

## EXPERT CONSENSUS STATEMENT

# Perioperative Care in Cardiac Surgery: A Joint Consensus Statement by the Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) Cardiac Society, ERAS International Society, and The Society of Thoracic Surgeons (STS)



Michael C. Grant, MD, MSE,<sup>1</sup> Cheryl Crisafi, MS, RN,<sup>2</sup> Adrian Alvarez, MD,<sup>3</sup> Rakesh C. Arora, MD, PhD,<sup>4</sup> Mary E. Brindle, MD, MPH,<sup>5</sup> Subhasis Chatterjee, MD,<sup>6</sup> Joerg Ender, MD,<sup>7</sup> Nick Fletcher, MBBS,<sup>8,9</sup> Alexander J. Gregory, MD,<sup>10</sup> Serdar Gunaydin, MD, PhD,<sup>11</sup> Marjan Jahangiri, MBBS, MS,<sup>12</sup> Olle Ljungqvist, MD, PhD,<sup>13</sup> Kevin W. Lobdell, MD,<sup>14</sup> Vicki Morton, DNP,<sup>15</sup> V. Seenu Reddy, MD, MBA,<sup>16</sup> Rawn Salenger, MD,<sup>17</sup> Michael Sander, MD,<sup>18</sup> Alexander Zarbock, MD,<sup>19</sup> and Daniel T. Engelman, MD<sup>2</sup>

Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) programs have been shown to lessen surgical insult, promote recovery, and improve postoperative clinical outcomes across a number of specialty operations. A core tenet of ERAS involves the provision of protocolized evidence-based perioperative interventions. Given both the growing enthusiasm for applying ERAS principles to cardiac surgery and the broad scope of relevant interventions, an international, multidisciplinary expert panel was assembled to derive a list of potential program elements, review the literature, and provide a statement regarding clinical practice for each topic area. This article summarizes those consensus statements and their accompanying evidence. These results provide the foundation for best practice for the management of the adult patient undergoing cardiac surgery.

(Ann Thorac Surg 2024;117:669-89)

© 2024 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of The Society of Thoracic Surgeons.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) is a multidisciplinary approach aimed at providing bundled best practice interventions and therapies throughout the perioperative encounter.<sup>1</sup> A central tenet of ERAS is the adoption of evidence-based protocols that have been published and serially updated across a number of surgical specialties.<sup>2-4</sup> Registry-based observational studies reveal that high compliance with these protocols is shown to reduce surgical insult, promote recovery, and prevent postoperative

complications, thereby improving the value of delivered care.<sup>5-8</sup>

In 2019, the ERAS Cardiac Society published their original guidance, which reviewed the literature and provided recommendations regarding care elements for patients undergoing cardiac surgery.<sup>9</sup> In the interim, new clinical data as well as recognition of additional potential perioperative strategies have necessitated an update. The ERAS Cardiac Society, in collaboration with the affiliated ERAS International Society, has

Accepted for publication Dec 9, 2023.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care Medicine, The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland; <sup>2</sup>Heart and Vascular Program, Baystate Health, University of Massachusetts Chan Medical School-Baystate, Springfield, Massachusetts; <sup>3</sup>Department of Anesthesia, Hospital Italiano, Buenos Aires, Argentina; <sup>4</sup>Section of Cardiac Surgery, Department of Surgery, Max Rady College of Medicine, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada; <sup>5</sup>Departments of Surgery and Community Health Services, Cumming School of Medicine University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta, Canada; <sup>6</sup>Michael E. DeBakey Department of Surgery, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas; <sup>7</sup>Department of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, Heart Center Leipzig, University Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany; <sup>8</sup>Institute of Anesthesia and Critical Care, Cleveland Clinic London, London, United Kingdom; <sup>9</sup>St George's University Hospital, London, United Kingdom; <sup>10</sup>Department of Anesthesia, Perioperative and Pain Medicine, Cumming School of Medicine University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta, Canada; <sup>11</sup>Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, Ankara City Hospital, University of Health Sciences, Ankara, Turkey; <sup>12</sup>Department of Cardiac Surgery, St George's Hospital, London, United Kingdom; <sup>13</sup>Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine and Health, School of Health and Medical Sciences, Örebro University, Örebro, Sweden; <sup>14</sup>Regional Cardiovascular and Thoracic Quality, Education, and Research, Atrium Health, Charlotte, North Carolina; <sup>15</sup>Clinical and Quality Outcomes, Providence Anesthesiology Associates, Charlotte, North Carolina; <sup>16</sup>Centennial Heart & Vascular Center, Nashville, Tennessee; <sup>17</sup>Department of Surgery, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland; <sup>18</sup>Department of Anaesthesiology, Operative Intensive Care Medicine and Pain Therapy, Justus Liebig University of Giessen, Giessen, Germany; and <sup>19</sup>Department of Anaesthesiology, Intensive Care and Pain Medicine, University Hospital Münster, Münster, Germany

Address correspondence to Dr Grant, Johns Hopkins Hospital, 1800 Orleans St, Zayed 6208, Baltimore, MD 21287; email: [mgrant17@jhmi.edu](mailto:mgrant17@jhmi.edu).

assembled a committee of experts to develop a consensus statement to optimize care for the adult cardiac surgical patient.

## METHODS

This consensus statement was developed following the 2019 “Recommendations from the ERAS Society for Standards for the Development of Enhanced Recovery After Surgery Guidelines” (ERAS Standards), which standardizes the formation of an expert guidance development group, literature search, analysis of the evidence, statement formation, and creation of the manuscript.<sup>10</sup> The consensus statement development group, a multidisciplinary panel including cardiac surgeons, anesthesiologists, intensivists, and allied nurses, was identified based on individual expertise and experience with ERAS.

In 2021, the expert group convened an initial meeting and agreed on potential care elements, derived from a combination of prior guidelines, surrogate examples from other subspecialties, and expert opinion, and divided into respective phases of perioperative care. This effort was then aligned with the expert consensus protocols from The Society of Thoracic Surgeons (STS) and underwent a formal review by the STS Workforce on Evidence Based Surgery prior to endorsement and submission for publication.

Literature searches of individual topic areas were conducted with librarian assistance where necessary and included reviews, guideline documents, and clinical studies conducted on adult humans prior to December 2021, published in English, and retrievable from the PubMed, Embase, and Cochrane databases. Medical Subject Heading terms were identified for the care element or intervention, as were accompanying terms for the patient group, procedure, and outcome. Preference was given to meta-analyses, prospective randomized clinical trials, and well-designed, non-randomized studies. In the event a care element or intervention was not reported in the setting of cardiac surgery, evidence pertaining to noncardiac surgical settings was considered, where appropriate. Most of the data outlined in this manuscript pertain to the elective cardiac surgical population, with any exceptions explicitly stated in the accompanying prose, along with a description of the individual case types (ie, coronary artery bypass grafting [CABG], valve, aortic, etc).

A series of virtual and in-person meetings were conducted to present and discuss results as well as resolve any controversies regarding interpretation of the available evidence. Summary statements and accompanying text were modeled after prior ERAS guidelines. Quality of evidence was assessed, and

designations were rendered based on whether further research is unlikely (high), likely (moderate), or very likely (low) to have an important impact on the statement of the effect of the intervention.<sup>10</sup> A minimum of 70% committee agreement was required for consensus.

## RESULTS

Summary statements and associated quality of evidence are provided in Table 1, organized into general, preoperative, intraoperative, postoperative, and multiphase sections.

### GENERAL ELEMENTS

**SHARED DECISION MAKING, PATIENT ENGAGEMENT, AND EDUCATION.** Engagement of patients and their social network is an important component of perioperative care, both to foster education and establish realistic expectations. Although patient engagement and education are endorsed by multiple other ERAS subspecialty guidelines,<sup>2-4</sup> cardiac surgery has also reinforced the principle of shared decision making, where patients and their representatives work directly with their clinicians to review the available evidence to determine the best therapeutic course of action while explicitly incorporating the patient's values and preferences into the decision-making process.<sup>11</sup>

Patient engagement can be augmented through the use of digital technologies, including wearable remote monitors and interactive applications, which have been shown to improve adherence to the plan of care as well as facilitate data collection and analysis on social determinants of health and the value of familial involvement.<sup>12-16</sup> Data emanating from these digital tools may include physiological data, including vitals, sleep statistics, and movement, as well as patient-reported outcomes,<sup>17-21</sup> modifications to traditional metrics, and an increased emphasis on the recovery of physical, psychological, and functional status after cardiac surgery.<sup>22,23</sup> The use of digital engagement tools, such as personal phone applications and activity trackers, has been shown to correlate with disposition (ie, home vs facility) and optimize health care utilization.<sup>24-26</sup>

**Summary Statement: Patient engagement is improved through the incorporation of shared decision-making principles.**

**Quality of Evidence: Low**

**ESTABLISHMENT OF A MULTIDISCIPLINARY TEAM.** The cardiac surgery perioperative multidisciplinary team (MDT) represents an extension of the Heart Team model

TABLE 1 Summary of Statements and Level of Evidence	
Statement	Level of Evidence
Patient engagement is improved through the incorporation of shared decision-making principles.	Low
Program implementation and sustainment is facilitated through the establishment of a multidisciplinary team, including a dedicated coordinator, as an extension of the Heart Team.	Moderate
Routine auditing and evaluation of perioperative process measure adherence and clinical outcomes is a necessary component of high-quality perioperative care.	Moderate
Multifaceted patient screening and risk assessment improves the informed consent process and allows for advanced perioperative planning.	Moderate
Multicomponent prehabilitation may be considered to optimize patients prior to nonurgent cardiac surgery.	Low
Limiting nil per oz status for clear liquids (>2 hours before surgery) is reasonable after assessment of potential risk factors for aspiration.	Low
Transesophageal echocardiography is encouraged in patients with moderate or high risk of perioperative morbidity or mortality.	Moderate
Mechanical ventilation with lung-protective strategies is associated with improved mechanics and fewer pulmonary complications.	High
The role of mechanical ventilation during cardiopulmonary bypass is uncertain.	Moderate
Pulmonary artery catheters use in low-risk patients or procedures incurs greater health care resource utilization without improving morbidity or mortality.	Moderate
Central nervous system monitoring may provide an early indication of neurologic risk, but additional study is necessary to identify strategies to prevent and mitigate injury.	Moderate
Standardized risk factor assessment and prophylaxis has been shown to prevent postoperative nausea and vomiting.	Moderate
Goal-directed perfusion may play a role in preventing organ injury associated with cardiopulmonary bypass.	Low
Structured strategies to facilitate extubation within 6 hours of surgery have been shown to be safe and potentially hasten recovery after elective procedures.	Moderate
Highly selective intraoperative or immediate postoperative extubation may be appropriate for patients undergoing low-risk cardiac surgery.	Low
Routine screening for and, where appropriate, the use of a comprehensive treatment care bundle can reduce the incidence and severity of postoperative acute kidney injury.	Moderate
Early postoperative ambulation and upper extremity exercise is well tolerated and associated with hastened recovery.	Moderate
Goal-directed fluid and hemodynamic therapy can guide perioperative resuscitation and prevent postoperative organ injury.	Moderate
A multimodal approach reduces reliance on opioid-based analgesia and optimizes perioperative pain management.	Moderate
Chest wall regional analgesia can be an effective component of a multimodal approach to perioperative pain management.	Moderate
Blood product utilization and associated outcomes are optimized through the implementation of a comprehensive patient blood management program.	Moderate
Postoperative atrial fibrillation is optimally addressed through the use of a multifaceted prevention strategy.	Moderate
Routine use of a systematic delirium screening tool and nonpharmacologic strategies aid the identification and prevention of postoperative delirium.	High
The bundled application of evidence-based best practices has been shown to prevent surgical site infection.	High

proposed by other guidelines, which has traditionally included representatives from cardiac surgery and cardiology working in concert to determine the ideal procedural intervention.<sup>11</sup> The MDT also includes core representatives from noncardiac surgical disciplines, such as anesthesiologists, nurses, allied health, pharmacists, and dietitians, as well as those more fundamental to cardiac surgery, including intensivists, perfusionists, and cardiac rehabilitation specialists. Multiple studies describe resistance to change and poor communication as a barrier to ERAS implementation, whereas an effective MDT that establishes clear communication among all disciplines is recognized as a facilitator for program success.<sup>27-31</sup> A designated coordinator to improve pathway development, implementation, education, data collection, and

reporting of outcomes should be a part of the MDT.<sup>32-34</sup> An effective MDT gains “buy-in” of stakeholders by effectively communicating vision with supporting evidence and provides ongoing collaboration with all team members. An effective MDT is crucial for the cultural shift for successful ERAS implementation.<sup>35-38</sup>

**Summary Statement: Program implementation and sustainment is facilitated through the establishment of a multidisciplinary team, including a dedicated coordinator, as an extension of the Heart Team.**

**Quality of Evidence: Moderate**

**COMPLIANCE AND OUTCOMES AUDITING.** The STS has established a data registry, the Adult Cardiac Surgery Database (ACSD), for patients undergoing major cardiac surgical operations, which promotes performance

TABLE 2 Recommended Preoperative Risk Assessment Components	
Component	Rationale
STS predicted risk of mortality and morbidity, EuroSCORE II	Stratified in-hospital and short-term postoperative morbidity and mortality.
Frailty assessment	Prefrail and frail status is associated with a marked increase in adjusted morbidity and mortality. There are several validated tools to assess for frailty.
Delirium risk factors	Patient risk factors that are associated with delirium include age, frailty, preexisting cognitive issues and/or mood disorders, and excessive alcohol use.
Hemoglobin A <sub>1c</sub>	Hemoglobin A <sub>1c</sub> >6%-7% is a marker of poorly controlled diabetes and a predictor of postoperative complications, including deep sternal wound infection.
Serum albumin	Hypoalbuminemia (<4.0 g/L) is an indicator of malnutrition and an independent predictor of increased morbidity and mortality after cardiac surgery.
Urine albumin	Urine albumin independently correlates with the risk of postoperative acute kidney injury regardless of baseline estimated glomerular filtration rate and other comorbidities.
Obstructive sleep apnea screening	Preoperative questionnaire positively identifies patients with undiagnosed obstructive sleep apnea, which is strongly associated with postoperative respiratory complications after surgery.
Opioid tolerance assessment	Chronic pain and substance use contributes to hyperalgesia and opioid tolerance. A preoperative assessment can identify patients with opioid tolerance, establish appropriate expectations, and individualize their pain management plan.

EuroSCORE II, European Heart Surgery Risk Assessment System 2011 revision; STS, The Society of Thoracic Surgeons.

benchmarking and informs a number of successful quality improvement programs.<sup>39-42</sup> There is an opportunity for expansion of the current data set to include variables specific to additional perioperative care elements.<sup>43</sup> In addition, multi-institutional ERAS registries have been developed for other subspecialties that include data for key process measures and their associated outcomes and allow clinicians and researchers to critically analyze and assess the quality of care.

