|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Section/Item |  | Recommendation | Section /Paragraph |
| **Title and abstract** |
| Title | 1 | Identify the study as an economic evaluation or use more specific terms such as cost-effectiveness analysis`, and describe the interventions compared. | Title |
| Abstract | 2 | Provide a structured summary of objectives, perspective, setting, methods (including study design and inputs), results (including base case and uncertainty analyses) and conclusions. | Abstract |
| **Introduction** |
| Background and objectives | 3 | Provide an explicit statement of the broader context for the study. | Introduction/Para 1-3 |
| Present the study question and its relevance for health policy or practice decision. | Introduction/Para 4 |
| **Methods** |
| Target Population And Subgroups Populations | 4 | Describe characteristics of the base case population and subgroups analyzed including why they were chosen. | Data source & study population/Para 2S1 Text/Model Inputs/Para 2 |
| Setting and Location | 5 | State relevant aspects of the system(s) in which the decision(s) need(s) to be made. | Introduction/Para 4 |
| Study Perspective | 6 | Describe the perspective of the study and relate this to the costs being evaluated. | Methods/ Para 1 |
| Comparator | 7 | Describe the interventions or strategies being compared and state why they were chosen. | Introduction/Para 3-4 |
| Time Horizon | 8 | State the time horizon(s) over which costs and consequences are being evaluated and say why appropriate. | Design of the model/Para 1 |
| Discount Rate | 9 | Report the choice of discount rate(s) used for costs and outcomes and say why appropriate. | S1 Text/Prevalence & costing/Para 3 |
| Choice of Health Outcomes | 10 | Describe what outcomes were used as the measure(s) of benefit in the evaluation and their relevance for the type of analysis performed.  | Analytical Approach/Para 2S1 Text/Model Description/Para 3 |
| Measurement of Effectiveness | 11a | Single Study-Based Estimates: Describe fully the design features of the single effectiveness study and why the single study was a sufficient source of clinical effectiveness data.  | NA |
| 11b | Synthesis-based Estimates: Describe fully the methods used for identification of included studies and synthesis of clinical effectiveness data. | S1 Text/Model Description/Para 2-3 |
| Measurement and Valuation Of Preference-Based Outcomes | 12 | If applicable, describe the population and methods used to elicit preferences for outcomes. | NA |
| Estimating Resources and Costs | 13a | Single Study-based Economic evaluation: Describe approaches used to estimate resource use associated with the alternative interventions. Describe primary or secondary research method for valuing each resource item in terms of its unit cost. Describe any adjustments made to approximate to opportunity costs. | NA |
| 13b | Model-based Economic Evaluation: Describe approaches and data sources used to estimate resource use associated with model health states. Describe primary or secondary research methods for valuing each resource items in terms of its unit cost. Describe any adjustments made to approximate to opportunity costs. | S1 Text/Direct Costs/ Para 1-2Cost of bariatric surgery complications/ S12 TableCost of T2DM complications/ S13 Table |
| Currency, Price Date andConversion | 14 | Report the dates of the estimated resource quantities and unit costs. Describe methods for adjusting estimated unit costs to the year of reported costs if necessary. Describe methods for converting costs into a common currency base and the exchange rate. | S1 Text /Direct Costs/Para 1-2 |
| Choice of model | 15 | Describe and give reasons for the specific type of decision-analytic model used. Providing a figure to show model structure is strongly recommended. | S1 Text/Model description/Para 2-3 |
| Assumption | 16 | Describe all structural or other assumptions underpinning the decision-analytic model. | S1 Text /Effectiveness & QoL Inputs/Para 1-3 |
| Analytic Methods | 17 | Describe all analytic methods supporting the evaluation. This could include methods for dealing with skewed, missing, or censored data, Extrapolation methods, methods for pooling data, approaches to validate or make adjustments (e.g., half-cycle corrections) to a model and method for handling population heterogeneity and uncertainty. | S1 Text /Uncertainty analysis/Para 1-3S1 Text /Scenario analysis/Para 1-2S1 Text /Model validation/Para 1-4 |
| **Results**  |
| Study parameters | 18 | Report the values, ranges, References and if used, probability distributions for all parameters. Report reasons or sources for distributions used to represent. Uncertainty where appropriate. Providing a table to show the input values is strongly recommended. | Prediction of insulin cessation baseline/Table 4Prediction of insulin cessation weight change/Table 5S2- S17 Tables |
| Incremental costs andoutcomes | 9 | For each intervention, report mean values for the main categories of estimated costs and outcomes of interest, as well as mean differences between the comparator groups. If applicable, report incremental cost-effectiveness ratios. | Direct Costs/ Table 6Total Costs/ Table 9Cost effectiveness/ Table 10S18- S19 Tables |
| Characterizinguncertainty | 20a | Single study-based economic evaluation: Describe the effects of sampling uncertainty for estimated incremental cost, incremental effectiveness, and incremental cost effectiveness, together with the impact of methodological assumptions (e.g. discount rate, study perspective). | NA |
| 20b | Model-based economic evaluation: Describe the effects on the results of uncertainty for all input parameters, and uncertainty related to the structure of the model and assumptions. | S1 Text /Uncertainty analysis/Para 1-3 |
| CharacterizingHeterogeneity | 21 | If applicable, report differences in costs, outcomes or cost- effectiveness that can be explained by variations between subgroups of patients with different baseline characteristics or other observed variability in effects that are not reducible by more information. | S1 Text /Scenario analysis/Para 1-2 |
| **Discussion** |
| Study Findings, Limitations, Generalizability and Current Knowledge | 22 | Summarize key study findings and describe how they support the conclusions reached. Discuss limitations and the generalizability of the findings and how the findings fit with current knowledge. | Discussion/Para 1-3 and Para 6-7 |
| **Other** |
| Source of Funding | 23 | Describe how the study was funded and the role of the funder in the identification, design\conduct and reporting of the analysis. Describe other non-monetary sources of support. | Financial disclosure |
| Conflicts of Interest | 24 | Describe any potential for conflict of interest among study contributor’s in accordance with journal policy. In the absence of a journal policy, we recommend authors comply with International Committee of Medical Journal Editors’ recommendations | Competing Interests |
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