**Table S4. CERQual qualitative evidence profile**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Meta-theme**  | **Studies contributing to meta-theme**  | **Assessment of methodological limitations** | **Assessment of relevance**  | **Assessment of coherence** | **Assessment of adequacy**  | **Overall CERQual assessment of confidence** | **Explanation of judgement**  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Acceptance of pain**  | Grace et al 2007,Grace et al 2008,Price et al 2006, Savidge et al 1998, Warwick et al 2004 | Minor methodological limitations (three studies with minor, one study with moderate and one study with no methodological limitations) | Minor concerns about relevance(three countries represented in studies) | Minor concerns about coherence (theme consistent across five studies) | Minor concerns about adequacy (theme richly described across three studies) | **High confidence** | This finding was graded as high confidence because of minor concerns regarding methodological, relevance, coherence and adequacy limitations |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Quality of life**  | Grace et al 2008,Price et al 2006, Savidge et al 1998,Warwick et al 2004, Zadinsky et al, 1996 | Minor methodological limitations (four studies with minor and one study with no methodological limitations) | Minor concerns about relevance (three countries represented in studies) | Minor concerns about coherence (theme consistent across five studies) | Minor concerns about adequacy (theme richly described across three studies) | **High confidence**  | This finding was graded as high confidence because of minor concerns regarding methodological, relevance, coherence and adequacy limitations |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Management**  | Grace et al 2007,McGowan et al 2007Moore et al 2002,Price et al 2006, Savidge et al, 1998 Warwick et al, 2004Zadinsky et al, 1996 | Moderate methodological limitations (two studies with moderate, four studies with minor and one study with no methodological limitations) | Minor concerns about relevance (three countries represented in studies) | Minor concerns about coherence (theme consistent across seven studies) | Moderate concerns about adequacy (theme rich described across three studies) | **Moderate confidence**  | This finding was graded as moderate confidence because of minor concerns regarding relevance and coherence and moderate concerns regarding methodological limitations and adequacy |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Communication**  | McGowan et al 2007Price et al 2006, Savidge et al, 1998 Warwick et al, 2004 | Minor methodological limitations (three studies with minor methodological limitations and one study with no methodological limitations) | Minor concerns about relevance (three countries represented in studies) | Minor concerns about coherence (theme consistent across four studies) | Minor concerns about adequacy (theme richly described across three studies) | **High confidence**  | This finding was graded as high confidence because of minor concerns regarding methodological, relevance, coherence and adequacy limitations |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Support** | Price et al 2006, Savidge et al, 1998 Warwick et al, 2004Zadinsky et al, 1996 | Minor methodological limitations (three studies with minor and one study with no methodological limitations) | Minor concerns about relevance (three countries represented in studies) | Minor concerns about coherence (theme consistent across three studies) | Moderate concerns about adequacy (theme richly described across two studies) | **Moderate confidence**  | This finding was graded as moderate confidence because of minor concerns regarding methodological limitations, relevance, coherence and moderate concerns regarding adequacy. |