Numerous observational studies across multiple noncardiac surgery service lines and health care environments have reported a dose-response relationship between adherence to enhanced recovery care measures and improved clinical outcomes.<sup>44-48</sup> Regular auditing of ERAS program adherence, in addition to participation in other data registries, aides in identifying associations between care elements and clinical outcomes as well as highlights opportunities for program iteration and improvement.<sup>49-53</sup>

**Summary Statement: Routine auditing and evaluation of perioperative process measure adherence and clinical outcomes is a necessary component of high-quality perioperative care.**

**Quality of Evidence: Moderate**

## PREOPERATIVE PROCESS MEASURES

### PREOPERATIVE SCREENING AND RISK ASSESSMENT.

Preoperative risk assessment in cardiac surgery is important to determine a patient's suitability for surgery and identify areas for optimization. Table 2 provides a summary of preoperative laboratory and assessment criteria that may be considered as part of a

comprehensive preoperative screening and risk assessment. The STS predicted risk of mortality and morbidity and the European Heart Surgery Risk Assessment System 2011 revision (EuroSCORE II) calculators are the 2 most widely used tools to determine in-hospital and early postoperative mortality and morbidity, and their use has been endorsed by other major guidelines.<sup>11,54,55</sup>

Providers may also consider screening for undiagnosed diabetes based on hemoglobin A<sub>1c</sub>, which reflects glucose control in the previous 3 months. Elevated hemoglobin A<sub>1c</sub> (>6%-7%) is associated with greater incidence of a number of postoperative complications, including deep sternal wound infections.<sup>56-58</sup> Hypoalbuminemia (serum albumin <4.0 g/L) is an independent predictor of worse outcomes after cardiac surgery, including greater likelihood of acute kidney injury (AKI), longer length of stay, and higher hospital costs.<sup>59-62</sup> In a large cardiac surgical cohort study, an increased urine albumin-to-creatinine ratio was independently associated with an overall increased incidence of mortality, AKI, and longer length of hospitalization, findings that were independent of estimated glomerular filtration rate level and other comorbidities.<sup>63</sup>

Frailty has become more prevalent and strongly contributes to poorer postoperative outcomes, with a recent meta-analysis of observational studies suggesting that prefrailty and frailty were associated with significantly greater postoperative morbidity and mortality.<sup>64</sup> Identifying patients with frailty goes beyond a subjective assessment but rather should be performed with validated tools.<sup>65</sup>

The prevalence of obstructive sleep apnea in cardiac surgical patients ranges from 48% to 74% and has been

associated with an increased incidence of postoperative complications, including postoperative atrial fibrillation, pulmonary complications, delirium, and a greater incidence of major adverse cardiovascular events.<sup>66,67</sup> Preoperative assessment for obstructive sleep apnea using the “STOP-BANG” questionnaire (Snoring, Tired, Observed [stop breathing or choking/gasping during sleep], high blood Pressure, Body mass index >35 kg/m<sup>2</sup>; Age >50; large Neck size; Gender, male) has been advised in noncardiac surgery, permitting teams to appropriately triage and monitor patients at elevated risk for respiratory compromise in the postoperative period.<sup>68,69</sup> Although similar studies are generally lacking, initial experience has shown that this association translates to the cardiac surgical population as well.<sup>70</sup>

Chronic pain and substance use can lead to hyperalgesia and opioid tolerance.<sup>71,72</sup> A simple preoperative calculation based on the patient’s recent opioid use<sup>73</sup> can identify patients with opioid tolerance and provide an opportunity to establish appropriate pain expectations and individualize their pain management plan.

Each preoperative screening component may allow for more accurate informed consent and contribute to shared decision making between the surgeon and patient as they contemplate surgery.

**Summary Statement: Multifaceted patient screening and risk assessment improves the informed consent process and allows for advanced perioperative planning.**

**Quality of Evidence: Moderate**

**PREHABILITATION.** Cardiac surgery is associated with significant stress on body systems, particularly in the older vulnerable adult with reduced physiological reserve. Previous investigations have identified that up to 50% of older adults undergoing cardiac surgery can be deemed as frail, defined as a cumulative decline in multiple physiological systems resulting in a vulnerability to stressor events.<sup>74-76</sup> These patients typically experience higher rates of postoperative morbidity, mortality, and prolonged hospital length of stay, with associated increased costs to the health care system.<sup>77-80</sup> This is further compounded by malnutrition, which is evident in 20% of the patients presenting for cardiac surgery.<sup>81,82</sup> Finally, the potentially prolonged preoperative waiting period has been associated with worry and fear of death for the patients and their caregivers.<sup>83-86</sup>

To address these perioperative issues, the process of preoperative rehabilitation (ie, prehabilitation or “prehab”) has been proposed to enable patients to withstand the stressors of surgery by improving physical and emotional resilience. Several small randomized studies and meta-analysis of patients undergoing nonurgent cardiac surgery, including coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG), have demonstrated the benefits of

prehabilitation, including reduced postoperative complications and increased pulmonary function and exercise capacity.<sup>87-89</sup> Preoperative exercise, in particular inspiratory muscle training, along with additional psychological, health-related quality of life and cognitive interventions, may also affect cognitive function and psychological readiness for surgery,<sup>89-91</sup> although additional study specific to the cardiac surgical domain is necessary.

A comprehensive prehabilitation program may include education, nutritional optimization, exercise training (where medically appropriate), social support, and anxiety reduction, although current existing evidence for the cardiac surgery patient is limited.<sup>92,93</sup> An earlier investigation in cardiac surgery patients observed a reduction in hospital length of stay in patients undergoing a prehab program<sup>94</sup>; however, more recent systematic reviews indicate variability of this outcome. This is primarily due to uncertainty regarding optimal patient selection and effective, feasible durations of the interventions.<sup>87,88,95</sup> Although there remains a high degree of variation in the available literature regarding prehab components, duration of intervention, and outcome definitions, the perioperative team may choose to implement an assessment and optimization strategy for preoperative frailty, malnutrition, and cognition/mood in preparation for surgical intervention.<sup>65,96-98</sup>

**Summary Statement: Multicomponent prehabilitation may be considered to optimize patients prior to nonurgent cardiac surgery.**

**Quality of Evidence: Low**

**LIMITING NIL PER OS STATUS.** Published guidelines from all international anesthesia societies have established a consensus on clear liquid fasting times of 2 hours and solid food fasting times of 6 to 8 hours prior to elective surgery.<sup>99-102</sup> The primary concern is the risk of pulmonary aspiration during the induction and maintenance of anesthesia. Patients undergoing cardiac surgery have several risk factors that may increase their risk of aspiration, including nonelective status, low cardiac output, delayed gastric emptying, and the frequent use of transesophageal echocardiography (TEE). As a result, additional research devoted to nil per os status in cardiac surgery is warranted.

**Summary Statement: Additional data are needed prior to adjusting established nil per os policies in cardiac surgery although permitting clear liquids up to 2 hours prior to surgery may be reasonable for select patients deemed to be low risk of complications.**

**Quality of Evidence: Low**

## INTRAOPERATIVE PROCESS MEASURES

**TRANSESOPHAGEAL ECHOCARDIOGRAPHY.** TEE is an imaging method that allows for high-quality assessment

of heart anatomy, including structural anomalies, thrombus formation, and congenital abnormalities. Coupled with symptoms, physical examination findings, and catheter-based assessment, findings on TEE can be instrumental in procedural planning, particularly in patients with valvular pathology (ie, rheumatic, secondary, or infective etiologies) or congenital abnormalities. The utility of intraoperative TEE is intuitive in valvular and congenital surgery, where it is not only useful for the real-time assessment of the adequacy of surgical repair but is also associated with a significant reduction in stroke, reoperation, and 30-day mortality in cardiac valve and proximal aortic surgery.<sup>11,103</sup>

What is less well established is the role of TEE in CABG, an area of limited prior investigation. A recent observational study of >1.3 million patients undergoing isolated CABG revealed that not only is the use of intraoperative TEE increasing linearly over time, but patients managed with the aid of TEE also experienced a significant reduction in postoperative mortality compared with those who were not, a finding anchored by mortality benefits associated with moderate-risk (STS risk 4%-8%) and high-risk (STS risk >8%) patients.<sup>104</sup>

TEE allows for the assessment of coincident valvular derangement, regional wall motion abnormality, global ventricular function, and determination of the etiology for hemodynamic compromise, all of which positively influence surgical and anesthetic decision making in the cardiac surgical setting. Major complications associated with TEE use are rare (~1:1300), but higher than historically reported,<sup>105</sup> and patients should be counselled on the potential risk and benefits of its use.

**Summary Statement: Transesophageal echocardiography is encouraged in patients with a moderate or high risk of perioperative morbidity or mortality.**

**Quality of Evidence: Moderate**

**PROTECTIVE LUNG VENTILATION.** In patients undergoing cardiac surgery, measurable derangements in pulmonary function commonly emerge. Postoperative pulmonary complications, which develop in up to 25% of the patients, contribute to prolonged length of stay and greater mortality and require substantial health care resource utilization.<sup>106-112</sup> Data obtained from observational studies and randomized controlled trials (RCTs) in noncardiac surgical patients suggest that low tidal volume ventilation (6-8 mL/kg predicted body weight), in conjunction with positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) and alveolar recruitment maneuvers, reduces postoperative pulmonary complications.<sup>113-116</sup> A meta-analysis including 15 RCTs (n = 2121) in different surgical settings (ie, thoracic, cardiac, general) showed that low tidal volume ventilation ( $\leq 8$  mL/kg predicted body weight) was protective against

pulmonary dysfunction.<sup>117</sup> Modern lung-protective ventilation strategies have increasingly focused on targeting lower transpulmonary pressures (ie, driving pressure) in addition to lower tidal volumes and PEEP.<sup>118-120</sup> A recent observational study investigating the effects of intraoperative mechanical ventilation on postoperative pulmonary complications after cardiac surgery demonstrated that the application of an intraoperative lung-protective ventilation bundle, consisting of low tidal volume ventilation, modified driving pressure (peak inspiratory pressure – PEEP)  $< 16$  cm H<sub>2</sub>O and PEEP  $\geq 5$  cm H<sub>2</sub>O, was independently associated with reduced pulmonary complications after cardiac surgery.<sup>121</sup>

**Summary Statement: Mechanical ventilation with lung-protective strategies is associated with improved mechanics and fewer pulmonary complications.**

**Quality of Evidence: High**

#### **VENTILATION ON CARDIOPULMONARY BYPASS.**

Cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) permits blood oxygenation and carbon dioxide exchange, allowing for cessation of lung ventilation to improve surgical exposure. Previous observational data suggest that continuous positive pressure might improve postoperative gas exchange and prevent postoperative complications.<sup>122,123</sup> Several randomized studies investigated different ventilation strategies during CPB and did not find a difference in postoperative complications between the ventilation and nonventilation strategy.<sup>124,125</sup>

**Summary Statement: The role of mechanical ventilation during cardiopulmonary bypass is uncertain.**

**Quality of Evidence: Moderate**

**USE OF PULMONARY ARTERY CATHETERS.** Data from large observational trials have consistently revealed that the placement of pulmonary artery catheters (PACs) in low-risk patients (ie, aged <75 years with normal ventricular dysfunction and without evidence of significant valvular abnormality) and clinically stable patients undergoing elective procedures leads to increased interventions (ie, nonroutine vasopressor use, fluid administration), which although incurring greater health care expense and leading to additional length of stay, has not been associated with improved morbidity or mortality.<sup>126-128</sup>

The benefit of PACs in high-risk populations or those with clinical decompensation is less certain, because results have varied across the available trials.<sup>129-131</sup> One recent observational trial, involving the analysis of >2 million patients, suggested that PAC use in high-risk patients (ie, older adult, congestive heart failure, pulmonary hypertension) or high-risk operations (ie, multiple valve procedures) was associated with longer periods of mechanical ventilation and prolonged length of stay compared with patients who did not receive a

PAC.<sup>129</sup> Highly selective use of PACs in high-risk populations may be safe and potentially beneficial, but additional prospective studies are necessary to confirm this hypothesis.<sup>130</sup>

**Summary Statement: Pulmonary artery catheters use in low-risk patients or procedures incurs greater health care resource utilization without improving morbidity or mortality.**

**Quality of Evidence: Moderate**

**CENTRAL NERVOUS SYSTEM MONITORING.** Intraoperative central nervous system monitoring of patients undergoing CABG, depending on the method, has been hypothesized to identify and prevent periods of brain malperfusion and ensure adequate titration of anesthetics to prevent postoperative neurocognitive dysfunction as well as avoid excessive depth of anesthesia. A recent meta-analysis of RCTs showed that management associated with the use of near-infrared spectroscopy in the setting of cardiac surgery can be beneficial to prevent postoperative cognitive dysfunction.<sup>132</sup> Prior RCTs, as well as those included in the meta-analysis, are generally small and inconsistent in the definition of neurologic outcomes. Further, the management of cerebral hypoperfusion was wide-ranging, including blood product transfusion, provision of supplemental oxygen, and vasopressor titration.<sup>133-138</sup> Therefore, although the monitoring method can provide benefit, it is unclear which interventions are optimal for prevention of neurologic morbidity in patients undergoing CABG. Although an association has been established between persistently low intraoperative processed electroencephalogram (ie, bispectral index) and postoperative mortality,<sup>139</sup> the use of the monitoring method for prevention of recall, assessment of depth of anesthesia, and its association with improved recovery (ie, earlier extubation, shortened length of stay) has yielded inconsistent results.<sup>140-142</sup>

**Summary Statement: Central nervous system monitoring may provide an early indication of neurologic risk, but additional study is necessary to identify strategies to prevent and mitigate injury.**

**Quality of Evidence: Moderate**

**POSTOPERATIVE NAUSEA AND VOMITING PREVENTION.** Postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) is one of the greatest contributors to patient dissatisfaction after surgery, to a similar degree as poorly controlled pain.<sup>143</sup> Reported incidence of PONV after cardiac surgery varies from 10% to 70%, likely reflecting the known impact of various patient and perioperative factors on its cumulative risk.<sup>144-148</sup> Two recent prospective randomized studies investigated the effectiveness of chemical prophylaxis for the prevention of PONV after cardiac surgery, each of which revealed an ~20%

<b>TABLE 3 Standard Approach to Risk Factor Identification and Prophylaxis Against Postoperative Nausea and Vomiting (PONV)</b>			
<b>Step 1: Identify Risk Factors for PONV</b>			
Female sex	Nonsmoker	History of PONV or motion sickness	Postoperative opioid use
<b>Step 2: Administer Prophylaxis Based on Number of Risk(s)<sup>a</sup></b>			
0-1	2	3	4
Antiemetic #1	Antiemetic #1	Antiemetic #1	Antiemetic #1
	Antiemetic #2	Antiemetic #2	Antiemetic #2
		Antiemetic #3	Antiemetic #3
			Antiemetic #4
<sup>a</sup> Each additional agent is selected from a different class (ie, different mode of action) and provided based on its optimal timing/route of administration. <sup>153</sup>			

reduction in the incidence of PONV with medication administration.<sup>149-151</sup> This is consistent with the findings and recommendations put forward by a recent multisociety consensus guideline for the management of PONV, which recommends screening for risk factors, administration of patient-specific prevention and rescue strategies, and inclusion of multimodal PONV prophylaxis in enhanced recovery pathways (Table 3).<sup>152,153</sup>

**Summary Statement: Standardized risk factor assessment and prophylaxis has been shown to prevent postoperative nausea and vomiting.**

**Quality of Evidence: Moderate**

**GOAL-DIRECTED PERFUSION.** Traditionally, blood flow rates on CPB are derived primarily from a formula that correlates cardiac index with body surface area. Goal-directed perfusion (GDP), in contrast, establishes a formal algorithm to protocolize flow rates, hemoglobin levels, and vasopressor titration to achieve a targeted delivery of oxygen (DO<sub>2</sub>) threshold. The original research in this area suggested that maintaining DO<sub>2</sub> ≥ 280 mL · min<sup>-1</sup> · m<sup>-2</sup> (for patients undergoing surgery with CPB with moderate hypothermia [32-34° C]) is associated with a reduction in the incidence of acute kidney injury.<sup>154</sup> This was reinforced in subsequent observational trials, including a recent retrospective study, where > 800 cardiac procedures were included, which found that low DO<sub>2</sub> on CPB may be associated with greater morbidity and mortality after cardiac surgery, particularly in patients undergoing nonisolated CABG.<sup>155</sup> Multiple studies have investigated the impact of GDP on AKI, including a recent meta-analysis of RCTs, which found that although GDP based on DO<sub>2</sub> during CPB was associated with a reduction in stage 1 AKI, the approach did not appear to significantly impact stage 2/3 AKI or mortality.<sup>156-158</sup> Additional research is necessary to determine other key aspects of GDP, including targeted

mean arterial pressure, acid-base balance, arterial oxygen saturation, and oxygen extraction ratio.

