# Single test rule-out of acute myocardial infarction

# using the limit of detection of a new high-sensitivity

# troponin I assay

### SHORT TITLE:

Single test acute myocardial infarction rule\_-out with high-sensitivity troponin

### AUTHORS:

Richard Body;<sup>a, b, c</sup> Niall Morris;<sup>a, b</sup> Denise Darby;<sup>d</sup> Emma Cowling;<sup>d</sup> Paul Collinson<sup>e</sup>

### Affiliations:

a: Emergency Department, Central Manchester University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Oxford Road, Manchester, M13 9WL, United Kingdom

b: Cardiovascular Sciences Research Group, The University of Manchester, Manchester Academic

Health Science Centre, Oxford Road, Manchester, M13 9PL, United Kingdom

c: Healthcare Sciences Department, Manchester Metropolitan University, Oxford Road, Manchester, United Kingdom

d: Department of Clinical Biochemistry, Salford Royal NHS Foundation Trust, Stott Lane, Salford, M6 8HD, United Kingdom

e: Department of Chemical Pathology, St George's NHS Foundation Trust, Blackshaw Road, Totting, London, SW17 0QT, United Kingdom

### Corresponding author and address:

Prof Richard Body

Professor and Honorary Consultant in Emergency Medicine

Emergency Department, Manchester Royal Infirmary, Oxford Road, Manchester, M13 9WL, United Kingdom

Email: <u>richard.body@manchester.ac.uk</u> Telephone: 00 44 7880 712 929 **Key words:** Acute Coronary Syndromes; Clinical Decision Rules; Cardiac Troponin; Sensitivity and Specificity

Word count: Abstract, 300 words.

### Declarations

Richard Body: Speaker fees from Singulex, Roche, Abbott, Siemens, Beckman, Ortho, ET Healthcare, Alere; Research grants from Roche, Abbott Point of Care and Singulex; donation of reagents for research from Roche, Siemens, Singulex, Abbott, Alere, FABPulous BV, Beckman, Abbott Point of Care

### Funding sources and sponsorship

Siemens provided reagents without charge for the purpose of this study.

The study was sponsored by Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust.

Page **3** of **26** 

## Abstract

### **Objectives**

We aimed t<u>T</u>o determine the diagnostic accuracy of a <u>new-high-sensitivity cardiac troponin I (hs-cTnI) assay in patients presenting to the Emergency Department (ED) with suspected acute coronary syndromes. Specifically, we evaluated the use of a single blood test at the time of arrival in the ED, using low hs-cTnI cut-offs.</u>

### Methods

In a prospective diagnostic test accuracy study at 14 centers, we included patients presenting to the ED with suspected ACS within 12 hours of symptom onset. We drew blood for hs-cTnI (Siemens ADVIA Centaur, overall 99<sup>th</sup> percentile 47 ng/L, limit of quantification [LoQ] 2.50ng/L) on arrival. Patients also underwent serial cardiac troponin testing over 3-6 hours. The primary outcome was an adjudicated diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction (AMI). We evaluated the incidence of major adverse cardiac events (MACE: death, AMI or revascularization) after 30 days. Test characteristics for hs-cTnI were calculated using the previously reported cut-offs set at the LoQ and 5 ng/Leut-off and an optimised cut-off to achieve >99% sensitivity.

### Results

We included 999 patients, including 13<u>1</u><sup>2</sup> (13.<u>1</u>2%) with an adjudicated diagnosis of AMI. <u>Compared</u> to the LoQ (100.0% sensitivity [95% CI 95.9-100.0%), 99.7% negative predictive value [NPV; 95% CI 97.6-100.0%), a 5 ng/L cut-off had slightly lower sensitivity (99.2%; 95% CI 95.8-100.0%) and similar NPV (99.8%; 95% CI 98.6-100.0%) but would rule out more patients (28.6% at the LoQ vs 50.4% at 5 ng/L). MACE occurred in 2 (0.7%) patients with hs-cTnI below the LoQ and 7 (1.4%) patients with hscTnI <5 ng/L. At the LoQ, the Siemens ADVIA Centaur hs-cTnI assay had a sensitivity of 99.2% (95% CI 95.9-100.0%) with 99.7% (95% CI 97.6-100.0%) negative predictive value (NPV), ruling out 28.6% patients with one test. MACE occurred in 3 (1.0%) patients with hs-cTnl <2.5 ng/L. At a previously reported cut-off (5 ng/L), sensitivity was 98.5% (95% CI 94.6-99.8%) with 99.6% (95% CI 98.4-100.0%) NPV, ruling out 50.4% patients with 1.6% incidence of MACE. Accounting for time from symptom onset or ECG ischemia did not further improve sensitivity.

### Conclusion

The Siemens ADVIA Centaur hs-cTnI assay has high sensitivity and NPV to rule out AMI with a single blood test in the ED.\_using the LoQ (3 ng/L) cut-off. At the LoQ cut-off a sensitivity >99% can be achieved. At a 5 ng/L cut-off ilt may be possible to rule out AMI for over 50% patients with a single test using a 5 ng/L cut-off.

Page 5 of 26

# Background

Given the growing problem of Emergency Department (ED) crowding and its impact on patient outcomes [1,2], minimizing the time that patients spend in the ED awaiting the outcome of diagnostic tests is of critical importance for the sustainability of future healthcare. Chest pain is one of the most common reasons for ED attendance, yet the prevalence of acute myocardial infarction (AMI) in patients undergoing diagnostic evaluation is as low as 6% in some cohorts [3]. Safely reducing the need for serial troponin sampling has great potential to unburden crowded EDs, enabling earlier reassurance for patients and allowing clinicians to focus on other potential diagnoses at an earlier stage.

The detection of a rise and/or fall of cardiac troponin (cTn), with at least one concentration above the 99<sup>th</sup> percentile upper reference limit of the assay, is central to the diagnosis of AMI [4]. High sensitivity cardiac troponin (hs-cTn) assays can detect cTn in >50% of apparently healthy men and women with adequate precision (defined as a coefficient of variation <10% when measuring a sample with a cTn concentration equal to the 99<sup>th</sup> percentile upper reference limit) [5]. Because of the improved precision of hs-cTn assays, the time between serial samples can be reduced as there is a greater probability that a small observed change in cTn concentration is genuine, rather than being caused by the imprecision of the assay. Thus, AMI can be ruled out in over 60% patients using two tests taken as little as 1 hour apart [6].

