ISME J 8: 2218-2230.
- Sheridan, P.O., Martin, J.C., Lawley, T.D., Browne, H.P., Harris, H.M., Bernalier-Donadille, A., et al. (2016) Polysaccharide utilization loci and nutritional specialization in a dominant group of butyrate-producing human colonic Firmicutes. Microbial Genomics 2: e000043.

Comparative genomics of Ruminococcus bromii 335

- Seemann, T. (2014) Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. Bioinformatics 30: 2068–2069.
- Sigrist, C.J., Cerutti, L., de Castro, E., Langendijk-Genevaux, P.S., Bulliard, V., Bairoch, A., and Hulo, N. (2010) PRO-SITE, a protein domain database for functional characterization and annotation. Nucleic Acids Res 38: D161-D166.
- Sokol, H., Pigneur, B., Watterlot, L., Lakhdari, O., Bermúdez-Humarán, L.G., Gratadoux, J.-J., et al. (2008) Faecalibacterium prausnitzii is an anti-inflammatory commensal bacterium identified by gut microbiota analysis of Crohn disease patients. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 105: 16731-16736.
- Suau, A., Rochet, V., Sghir, A., Gramet, G., Brewaeys, S., Sutren, M., et al. (2001) Fusobacterium prausnitzii and related species represent a dominant group within the human fecal flora. Syst Appl Microbiol 24: 139-145.
- Waffenschmidt, S., and Jaenicke, L. (1987) Assay of reducing sugars in the nanomole range with 2, 2'-bicinchoninate. Anal Biochem 165: 337–340.
- Walker, A.W., Ince, J., Duncan, S.H., Webster, L.M., Holtrop, G., Ze, X., et al. (2011) Dominant and diet-responsive groups of bacteria within the human colonic microbiota. ISME J 5: 220–230.
- Wegmann, U., Louis, P., Goesmann, A., Henrissat, B., Duncan, S.H., and Flint, H.J. (2014) Complete genome of a new Firmicutes species belonging to the dominant human colonic microbiota ('Ruminococcus bicirculans') reveals two chromosomes and a selective capacity to utilize plant glucans. Environ Microbiol 16: 2879–2890.
- Xu, Q., Morrison, M., Nelson, K.E., Bayer, E.A., Atamna, N., and Lamed, R. (2004) A novel family of carbohydratebinding modules identified with Ruminococcus albus proteins. FEBS Lett 566: 11-16.
- Ze, X., Duncan, S.H., Louis, P., and Flint, H.J. (2012) Ruminococcus bromii is a keystone species for the degradation of resistant starch in the human colon. $ISME$ J 6: 1535–1543.
- Ze, X., Le Mougen, F., Duncan, S.H., Louis, P., and Flint, H.J. (2013) Some are more equal than others: the role of "keystone" species in the degradation of recalcitrant substrates. Gut Microbes 4: 236–240.
- Ze, X., Ben David, Y., Laverde-Gomez, J.A., Dassa, B., Sheridan, P.O., Duncan, S.H., et al. (2015) Unique organization of extracellular amylases into amylosomes in the resistant starch-utilizing human colonic Firmicutes bacterium Ruminococcus bromii. mBio 6: e01058–e01015.
- Zhernakova, A., Kurilshikov, A., Bonder, M.J., Tigchelaar, E.F., Schirmer, M., Vatanen, T., et al. (2016) Population-based metagenomics analysis reveals markers for gut microbiome composition and diversity. Science 352: 565–569.

Supporting information

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article at the publisher's web-site:

Fig. S1. Pan genome analysis of the five R. bromii genomes. (A) Phylogenetic analysis using BPGA software based on concatenated core gene alignments. (B) COG distribution of core, accessory and unique genes of R. bromii strains as deduced by the pan-genome analysis.

Fig. S2. Phylogenetic tree of CBM37 modules from R. albus and 'X' modules from R. bromii.

336 I. Mukhopadhya et al.

Fig. S3. Activities of recombinant enzymes expressed in E. coli.

Fig. S4. Phylogenetic tree comparing the 30 cohesin modules from R. bromii L2-63, L2-36, 5AMG, ATCC27255 and YE282 strains.

Fig. S5. Carbohydrate transport/utilization gene clusters in R. bromii genomes. Organization of (A) maltose and fructose transport systems and (B) glycogen biosynthesis gene cluster for the human Ruminococcus bromii L2-63, L2-36, ATCC27255 and 5AMG strains.

Fig. S6. Potential vancomycin resistance gene cluster in R. bromii L2-63. (A), (B) This VanG cluster is present in R. bromii L2-63 and L2-36, but not in the other three genomes. However, we found no evidence for resistance to vancomycin in the two human strains that possess the VanG cluster compared with the two that lack the cluster (C).

Table S1. Ruminococcus bromii genome assembly statistics.

Table S2. Distribution of GH, dockerins, cohesins and CBM modules in human and rumen Ruminococcus bromii strains Table S3. List of primers used for the different constructs produced.

Data File S1. List of core, accessory and unique genes from pan-genome analysis of 5 R. bromii strains.

Data File S2. List of locus tag numbers for amylase scaffoldin and dockerin genes for R. bromii L2-63 strain before and after re-annotation of the genome (Worksheet1), list of sporulation genes detected in R. bromii L2-63 strain (Worksheet 2), conservation of L2-63 sporulation genes in 4 other R. bromii strains (Worksheet 3), comparison of spore signature genes described by Browne et al. with R. bromii strains (Worksheet 4).