**Summary Statement:** *Goal-directed perfusion may play a role in preventing organ injury associated with cardiopulmonary bypass.*

**Quality of Evidence:** *Low*

## POSTOPERATIVE PROCESS MEASURES

**EARLY EXTUBATION STRATEGIES.** Early postoperative extubation (<6 hours after surgery) for routine low-risk and certain high-risk (ie, aortic root, ascending aortic repairs) elective cardiac operations has been demonstrated to be safe, with some evidence of reduced intensive care unit (ICU) and hospital lengths of stay although with no difference in mortality.<sup>159-161</sup> This also applies to overnight extubation, provided appropriate staffing and oversight is in place.<sup>153</sup> One RCT demonstrated that management in a specialized postanesthetic care unit can significantly reduce extubation times compared with a cardiac ICU.<sup>162</sup> A number of quality improvement studies have confirmed that implementation of a structured extubation protocol, including greater compliance with an enhanced recovery pathway, can reduce time to extubation.<sup>163-166</sup> Caution should be exercised for patients undergoing ventricular assist device placement, cardiac transplantation, or emergency procedures because those populations are generally unstudied in the context of early extubation.

**Summary Statement:** *Structured strategies to facilitate extubation within 6 hours of surgery have been shown to be safe and potentially hasten recovery after elective procedures.*

**Quality of Evidence:** *Moderate*

**INTRAOPERATIVE EXTUBATION.** Intraoperative and immediate postoperative extubation (<1 hour) have been investigated, with published literature suggesting the practice to be both feasible and safe, because studies have reported similar reintubation and overall complication rates compared with standard extubation protocols.<sup>167-171</sup> One propensity-matched analysis of intraoperative extubation compared with early extubation among nonemergent cardiac surgical patients found the practice was associated with a significant reduction in ICU and hospital lengths of stay as well as a 20% reduction in the cost of care.<sup>172</sup>

Given certain characteristics unique to cardiac surgery, including the potential for early postoperative bleeding, acidosis, and delayed awakening, it may be appropriate to use predictive scoring rubrics<sup>173</sup> or establish a consensus intraoperative anesthetic,<sup>166</sup> particularly among centers with limited experience in intraoperative extubation. The limited availability of

data directly comparing intraoperative with early extubation tempers the strength of this recommendation, and the approach should be reserved for select patient populations in highly specialized programs.

**Summary Statement:** *Highly selective intraoperative or immediate postoperative extubation may be appropriate for patients undergoing low-risk cardiac surgery.*

**Quality of Evidence:** *Low*

**AKI PREVENTION AND MANAGEMENT.** Approximately 20% to 40% of patients experience AKI after cardiac surgery,<sup>174,175</sup> which is associated with increased risk of developing chronic kidney disease as well as increased short- and long-term mortality.<sup>176,177</sup> AKI diagnosis and classification is based on the 2012 Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) consensus criteria,<sup>178</sup> and guidance for the management of cardiac surgery-associated AKI has been previously published.<sup>179</sup> There are limitations to this staging system because serum creatinine (SCr) and urinary output are surrogate markers of the glomerular filtration rate and are not specific for AKI. In healthy kidneys, the glomerular filtration rate, as measured by SCr, may not decrease until  $\geq 50\%$  of the functional nephrons are lost, and after cardiac surgery, volume overload may falsely indicate normal SCr values.<sup>180,181</sup> Novel kidney biomarkers may predict and detect AKI before a rise in SCr, allowing earlier initiation of preventive measures. Preoperatively, urinary uromodulin and postoperatively, urinary concentrations of tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases 2 (TIMP-2) and insulin-like growth factor-binding protein 7 (IGFBP7) can predict the development of AKI.<sup>182,183</sup> Among patients with evidence of postoperative AKI, early implementation of KDIGO care bundles, including serial monitoring of SCr, strict urine output quantification, volume replacement based on advanced algorithms, maintenance of hemodynamic targets, and avoidance of nephrotoxic agents, has been demonstrated to reduce the rate of stage 2 and 3 AKI after cardiac surgery.<sup>184-187</sup>

**Summary Statement:** *Routine screening for and, where appropriate, the use of a comprehensive treatment care bundle can reduce the incidence and severity of postoperative acute kidney injury.*

**Quality of Evidence:** *Moderate*

**POSTOPERATIVE ACTIVITY AND STERNAL PRECAUTIONS.** Numerous other subspecialty guidelines have established the merits of early postoperative ambulation and physiotherapy, including walking, muscular exercise, cycling, and other activities in the initial 24 hours after surgery.<sup>2-4</sup> In cardiac surgery, early ambulation is safe and associated with decreased atelectasis, pleural effusion, and pneumonia, greater exercise capacity,



and shorter ICU length of stay.<sup>188-193</sup> The impact on overall length of stay and mortality rates is unclear.<sup>194</sup>

Early upper extremity exercise, which allows for greater range of motion based on patient comfort and strength training guided by specific ergonomic principles to avoid excessive tension on the sternum, has been shown to be a safe and potentially effective alternative to more traditional restrictive sternal precautions.<sup>195-199</sup> Formal involvement of physical therapists and protocolization within the ICU environment has been shown to be a cost-effective approach to improve compliance with individualized regimens and strength outcomes.<sup>200-202</sup> Finally, programs should evaluate patients and prescribe comprehensive home- or center-based cardiac rehabilitation that uses education, life style modification, and exercise training to hasten recovery, reduce readmission, and improve quality of life.<sup>11,203,204</sup>

**Summary Statement: Early postoperative ambulation and upper extremity exercise is well tolerated and associated with hastened recovery.**

**Quality of Evidence: Moderate**

## MULTIPHASE PROCESS MEASURES

**GOAL-DIRECTED THERAPY.** Advanced monitoring with invasive arterial, central venous, and in select high-risk patients, PACs, is common practice in cardiac anesthesia. Goal-directed hemodynamic and fluid therapy (GDT) describes an algorithmic approach to achieve certain hemodynamic end points through the provision of fluid and vasopressor, and inotropic medications. The cornerstone of GDT suggests that patients who are responsive to fluid administration be identified through dynamic tests, including stroke volume or pulse pressure variation as well as cardiac output or stroke volume responsiveness, thus establishing a protocol for appropriate resuscitation interventions.

Whereas numerous observational, prospective studies, and meta-analyses, including several conducted exclusively in cardiac surgical patients, have revealed the approach is associated with reduced organ injury, medical complications, and hospital length of stay,<sup>205-209</sup> those findings are not universal, and results remain inconsistent. This is likely owing to high degrees of clinical heterogeneity, including varying algorithms, monitoring techniques, and study design.<sup>210-212</sup> As a result, although GDT for cardiac surgery may provide benefit, additional study is necessary to determine the ideal monitoring indicators and algorithm.

**Summary Statement: Goal-directed fluid and hemodynamic therapy can guide perioperative resuscitation and prevent postoperative organ injury.**

**Quality of Evidence: Moderate**

**OPIOID-SPARING PAIN MANAGEMENT.** Pain results in detrimental physiologic responses that may lead to postoperative complications, poor patient experience, and an increased risk of chronic pain, and is a standard metric for quality of recovery.<sup>213-216</sup> Opioid-based analgesia has historically held a primary role in the perioperative care of cardiac surgical patients.<sup>217</sup> Although effective in providing analgesia, opioids have numerous adverse effects that impede recovery and create a negative patient experience.<sup>218</sup> Additionally, there is growing awareness of the importance of new persistent opioid use, with an incidence in cardiac surgery of up to 10% to 15%, and recognition of the contributory roles of postoperative opioid administration and discharge prescribing practices.<sup>218-222</sup>

Multimodal analgesia aims to reduce or eliminate the reliance on opioids by using alternative therapies and is one of the foundations of enhanced recovery.<sup>223,224</sup> Although multimodal analgesia for cardiac surgery has been the subject of recent reviews, there are limited prospective data.<sup>225-227</sup> One RCT of 150 patients in which a multimodal bundle was compared with morphine-based analgesia demonstrated a significant reduction in average pain scores and less nausea and vomiting.<sup>228</sup> In another RCT, the ERAS group, which received a multimodal analgesia bundle, had lower pain scores at all assessment points in the first 72 hours and reduced hospital length of stay, although no differences in patient-reported functional recovery compared with standard of care.<sup>229</sup> Multimodal opioid-sparing analgesia, in isolation or as part of a larger ERAS program, has been shown retrospectively to reduce opioid requirements, facilitate early extubation, and contribute to a shorter length of stay, although improvement in pain scores is not reliably demonstrated, and metrics, such as common opioid/pain adverse effects, and patient-centered outcomes, are inconsistently reported.<sup>230-233</sup>

There are limited data on the use and effectiveness of individual nonopioid pharmacologic agents in cardiac surgery. A recent systematic review found 21 publications of prospective studies on intravenous analgesics: 14 comparing various opioids, 4 on paracetamol/acetaminophen, and 3 on nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs.<sup>234</sup> Fortunately, there is well-established science on the full spectrum of nonopioid options in the noncardiac literature, which may provide the preliminary basis for development of an evidence-based analgesic strategy.<sup>235</sup> Incorporating multimodal analgesia within a cardiac enhanced recovery program requires assessment of each analgesic option regarding the presumed benefit, anticipated risks and adverse effects, cost, and barriers to implementation.

**TABLE 4 Components of a Patient Blood Management Program**

<b>Preoperative Anemia and Iron Deficiency Screening and Optimization</b>
A patient blood management program, led by a multidisciplinary team of health care providers, should be part of a comprehensive strategy to avoid unnecessary transfusion.
Anemia and iron deficiency assessment and treatment with B <sub>12</sub> , folate, and intravenous iron preparations, as indicated, is reasonable to reduce blood transfusion.
Preoperative administration of intravenous iron and/or erythropoietin-stimulating agents is reasonable to increase red cell mass for anemic patients.
<b>Minimize Blood Loss and Hemodilution</b>
Laboratory measurement of antiplatelet drug effect for patients on preoperative dual antiplatelet therapy is reasonable to guide timing of surgery.
Use of synthetic antifibrinolytic agents such as epsilon-aminocaproic acid (EACA) or tranexamic acid are shown to reduce blood transfusion.
Reduced priming volume in the cardiopulmonary bypass circuit reduces hemodilution and blood transfusion.
Retrograde autologous priming of the cardiopulmonary bypass circuit reduces hemodilution and blood transfusion.
Routine use of intraoperative red blood cell salvage using centrifugation is indicated for blood conservation.
Use of a standardized hemostasis checklist during cardiac surgery, before closing, can reduce bleeding and blood transfusion.
<b>Permissive Anemia in the Intraoperative and Postoperative Phases</b>
A standardized, restrictive perioperative red blood cell transfusion protocol is favored in preference to a liberal strategy to reduce transfusion.
Goal-directed transfusion algorithms that incorporate point-of-care testing, such as with viscoelastic devices, are shown to reduce bleeding and transfusion.
Red blood cell transfusion is unlikely to benefit nonbleeding patients with a hemoglobin concentration >7.5 g/dL.

**Summary Statement: A multimodal approach reduces reliance upon opioid-based analgesia and optimizes perioperative pain management.**

**Quality of Evidence: Moderate**

**REGIONAL ANALGESIA.** A greater foundation of literature exists evaluating the effectiveness of thoracic epidural, intrathecal, and regional analgesia in cardiac surgery. A meta-analysis of 69 trials and 4860 patients showed reduced pain, intubation time, respiratory depression, and arrhythmias with the use of thoracic epidural analgesia.<sup>236</sup> These benefits must be balanced with the risk of epidural hematoma, a potentially catastrophic complication with an estimated incidence between 1:1000 and 1:30,000.<sup>237,238</sup> A 2009 systematic review showed intrathecal morphine reduced pain and opioid requirements, but there were inconsistent findings related to reduced adverse effects or other outcome benefits.<sup>239</sup>

Recently, there has been rapid growth in the classification and application of a variety of paraspinal and chest-wall regional anesthesia techniques.<sup>240-242</sup> Four recent systematic reviews have shown that these blocks may help reduce pain and opioid use compared with systemic analgesics alone. However, small sample sizes, poor methodologic quality, and high heterogeneity prevent comparing the relative efficacy of the various techniques, limit quantification of the additive benefit within a pharmacologic multimodal bundle, and indicate that further research is required.<sup>243-246</sup>

**Summary Statement: Chest wall regional analgesia is an effective component of a multimodal approach to perioperative pain management.**

**Quality of Evidence: Moderate**

**PATIENT BLOOD MANAGEMENT PROGRAM.** Patient blood management (PBM) involves establishing a

comprehensive program to apply best practices to guide local use of allogenic blood products. Multiple observational studies in cardiac surgery have found an association between PBM and decreased transfusion rates, bleeding events, AKI, infection, length of stay, and health care costs.<sup>247-253</sup> A recent meta-analysis revealed that the greatest magnitude of benefit across the surgical specialties for many of the metrics analyzed occurred in cardiac surgery.<sup>254</sup> Components of a PBM program are highlighted in Table 4, organized based on 3 major pillars<sup>254,255</sup>: (1) preoperative anemia and iron deficiency screening and optimization, (2) minimization of intraoperative blood loss or hemodilution, and (3) permissive intraoperative and postoperative anemia.<sup>256,257</sup> Additional guidance regarding PBM has been previously published.<sup>256</sup>

**Summary Statement: Blood product use and associated outcomes are optimized through the implementation of a comprehensive patient blood management program.**

**Quality of Evidence: Moderate**

#### **POSTOPERATIVE ATRIAL FIBRILLATION PREVENTION.**

Postoperative atrial fibrillation (POAF) after cardiac surgery has been extensively investigated, with an incidence ranging from 15% to 40% and associated with an increased risk of perioperative stroke and early and late mortality, and increased hospital length of stay and cost.<sup>258,259</sup> For patients who develop POAF and are discharged in sinus rhythm, nearly 30% will have recurrent POAF in follow-up within 1 month.<sup>260</sup> Identification of patients with a higher risk of POAF may allow for higher intensity prevention methods. The CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc score (C: congestive heart failure; H: hypertension [blood pressure consistently >140/90 mm Hg or treated hypertension on medication]; A<sub>2</sub>: age ≥75

**TABLE 5 Components of Postoperative Atrial Fibrillation Prevention**

Preoperative Risk Scoring CHA <sub>2</sub> DS <sub>2</sub> -VASc score
Medications Preoperative or immediate postoperative oral or intravenous β-blocker Preoperative (high risk) or immediate postoperative oral or intravenous amiodarone
Procedural Considerations Posterior pericardiectomy Avoidance of retained pericardial blood
CHA <sub>2</sub> DS <sub>2</sub> -VASc, C: congestive heart failure; H: hypertension (blood pressure consistently >140/90 mm Hg or treated hypertension on medication); A <sub>2</sub> : age ≥75 years; D: diabetes mellitus; S <sub>2</sub> : prior stroke or transient ischemic attack, or thromboembolism; V: vascular disease (previous myocardial infarction, peripheral arterial disease, or aortic plaque); A: age 65-74 years; Sc: sex category (female sex).

years; D: diabetes mellitus; S<sub>2</sub>: prior stroke or transient ischemic attack, or thromboembolism; V: vascular disease [previous myocardial infarction, peripheral arterial disease, or aortic plaque]; A: age 65-74 years; Sc: sex category [female sex]) has been shown to be an independent predictor of the development of POAF.<sup>261,262</sup> Results of meta-analyses randomized trials show that prophylactic β-blockers and amiodarone, both preoperatively and postoperatively administered, reduce the incidence of POAF.<sup>263-266</sup> As treatment against the inflammatory state thought to be implicated in POAF, multiple randomized trials have been conducted looking at corticosteroids, N-acetylcysteine, polyunsaturated fatty acids, and vitamins. Owing to the significant heterogeneity in these studies, the treatment effect has been inconsistent.<sup>267,268</sup> The use of biatrial pacing after cardiac surgery has shown a reduction in POAF; however, right- or left-sided atrial pacing has not shown a consistent reduction in POAF.<sup>269</sup> Finally, the routine use of magnesium supplementation has not been shown to be beneficial in studies at low risk of bias.<sup>270</sup>

Pericardial effusion has been implicated as a pathophysiologic contributor to POAF,<sup>271</sup> and thus, strategies should be deployed to avoid retention of pericardial blood. The routine use of posterior pericardiectomy has demonstrated a reduction in residual pericardial effusion as well as POAF, with a systematic review of 3425 patients demonstrating a 90% reduction in tamponade and a 58% reduction in POAF.<sup>272</sup> Table 5 provides a summary of the potential strategies associated with a reduction in POAF.