With the high analytical sensitivity of hs-cTn assays, over one third of patients can also have the diagnosis of AMI 'ruled out' following a single test at the time of arrival in the ED [7–9]. To do this, the cut-off has previously been set at the limit of detection (LoD) of the assay, which is considerably lower than the conventional upper reference limit (the 99<sup>th</sup> percentile of concentrations measured in

a healthy reference population). In some cohorts, this algorithm does not achieve adequate diagnostic sensitivity unless AMI is only 'ruled out' in the absence of ECG ischemia [8,10,11]. Such an approach has been validated with several hs-cTn assays to date, but as each cTn assay is different, the accuracy of this algorithm must be verified for each individual assay.

Siemens recently introduced a new, commercially available hs-cTnI assay (ADVIA Centaur High-Sensitivity Troponin I assay). We sought to evaluate the diagnostic accuracy of that assay for acute myocardial infarction (AMI) in patients presenting to the ED with suspected acute coronary syndromes. Specifically, we aimed to determine the test characteristics of this assay using cut-offs set below the conventional 99<sup>th</sup> percentile upper reference limit of the assay with a single test at the time of arrival in the ED.

# **Methods**

# Design and setting

The Bedside Evaluation of Sensitive Troponin (BEST) study was a prospective diagnostic test accuracy study at 18 centers in the United Kingdom. The BEST study incorporated six pre-planned workstreams or substudies. The analysis presented here is one of those workstreams and includes data from 14 of the 18 participating centers. A full list of the participating centers is available in the Supplementary Appendix. Ethical approval was granted by the National Research Ethics Service (14/NW/1344) and all participants provided written informed consent. The study was prospectively registered on the National Institute for Health Research portfolio (UK CRN 18000). We have published additional (separate) analyses from this study, including one analysis evaluating the diagnostic accuracy of four decision aids using the same hs-cTnl assay evaluated here [12]. The sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV of hs-cTnl using the LoQ cut-off was reported in brief in that

manuscript, but further detail, comparison with higher cut-offs and an evaluation of the influence of time from symptom onset and ECG ischemia on diagnostic accuracy are included in this further analysis.

### **Study participants**

We included adults aged >18 years who presented to the ED with pain, discomfort or pressure in the chest, epigastrium, neck, jaw or upper limb without an apparent non-cardiac source, which the treating physician suspected may have been caused by an acute coronary syndrome (ACS). Patients with peak symptoms >12 hours before presentation, those with definite ST elevation myocardial infarction, those who required medical admission for another reason, and those who could not provide written informed consent were excluded.

### Data collection and analytical procedures

Using a bespoke case report form, we recorded data on patient demographics, symptomatology, past medical history, current medications, risk factors for coronary artery disease, physical examination, electrocardiogram (ECG) interpretation, patient disposition, the times of blood sampling and the results of initial investigations. We drew blood at the time of arrival in the ED. To avoid delay and to give patients time to consider participation in the study, the initial blood sample could be drawn at the same time as routine clinical samples, prior to obtaining informed consent. If written informed consent was not subsequently obtained, all samples were discarded. A second blood sample was drawn 3 hours (+/- 30 minutes) after the initial sample. Within 30 minutes of collection, all blood samples were centrifuged at 2,500G for 10 minutes. Serum was then extracted and frozen at -80°C until analysis or at -20°C for up to 4 weeks and -80°C thereafter (at the Royal Bolton Hospital).

#### Analytical validation

#### Assay performance characteristics

Limit of blank (LoB) and LoD determinations were based on the Clinical & Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) protocol EP17-A with 20 replicates of the calibration blank and calculated as mean + 1.645\*SD(calibration blank) [SD, standard deviation]. The LoD was estimated using 20 replicates of a low concentration sample and calculated as LoB + 1.645\*SD (low concentration sample).

Assay imprecision was assessed based on CLSI protocol EP15-A.14 using human serum sample pools. Serum pools were prepared from residual samples by selection of sera of known high cardiac troponin concentrations. A base serum pool was created and individual sample pools prepared by dilution with serum with undetectable troponin by measurement using a high-sensitivity cTnT assay (hs-cTnT, Roche Diagnostics). The objective was to cover the range of cTnI values if possible from close to the limit of detection (1.6 ng/L), across the expected 99th percentile, the World Health Organisation (WHO) diagnostic cut-off for AMI diagnosis and to a high value representative of unequivocal AMI diagnosis. A total of 5 pools were analysed (36 individual samples in total for each pool, a total of 180 samples) with 4 samples from each pool measured daily over 9 consecutive days, corresponding to the analytical runs of the clinical samples). All samples were stored frozen at – 20°C prior to analysis, then thawed, mixed and centrifuged prior to analysis.

#### **Clinical validation**

The previously unthawed serum samples were tested in batches for hs-cTnI (Siemens ADVIA Centaur High-Sensitivity Troponin I assay) from December 2017 to January 2018. The assay has an overall 99<sup>th</sup>

Page **9** of **26** 

percentile upper reference limit of 47 ng/L (57 ng/L in men and 37 ng/L in women); the co-efficient of variation is <10% at 6 ng/L; the LoD, as reported by the manufacturer, is 1.6 ng/L; and the limit of quantification (LoQ), which is defined as the lowest concentration with co-efficient of variation <20%, is reported by the manufacturer to be 2.5 ng/L [13]. The manufacturer recommends that hs-cTnl concentrations are only reported down to the LoQ (2.5 ng/L) [14]. Based on the International Federation of Clinical Chemistry Committee for Cardiac Biomarkers recommendation to report hscTn assay concentrations in ng/L and in integers, for our primary analyses hs-cTnl concentrations were rounded to the nearest integer (ng/L) [15]. Such rounding has no impact on the analysis at the LoQ cut-off (as all concentrations  $\ge 2.5$  ng/L are rounded to 3ng/L, which is the nearest integer).

#### Outcomes

For this analysis, our primary outcome was a diagnosis of AMI. This was defined in accordance with the third universal definition of myocardial infarction, requiring a rise and/or fall of cTn with at least one concentration exceeding the 99<sup>th</sup> percentile upper reference limit of the assay. In addition, patients must have had at least one of: symptoms compatible with myocardial ischemia; ECG changes compatible with ischemia/infarction; imaging evidence of new loss of viable myocardium; or angiographic evidence of an intracoronary thrombus [4].