**Summary Statement: Postoperative atrial fibrillation is optimally addressed through the use of a multifaceted prevention strategy.**

**Quality of Evidence: Moderate**

**SYSTEMATIC DELIRIUM SCREENING AND PREVENTION.**

Delirium occurs in ~20% to 50% of patients after cardiac surgery and is associated with reduced in-hospital and long-term survival, freedom from hospital readmission, and cognitive and functional recovery.<sup>273-275</sup> Early delirium detection is essential in the management of delirium and should begin in the preoperative phase by identifying key risk factors for the development of delirium.<sup>276</sup> In the postoperative phase, the use of a systematic delirium screening tool in the ICU that assesses the full spectrum of delirium presentation (ie, hypoactive, hyperactive, and mixed) should be incorporated as part of routine monitoring at least once per nursing shift.<sup>277</sup> The Confusion Assessment Method for the Intensive Care Unit or the Intensive Care Unit Delirium Screening Checklist are the most commonly reported tools in the ICU.<sup>278-280</sup>

Once delirium is detected, it is important to determine the underlying cause (ie, pain, hypoxemia, low cardiac output, and sepsis) and initiate appropriate treatment.<sup>277</sup> Several randomized trials have not provided support for the use of prophylactic medicines, such as clonidine or antipsychotic agents, to reduce the occurrence of delirium in the ICU.<sup>281-283</sup> The use of antipsychotic medications should be limited to those patients with particularly distressing symptoms and/or who pose a safety risk to themselves or the health care team.

In contrast, nonpharmacologic strategies remain as the first-line component of management and should consider the implementation of the ICU “ABCDEF liberation bundle” (A: assess, prevent, and manage pain; B: Both spontaneous awakening and spontaneous breathing trials; C: Choice of analgesia and sedation; D: Delirium: assess, prevent, and manage; E: Early mobility and exercise; F: Family engagement and empowerment) in the postoperative cardiac surgery ICU.<sup>277</sup> A multicenter randomized trial examining a multicomponent family support intervention was associated with higher ratings of the quality of communication and a shorter ICU length of stay; however, this requires additional study in the postoperative cardiac surgery patient.<sup>284</sup>

**Summary Statement: Routine use of a systematic delirium screening tool and nonpharmacologic strategies aid the identification and prevention of postoperative delirium.**

**Quality of Evidence: High**

**SURGICAL SITE INFECTION PREVENTION BUNDLE.** A number of strategies applied across the perioperative continuum have been shown to reduce the incidence of postoperative surgical site infection (SSI). These can be quite extensive, including behavioral elements, such as

**TABLE 6 Components of a Surgical Site Infection Prevention Bundle**

<b>Preoperative</b>
Smoking cessation for 4 weeks before surgery
Topical intranasal staphylococcal decolonization
Chlorhexidine-alcohol-based bathing the night before surgery
<b>Intraoperative</b>
Clipping (as opposed to shaving) of hair immediately prior to incision
Chlorhexidine-alcohol-based solution for skin preparation prior to incision
Appropriately timed (<60 minutes prior to incision) and redosed antibiotic prophylaxis
Routine use of an insulin infusion for glucose management
<b>Postoperative</b>
Avoidance of hyperglycemia (goal glucose <140-180 mg/dL)
Maintenance of normothermia (>36° or <38° C)

preoperative smoking cessation,<sup>285</sup> surgical site preparation with chlorhexidine cleansing and hair clipping (as opposed to shaving),<sup>286,287</sup> antimicrobial prophylaxis with topical intranasal staphylococcal decolonization<sup>288-290</sup> and appropriately timed antibiotics,<sup>291,292</sup> and the routine maintenance of euglycemia<sup>293-295</sup> and normothermia.<sup>296</sup> Prior publications have not only revealed an association between individual interventions and SSI prevention but also reinforced the bundled application of numerous elements to obtain an additive, if not synergistic, reduction in subsequent infection.<sup>297-300</sup> Elements that may be potentially included in an SSI bundle are summarized in Table 6.

**Summary Statement: The bundled application of evidence-based best practices has been shown to prevent surgical site infection.**

**Quality of Evidence: High**

#### UNGRADED CARE ELEMENTS, FUTURE DIRECTIONS, AND CONTENT DISCLAIMER

Early removal of catheters and tubes is a cornerstone element of ERAS. Unfortunately, few studies have expressly evaluated the timing of removal of central catheters, arterial access, and chest tubes in the cardiac surgical setting. However, teams are recommended to formally assess daily and remove all indwelling catheters and chest tubes as early as possible after surgery.<sup>2-4</sup>

Heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT) is a life-threatening complication associated with exposure to unfractionated heparin.<sup>301</sup> Owing to the preponderance of thrombocytopenia, multiple heparin exposures, and alternative bleeding dyscrasias, particularly in the early postoperative period, formal cardiac-specific HIT screening and management should be protocolized and requires additional study.<sup>302</sup>

Given the relatively high incidence of vocal cord injury associated with cardiac surgery, owing to higher incidence of prolonged intubation and increased vasopressor administration compared with other types of surgery, it may be advisable for programs to standardize postoperative screening for oropharyngeal injury, particularly in high-risk patients.<sup>303</sup>

Although a multimodal, opioid-sparing pain management plan is recommended, cardiac surgical patients with preoperative opioid-tolerance or chronic opioid use are underrepresented in the literature,<sup>304</sup> and additional study is necessary prior to providing formal recommendations regarding management of their pain and opioid administration.

Minimally invasive surgery is a common inclusion among other ERAS subspecialty guidelines because smaller incisions are associated with less surgical trauma, more modest analgesic requirements, and shorter recovery periods.<sup>2-4</sup> Although minimally invasive surgery has been described, including mini-thoracotomy, ministernotomy, and robotic approaches,<sup>305-307</sup> additional research is required to establish its association with key mid and long-term outcomes.<sup>308-310</sup>

In addition, patients with mechanical circulatory support devices, such as an intra-aortic balloon pump or a temporary or more durable ventricular assist device, will similarly benefit from protocolized evidence based perioperative care pathways. However, more research is needed to integrate into ERAS clinical pathways.

The statements provided in this document are the result of a recent review of published evidence and expert opinion. Although they are a reflection of the available evidence, limitations in study design, patient, or procedure inclusion characteristics, differences in regional and international practice patterns, and variation in interventions and surgical complexity suggest that this consensus statement should neither be considered standard of care nor a substitute for patient autonomy or clinical judgment. Providers and their teams are encouraged to deviate from these recommendations when patient preference, clinical condition, local expertise, or additional published literature warrant. Further, application of the statements provided in this document to urgent or emergent procedures should be done with caution because most of the evidence is based on data derived from elective surgery.

**CONCLUSIONS.** An aging patient population coupled with more sophisticated surgical technique has dramatically increased the complexity of perioperative care for the cardiac surgical patient. Although their origins can be traced back to fast-track cardiac surgery,<sup>159,311</sup> which involved a similar bundled approach to care, present-day cardiac surgical ERAS protocols require adoption of

a patient-centered, multidisciplinary pathway centered on elements shown to improve surgical outcome and contribute to high-value care. Despite a body of literature replete with examples of successful ERAS programs in noncardiac surgery, their application to the cardiac surgical setting is in its relative infancy. As outlined, most of the measures included in this document are based on a low- or moderate-level of evidence, and additional high-quality studies are warranted to tailor additional guidance in the future. The care elements assessed and reported on in this consensus statement can provide a foundation for ERAS program development to ensure optimal care for the cardiac surgical patient.

### FUNDING SOURCES

The authors have no funding sources to disclose.

### DISCLOSURES

Cheryl Crisafi reports a relationship with Abbott that includes: speaking and lecture fees. Adrian Alvarez reports a relationship with Pfizer that includes: speaking and lecture fees. Rakesh C. Arora reports a relationship with Edwards Lifesciences Corporation that includes: consulting or advisory; and with AVIR that includes: consulting or advisory. Subhasis Chatterjee reports a relationship with Edwards Lifesciences Corporation that includes: consulting or advisory; with Baxter that includes: consulting or advisory; with La Jolla that includes: consulting or advisory; and with Eagle that includes: consulting or advisory. Nick Fletcher reports a relationship with Edwards Lifesciences Corporation that includes: consulting or advisory. Serdar Gunaydin reports a relationship with Essential that includes: consulting or advisory; with Medtronic that includes: consulting or advisory; with Bicakçilar that includes: consulting or advisory; with Vifor Pharma that includes: consulting or advisory; with CSL Behring that includes: consulting or advisory; with Giganode that includes: speaking and lecture fees; with LivaNova that includes: consulting or advisory; with AstraZeneca that includes: consulting or advisory; with Pfizer that includes: consulting or advisory; and with Abdi İbrahim that includes: consulting or advisory. Marjan Jahangiri reports a relationship with Edwards Lifesciences Corporation that includes: consulting or advisory. Olle Ljungqvist reports a relationship with Encare that includes: equity or stocks, speaking and lecture fees, and travel reimbursement; with Nutricia that includes: consulting or advisory; with Pharmacosmos that includes: consulting or advisory; with Fresenius-Kabi that includes: consulting or advisory; with Vitaflow that includes: consulting

or advisory; with B. Braun that includes: consulting or advisory; and with Medtronic that includes: consulting or advisory. Kevin W. Lobdell reports a relationship with Renibus that includes: board membership and consulting or advisory; with Abiomed that includes: consulting or advisory and speaking and lecture fees; with Alexion that includes: consulting or advisory; with Medela that includes: consulting or advisory; and with Medtronic that includes: consulting or advisory. Joerg Ender reports a relationship with Edwards Lifesciences Corporation that includes: speaking and lecture fees; with Abbott that includes: speaking and lecture fees; with Medela that includes: speaking and lecture fees; and with Samsung that includes: speaking and lecture fees. Vicki Morton reports a relationship with Acacia that includes: consulting or advisory. Seenu Reddy reports a relationship with Biomerieux that includes: consulting or advisory; with Edwards Lifesciences Corporation that includes: consulting or advisory; with Atricure that includes: consulting or advisory; with Medtronic that includes: consulting or advisory; with Johnson & Johnson Ethicon that includes: consulting or advisory; and with AngioDynamics that includes: consulting or advisory. Rawn Salenger reports a relationship with Atricure that includes: consulting or advisory and speaking and lecture fees; with Arthrex that includes: consulting or advisory; with Zimmer Biomet that includes: consulting or advisory and speaking and lecture fees; with Encare that includes: consulting or advisory; with Terumo that includes: consulting or advisory; with La Jolla that includes: consulting or advisory and funding grants; and with Artivion that includes: speaking and lecture fees. Alexander Zarbock reports a relationship with Guard that includes: consulting or advisory; with AM Pharma that includes: consulting or advisory; with Novartis that includes: consulting or advisory; with Bayer that includes: consulting or advisory; with Renibus that includes: consulting or advisory; with Baxter that includes: consulting or advisory, funding grants, and speaking and lecture fees; with bioMérieux that includes: consulting or advisory, funding grants, and speaking and lecture fees; with Alexion that includes: consulting or advisory; with Paion that includes: speaking and lecture fees; and with Spingotec that includes: travel reimbursement. Daniel T. Engelman reports a relationship with Edwards Lifesciences Corporation that includes: consulting or advisory; with Medela that includes: consulting or advisory; with Renibus that includes: consulting or advisory; with Alexion that includes: consulting or advisory; with Arthrex that includes: consulting or advisory; with Genentech that includes: consulting or advisory; with Astellas that includes: consulting or advisory; with Terumo that includes: consulting or advisory; with Rockwell Medical that includes: consulting or advisory; with Bioporto that includes: consulting or advisory; with Pharmacosmos that includes: consulting or advisory; and with CardioRenal Systems that includes: consulting or advisory. Michael C. Grant and Daniel T. Engelman serve on the Editorial Board of STS Journals. The other authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

### REFERENCES

1. Ljungqvist O, Scott M, Fearon KC. Enhanced recovery after surgery: a review. *JAMA Surg.* 2017;152:292-298.
2. Stenberg E, Dos Reis Falcão LF, O’Kane M, et al. Guidelines for perioperative care in bariatric surgery: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) Society recommendations: a 2021 update [published correction appears in *World J Surg.* 2022;46:752]. *World J Surg.* 2022;46:729-751.
3. Nelson G, Bakkum-Gamez J, Kalogera E, et al. Guidelines for perioperative care in gynecologic/oncology: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) Society recommendations–2019 update. *Int J Gynecol Cancer.* 2019;29:651-668.
4. Gustafsson UO, Scott MJ, Hubner M, et al. Guidelines for perioperative care in elective colorectal surgery: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS®) Society recommendations: 2018. *World J Surg.* 2019;43:659-695.
5. Stone AB, Grant MC, Pio Roda C, et al. Implementation costs of an Enhanced Recovery After Surgery program in the United States: a financial model and sensitivity analysis based on experiences at a quaternary academic medical center. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2016;222:219-225.
6. Thiele RH, Rea KM, Turrentine FE, et al. Standardization of care: impact of an enhanced recovery protocol on length of stay, complications, and direct costs after colorectal surgery. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2015;220:430-443.
7. ERAS Compliance Group. The impact of enhanced recovery protocol compliance on elective colorectal cancer resection: results from an international registry. *Ann Surg.* 2015;261:1153-1159.
8. Grant MC, Pio Roda CM, Canner JK, et al. The impact of anesthesia-influenced process measure compliance on length of stay: results from an Enhanced Recovery After Surgery for colorectal surgery cohort. *Anesth Analg.* 2019;128:68-74.
9. Engelman DT, Ben Ali W, Williams JB, et al. Guidelines for perioperative care in cardiac surgery: Enhanced Recovery After Surgery Society recommendations. *JAMA Surg.* 2019;154:755-766.
10. Brindle M, Nelson G, Lobo DN, Ljungqvist O, Gustafsson UO. Recommendations from the ERAS® Society for standards for the development of enhanced recovery after surgery guidelines. *BJS Open.* 2020;4:157-163.
11. Writing Committee Members, Lawton JS, Tamis-Holland JE, Bangalore S, et al. 2021 ACC/AHA/SCAI Guideline for Coronary Artery Revascularization: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Joint Committee on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2022;79:e21-e129.

12. Cook DJ, Manning DM, Holland DE, et al. Patient engagement and reported outcomes in surgical recovery: effectiveness of an e-health platform. *J Am Coll Surg*. 2013;217:648-655.
13. Ocagli H, Lorenzoni G, Lanera C, et al. Monitoring patients reported outcomes after valve replacement using wearable devices: insights on feasibility and capability study: feasibility results. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2021;18:7171.
14. Ben-Ali W, Lamarche Y, Carrier M, et al. Use of mobile-based application for collection of patient-reported outcomes in cardiac surgery. *Innovations (Phila)*. 2021;16:536-544.
15. Charles EJ, Mehaffey JH, Hawkins RB, et al. Effect of cardiac surgery on one-year patient-reported outcomes: a prospective cohort study. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2021;112:1410-1416.
16. Charles EJ, Mehaffey JH, Hawkins RB, et al. Meaningful patient-centered outcomes 1 year following cardiac surgery. *Ann Surg*. 2021;273:e247-e254.
17. Mihalj M, Carrel T, Urman RD, Stueber F, Luedi MM. Recommendations for preoperative assessment and shared decision-making in cardiac surgery. *Curr Anesthesiol Rep*. 2020;10:185-195.
18. Havranek EP, Mujahid MS, Barr DA, et al; American Heart Association Council on Quality of Care and Outcomes Research, Council on Epidemiology and Prevention, Council on Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing, Council on Lifestyle and Cardiometabolic Health, and Stroke Council. Social determinants of risk and outcomes for cardiovascular disease: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2015;132:873-898.
19. Perez Jolles M, Richmond J, Thomas KC. Minority patient preferences, barriers, and facilitators for shared decision-making with health care providers in the USA: a systematic review. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2019;102:1251-1262.
20. Lamore K, Montalescot L, Untas A. Treatment decision-making in chronic diseases: What are the family members' roles, needs and attitudes? A systematic review. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2017;100:2172-2181.
21. Abola RE, Bennett-Guerrero E, Kent ML, et al. American Society for Enhanced Recovery and Perioperative Quality Initiative Joint Consensus Statement on Patient-Reported Outcomes in an Enhanced Recovery Pathway. *Anesth Analg*. 2018;126:1874-1882.
22. Churrua K, Pomare C, Ellis LA, et al. Patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs): a review of generic and condition-specific measures and a discussion of trends and issues. *Health Expect*. 2021;24:1015-1024.
23. Subramanian M, Kozower BD, Brown LM, Khullar OV, Fernandez FG. Patient-reported outcomes in cardiothoracic surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2019;107:294-301.
24. Ayers B, Lee E, Wood K, et al. Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System (PROMIS) in left ventricular assist devices. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2022;113:859-865.
25. Killian MO, Clifford SA, Gupta D. Directly observed therapy to promote medication adherence in paediatric heart transplant recipients. *Cardiol Young*. 2021;31:2048-2050.
26. Hughes TM, Merath K, Chen Q, et al. Association of shared decision-making on patient-reported health outcomes and healthcare utilization. *Am J Surg*. 2018;216:7-12.
27. Stone AB, Yuan CT, Rosen MA, et al. Barriers to and facilitators of implementing enhanced recovery pathways using an implementation framework: a systematic review. *JAMA Surg*. 2018;153:270-279.
28. Cohen R, Gooberman-Hill R. Staff experiences of enhanced recovery after surgery: systematic review of qualitative studies. *BMJ Open*. 2019;9:e022259.
29. Martin D, Roulin D, Grass F, et al. A multicentre qualitative study assessing implementation of an Enhanced Recovery After Surgery program. *Clin Nutr*. 2018;37:2172-2177.
30. Pearsall EA, Meghji Z, Pitzul KB, et al. A qualitative study to understand the barriers and enablers in implementing an enhanced recovery after surgery program. *Ann Surg*. 2015;261:92-96.
31. Ljungqvist O, de Boer HD, Balfour A, et al. Opportunities and challenges for the next phase of Enhanced Recovery After Surgery: a review. *JAMA Surg*. 2021;156:775-784.
32. Jawitz OK, Bradford WT, McConnell G, Engel J, Allender JE, Williams JB. How to start an Enhanced Recovery After Surgery cardiac program. *Crit Care Clin*. 2020;36:571-579.
33. Francis NK, Walker T, Carter F, et al. Consensus on training and implementation of Enhanced Recovery After Surgery: a Delphi study. *World J Surg*. 2018;42:1919-1928.
34. Paton F, Chambers D, Wilson P, et al. Effectiveness and implementation of enhanced recovery after surgery programmes: a rapid evidence synthesis. *BMJ Open*. 2014;4:e005015.
35. Knott A, Pathak S, McGrath JS, et al. Consensus views on implementation and measurement of enhanced recovery after surgery in England: Delphi study. *BMJ Open*. 2012;2:e001878.
36. Zorrilla-Vaca A, Stone AB, Ripollés-Melchor J, et al. Institutional factors associated with adherence to enhanced recovery protocols for colorectal surgery: secondary analysis of a multicenter study. *J Clin Anesth*. 2021;74:110378.
37. Salenger R, Morton-Bailey V, Grant M, Gregory A, Williams JB, Engelman DT. Cardiac Enhanced Recovery After Surgery: a guide to team building and successful implementation. *Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2020;32:187-196.
38. Morton-Bailey V, Salenger R, Engelman DT. The 10 commandments of ERAS for cardiac surgery. *Innovations (Phila)*. 2021;16:493-497.
39. Shapira OM, Blumenfeld O, Bolotin G, Grover FL, Shahian DM. International participation in The Society of Thoracic Surgeons Adult Cardiac Surgery Database: from institutional to national. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2017;103:1683-1686.
40. Fernandez FG, Shahian DM, Kormos R, et al. The Society of Thoracic Surgeons National Database 2019 annual report. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2019;108:1625-1632.
41. Jacobs JP, Shahian DM, Grau-Sepulveda M, et al. Current penetration, completeness, and representativeness of The Society of Thoracic Surgeons Adult Cardiac Surgery Database. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2022;113:1461-1468.
42. Jacobs JP, Shahian DM, Prager RL, et al. Introduction to the STS National Database Series: outcomes analysis, quality improvement, and patient safety. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2015;100:1992-2000.
43. Hirji SA, Salenger R, Boyle EM, et al. Expert consensus of data elements for collection for Enhanced Recovery After Cardiac Surgery. *World J Surg*. 2021;45:917-925.
44. Gustafsson UO, Hausel J, Thorell A, Ljungqvist O, Soop M, Nygren J. Adherence to the enhanced recovery after surgery protocol and outcomes after colorectal cancer surgery. *Arch Surg*. 2011;146:571-577.
45. Currie A, Burch J, Jenkins JT, et al. The impact of enhanced recovery protocol compliance on elective colorectal cancer resection: results from an international registry. *Ann Surg*. 2015;261:1153-1159.
46. Simpson JC, Moonesinghe SR, Grocott MPW, et al. Enhanced recovery from surgery in the UK: an audit of the enhanced recovery partnership programme 2009-2012. *Br J Anaesth*. 2015;115:560-568.
47. Ripollés-Melchor J, Ramírez-Rodríguez JM, Casans-Francés R, et al. Association between use of Enhanced Recovery After Surgery protocol and postoperative complications in colorectal surgery: the Postoperative Outcomes Within Enhanced Recovery After Surgery Protocol (POWER) Study. *JAMA Surg*. 2019;154:725-736.
48. Ripollés-Melchor J, Sánchez-Santos R, Abad-Motos A, et al. Higher adherence to ERAS Society® recommendations is associated with shorter hospital stay without an increase in postoperative complications or readmissions in bariatric surgery: the association between use of Enhanced Recovery After Surgery Protocols and Postoperative Complications after Bariatric Surgery (POWER 3) multicenter observational study. *Obes Surg*. 2022;32:1289-1299.
49. Hensley NB, Grant MC, Cho BC, Suffredini G, Abernathy JA. How do we use dashboards to enhance quality in cardiac anesthesia? *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth*. 2021;35:2969-2976.

- 50.** Grant MC, Galante DJ, Hobson DB, et al. Optimizing an enhanced recovery pathway program: development of a postimplementation audit strategy. *Jt Comm J Qual Patient Saf.* 2017;43:524-533.
- 51.** Fischer CP, Knapp L, Cohen ME, Ko CY, Wick EC. Association of enhanced recovery pathway compliance with patient outcomes. *JAMA Surg.* 2021;156:982-984.
- 52.** Kolodziej T, Maciejewski T, Mendrala K, et al. Enhanced recovery after cardiac surgery. *Kardiochir Torakochirurgia Pol.* 2019;16:32-36.
- 53.** Magoon R, Choudhury A, Sarkar S, Joshi S. Enhanced recovery after cardiac surgery: is it just about putting the bundles together? *Ann Cardiac Anaesth.* 2021;24:276-278.
- 54.** Pittams AP, Iddawela S, Zaidi S, Tyson N, Harky A. Scoring systems for risk stratification in patients undergoing cardiac surgery. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth.* 2022;36:1148-1156.
- 55.** Saxena A, Dhurandhar V, Bannon PG, Newcomb AE. The benefits and pitfalls of the use of risk stratification tools in cardiac surgery. *Heart Lung Circ.* 2016;25:314-318.
- 56.** Biancarì F, Giordano S. Glycated hemoglobin and the risk of sternal wound infection after adult cardiac surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2019;31:465-467.
- 57.** Zheng J, Cheng J, Wang T, Zhang Q, Xiao X. Does HbA1c level have clinical implications in diabetic patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Endocrinol.* 2017;2017:1537213.
- 58.** Kotfis K, Szylińska A, Listewnik M, Brykczynski M, Ely EW, Rotter I. Diabetes and elevated preoperative HbA1c level as risk factors for postoperative delirium after cardiac surgery: an observational cohort study. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat.* 2019;15:511-521.
- 59.** Engelman DT, Adams DH, Byrne JG, et al. Impact of body mass index and albumin on morbidity and mortality after cardiac surgery. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 1999;118:866-873.
- 60.** Jayakumar S, Khoynezhad A, Jahangiri M. Surgical site infections in cardiac surgery. *Crit Care Clin.* 2020;36:581-592.
- 61.** Karas PL, Goh SL, Dhital K. Is low serum albumin associated with postoperative complications in patients undergoing cardiac surgery? *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg.* 2015;21:777-786.
- 62.** Li N, Qiao H, Guo JF, et al. Preoperative hypoalbuminemia was associated with acute kidney injury in high-risk patients following non-cardiac surgery: a retrospective cohort study. *BMC Anesthesiol.* 2019;19:171.
- 63.** George LK, Molnar MZ, Lu JL, Kalantar-Zadeh K, Koshy SK, Kovesdy CP. Association of pre-operative albuminuria with post-operative outcomes after coronary artery bypass grafting. *Sci Rep.* 2015;5:16458.
- 64.** Lee JA, Yanagawa B, An KR, Arora RC, Verma S, Friedrich JO. Canadian Cardiovascular Surgery Meta-Analysis Working Group. Frailty and prefrailty in cardiac surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 66,448 patients. *J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2021;16:184.
- 65.** Yanagawa B, Graham MM, Afilalo J, Hassan A, Arora RC. Frailty as a risk predictor in cardiac surgery: beyond the eyeball test. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2019;157:1905-1909.
- 66.** Foldvary-Schaefer N, Kaw R, et al. Prevalence of undetected sleep apnea in patients undergoing cardiovascular surgery and impact on postoperative outcomes. *J Clin Sleep Med.* 2015;11:1083-1089.
- 67.** Gali B, Glasgow AE, Greason KL, Johnson RL, Albright RC, Habermann EB. Postoperative outcomes of patients with obstructive sleep apnea undergoing cardiac surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2020;110:1324-1332.
- 68.** Pivetta B, Chen L, Nagappa M, et al. Use and performance of the STOP-Bang questionnaire for obstructive sleep apnea screening across geographic regions: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Netw Open.* 2021;4:e211009.
- 69.** Nagappa M, Patra J, Wong J, et al. Association of STOP-Bang questionnaire as a screening tool for sleep apnea and postoperative complications: a systematic review and Bayesian meta-analysis of prospective and retrospective cohort studies. *Anesth Analg.* 2017;125:1301-1308.
- 70.** Wolf S, Wolf C, Cattermole TC, et al. Cardiac surgery outcomes: a case for increased screening and treatment of obstructive sleep apnea. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2022;113(4):1159-1164.
- 71.** McCann M, Stamp N, Ngui A, Litton E. Cardiac prehabilitation. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth.* 2019;33:2255-2265.
- 72.** Kaye AD, Kandregula S, Kosty J, et al. Chronic pain and substance abuse disorders: preoperative assessment and optimization strategies. *Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol.* 2020;34:255-267.
- 73.** US Food and Drug Administration. Extended-Release (ER) and Long-Acting (LA) Opioid Analgesics Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy (REMS). June 2015. Accessed October 12, 2023. [www.fda.gov/downloads/drugs/drugsafety/postmarketdrugsafetyinformationforpatientsandproviders/ucm311290.pdf](http://www.fda.gov/downloads/drugs/drugsafety/postmarketdrugsafetyinformationforpatientsandproviders/ucm311290.pdf)
- 74.** Fried LP, Hadley EC, Walston JD, et al. From bedside to bench: research agenda for frailty. *Sci Aging Knowledge Environ.* 2005;2005:pe24.
- 75.** Hewitt J, Long S, Carter B, Bach S, Mccarthy K, Clegg A. The prevalence of frailty and its association with poorer clinical outcomes in general surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Age Ageing.* 2018;47:793-800.
- 76.** Robinson TN, Wallace JI, Wu DS, et al. Accumulated frailty characteristics predict postoperative discharge institutionalization in the geriatric patient. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2011;213:37-42.
- 77.** Green P, Woglom AE, Genereux P, et al. The impact of frailty status on survival after transcatheter aortic valve replacement in older adults with severe aortic stenosis: a single-center experience. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv.* 2012;5:974-981.
- 78.** Lee DH, Buth KJ, Martin BJ, Yip AM, Hirsch GM. Frail patients are at increased risk for mortality and prolonged institutional care after cardiac surgery. *Circulation.* 2010;121:973-978.
- 79.** Singh M, Rihal CS, Lennon RJ, Spertus JA, Nair KS, Roger VL. Influence of frailty and health status on outcomes in patients with coronary disease undergoing percutaneous revascularization. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes.* 2011;4:496-502.
- 80.** Sündermann S, Dademasch A, Rastan A, et al. One-year follow-up of patients undergoing elective cardiac surgery assessed with the Comprehensive Assessment of Frailty test and its simplified form. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg.* 2011;13:119-123 [discussion: 123].
- 81.** Pathirana AK, Lokunarangoda N, Ranathunga I, Santharaj WS, Ekanayake R, Jayawardena R. Prevalence of hospital malnutrition among cardiac patients: results from six nutrition screening tools. *Springerplus.* 2014;3:412.
- 82.** McWhirter JP, Pennington CR. Incidence and recognition of malnutrition in hospital. *BMJ.* 1994;308:945-948.
- 83.** Nguyen TC, Thourani VH, Nissen AP, et al. The effect of COVID-19 on adult cardiac surgery in the United States in 717 103 patients. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2022;113:738-746.
- 84.** Tam DY, Qiu F, Manoragavan R, et al. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on cardiac procedure wait list mortality in Ontario, Canada. *Can J Cardiol.* 2021;37:1547-1554.
- 85.** Sampalis J, Boukas S, Liberman M, Reid T, Dupuis G. Impact of waiting time on the quality of life of patients awaiting coronary artery bypass grafting. *CMAJ.* 2001;165:429-433.
- 86.** Carr T, Teucher UC, Casson AG. Time while waiting: patients' experiences of scheduled surgery. *Qual Health Res.* 2014;24:1673-1685.
- 87.** Marmelo F, Rocha V, Gonçalves D. The impact of prehabilitation on post-surgical complications in patients undergoing non-urgent cardiovascular surgical intervention: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Prev Cardiol.* 2018;25:404-417.
- 88.** Snowdon D, Haines TP, Skinner EH. Preoperative intervention reduces postoperative pulmonary complications but not length of stay in cardiac surgical patients: a systematic review. *J Physiother.* 2014;60:66-77.
- 89.** Sawatzky JAV, Kehler DS, Ready AE, et al. Prehabilitation program for elective coronary artery bypass graft surgery patients: a pilot randomized controlled study. *Clin Rehabil.* 2014;28:648-657.