Secondary outcomes included major adverse cardiac events (MACE) occurring within 30 days. A MACE was defined as death, prevalent or incident AMI or coronary revascularization.

The diagnosis of AMI was adjudicated based on cTn concentrations using the assay in clinical use at each participating center (as listed in the Supplementary Appendix). To comply with the requirements of the study protocol, centers using a contemporary cTn assay were required to undertake cTn testing on arrival and either 6 hours later or 10-12 hours after symptom onset [16,17].

Those centers using hs-cTn assays were required to undertake cTn testing on arrival and at least 3 hours later [16]. All diagnoses were adjudicated by two investigators, acting independently of each other, and blinded to the investigational hs-cTnl assay results.

#### Follow-up

To determine the presence or absence of MACE, we followed up participants after 30 days. Followup involved three stages: (1) verification of the patient's mortality status (and cause of death if applicable) based on electronic records; (b) checking all available electronic patient records; and (c) contact with the patient, which was usually undertaken by telephone but could have been face to face, by email or letter. For patients who were persistently uncontactable, we contacted the primary care practitioner for information. In the United Kingdom the primary care practitioner receives copies of all hospital discharge summaries, outpatient correspondence and results of investigations undertaken in the community.

### **Statistical analysis**

Baseline characteristics were summarised using descriptive statistics. Analytical validation was performed using the Analyse-It add-in for Microsoft Excel for imprecision calculation. Data including confidence intervals was plotted and a best fit power function used to obtain a curve. Imprecision at 10% and 20% was estimated by interpolation. Using data from the blood sample drawn at the time of arrival in the ED, we calculated test characteristics with 95% confidence intervals using the 99<sup>th</sup> percentile upper reference limit and LoQ thresholds. Because the manufacturer does not recommend reporting results lower than the LoQ (2.5 ng/L), we did not evaluate diagnostic accuracy at the LoD threshold (1.6 ng/L). We repeated the process to account for the presence or absence of ECG evidence of ischemia. We used receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis to evaluate

global diagnostic accuracy and calculated the area under the curve using the method described by De Long et al [18]. We also sought to determine diagnostic accuracy at a cut-off of 5ng/L, which has recently been reported in the literature as the 'HighSTEACS' cut-off [19] and subsequently validated in a patient cohort from the United States [20]. Finally, we evaluated diagnostic accuracy at the 'rulein' cut-off of 120ng/L, which was also reported in both previous studies. Statistical analyses were undertaken using SPSS version 23.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois) and MedCalc version 13.1.2.0 (Mariakerke, Belgium).

### Sample size

Sample size in an observational study of this nature is determined by the required precision for estimates of diagnostic accuracy, as no hypothesis is being tested. The BEST study aimed to recruit a total of 1,575 participants to identify an algorithm with 90% specificity and 100% sensitivity. This would yield lower bounds of the 95% confidence intervals of at least 95% for sensitivity and 99% for negative predictive value, allowing for 5% loss to follow-up. While that overall sample size was exceeded for the entire study, sample size in this pre-planned substudy was dictated by the availability of samples for hs-cTnl (Siemens ADVIA Centaur) analysis.

# Results

The LOB of the assay was 0.68 ng/L with LOD of 1.33 ng/L, in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications from the data sheet. Within run imprecision gave a 20% CV of 1.2 ng/L with a 10% CV of 3.1 ng/L. Total imprecision was 1.4 ng/L at 20% and 4.3 ng/L at 10% (supplementary figures 1 and 2). All values exceeded the minimum specification provided by the manufacturer.

Between February 2015 and June 2017 we included a total of 1,487 patients at the participating centers, of which 999 had sufficient data for inclusion in this analysis (Figure 1). A total of 1312 (13.12%) patients had an adjudicated diagnosis of AMI. Of the patients who did not have AMI, a further 21 developed at least one MACE within 30 days. The baseline characteristics of the included participants and the flow of participants through the study have previously been reported in a separate analysis from this cohort [12].are shown in Table 1.

Overall, the Siemens hs-cTnI assay had an AUC of 0.96 (95% CI 0.94 – 0.98; Figure 1). The test characteristics using cut-offs set at the 99<sup>th</sup> percentile upper reference limit and at the LoQ are shown in Table 21. At the LoQ cut-off, hs-cTnI missed one <u>no</u> AMIs. That patient, who underwent venepuncture 7.5 hours after symptom onset, had a single elevated high-sensitivity cardiac troponin T concentration at the time of arrival (44 ng/L; 99<sup>th</sup> percentile 14ng/L), which fell to 6 ng/L at 3 hours and 5 ng/L at 6 hours. The patient had no further major adverse cardiac events or cardiac investigations within the follow-up period and was discharged from hospital with a non-cardiac diagnosis. The adjudicated diagnosis of AMI was assigned because there was no other apparent explanation for the initial troponin elevation. That patient had a non-ischemic ECG and hs-cTnI concentrations of 1.6 and 2.2 ng/L on arrival and at 3 hours, respectively. Because that single patient did not have evidence of ECG ischemiano patients were missed at that threshold, accounting for ECG changes did not yield a further improvement in sensitivity.

At the previously reported 5 ng/L cut-off, we found a sensitivity of 99.28.5% (95% CI 95.84.6 – 100.099.8%) for AMI with an NPV of 99.86% (95% CI 98.64 – 100.099.9%). Accounting for ECG ischemia did not further improve sensitivity.

Including the initial diagnosis of AMI, three-two (0.71.0%) patients with hs-cTnI <3 ng/L developed MACE within 30 days. <u>Both o</u>Of those, there was one prevalent AMI and two-were patients who underwent percutaneous coronary intervention with no deaths or incident AMIs. None of the two patients with incident MACE had evidence of ECG ischemia at the time of presentation to the ED. A total of eight-seven (1.46%) patients with hs-cTnI <58 ng/L had MACE within 30 days. Two-One of those were was a prevalent AMIs. One patient did not have AMI at the time of initial presentation but had an AMI within 30 days of the initial presentation. The other five patients all underwent percutaneous coronary intervention within 30 days.

In this study, a total of 538 (53.9%) presented within 3 hours of symptom onset. The analysis of diagnostic accuracy stratified by time from symptom onset is shown in Supplementary Table 12. We did not identify any signal to suggest lower sensitivity and NPV, at any threshold, among patients who presented within 3 hours of symptom onset. However, given the smaller number of patients in this subgroup analysis, the 95% confidence intervals around sensitivity were relatively wide.

At the previously reported 'rule-in' cut-off (120 ng/L), the hs-cTnI assay had a specificity of 98.9% (95% CI 97.9 – 99.5%) with a positive predictive value of 88.4% (95% CI 80.1 – 93.5%), 'ruling in' AMI for 86 (8.6%) patients.

# Discussion

In this study we aimed to determine whether low concentrations of cardiac troponin, measured using an hs-cTnI assay (Siemens ADVIA Centaur High-Sensitivity Troponin I assay), could be used to safely 'rule out' the diagnosis of AMI using a single blood test at the time of arrival in the ED in routine clinical practice. We have confirmed the analytical claims for the assay in terms of limits of

Page 14 of 26

detection and imprecision profile [21]. Our findings demonstrate that this assay can identify AMI with high sensitivity and negative predictive value, setting the cut-off at the LoQ (2.5 ng/L, or ≥3 ng/L considering that hs-cTn concentrations should be reported in integers) or potentially as high as 5 ng/L. At both cut-offs, the assay achieved a <u>sensitivity and</u> negative predictive value above 99%. Setting the cut-off at the LoQ, approximately one in four patients (28.6%) could have been discharged from the ED following a single blood test. The incidence of 30-day MACE in those patients was low (<u>1.00.7</u>%). At the previously reported- 5 ng/L cut-off, 50.4% patients could have been discharged from the ED after one test. The incidence of MACE in that group was higher (1.46%), including one prevalent AMI, one incident AMI and five percutaneous coronary interventions. However those procedures could arguably be undertaken on an outpatient basis without requiring emergency hospital admission. The only AMI missed by hs-cTnl at these cut-offs had an adjudicated diagnosis of AMI assigned based on a single elevation of high-sensitivity cardiac troponin T, although this was not felt to be significant by the treating clinicians and the patient had been discharged with a non-cardiac diagnosis. These findings support clinical use of this hs-cTnl assay to 'rule out' AMI with a single blood test.

Our findings are concordant with the results of previous work evaluating similar low cut-offs with other high-sensitivity cardiac troponin assays. For example, in a collaborative meta-analysis involving 9,241 patients from 11 studies, hs-cTnT (Roche Elecsys) had a sensitivity of 98.7% (95% CI 96.6 – 99.5%) using a cut-off set at the LoD (5 ng/L) of the assay. At that cut-off, AMI would have been ruled out in 30.6% of patients [7]. Similarly, in a study involving 12 centers in 9 countries, hs-cTnT <5 ng/L achieved a sensitivity of 99.1%, ruling out 36% of patients with one test [8]. In a pooled analysis of 5 international studies including 3,155 patients, the Abbott ARCHITECT hs-cTnI assay had a sensitivity of 99.0% (95% CI 96.8 – 99.7%) with NPV 99.5% (98.4 – 99.9%) using the LoD (1.2 ng/L) as a cut-off. That strategy would have ruled out AMI in 18.8% patients [9]. However, in each of those studies, AMI

Page 15 of 26

was only ruled out in patients with no ECG ischemia. Interestingly, in this work, hs-cTnI (Siemens ADVIA Centaur High-Sensitivity Troponin I assay) achieved high sensitivity without the addition of ECG interpretation, and taking account of ECG ischemia did not improve diagnostic accuracy.

At a cut-off set above the LoQ but below the 99<sup>th</sup> percentile upper reference limit (5 ng/L), hs-cTnl could have 'ruled out' AMI in over 50% of patients. A similar approach has been taken with the Abbott ARCHITECT hs-cTnI assay. Shah *et al* previously derived the optimal cut-off to rule out AMI with a single blood test in the ED. Setting the cut-off at 5 ng/L yielded an NPV of 99.4% (95% CI 98.8 – 99.9%) on validation [22]. Sensitivity at that cut-off was, however, lower (94%), and evaluation in external cohorts yielded similar findings (sensitivity 94.5%, 95% CI 91.1 – 96.7%) [9]. Using the same 5ng/L cut-off (the HighSTEACS cut-off) in this cohort had a similar effect. NPV remained high (at 99.85%). <u>S</u>, although sensitivity dropped slightly, to 98.5% only marginally, to 99.2%, and the incidence of MACE at 30 days was 1.46%. If clinicians and patients are willing to accept that marginal increase in risk, then this cut-off could yield even further diagnostic efficiency, allowing 50.4% patients to have AMI ruled out with a single blood test. In this study, there was no suggestion that restricting the use of this strategy to patients undergoing venepuncture ≥3h after symptom onset would improve sensitivity. However, applying that criterion would mean that only 20.4% of the entire cohort would be 'ruled out' at the 5ng/L cut-off.

### **Strengths and limitations**

In this work, we have evaluated the diagnostic accuracy of the Siemens ADVIA Centaur hs-cTnI assay in a carefully designed multi-center, prospective diagnostic test accuracy study. The multi-center nature of this study increases the external validity of our findings. Because all patients underwent reference standard delayed cardiac troponin testing following a protocol in accordance with current

national and international guidelines, there is no potential for verification bias. We also achieved a high follow-up completion rate, which enables us to be more confident about the incidence of MACE.

Our work does have some limitations that it is important to acknowledge. While our sample size was relatively large (n=999), we did not have serum samples available for analysis from approximately one third of the total cohort recruited to this multi-center study. This is, however, a common limitation in studies of this nature, and comparison of baseline characteristics between included and excluded participants does not suggest that this has introduced any systematic bias.

It is also important to recognise that samples in this study were analysed retrospectively in batches, with quality control procedures that are different to day to day practice in clinical laboratories. When used in routine practice, analytical performance may vary and the potential impact of batch to batch variation could not be studied. Finally, because the assay was not available until after the end of recruitment, the samples collected in this study were stored at -80°C for between six and 35 months prior to analysis. However the manufacturer's kit insert verifies that samples are stable at this temperature for up to 12 months and published data demonstrate the stability of the assay in samples that have been stored for up to 15 years at temperatures below -70°C [23].