- 90.** Tsimopoulou I, Pasquali S, Howard R, et al. Psychological prehabilitation before cancer surgery: a systematic review. *Ann J Surg Oncol*. 2015;22:4117-4123.
- 91.** Dedeyne L, Deschodt M, Verschueren S, Tournoy J, Gielen E. Effects of multi-domain interventions in (pre)frail elderly on frailty, functional, and cognitive status: a systematic review. *Clin Interv Aging*. 2017;12:873-896.
- 92.** Carli F, Scheede-Bergdahl C. Prehabilitation to enhance perioperative care. *Anesthesiol Clin*. 2015;33:17-33.
- 93.** Arora RC, Brown CH, Sanjanwala RM, McKelvie R. "NEW" prehabilitation: a 3-way approach to improve postoperative survival and health-related quality of life in cardiac surgery patients. *Can J Cardiol*. 2018;34:839-849.
- 94.** Arthur HM, Daniels C, McKelvie R, Hirsh J, Rush B. Effect of a preoperative intervention on preoperative and postoperative outcomes in low-risk patients awaiting elective coronary artery bypass graft surgery. A randomized, controlled trial. *Ann Intern Med*. 2000;133:253-262.
- 95.** McIsaac DI, Gill M, Boland L, et al. Prehabilitation in adult patients undergoing surgery: an umbrella review of systematic reviews. *Br J Anaesth*. 2022;128:244-257.
- 96.** Afilalo J, Lauck S, Kim DH, et al. Frailty in older adults undergoing aortic valve replacement: the FRAILTY-AVR Study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2017;70:689-700.
- 97.** Lopez-Delgado JC, Muñoz-del Rio G, Flordelis-Lasierra JL, Putzu A. Nutrition in adult cardiac surgery: preoperative evaluation, management in the postoperative period, and clinical implications for outcomes. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth*. 2019;33:3143-3162.
- 98.** Stoppe C, Whitlock R, Arora RC, Heyland DK. Nutrition support in cardiac surgery patients: be calm and feed on. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2019;158:1103-1108.
- 99.** American Society of Anesthesiologists. Practice guidelines for preoperative fasting and the use of pharmacologic agents to reduce the risk of pulmonary aspiration: application to healthy patients undergoing elective procedures: an updated report by the American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Preoperative Fasting and the Use Of Pharmacologic Agents to Reduce the Risk of Pulmonary Aspiration. *Anesthesiology*. 2017;126:376-393.
- 100.** Smith I, Kranke P, Murat I, et al. Perioperative fasting in adults and children: guidelines from the European Society of Anaesthesiology. *Eur J Anaesthesiol*. 2011;28:556.
- 101.** Australian and New Zealand College of Anaesthetists. PG07(A) Guideline on pre-anaesthesia consultation and patient preparation. Accessed October 12, 2023. [https://www.anzca.edu.au/getattachment/d2c8053c-7e76-410e-93ce-3f9a56ffd881/PG07\(A\)-Guideline-on-pre-anaesthesia-consultation-and-patient-preparation](https://www.anzca.edu.au/getattachment/d2c8053c-7e76-410e-93ce-3f9a56ffd881/PG07(A)-Guideline-on-pre-anaesthesia-consultation-and-patient-preparation)
- 102.** Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland. Pre-operative assessment and patient preparation - the role of the anaesthetist. Accessed October 12, 2023. <https://anaesthetists.org/Home/Resources-publications/Guidelines/Pre-operative-assessment-and-patient-preparation-the-role-of-the-anaesthetist-2>
- 103.** MacKay EJ, Zhang B, Augoustides JG, Groeneveld PW, Desai ND. Association of intraoperative transesophageal echocardiography and clinical outcomes after open cardiac valve or proximal aortic surgery. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2022;5:e2147820.
- 104.** Metkus TS, Thibault D, Grant MC, et al. Transesophageal echocardiography in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass graft surgery. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2021;78:112-122.
- 105.** Ramalingam G, Choi SW, Agarwal S, et al. Association of Cardiothoracic Anaesthesia and Critical Care. Complications related to peri-operative transoesophageal echocardiography—a one-year prospective national audit by the Association of Cardiothoracic Anaesthesia and Critical Care. *Anaesthesia*. 2020;75:21-26.
- 106.** Brown PP, Kugelmass AD, Cohen DJ, et al. The frequency and cost of complications associated with coronary artery bypass grafting surgery: results from the United States Medicare program. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2008;85:1980-1986.
- 107.** Ibanez J, Riera M, Amezcaga R, et al. Long-term mortality after pneumonia in cardiac surgery patients: a propensity-matched analysis. *J Intensive Care Med*. 2016;31:34-40.
- 108.** Garcia-Delgado M, Navarrete-Sanchez I, Colmenero M. Preventing and managing perioperative pulmonary complications following cardiac surgery. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol*. 2014;27:146-152.
- 109.** Lellouche F, Delorme M, Bussieres J, Ouattara A. Perioperative ventilatory strategies in cardiac surgery. *Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol*. 2015;29:381-395.
- 110.** Zochios V, Klein AA, Gao F. Protective invasive ventilation in cardiac surgery: a systematic review with a focus on acute lung injury in adult cardiac surgical patients. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth*. 2018;32:1922-1936.
- 111.** Apostolakis E, Filos KS, Koletsis E, Dougenis D. Lung dysfunction following cardiopulmonary bypass. *J Card Surg*. 2010;25:47-55.
- 112.** Hulzebos EH, Helders PJ, Favie NJ, De Bie RA, Brutel de la Riviere A, Van Meeteren NL. Preoperative intensive inspiratory muscle training to prevent postoperative pulmonary complications in high-risk patients undergoing CABG surgery: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. 2006;296:1851-1857.
- 113.** Ramachandran SK, Nafiu OO, Ghaferi A, Tremper KK, Shanks A, Kheterpal S. Independent predictors and outcomes of unanticipated early postoperative tracheal intubation after nonemergent, noncardiac surgery. *Anesthesiology*. 2011;115:44-53.
- 114.** Futier E, Constantin JM, Paugam-Burtz C, et al. A trial of intraoperative low-tidal-volume ventilation in abdominal surgery. *N Engl J Med*. 2013;369:428-437.
- 115.** Severgnini P, Selmo G, Lanza C, et al. Protective mechanical ventilation during general anesthesia for open abdominal surgery improves postoperative pulmonary function. *Anesthesiology*. 2013;118:1307-1321.
- 116.** Ladha K, Vidal Melo MF, McLean DJ, et al. Intraoperative protective mechanical ventilation and risk of postoperative respiratory complications: hospital based registry study. *BMJ*. 2015;351:h3646.
- 117.** Serpa Neto A, Hemmes SN, Barbas CS, et al. Protective versus conventional ventilation for surgery: a systematic review and individual patient data meta-analysis. *Anesthesiology*. 2015;123:66-78.
- 118.** Amato MB, Meade MO, Slutsky AS, et al. Driving pressure and survival in the acute respiratory distress syndrome. *N Engl J Med*. 2015;372:747-755.
- 119.** Aoyama H, Pettenuzzo T, Aoyama K, Pinto R, Englesakis M, Fan E. Association of driving pressure with mortality among ventilated patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Crit Care Med*. 2018;46:300-306.
- 120.** Neto AS, Hemmes SN, Barbas CS, et al. Association between driving pressure and development of postoperative pulmonary complications in patients undergoing mechanical ventilation for general anaesthesia: a meta-analysis of individual patient data. *Lancet Respir Med*. 2016;4:272-280.
- 121.** Mathis MR, Duggal NM, Likosky DS, et al. Intraoperative mechanical ventilation and postoperative pulmonary complications after cardiac surgery. *Anesthesiology*. 2019;131:1046-1062.
- 122.** Loeckinger A, Kleinsasser A, Lindner KH, Margreiter J, Keller C, Hoermann C. Continuous positive airway pressure at 10 cm H<sub>2</sub>O during cardiopulmonary bypass improves postoperative gas exchange. *Anesth Analg*. 2000;91:522-527.
- 123.** Bignami E, Guarnieri M, Saggiotti F, et al. Mechanical ventilation during cardiopulmonary bypass. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth*. 2016;30:1668-1675.
- 124.** Lagier D, Fischer F, Fornier W, et al. Effect of open-lung vs conventional perioperative ventilation strategies on postoperative pulmonary complications after on-pump cardiac surgery: the PROVECS randomized clinical trial. *Intensive Care Med*. 2019;45:1401-1412.
- 125.** Nguyen LS, Estagnasie P, Merzoug M, et al. Low tidal volume Mechanical Ventilation Against No Ventilation During Cardiopulmonary Bypass in Heart Surgery (MECANO): a randomized controlled trial. *Chest*. 2021;159:1843-1853.



- 126.** Schwann NM, Hillel Z, Hoelt A, et al. Lack of effectiveness of the pulmonary artery catheter in cardiac surgery. *Anesth Analg.* 2011;113:994-1002.
- 127.** Ramsey SD, Saint S, Sullivan SD, Dey L, Kelley K, Bowdle A. Clinical and economic effects of pulmonary artery catheterization in nonemergent coronary artery bypass graft surgery. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth.* 2000;14:113-118.
- 128.** Resano FG, Kapetanakis EI, Hill PC, Haile E, Corso PJ. Clinical outcomes of low-risk patients undergoing beating-heart surgery with or without pulmonary artery catheterization. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth.* 2006;20:300-306.
- 129.** Chiang Y, Hosseinian L, Rhee A, Itagaki S, Cavallaro P, Chikwe J. Questionable benefit of the pulmonary artery catheter after cardiac surgery in high-risk patients. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth.* 2015;29:76-81.
- 130.** Schwann TA, Zacharias A, Riordan CJ, Durham SJ, Engoren M, Habib RH. Safe, highly selective use of pulmonary artery catheters in coronary artery bypass grafting: an objective patient selection method. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2002;73:1394-1401 [discussion: 1401-1402].
- 131.** Brown JA, Aranda-Michel E, Kilic A, et al. The impact of pulmonary artery catheter use in cardiac surgery. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2022;164:1965-1973.e6.
- 132.** Zorrilla-Vaca A, Healy R, Grant MC, et al. Intraoperative cerebral oximetry-based management for optimizing perioperative outcomes: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Can J Anaesth.* 2018;65:529-542.
- 133.** Colak Z, Borojevic M, Bogovic A, Ivancina V, Biocina B, Majeric-Kogler V. Influence of intraoperative cerebral oximetry monitoring on neurocognitive function after coronary artery bypass surgery: a randomized, prospective study. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2015;47:447-454.
- 134.** Vretzakis G, Georgopoulou S, Stamoulis K, et al. Monitoring of brain oxygen saturation (INVOS) in a protocol to direct blood transfusions during cardiac surgery: a prospective randomized clinical trial. *J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2013;8:145.
- 135.** Kara I, Erkin A, Sacli H, et al. The effects of near-infrared spectroscopy on the neurocognitive functions in the patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting with asymptomatic carotid artery disease: a randomized prospective study. *Ann Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2015;21:544-550.
- 136.** Rogers CA, Stoica S, Ellis L, et al. Randomized trial of near-infrared spectroscopy for personalized optimization of cerebral tissue oxygenation during cardiac surgery. *Br J Anaesth.* 2017;119:384-393.
- 137.** Uysal S, Lin H-M, Trinh M, Park CH, Reich DL. Optimizing cerebral oxygenation in cardiac surgery: a randomized controlled trial examining neurocognitive and perioperative outcomes. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2020;159(3):943-953.e3.
- 138.** Deschamps A, Hall R, Grocott H, et al. Cerebral oximetry monitoring to maintain normal cerebral oxygen saturation during high-risk cardiac surgery: a randomized controlled feasibility trial. *Anesthesiology.* 2016;124:826-836.
- 139.** Kertai MD, Pal N, Palanca BJA, et al. Association of perioperative risk factors and cumulative duration of low bispectral index with intermediate-term mortality after cardiac surgery in the B-Unaware Trial. *Anesthesiology.* 2010;112:1116-1127.
- 140.** Vance JL, Shanks AM, Woodrum DT. Intraoperative bispectral index monitoring and time to extubation after cardiac surgery: secondary analysis of a randomized controlled trial. *BMC Anesthesiol.* 2014;14:79.
- 141.** Villafranca A, Thomson IA, Grocott HP, Avidan MS, Kahn S, Jacobsohn E. The impact of bispectral index versus end-tidal anesthetic concentration-guided anesthesia on time to tracheal extubation in fast-track cardiac surgery. *Anesth Analg.* 2013;116:541-548.
- 142.** Vretzakis G, Ferdi E, Argiriadou H, et al. Influence of bispectral index monitoring on decision making during cardiac anesthesia. *J Clin Anesth.* 2005;17:509-516.
- 143.** Myles PS, Williams DL, Hendrata M, Anderson H, Weeks AM. Patient satisfaction after anaesthesia and surgery: results of a prospective survey of 10,811 patients. *Br J Anaesth.* 2000;84:6-10.
- 144.** Mace L. An audit of post-operative nausea and vomiting, following cardiac surgery: scope of the problem. *Nurs Crit Care.* 2003;8:187-196.
- 145.** Sawatzky JA, Rivet M, Ariano RE, Hiebert B, Arora RC. Post-operative nausea and vomiting in the cardiac surgery population: who is at risk? *Heart Lung.* 2014;43:550.
- 146.** Hijazi EM, Edwan H, Al-Zoubi N, Radaideh H. Incidence of nausea and vomiting after fast-track anaesthesia for heart surgery. *Braz J Cardiovasc Surg.* 2018;33:371-375.
- 147.** Grebenik CR, Allman C. Nausea and vomiting after cardiac surgery. *Br J Anaesth.* 1996;77:356-359.
- 148.** Apfel CC, Laara E, Koivuranta M, Greim CA, Roewer N. A simplified risk score for predicting postoperative nausea and vomiting: conclusions from cross-validations between two centers. *Anesthesiology.* 1999;91:693-700.
- 149.** Wang EH, Sunderland S, Edwards NY, et al. A single prophylactic dose of ondansetron given at cessation of postoperative propofol sedation decreases postoperative nausea and vomiting in cardiac surgery patients: a randomized controlled trial. *Anesth Analg.* 2020;131:1164-1172.
- 150.** Champion S, Zieger L, Hemery C. Prophylaxis of postoperative nausea and vomiting after cardiac surgery in high-risk patients: a randomized controlled study. *Ann Card Anaesth.* 2018;21:8-14.
- 151.** Hasheminejad E, Fomer AF, Meineri M, Ender J, Zakhary WZ. Post-operative nausea and vomiting prophylaxis in fast-track cardiac anesthesia: a patient matched retrospective before and after study. *Int J Anesthesiol Pain Med.* 2022;8:78.
- 152.** Gan TJ, Belani KG, Bergese S, et al. Fourth Consensus Guidelines for the Management of Postoperative Nausea and Vomiting. *Anesth Analg.* 2020;131:411-448.
- 153.** Gershengorn HB, Wunsch H, Hua M, Bavaria JE, Gutsche J. Association of overnight extubation with outcomes after cardiac surgery in the intensive care unit. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2019;108:432-442.
- 154.** Ranucci M, Romitti F, Isgrò G, et al. Oxygen delivery during cardiopulmonary bypass and acute renal failure after coronary operations. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2005;80:2213-2220.
- 155.** Magruder JT, Weiss SJ, DeAngelis KG, et al. Penn Perfusion Team Working Group. Correlating oxygen delivery on cardiopulmonary bypass with Society of Thoracic Surgeons outcomes following cardiac surgery. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2022;164:997-1007.
- 156.** Ranucci M, Johnson I, Willcox T, et al. Goal-directed perfusion to reduce acute kidney injury: a randomized trial. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2018;156:1918-1927.
- 157.** Magruder JT, Crawford TC, Harness HL, et al. A pilot goal-directed perfusion initiative is associated with less acute kidney injury after cardiac surgery. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2017;153:118-125.e1.
- 158.** Gao P, Liu J, Zhang P, Bai L, Jin Y, Li Y. Goal-directed perfusion for reducing acute kidney injury in cardiac surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Perfusion.* 2023;38:591-599.
- 159.** Wong WT, Lai VK, Chee YE, et al. Fast-track cardiac care for adult cardiac surgical patients. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2016;9:CD003587.
- 160.** Flynn BC, He J, Richey M, Wirtz K, Daon E. Early extubation without increased adverse events in high-risk cardiac surgical patients. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2019;107:453-459.
- 161.** Brovman EY, Tolis G, Hirji S, et al. Association between early extubation and postoperative reintubation after elective cardiac surgery: a biinstitutional study. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth.* 2022;36:1258-1264.
- 162.** Probst S, Cech C, Haentschel D, et al. A specialized post anaesthetic care unit improves fast-track management in cardiac surgery: a prospective randomized trial. *Crit Care.* 2014;18:468.
- 163.** Ellis MF, Pena H, Cadavero A, et al. Reducing intubation time in adult cardiothoracic surgery patients with a fast-track extubation protocol. *Crit Care Nurse.* 2021;41:14-24.
- 164.** Serena G, Corredor C, Fletcher N, et al. Implementation of a nurse-led protocol for early extubation after cardiac surgery: a pilot study. *World J Crit Care Med.* 2019;8:28-35.