## Conclusions

We have identified that the Siemens ADVIA Centaur hs-cTnI assay has high sensitivity and NPV when used to rule out the diagnosis of AMI with a single test in the ED, using a cut-off set at the LoQ of the assay (<3 ng/L). The incidence of MACE in these patients is low, suggesting that this algorithm could be used to avoid unnecessary hospital admission for more than one quarter of patients. Our findings also suggest that this assay could be used to rule out AMI using a 5 ng/L cut-off, which could rule out

the diagnosis in over 50% of patients. Finally, a single hs-cTnI concentration of ≥120 ng/L in the ED could be used to rule in AMI without serial sampling, achieving a positive predictive value of just below 90%. This may help to rapidly triage patients to appropriate areas, unburdening crowded EDs.

Page 18 of 26

# References

- 1 Spirivulis PC, Da Silva JA, Jacobs IG, Frazer AR, Jelinek GA. The association between hospital overcrowding and mortality among patients admitted via Western Australian emergency departments. *Medical Journal of Australia* 2006;**184**:208–12.
- 2 Hwang U, Richardson LD, Sonuyi TO, Morrison RS. The effect of emergency department crowding on the management of pain in the older adults with hip fracture. *Journal of the American Geriatric Society* 2006;**54**:270–5.
  - Hess EP, Brison RJ, Perry JJ, Calder LA, Thiruganasambandamoorthy V, Agarwal D, *et al.* Development of a clinical prediction rule for 30-day cardiac events in emergency department
    patients with chest pain and possible acute coronary syndrome. *Ann Emerg Med* 2012;59:115-125.e1.
- 4 Thygesen K, Alpert JS, Jaffe AS, Simoons ML, Chaitman BR, White HD, *et al.* Third Universal Definition of Myocardial Infarction. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology* 2012;**60**:1581– 98.
- 5 Apple FS, Collinson PO, for the IFCC Task Force on Clinical Applications of Cardiac Biomarkers. Analytical Characteristics of High-Sensitivity Cardiac Troponin Assays. *Clinical Chemistry* 2011;**58**:54–61.
- 6 Mueller C, Giannitsis E, Christ M, Ordóñez-Llanos J, deFilippi C, McCord J, *et al.* Multicenter Evaluation of a 0-Hour/1-Hour Algorithm in the Diagnosis of Myocardial Infarction With High-Sensitivity Cardiac Troponin T. *Annals of Emergency Medicine* Published Online First: January 2016. doi:10.1016/j.annemergmed.2015.11.013

1063		Page <b>19</b> of <b>26</b>
1064		
1065		
1066		
1067	7	Pickering JW, Than MP, Cullen L, Aldous S, Ter Avest E, Body R, et al. Rapid Rule-out of Acute
1068		
1069		Myocardial Infarction With a Single High-Sensitivity Cardiac Troponin T Measurement Below the
1070		
1071		Limit of Detection: A Collaborative Meta-analysis. Ann Intern Med 2017; <b>166</b> :715–24.
1072		
1073		
1074	8	Body R, Mueller C, Giannitsis E, Christ M, Ordonez-Llanos J, de Filippi CR, <i>et al</i> . The Use of Very
1075		
1076		Low Concentrations of High-sensitivity Troponin T to Rule Out Acute Myocardial Infarction Using
1077		
1078		a Single Blood Test. Acad Emerg Med 2016; <b>23</b> :1004–13.
1079		
1080		
1081	9	Carlton E, Greenslade J, Cullen L, Body R, Than M, Pickering JW, et al. Evaluation of High-
1082		
1083		Sensitivity Cardiac Troponin I Levels in Patients With Suspected Acute Coronary Syndrome. JAMA
1084		
1085		Cardiology 2016; <b>1</b> :405.
1086		
1087		
1000	10	Body R, Burrows G, Carley S, Cullen L, Than M, Jaffe AS, <i>et al</i> . High-Sensitivity Cardiac Troponin T
1069		
1090		Concentrations below the Limit of Detection to Exclude Acute Myocardial Infarction: A
1091		
1092		Prospective Evaluation. Clinical Chemistry 2015; <b>61</b> :983–9.
1094		
1095	11	Dendetain N. Livne D. Jahanssen M. Halmann M. Hudatastahla hish sensitivity teansnin Tlaval
1096	11	Bandstein N, Ljung R, Johansson M, Holzmann MJ. Ondetectable nigh sensitivity troponin i level
1097		in the Engeneration Department and visit of my secondial information. Journal of the American College
1098		In the Emergency Department and risk of myocardial infarction. Journal of the American College
1099		of Condictory 2011 / 10 05 (0, 70
1100		of Caralology 2014; <b>03</b> :2569-78.
1101		
1102	10	Pady P. Marris N. Daynard C. Callinson DO. Comparison of four desision aids for the early
1103	12	Body R, Morris N, Reynard C, Companyon PO. Companyon of four decision and for the early
1104		diagnosis of acute coronary syndromes in the emergency department. Emergency Medicine
1105		diagnosis of acute coronary syndromes in the emergency department. Emergency medicine
1106		lournal 2010, an armod 2010 208808
1107		Journal 2019;:emermed-2019-208898.
1108		
1109	12	IECC Task Earce on Clinical Applications of Cardiac Pio-markers (TE-CP). High consitivity cardiac
1110	13	rece task force on chinical Applications of cardiac bio-markers (TF-CB). Fight sensitivity cardiac
1111		transpin Land Tassay analytical characteristics designated by manufacturer
1112		tropomini and Tassay analytical characteristics designated by manufacturer.
1113		www.ifee.org/modie/142152/UighConsitivityCordigeTrononinl_T_AccovApolyticalCharacterictics
1114		www.iicc.org/meuia/403453/mgnsensitivityCardiacTroponini_1_AssayAnalyticalCharacteristics_
1115		v060617 ndf (accorded 10 $ u 2018$ )
1116		
1117		
1118		
1119		
1120		

Page 20 of 26

14 Siemens. Siemens ADVIA Centaur XP, ADVIA Centaur XPT High-Sensitivity Troponin I (TNIH) assay instructions for use. 112000076+EN Rev. A, 2017-03. 2017. 15 Wu AHB, Christenson RH, Greene DN, Jaffe AS, Kavsak PA, Ordonez-Llanos J, et al. Clinical Laboratory Practice Recommendations for the Use of Cardiac Troponin in Acute Coronary Syndrome: Expert Opinion from the Academy of the American Association for Clinical Chemistry and the Task Force on Clinical Applications of Cardiac Bio-Markers of the International Federation of Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine. Clinical Chemistry 2018;64:645-55. 16 Roffi M, Patrono C, Collet J-P, Mueller C, Valgimigli M, Andreotti F, et al. 2015 ESC Guidelines for the management of acute coronary syndromes in patients presenting without persistent ST-segment elevation: Task Force for the Management of Acute Coronary Syndromes in Patients Presenting without Persistent ST-Segment Elevation of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). European Heart Journal 2016;37:267-315. 17 National Clinical Guideline Centre for Acute and Chronic Conditions. Chest pain of recent onset: NICE Clinical Guideline CG95. 2010.https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg95 (accessed 20 Jun2018). 18 DeLong ER, DeLong DM, Clarke-Pearson DL. Comparing the areas under two or more correlated receiver operating characteristic curves: a nonparametric approach. Biometrics 1988;44:837-45. 19 Chapman AR, Fujisawa T, Lee KK, Andrews JP, Anand A, Sandeman D, et al. Novel high-sensitivity cardiac troponin I assay in patients with suspected acute coronary syndrome. Heart 2018;:heartjnl-2018-314093. 20 Sandoval Y, Nowak R, deFilippi CR, Christenson RH, Peacock WF, McCord J, et al. Myocardial Infarction Risk Stratification With a Single Measurement of High-Sensitivity Troponin I. Journal of the American College of Cardiology 2019;74:271-82. 

1181 1182 1183	Page <b>21</b> of <b>26</b>
1184 1185 <b>21</b>	Siemens Healthineers. High-sensitivity troponin I (TNIH), ADVIA Centuar. Manufacturer's package
1186 1187 1188	insert. 06, 2019-08. 2019.https://doclib.siemens-
1189 1190	healthineers.com/DocLibD?docDownload=DOC_TYPE_IFU%2F6261%2FDXDCM_09017fe9802d99
1191 1192	34%2FHigh-Sensitivity_Troponin_I_OUSADVIA_Centaur_XP_and_XPT
1193 1194	_Rev_E_DXDCM_09017fe9802d9934-
1195 1196	1548395855410.pdf&doclibId=1&doclibUserId=ANOUSER&downloadRequestId=1576108608944
1197 1198 1199	4&sdldt=772mu1KAhCVluiftk9TJEufCXy4%3D&zipDocs= (accessed 11 Dec2019).
1200 <b>22</b> 1201	Shah ASV, Anand A, Sandoval Y, Lee KK, Smith SW, Adamson PD, et al. High-sensitivity cardiac
1202 1203	troponin I at presentation in patients with suspected acute coronary syndrome: a cohort study.
1204 1205 1206	The Lancet 2015; <b>386</b> :2481–8.
1207 23 1208	Kavsak PA, Worster A, Hill SA, Jaffe AS. Evaluation of the Siemens ADVIA Centaur high-sensitivity
1203 1210 1211 1212 1213 1214 1215 1216 1217 1218 1219 1220 1221 1222 1223 1224 1225 1226 1227 1228 1229 1230 1231 1232 1233 1234 1235 1236 1237 1238 1237	cardiac troponin I assay in serum. <i>Clinica Chimica Acta</i> 2018; <b>487</b> :216–21.

# Legends to figures

### Figure 1: Participant flow diagram

Figure <u>1</u>2: Receiver operating characteristic curve showing the overall diagnostic accuracy of the hs-

cTnI assay (Siemens ADVIA Centaur), measured at the time of arrival in the ED, for a diagnosis of AMI

# **Tables**

# **Table 1: Baseline characteristics of included patients**

	<del>Total (n=999)</del>	AMI (n=132)	<del>No ACS (n=867)</del>
Age in years, mean (SD)	<del>58.1 (15.2)</del>	<del>64.9 (15.0)</del>	<del>57.0 (15.0)</del>
Men (%)	<del>630 (63.1)</del>	<del>92 (69.7)</del>	<del>539 (62.1)</del>
Previous angina (%)	<del>270 (27.0)</del>	4 <del>7 (35.6)</del>	<del>223 (25.7)</del>
Previous myocardial infarction (%)	<del>280 (28.0)</del>	<del>47 (35.6)</del>	<del>233 (26.9)</del>
Previous coronary intervention (%)	<del>228 (22.8)</del>	<del>191 (22.0)</del>	<del>37 (28.0)</del>
Previous coronary artery bypass	<del>73 (7.3)</del>	<del>17 (12.9)</del>	<del>56 (6.5)</del>
<del>graft (%)</del>			
Hypertension (%)	<del>483 (48.3)</del>	<del>77 (58.3)</del>	<del>406 (46.8)</del>
Hyperlipidaemia (%)	<del>387 (38.7)</del>	<del>64 (48.5)</del>	<del>323 (37.3)</del>
Type 1 diabetes mellitus (%)	<del>22 (2.2)</del>	<del>7 (5.3)</del>	<del>15 (1.7)</del>
Type 2 diabetes mellitus (%)	<del>198 (19.8)</del>	<del>35 (26.5)</del>	<del>163 (18.8)</del>
Current smoking (%)	<del>200 (20.0)</del>	<del>33 (25.0)</del>	<del>167 (19.3)</del>
Time from symptom onset to			
<del>arrival in the ED, n (%):</del>			
<mark>&lt; 3h</mark>	<del>538 (53.9)</del>	<del>77 (58.3)</del>	4 <del>61 (53.2)</del>
<del>3 – 6h</del>	<del>199 (19.9)</del>	<del>23 (17.4)</del>	<del>176 (20.3)</del>
<mark>≻ 6h</mark>	<del>197 (19.7)</del>	<del>25 (19.0)</del>	<del>172 (19.9)</del>