- 165.** Chan JL, Miller JG, Murphy M, Greenberg A, Iraola M, Horvath KA. A multidisciplinary protocol-driven approach to improve extubation times after cardiac surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2018;105:1684-1690.
- 166.** Grant MC, Isada T, Ruzankin P, et al. Johns Hopkins Enhanced Recovery Program for the Cardiac Surgery Working Group. Results from an enhanced recovery program for cardiac surgery. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2020;159:1393-1402.e7.
- 167.** Horswell JL, Herbert MA, Prince SL, et al. Routine immediate extubation after off-pump coronary artery bypass surgery: 514 consecutive patients. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth.* 2005;19:282-287.
- 168.** Dorsa AG, Rossi AI, Thierer J, et al. Immediate extubation after off-pump coronary artery bypass graft surgery in 1,196 consecutive patients: feasibility, safety and predictors of when not to attempt it. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth.* 2011;25:431-436.
- 169.** Chamchad D, Horrow JC, Nachamchik L, et al. The impact of immediate extubation in the operating room after cardiac surgery on intensive care and hospital lengths of stay. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth.* 2010;24:780-784.
- 170.** Gangopadhyay S, Acharjee A, Nayak SK, et al. Immediate extubation versus standard postoperative ventilation: our experience in on pump open heart surgery. *Indian J Anaesth.* 2010;54:525-530.
- 171.** Sostaric M, Gersak B, Novak-Jankovic V. Early extubation and fast-track anesthetic technique for endoscopic cardiac surgery. *Heart Surg Forum.* 2010;13:E190-E194.
- 172.** Badhwar V, Esper S, Brooks M, et al. Extubating in the operating room after adult cardiac surgery safely improves outcomes and lowers costs. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2014;148:3101-3109.e1.
- 173.** Subramaniam K, DeAndrade DS, Mandell DR, et al. Predictors of operating room extubation in adult cardiac surgery. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2017;154:1656-1665.e2.
- 174.** Hu J, Chen R, Liu S, Yu X, Zou J, Ding X. Global incidence and outcomes of adult patients with acute kidney injury after cardiac surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth.* 2016;30:82-89.
- 175.** Nadim MK, Forni LG, Bihorac A, et al. Cardiac and vascular surgery-associated acute kidney injury: the 20th International Consensus Conference of the ADQI (Acute Disease Quality Initiative) Group. *J Am Heart Assoc.* 2018;7:e008834.
- 176.** Lysak N, Bihorac A, Hobson C. Mortality and cost of acute and chronic kidney disease after cardiac surgery. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol.* 2017;30:113-117.
- 177.** Coca SG, Singanamala S, Parikh CR. Chronic kidney disease after acute kidney injury: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Kidney Int.* 2012;81:442-448.
- 178.** Khwaja A. KDIGO clinical practice guidelines for acute kidney injury. *Nephron Clin Pract.* 2012;120:c179-c184.
- 179.** Sharma A, Mucino MJ, Ronco C. Renal functional reserve and renal recovery after acute kidney injury. *Nephron Clin Pract.* 2014;127:94-100.
- 180.** Brown JR, Baker RA, Shore-Lesserson L, et al. The Society of Thoracic Surgeons/Society of Cardiovascular Anesthesiologists/American Society of Extracorporeal Technology Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Prevention of Adult Cardiac Surgery-Associated Acute Kidney Injury. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2023;115:34-42.
- 181.** Macedo E, Bouchard J, Soroko SH, et al. Fluid accumulation, recognition and staging of acute kidney injury in critically-ill patients. *Crit Care.* 2010;14:R82.
- 182.** Zhang H, Lang H, Ma M, et al. Decreased preoperative urinary uromodulin as a predictor of acute kidney injury and perioperative kidney dysfunction in patients undergoing cardiac surgery: a prospective cohort study. *Clin Chim Acta.* 2022;530:1-7.
- 183.** Meersch M, Schmidt C, Van Aken H, et al. Urinary TIMP-2 and IGFBP7 as early biomarkers of acute kidney injury and renal recovery following cardiac surgery. *PLoS One.* 2014;9:e93460.
- 184.** Zarbock A, Küllmar M, Ostermann M, et al. Prevention of cardiac surgery-associated acute kidney injury by implementing the KDIGO guidelines in high-risk patients identified by biomarkers: the PrevAKI-multicenter randomized controlled trial. *Anesth Analg.* 2021;133:292-302.
- 185.** Engelman DT, Crisafi C, Germain M, et al. Using urinary biomarkers to reduce acute kidney injury following cardiac surgery. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2020;160:1235-1246.e1232.
- 186.** Meersch M, Schmidt C, Hoffmeier A, et al. Prevention of cardiac surgery-associated AKI by implementing the KDIGO guidelines in high risk patients identified by biomarkers: the PrevAKI randomized controlled trial. *Intensive Care Med.* 2017;43:1551-1561.
- 187.** Zhang Y, Jiang L, Wang B, Xi X. Epidemiological characteristics of and risk factors for patients with postoperative acute kidney injury: a multicenter prospective study in 30 Chinese intensive care units. *Int Urol Nephrol.* 2018;50:1319-1328.
- 188.** Dong Z, Yu B, Zhang Q, et al. Early rehabilitation therapy is beneficial for patients with prolonged mechanical ventilation after coronary artery bypass surgery. *Int Heart J.* 2016;57:241-246.
- 189.** Hirschhorn AD, Richards DA, Mungovan SF, Morris NR, Adams L. Does the mode of exercise influence recovery of functional capacity in the early postoperative period after coronary artery bypass graft surgery? A randomized controlled trial. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg.* 2012;15:995-1003.
- 190.** Moradian ST, Najafloo M, Mahmoudi H, Ghiasi MS. Early mobilization reduces the atelectasis and pleural effusion in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass graft surgery: a randomized clinical trial. *J Vasc Nurs.* 2017;35:141-145.
- 191.** Herdy AH, Marcchi PL, Vila A, et al. Pre- and postoperative cardiopulmonary rehabilitation in hospitalized patients undergoing coronary artery bypass surgery: a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil.* 2008;87:714-719.
- 192.** Van der Peijl ID, Vliet Vlieland TP, et al. Exercise therapy after coronary artery bypass graft surgery: a randomized comparison of a high and low frequency exercise therapy program. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2004;77:1535-1541.
- 193.** Doyle MP, Indraratna P, Tardo DT, Peeceeyen SC, Peoples GE. Safety and efficacy of aerobic exercise commenced early after cardiac surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Prev Cardiol.* 2019;26:36-45.
- 194.** Ramos Dos Santos PM, Aquaroni Ricci N, Aparecida Bordignon Suster É, De Moraes Paisani D, Dias Chiavegato L. Effects of early mobilisation in patients after cardiac surgery: a systematic review. *Physiotherapy.* 2017;103:1-12.
- 195.** Holloway C, Pathare N, Huta J, et al. The impact of a less restrictive poststernotomy activity protocol compared with standard sternal precautions in patients following cardiac surgery. *Phys Ther.* 2020;100:1074-1083.
- 196.** Adams J, Lotshaw A, Exum E, et al. An alternative approach to prescribing sternal precautions after median sternotomy, "Keep Your Move in the Tube". *Proc (Bayl Univ Med Cent).* 2016;29:97-100.
- 197.** Pengelly J, Pengelly M, Lin KY, et al. Resistance training following median sternotomy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Heart Lung Circ.* 2019;28:1549-1559.
- 198.** Katijahbe MA, Granger CL, Denehy L, et al. Standard restrictive sternal precautions and modified sternal precautions had similar effects in people after cardiac surgery via median sternotomy ('SMART' Trial): a randomised trial. *J Physiother.* 2018;64:97-106.
- 199.** Park L, Coltman C, Agren H, Colwell S, King-Shier KM. "In the tube" following sternotomy: a quasi-experimental study. *Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs.* 2021;20:160-166.
- 200.** Hanekom S, Louw QA, Coetzee AR. Implementation of a protocol facilitates evidence-based physiotherapy practice in intensive care units. *Physiotherapy.* 2013;99:139-145.
- 201.** Merino-Osorio C, Velásquez M, Reveco R, Marmolejo JI, Fu C. 24/7 physical therapy intervention with adult patients in a Chilean intensive care unit: a cost-benefit analysis in a developing country. *Value Health Res Issues.* 2020;23:99-104.

- 202.** Hanekom SD, Louw Q, Coetzee A. The way in which a physiotherapy service is structured can improve patient outcome from a surgical intensive care: a controlled clinical trial. *Crit Care*. 2012;16:R230.
- 203.** Taylor RS, Dalal H, Jolly K, et al. Home-based versus centre-based cardiac rehabilitation. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2015;8:CD007130.
- 204.** Anderson L, Oldridge N, Thompson DR, et al. Exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation for coronary heart disease: Cochrane systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2016;67:1-12.
- 205.** Thomson R, Meeran H, Valencia O, Al-Subaie N. Goal-directed therapy after cardiac surgery and the incidence of acute kidney injury. *J Crit Care*. 2014;29:997-1000.
- 206.** Ramsingh D, Hu H, Yan M, Lauer R, et al. Perioperative individualized goal directed therapy for cardiac surgery: a historical-prospective, comparative effectiveness study. *J Clin Med*. 2021;10:400.
- 207.** Kapoor PM, Magoon R, Rawat R, Mehta Y. Perioperative utility of goal-directed therapy in high-risk cardiac patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting: "A clinical outcome and biomarker-based study". *Ann Card Anaesth*. 2016;19:638-682.
- 208.** Johnston LE, Thiele RH, Hawkins RB, et al. Virginia Interdisciplinary Cardiothoracic Outcomes Research Center. Goal-directed resuscitation following cardiac surgery reduces acute kidney injury: a quality initiative pre-post analysis. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2020;159:1868-1877.e1.
- 209.** Osawa EA, Rhodes A, Landoni G, et al. Effect of perioperative goal-directed hemodynamic resuscitation therapy on outcomes following cardiac surgery: a randomized clinical trial and systematic review. *Crit Care Med*. 2016;44:724-733.
- 210.** Li P, Qu LP, Qi D, et al. Significance of perioperative goal-directed hemodynamic approach in preventing postoperative complications in patients after cardiac surgery: a meta-analysis and systematic review. *Ann Med*. 2017;49:343-351.
- 211.** Aya HD, Cecconi M, Hamilton M, Rhodes A. Goal-directed therapy in cardiac surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Anaesth*. 2013;110:510-517.
- 212.** Arulkumaran N, Corredor C, Hamilton MA, et al. Cardiac complications associated with goal-directed therapy in high-risk surgical patients: a meta-analysis. *Br J Anaesth*. 2014;112:648-659.
- 213.** Mazzeffi M, Khelemsky Y. Poststernotomy pain: a clinical review. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth*. 2011;25:1163-1178.
- 214.** Zubrzycki M, Liebold A, Skrabal C, et al. Assessment and pathophysiology of pain in cardiac surgery. *J Pain Res*. 2018;11:1599-1611.
- 215.** Guimaraes-Pereira L, Reis P, Abelha F, Azevedo LF, Castro-Lopes JM. Persistent postoperative pain after cardiac surgery: a systematic review with meta-analysis regarding incidence and pain intensity. *Pain*. 2017;158:1869-1885.
- 216.** Myles PS, Boney O, Botti M, et al. Systematic review and consensus definitions for the Standardised Endpoints in Perioperative Medicine (StEP) initiative: patient comfort. *Br J Anaesth*. 2018;120:705-711.
- 217.** Kwanten LE, O'Brien B, Anwar S. Opioid-based anesthesia and analgesia for adult cardiac surgery: history and narrative review of the literature. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth*. 2019;33:808-816.
- 218.** Paul AK, Smith CM, Rahmatullah M, et al. Opioid analgesia and opioid-induced adverse effects: a review. *Pharmaceuticals*. 2021;14:1091.
- 219.** Bicket MC, Murimi IB, Mansour O, Wu CL, Alexander GC. Association of new opioid continuation with surgical specialty and type in the United States. *Am J Surg*. 2019;218:818-827.
- 220.** Brown CR, Chen Z, Khurshan F, Groeneveld PW, Desai ND. Development of persistent opioid use after cardiac surgery. *JAMA Cardiol*. 2020;5: 889-896.
- 221.** Brescia AA, Waljee JF, Hu HM, et al. Impact of prescribing on new persistent opioid use after cardiothoracic surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2019;108:1107-1113.
- 222.** Lawal OD, Gold J, Murthy A, et al. Rate and risk factors associated with prolonged opioid use after surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2020;3:e207367.
- 223.** Beverly A, Kaye AD, Ljungqvist O, Urman RD. Essential elements of multimodal analgesia in Enhanced Recovery After Surgery (ERAS) guidelines. *Anesthesiol Clin*. 2017;35:e115-e143.
- 224.** Wick EC, Grant MC, Wu CL. Postoperative multimodal analgesia pain management with nonopioid analgesics and techniques: a review. *JAMA Surg*. 2017;152:691-697.
- 225.** Barr LF, Boss MJ, Mazzeffi MA, Taylor BS, Salenger R. Postoperative multimodal analgesia in cardiac surgery. *Crit Care Clin*. 2020;36:631-651.
- 226.** Nazarnia S, Subramaniam K. Nonopioid analgesics in postoperative pain management after cardiac surgery. *Semin Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth*. 2021;25:280-288.
- 227.** Jannati M, Attar A. Analgesia and sedation post-coronary artery bypass graft surgery: a review of the literature. *Ther Clin Risk Manag*. 2019;15:773-781.
- 228.** Rafiq S, Steinbruchel DA, Wanscher MJ, et al. Multimodal analgesia versus traditional opiate based analgesia after cardiac surgery, a randomized controlled trial. *J Cardiothorac Surg*. 2014;9:52.
- 229.** Li M, Zhang J, Gan TJ, et al. Enhanced recovery after surgery pathway for patients undergoing cardiac surgery: a randomized clinical trial. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg*. 2018;54:491-497.
- 230.** Grant MC, Isada T, Ruzankin P, et al. Opioid-sparing cardiac anesthesia: secondary analysis of an enhanced recovery program for cardiac surgery. *Anesth Analg*. 2020;131:1852-1861.
- 231.** Aguerreche C, Cadier G, Beurton A, et al. Feasibility and post-operative opioid sparing effect of an opioid-free anaesthesia in adult cardiac surgery: a retrospective study. *BMC Anesthesiol*. 2021;21:166.
- 232.** Guinot P-G, Spitz A, Berthoud V, Ellouze O, et al. Effect of opioid-free anaesthesia on post-operative period in cardiac surgery: a retrospective matched case-control study. *BMC Anesthesiol*. 2019;19:136.
- 233.** Fleming IO, Garratt C, Guha R, et al. Aggregation of marginal gains in cardiac surgery: feasibility of a perioperative care bundle for enhanced recovery in cardiac surgical patients. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth*. 2016;30: 665-670.
- 234.** Bignami E, Castella A, Pota V, et al. Perioperative pain management in cardiac surgery: a systematic review. *Minerva Anestesiol*. 2018;84:488-503.
- 235.** Schug SA, Palmer GM, Scott DA, Halliwell R, Trinca J. Acute pain management: scientific evidence, fourth edition, 2015. *Med J Aust*. 2016;204:315-317.
- 236.** Guay J, Kopp S. Epidural analgesia for adults undergoing cardiac surgery with or without cardiopulmonary bypass. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2019;3:CD006715.
- 237.** Hemmerling TM, Cyr S, Terrasini N. Epidural catheterization in cardiac surgery: the 2012 risk assessment. *Ann Card Anaesth*. 2013;16:169-177.
- 238.** Horlocker TT, Vandermeulen E, Kopp SL, Gogarten W, Leffert LR, Benzon HT. Regional anesthesia in the patient receiving antithrombotic or thrombolytic therapy: American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine Evidence-Based Guidelines (Fourth Edition). *Reg Anesth Pain Med*. 2018;43:263-309.
- 239.** Richardson L, Dunning J, Hunter S. Is intrathecal morphine of benefit to patients undergoing cardiac surgery. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg*. 2009;8:117-122.
- 240.** El-Boghdady K, Wolmarans M, Stengel AD, et al. Standardizing nomenclature in regional anesthesia: an ASRA-ESRA Delphi consensus study of abdominal wall, paraspinous, and chest wall blocks. *Reg Anesth Pain Med*. 2021;46:571-580.
- 241.** Chin KJ, Versyck B, Pawa A. Ultrasound-guided fascial plane blocks of the chest wall: a state-of-the-art review. *Anaesthesia*. 2021;76(suppl 1): 110-126.
- 242.** Mittnacht AJC, Shariat A, Weiner MM, et al. Regional techniques for cardiac and cardiac-related procedures. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth*. 2019;33:532-546.
- 243.** Jack JM, McLellan E, Versyck B, Englesakis MF, Chin KJ. The role of serratus anterior plane and pectoral nerves blocks in cardiac surgery,