# Table 12: Test characteristics of the Siemens ADVIA Centaur hs-cTnI assay, used

# alone at the time of arrival in the ED for a diagnosis of AMI

Cut-off	Sensitivity (95% Cl)	Specificity (95% Cl)	PPV (95% CI)	NPV (95% CI)	LR+	LR-	Number (%) ruled out	30-day MACE in patients 'ruled out'
HS-cTnI alone								
LoQ (≥3 ng/L)	<del>99.2</del> <del>(95.9 -</del> <del>100.0)<u>100.</u> <u>0 (97.2 -</u> <u>100.0)</u></del>	3 <u>3.0</u> 2.9 (29.8 – 36. <u>2</u> 1)	18.4 (17.7 - 19.1)	<del>99.7</del> <del>(97.6 –</del> <u>100.0)10</u> <u>0.0 (N/A)</u>	1.4 <u>9</u> 8 (1.4 <u>2</u> 1 - 1.5 <u>6</u> 5)	<del>0.02</del> ( <del>0.00 –</del> <del>0.16)<u>0.00</u> (N/A)</del>	286 (28.6)	<u>2</u> 3 ( <u>0.7</u> 1.0)
HighSTEACS (≥5ng/L)	<del>98.5</del> <del>(94.6 -</del> <del>99.8<u>99.2</u> <u>(95.8 -</u> <u>100.0</u>)</del>	57.8 (54. <u>5</u> 4 - 61. <u>2</u> 4)	26.2 (24.7 - 27.8)	99. <u>8</u> 6 (98. <u>6</u> 4 - <del>99.9<u>1</u>00. <u>0</u>)</del>	2.3 <u>5</u> <del>3</del> (2.1 <del>75</del> - 2.5 <u>5</u> 3)	0.0 <u>1</u> 3 (0.0 <u>0</u> 1 - 0. <u>09</u> 10)	503 (50.4)	<u>7</u> 8 (1. <u>4</u> 6)
Overall 99th percentile (47 ng/L)	74. <u>6</u> 2 (6 <u>6.2</u> 5.9 - 81. <u>8</u> 5)	96.5 (95.1 - 97.7)	76. <u>4</u> 6 (69. <u>2</u> 4 - 82. <u>3</u> 5)	96. <u>2</u> 4 (9 <u>5.0</u> 4. <del>9</del> - 97. <u>2</u> 1)	21. <u>5946</u> (14. <u>9</u> 88 - 3 <u>1.12</u> 0.93)	0.2 <u>6</u> 7 (0.20 – 0.3 <u>5</u> 6)	<u>\$</u> 871 (87.2)	5 <u>0</u> 4 (5. <u>7</u> 9)
Sex-specific 99th percentiles	7 <u>4.0<del>3.5</del> (65.<u>7</u>1 - 8<u>1.3</u>0.8)</u>	96.7 (95.2 - 97.8)	77.0 (69.8 - 82.9)	96. <u>1</u> 0 (94. <u>9</u> 7 - 97. <u>1</u> 0)	2 <u>2.16<del>1.97</del> (15.<u>28</u>14 - 3<u>2.15</u>1.88)</u>	0.27 (0.2 <mark>01</mark> - 0.36)	873 (87.4)	53 (6.1)
Only 'rule out'	in the abse	nce of ECG i	schemia <u>*</u>					
LoQ (≥3 ng/L)	<del>99.2<u>100.0</u> (9<u>7.2</u>5.9 - 100.0)</del>	32. <u>1</u> 2 (29. <u>0</u> 1 - 35. <u>3</u> 4)	1 <u>7.9<del>8.2</del> (17.<u>2</u>5 - 1<u>8.6</u>9.0)</u>	<del>99.6</del> <del>(97.5 –</del> 100.0 <u>(N/A</u> )	1.4 <u>7</u> 6 (1. <u>41</u> 39 - 1.54)	0.0 <u>0</u> (N/A)2 (0.00 - 0.17)	2 <u>7880</u> (2 <u>7.9</u> 8.0)	<u>2</u> 3 ( <u>0.7</u> 1.1)
HighSTEACS (≥5ng/L)	9 <u>9.2</u> 8.5 (9 <u>5.7</u> 4. <del>6</del> - <u>100.0</u> <del>99.8</del> )	56. <u>5</u> 4 (53. <u>1</u> 0 - 59.8)	25. <u>2</u> 5 (2 <u>3.8</u> 4. <del>0</del> - 27.0)	99. <u>8</u> 6 (98. <u>6</u> 4 - <u>100.0</u> <del>99.</del> <del>9</del> )	2.2 <u>86</u> (2. <u>11</u> 09 - 2.4 <u>6</u> 4)	0.0 <u>1</u> 3 (0.0 <u>0</u> 1 - 0.1 <u>0</u> 1)	490 (49. <u>2</u> 3)	<u>7</u> 8 (1. <u>4</u> 6)
Overall 99th percentile (47 ng/L)	78. <u>9</u> 0 (70. <u>8</u> 0 - 8 <u>5.6</u> 4. <del>8</del> )	92.5 (90.5 - 94.2)	6 <u>0.8</u> 1.4 (5 <u>4.7</u> 5.2 - 6 <u>6.6</u> 7.1)	96. <u>7</u> 4 (95. <u>5</u> 3 - 97. <u>7</u> 5)	10. <u>5</u> 41 (8.1 <u>8</u> 0 - 13. <u>50</u> 37)	0.2 <u>3</u> 4 (0.1 <u>6</u> 7 - 0.3 <u>2</u> 3)	8 <u>28</u> 30 (83. <u>3</u> 1)	4 <u>1</u> 3 (5. <u>0</u> 2)
Sex-specific 99th percentiles	7 <u>8.1</u> 7.3 ( <u>70.0</u> 69.2 - 8 <u>5.0</u> 4.1)	92.4 (90.4 - 94.1)	60. <u>2</u> 7 (54. <u>1</u> 6 - 66. <u>0</u> 5)	96. <u>6</u> 4 (95. <u>41</u> - 97. <u>5</u> 3)	10. <u>3415</u> (7.9 <u>9</u> 1 - 13. <u>15</u> 03)	0.2 <u>45</u> (0.1 <u>7</u> 8 - 0.3 <u>3</u> 4)	8 <u>2831</u> (83. <u>3</u> 2)	<u>42 (5.1)</u> 53 <del>(5.3)</del>

Abbreviations: PPV= positive predictive value, NPV= negative predictive value, LR+= positive

likelihood ratio, LR-= negative likelihood ratio

1	3	5	8
1	3	5	9
1	3	6	0
1	3	6	1
1	х х	6	ว
1	2	6 6	2
1	ა ი	0	3 1
1	3	0	4
1	3	6	5
1	3	6	6
1	3	6	7
1	3	6	8
1	3	6	9
1	3	7	0
1	3	7	1
1	2	-	ว
1	2 2	' 7	2
1	ა ი	7 7	С И
I	3	_	4
1	3	1	5
1	3	7	6
1	3	7	7
1	3	7	8
1	3	7	9
1	3	8	0
1	х З	٥ 8	1
1	2	0	י ר
1	っ っ	0	2
1	ა ი	0	3
1	3	8	4
1	3	8	5
1	3	8	6
1	3	8	7
1	3	8	8
1	3	8	9
1	3	9	0
1	3	9	1
1	2	a	。 つ
1	2	0	2 2
1	0	9	3
1	3	9	4
1	3	9	5
1	3	9	6
1	3	9	7
1	3	9	8
1	3	9	9
1	4	0	0
1	4	0	1
1	4	Ô	2
1	Л	0 N	2
1	1	0	1
1	4	0	4
1	4	U c	с С
1	4	0	6
1	4	0	7
1	4	0	8
1	Δ	0	9
	-		
1	4	1	0
1 1	- 4 4	1 1	0 1
1 1 1	4 4 4	1 1 1	0 1 2
1 1 1	4 4 4 4	1 1 1	0 1 2 3
1 1 1 1	- 4 4 4 4	1 1 1 1	0 1 2 3⊿
1 1 1 1	4 4 4 4 4 1	1 1 1 1	0 1 2 3 4 5

1418	
1419	
1420	
1421	* Data for ECG ischemia were missing for five patients.
1422	
1423	
1424	
1425	
1426	
1427	
1428	
1429	
1430	
1431	
1432	
1433	
1434	
1435	
1/126	
1/27	
1/122	
1430	
1409	
1440	
1441	
1442	
1443	
1444	
1445	
1446	
1447	
1448	
1449	
1450	
1451	
1452	
1453	
1454	
1455	
1456	
1457	
1458	
1459	
1460	
1461	
1462	
1463	
1464	
1465	
1466	
1467	
1468	
1469	
1470	
1471	
1472	
1412	
1413	
14/4	
14/5	

### Table 2: Sensitivity analysis. Test characteristics at selected cut-offs stratified by time from symptom onset to

### arrival in the ED

<u>Cut-off</u>	<u>Sensitivity</u> (95% Cl)	<u>Specificity</u> (95% CI)	<u>PPV</u> (95% CI)	<u>NPV</u> (95% CI)	<u>LR+</u>	<u>LR-</u>	<u>Number (%)</u> <u>ruled out</u>	<u>30-day</u> <u>MACE in</u> <u>patients</u> <u>'ruled out'</u>
<3h from sympt	tom onset							
<u>LoQ (≥3 ng/L)</u>	<u>100.0</u> (95.3 - 100.0)	<u>34.7</u> (30.4 - 39.3)	<u>20.4</u> (19.3 - 21.5)	<u>100.0</u> (N/A)	<u>1.53</u> (1.43 - <u>1.64)</u>	<u>0.00</u> (N/A)	<u>160 (29.7)</u>	<u>1 (0.6)</u>
HighSTEACS (≥5 <u>ng/L)</u>	<u>100.0</u> (95.3 - <u>1000)</u>	<u>57.3</u> (52.6 - <u>61.8)</u>	<u>28.1</u> (26.0 - <u>30.3)</u>	<u>100.0</u> (N/A)	<u>2.34</u> (2.11 - <u>2.60)</u>	<u>0.00</u> (N/A)	<u>264 (49.1)</u>	<u>1 (0.4)</u>
≥3h from sympt	≥3h from symptom onset							
<u>LoQ (≥3 ng/L)</u>	<u>100.0</u> (92.5 - <u>100.0)</u>	<u>30.7</u> (25.9 – <u>35.8)</u>	<u>16.3</u> (15.3 - <u>17.2)</u>	<u>100.0</u> (N/A)	<u>1.44</u> ( <u>1.34 –</u> <u>1.55)</u>	<u>0.00</u> (N/A)	<u>107 (27.0)</u>	<u>1 (0.9)</u>
HighSTEACS (≥5 ng/L)	<u>97.9</u> (88.7 - <u>100.0)</u>	<u>58.2</u> (52.8 - <u>63.4)</u>	<u>24.0</u> (21.7 - <u>26.4)</u>	<u>99.5</u> (96.7 - <u>99.9)</u>	<u>2.34</u> (2.05 - <u>2.67)</u>	<u>0.04</u> (0.01 - <u>0.25)</u>	<u>204 (51.5)</u>	<u>5 (2.5)</u>



#### **Supplementary Figure 1**

Total assay imprecision covering the lower part of the analytical range (upper figure) and clinical decision points (lower figure). Both figures show the interpolated curve plus upper and lower confidence intervals. In the lower figure, the 10% CV and 20% CV are marked.



cTnI ng/L



#### **Supplementary Figure 2**

Within run imprecision covering the lower part of the analytical range (upper figure) and clinical decision points (lower figure). Both figures show the interpolated curve plus upper and lower confidence intervals. In the lower figure, the 10% CV and 20% CV are marked.



Supplementary Table 1: Baseline characteristics of patients who were included in this analysis and those who were included in the BEST study at participating centers but had insufficient data for inclusion in the analysis

	Included (n=999)	Not included (n=488)
Had AMI	132 (13.2)	68 (13.9)*
Age in years, mean (SD)	58.1 (15.2)	59.9 (15.5)
Men (%)	630 (63.1)	326 (66.8)
Previous angina (%)	270 (27.0)	160 (32.8)
Previous myocardial infarction (%)	280 (28.0)	128 (26.2)
Previous coronary intervention (%)	228 (22.8)	98 (20.1)
Previous coronary artery bypass graft (%)	73 (7.3)	38 (7.8)
Hypertension (%)	483 (48.3)	254 (52.0)
Hyperlipidaemia (%)	387 (38.7)	162 (33.2)
Type 1 diabetes mellitus (%)	22 (2.2)	8 (1.6)
Type 2 diabetes mellitus (%)	198 (19.8)	85 (17.4))
Current smoking (%)	200 (20.0)	94 (19.3)
Time from symptom onset to		
arrival in the ED, n (%):		
< 3h	538 (53.9)	267 (54.7)
3 – 6h	199 (19.9)	100 (20.5)
> 6h	197 (19.7)	84 (17.3)

\* Of 365 patients with full data to enable adjudication of AMI (i.e. patients who completed appropriate serial

sampling)