- thoracic surgery and trauma: a qualitative systematic review. *Anaesthesia*. 2020;75:1372-1385.
- 244.** Kar P, Ramachandran G. Pain relief following sternotomy in conventional cardiac surgery: a review of non neuraxial regional nerve blocks. *Ann Card Anaesth*. 2020;23:200-208.
- 245.** Li J, Lin L, Peng J, He S, Wen Y, Zhang M. Efficacy of ultrasound-guided parasternal block in adult cardiac surgery: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Minerva Anesthesiol*. 2022;88:719-728.
- 246.** Misra S, Awal S. Does erector spinae plane block result in improved postoperative analgesia and enhanced recovery in adult patients after cardiac surgery? *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg*. 2021;32:873-877.
- 247.** Brevig J, McDonald J, Zelinka ES, Gallagher T, Jin R, Grunkemeier GL. Blood transfusion reduction in cardiac surgery: multidisciplinary approach at a community hospital. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2009;87:532-539.
- 248.** Gross I, Seifert B, Hofmann A, Spahn DR. Patient blood management in cardiac surgery results in fewer transfusions and better outcome. *Transfusion*. 2015;55:1075-1081.
- 249.** Meybohm P, Herrmann E, Steinbicker AU, et al; PBM-study Collaborators. Patient blood management is associated with a substantial reduction of red blood cell utilization and safe for patient's outcome: a prospective, multicenter cohort study with a noninferiority design. *Ann Surg*. 2016;264:203-211.
- 250.** Freedman J. The ONTraC Ontario program in blood conservation. *Transfus Apher Sci*. 2014;50:32-36.
- 251.** Leahy MF, Hofmann A, Towler S, et al. Improved outcomes and reduced costs associated with a health-system-wide patient blood management program: a retrospective observational study in four major adult tertiary-care hospitals. *Transfusion*. 2017;57:1347-1358.
- 252.** Moskowitz DM, McCullough JN, Shander A, et al. The impact of blood conservation on outcomes in cardiac surgery: is it safe and effective? *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2010;90:451-458.
- 253.** Irving AH, Harris A, Petrie D, Higgins A, Smith J, McQuilten ZK. Impact of patient blood management guidelines on blood transfusions and patient outcomes during cardiac surgery. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2020;160:437-445.e20.
- 254.** Althoff FC, Neb H, Herrmann E, Trentino KM, et al. Multimodal patient blood management program based on a three-pillar strategy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Surg*. 2019;269:794-804.
- 255.** Goodnough LT, Shander A. Patient blood management. *Anesthesiology*. 2012;116:1367-1376.
- 256.** Tibi P, McClure RS, Huang J, et al. STS/SCA/AmSECT/SABM update to the clinical practice guidelines on patient blood management. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2021;112:981-1004.
- 257.** Task Force on Patient Blood Management for Adult Cardiac Surgery of the European Association of Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS) and the European Association of Cardiothoracic Anaesthesiology (EACTA), Boer C, Meesters MI, Milojevic M, Benedetto U, et al. 2017 EACTS/EACTA Guidelines on patient blood management for adult cardiac surgery. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth*. 2018;32:88-120.
- 258.** Eikelboom R, Sanjanwala R, Le ML, Yamashita MH, Arora RC. Postoperative atrial fibrillation after cardiac surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2021;111:544-554.
- 259.** Woldendorp K, Farag J, Khadra S, Black D, Robinson B, Bannon P. Postoperative atrial fibrillation after cardiac surgery: a meta-analysis. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2021;112:2084-2093.
- 260.** Lowres N, Mulcahy G, Jin K, Gallagher R, Neubeck L, Freedman B. Incidence of postoperative atrial fibrillation recurrence in patients discharged in sinus rhythm after cardiac surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg*. 2018;26:504-511.
- 261.** Chen YL, Zeng M, Liu Y, et al. CHA<sub>2</sub>DS<sub>2</sub>-VASc score for identifying patients at high risk of postoperative atrial fibrillation after cardiac surgery: a meta-analysis. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2020;109:1210-1216.
- 262.** Pollock BD, Filardo G, da Graca B, et al. Predicting new-onset post-coronary artery bypass graft atrial fibrillation with existing risk scores. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2018;105:115-121.
- 263.** Omorphos S, Hanif M, Dunning J. Are prophylactic beta-blockers of benefit in reducing the incidence of AF following coronary bypass surgery? *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg*. 2004;3:641-646.
- 264.** Bagshaw SM, Galbraith PD, Mitchell LB, Sauve R, Exner DV, Ghali WA. Prophylactic amiodarone for prevention of atrial fibrillation after cardiac surgery: a meta-analysis. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2006;82:1927-1937.
- 265.** Zhu J, Wang C, Gao D, et al. Meta-analysis of amiodarone versus  $\beta$ -blocker as a prophylactic therapy against atrial fibrillation following cardiac surgery. *Intern Med J*. 2012;42:1078-1087.
- 266.** Buckley MS, Nolan PE Jr, Slack MK, Tisdale JE, Hilleman DE, Copeland JG. Amiodarone prophylaxis for atrial fibrillation after cardiac surgery: meta-analysis of dose response and timing of initiation. *Pharmacotherapy*. 2007;27:360-368.
- 267.** Ali-Hassan-Sayegh S, Mirhosseini SJ, et al. Antioxidant supplementations for prevention of atrial fibrillation after cardiac surgery: an updated comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis of 23 randomized controlled trials. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg*. 2014;18:646-654.
- 268.** Liu L, Jing FY, Wang XW, et al. Effects of corticosteroids on new-onset atrial fibrillation after cardiac surgery: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2021;100:e25130.
- 269.** Ruan Y, Robinson NB, Naik A, et al. Effect of atrial pacing on post-operative atrial fibrillation following coronary artery bypass grafting: pairwise and network meta-analyses. *Int J Cardiol*. 2020;302:103-107.
- 270.** Fairley JL, Zhang L, Glassford NJ, Bellomo R. Magnesium status and magnesium therapy in cardiac surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis focusing on arrhythmia prevention. *J Crit Care*. 2017;42:69-77.
- 271.** Gaudino M, Sanna T, Ballman KV, et al. PALACS Investigators. Posterior left pericardiotomy for the prevention of atrial fibrillation after cardiac surgery: an adaptive, single-centre, single-blind, randomised, controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2021;398:2075-2083.
- 272.** Gozdek M, Pawliszak W, Wagner W, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials assessing safety and efficacy of posterior pericardial drainage in patients undergoing heart surgery. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2017;153:865-875.
- 273.** Arora RC, Djaiani G, Rudolph JL. Detection, prevention, and management of delirium in the critically ill cardiac patient and patients who undergo cardiac procedures. *Can J Cardiol*. 2017;33:80-87.
- 274.** Nguyen Q, Uminski K, Hiebert BM, Tangri N, Arora RC. Midterm outcomes after postoperative delirium on cognition and mood in patients after cardiac surgery. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2018;155:660-667.e2.
- 275.** Dubiel C, Hiebert BM, Stammers AN, et al. Delirium definition influences prediction of functional survival in patients one-year postcardiac surgery. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2022;163:725-734.
- 276.** Rudolph JL, Jones RN, Levkoff SE, et al. Derivation and validation of a preoperative prediction rule for delirium after cardiac surgery. *Circulation*. 2009;119:229-236.
- 277.** Devlin JW, Skrobik Y, Gélinas C, et al. Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Prevention and Management of Pain, Agitation/Sedation, Delirium, Immobility, and Sleep Disruption in Adult Patients in the ICU. *Crit Care Med*. 2018;46:e825-e873.
- 278.** Ely EW, Margolin R, Francis J, et al. Evaluation of delirium in critically ill patients: validation of the Confusion Assessment Method for the Intensive Care Unit (CAM-ICU). *Crit Care Med*. 2001;29:1370-1379.
- 279.** Bergeron N, Dubois MJ, Dumont M, Dial S, Skrobik Y. Intensive care delirium screening checklist: evaluation of a new screening tool. *Intensive Care Med*. 2001;27:859-864.
- 280.** Neufeld KJ, Leoutsakos JS, Sieber FE, et al. Evaluation of two delirium screening tools for detecting post-operative delirium in the elderly. *Br J Anaesth*. 2013;111:612-618.

- 281.** Hov KR, Neerland BE, Undseth Ø, et al. The Oslo Study of Clonidine in Elderly Patients with Delirium; LUCID: a randomised placebo-controlled trial. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2019;34:974-981.
- 282.** Girard TD, Exline MC, Carson SS, et al. Haloperidol and ziprasidone for treatment of delirium in critical illness. *N Engl J Med*. 2018;379:2506-2516.
- 283.** Page VJ, Ely EW, Gates S, et al. Effect of intravenous haloperidol on the duration of delirium and coma in critically ill patients (Hope-ICU): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet Respir Med*. 2013;1:515-523.
- 284.** White DB, Angus DC, Shields AM, et al. A randomized trial of a family-support intervention in intensive care units. *N Engl J Med*. 2018;378:2365-2375.
- 285.** Sørensen LT. Wound healing and infection in surgery: the pathophysiological impact of smoking, smoking cessation, and nicotine replacement therapy: a systematic review. *Ann Surg*. 2012;255:1069-1079.
- 286.** Tanner J, Norrie P, Melen K. Preoperative hair removal to reduce surgical site infection. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2011:CD004122.
- 287.** Ban KA, Minei JP, Laronga C, et al. American College of Surgeons and Surgical Infection Society: surgical site infection guidelines, 2016 update. *J Am Coll Surg*. 2017;224:59-74.
- 288.** Bode LG, Kluytmans JA, Wertheim HF, et al. Preventing surgical-site infections in nasal carriers of *Staphylococcus aureus*. *N Engl J Med*. 2010;362:9-17.
- 289.** Paling FP, Olsen K, Ohneberg K, et al. Risk prediction for *Staphylococcus aureus* surgical site infection following cardiothoracic surgery: a secondary analysis of the V710-P003 trial. *PLoS One*. 2018;13:e0193445.
- 290.** Cimochoowski GE, Harostock MD, Brown R, Bernardi M, Alonzo N, Coyle K. Intranasal mupirocin reduces sternal wound infection after open heart surgery in diabetics and nondiabetics. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2001;71:1572-1578.
- 291.** Edwards FH, Engelman RM, Houck P, Shahian DM, Bridges CR, Society of Thoracic Surgeons. The Society of Thoracic Surgeons practice guideline series: antibiotic prophylaxis in cardiac surgery, part I: duration. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2006;81:397-404.
- 292.** Engelman R, Shahian D, Shemin R, et al; Workforce on Evidence-Based Medicine, Society of Thoracic Surgeons. The Society of Thoracic Surgeons practice guideline series: antibiotic prophylaxis in cardiac surgery, part II: antibiotic choice. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2007;83:1569-1576.
- 293.** Lazar HL, Chipkin SR, Fitzgerald CA, Bao Y, Cabral H, Apstein CS. Tight glycemic control in diabetic coronary artery bypass graft patients improves perioperative outcomes and decreases recurrent ischemic events. *Circulation*. 2004;109:1497-1502.
- 294.** Chaney MA, Nikolov MP, Blakeman BP, Bakhos M. Attempting to maintain normoglycemia during cardiopulmonary bypass with insulin may initiate postoperative hypoglycemia. *Anesth Analg*. 1999;89:1091-1095.
- 295.** Gandhi GY, Nuttall GA, Abel MD, et al. Intensive intraoperative insulin therapy versus conventional glucose management during cardiac surgery: a randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med*. 2007;146:233-243.
- 296.** Karalapillai D, Story D, Hart GK, et al. Postoperative hypothermia and patient outcomes after elective cardiac surgery. *Anaesthesia*. 2011;66:780-784.
- 297.** Lavallée JF, Gray TA, Dumville J, Russell W, Cullum N. The effects of care bundles on patient outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Implement Sci*. 2017;12:142.
- 298.** Mutters NT, De Angelis G, Restuccia G, et al. Use of evidence-based recommendations in an antibiotic care bundle for the intensive care unit. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*. 2018;51:65-70.
- 299.** Lazar HL, Salm TV, Engelman R, Orgill D, Gordon S. Prevention and management of sternal wound infections. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2016;152:962-972.
- 300.** Keenan JE, Speicher PJ, Thacker JK, Walter M, Kuchibhatla M, Mantyh CR. The preventive surgical site infection bundle in colorectal surgery: an effective approach to surgical site infection reduction and health care cost savings. *JAMA Surg*. 2014;149:1045-1052.
- 301.** Brown JA, Aranda-Michel E, Kilic A, et al. Outcomes with heparin-induced thrombocytopenia after cardiac surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2021;112:487-493.
- 302.** Allan KA, Crow JR, Chasler JE, et al. Comparison of clinical scoring tools to predict heparin-induced thrombocytopenia in cardiac surgery. *Semin Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*. 2022;34:570-580.
- 303.** Plowman EK, Chheda N, Anderson A, et al. Vocal fold mobility impairment after cardiovascular surgery: incidence, risk factors, and sequela. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2021;112:53-60.
- 304.** Edwards DA, Hedrick TL, Jayaram J, et al. POQI-4 Working Group. American Society for Enhanced Recovery and Perioperative Quality Initiative Joint Consensus Statement on Perioperative Management of Patients on Preoperative Opioid Therapy. *Anesth Analg*. 2019;129:553-566.
- 305.** Ribeiro RVP, Lee J, Elbatarny M, et al; Canadian Cardiovascular Surgery Meta-Analysis Working Group. Left ventricular assist device implantation via lateral thoracotomy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Heart Lung Transplant*. 2022;41:1440-1458.
- 306.** Olsthooft JR, Heuts S, Houterman S, Maessen JG, Sardari Nia P; Cardiothoracic Surgery Registration Committee of the Netherlands Heart Registration. Minimally invasive approach compared to re sternotomy for mitral valve surgery in patients with prior cardiac surgery: retrospective multicentre study based on the Netherlands Heart Registration. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg*. 2022;62:ezac420.
- 307.** Zaouter C, Oses P, Assatourian S, Labrousse L, Rémy A, Ouattara A. Reduced length of hospital stay for cardiac surgery—implementing an optimized perioperative pathway: prospective evaluation of an Enhanced Recovery After Surgery program designed for mini-invasive aortic valve replacement. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth*. 2019;33:3010-3019.
- 308.** Kubitz JC, Schulte-Uentrop L, Zoellner C, et al. Establishment of an enhanced recovery after surgery protocol in minimally invasive heart valve surgery. *PLoS One*. 2020;15:e0231378.
- 309.** Eqbal AJ, Gupta S, Basha A, et al. Minimally invasive mitral valve surgery versus conventional sternotomy mitral valve surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 119 studies. *J Card Surg*. 2022;37:1319-1327.
- 310.** Russo MJ, Thourani VH, Cohen DJ, et al. Minimally invasive versus full sternotomy for isolated aortic valve replacement in low-risk patients. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2022;114:2124-2130.
- 311.** Myles PS, Daly DJ, Djaiani G, Lee A, Cheng DC. A systematic review of the safety and effectiveness of fast-track cardiac anesthesia. *Anesthesiology*. 2003;99:982-987.