

Abstract: 239/250 words

Tables: 2

Figures: 1

Supplemental Tables: 3

Supplemental Figure: 1

The association between smoking prevalence and eating disorders:

a systematic review and meta-analysis

Running head: smoking in eating disorders

Marco Solmi^{1,2}, Nicola Veronese³, Giuseppe Sergi³, Claudio Luchini⁴, Angela Favaro¹, Paolo Santonastaso¹, Davy Vancampfort^{5,6}, Christoph U. Correll^{7,8,9,10}, Michael Ussher¹¹, Nita Thapa-Chhetri¹², Michele Fornaro¹³, Brendon Stubbs^{14,15}

¹Department of Neurosciences, University of Padua, Via Giustiniani, 5, 35128 Padova, Italy.

²Local Health Unit ULSS 17, Mental Health Department, Monselice (Padova), Italy

³Department of Medicine, DIMED, University of Padua, Via Giustiani, 2, 35128 Padova, Italy.

⁴Department of Pathology and Diagnostics, Verona University and Hospital Trust, PiazzaleScuro, 10, 37134 Verona, Italy.

⁵University of Leuven - KU Leuven Department of Rehabilitation Sciences, Leuven, Belgium.

⁶University of Leuven – KU Leuven, University Psychiatric Centre, Kortenberg-Leuven, Belgium.

⁷The Zucker Hillside Hospital, Psychiatry Research, Northwell Health System, Glen Oaks, New York, USA

⁸Hofstra Northwell School of Medicine, Hempstead, New York, USA

⁹The Feinstein Institute for Medical Research, Manhasset, New York, USA

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1111/add.13457

¹⁰Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, New York, USA

¹¹Population Health Research Institute, St. George's University of London, London, UK

¹²KaskiSewa Hospital & Research Centre, Pokhara, Nepal

¹³New York Psychiatric Institute, Columbia University, NYC, USA

¹⁴Physiotherapy Department, South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust, Denmark Hill, London SE5 8AZ, United Kingdom.

¹⁵Health Service and Population Research Department, Institute of Psychiatry, King's College London, De Crespigny Park, London, Box SE5 8AF, United Kingdom.

Corresponding author: Brendon Stubbs, Head of Physiotherapy, South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust, Denmark Hill, London, United Kingdom. Tel: 0044 208 3003100, fax 00442032282702 email: brendon.stubbs@kcl.ac.uk

Funding source: none.

Abstract (word count 239)

Background and aims: Cigarette smoking is associated with severe mental illness including schizophrenia and bipolar disorder, and with morbidity and mortality, but the association with anorexia (AN), bulimia nervosa (BN) and Binge Eating Disorder (BED), is unclear. This meta-analysis compared the odds of smoking in Eating Disorders (ED) (ED= AN or BN or BED) versus healthy controls (HC) and calculated the prevalence of smokers in people with ED.

Methods: Three independent authors searched PubMed, MEDLINE and Scopus from database inception until 12/31/2015 for studies reporting data on life-time or current smoking prevalence in BED, BN, and AN, with or without control group. Meta-analyses were

undertaken, calculating odds ratios (ORs) of life-time smoking in BED, BN, AN vs HCs, or prevalence of smoking in BED, BN, AN with 95% confidence intervals (CI).

Results: Thirty-one studies (ED=8,517, controls=68,335) were meta-analyzed. Compared with HCs, there were significantly more smokers among people with BN (life-time OR=2.165) and BED (life-time OR=1.792) but not AN (life-time OR=0.927). BED was associated with smoking the most (life-time prevalence=47.7%) followed by BN (life-time prevalence=39.4%) and AN (life-time prevalence=30.8%). In BN, life-time smoking prevalence was highest in Europe. In AN, higher age moderated both life-time and current smoking prevalence, and body mass index moderated higher life-time smoking prevalence. In BN, female sex moderated higher life-time smoking prevalence.

Conclusions: People with binge eating disorder and bulimia nervosa are significantly more likely to be life-time smokers than healthy controls, which is not the case for anorexia nervosa.

Keywords: smoking; anorexia nervosa; bulimia nervosa; binge eating disorder; eating disorders, meta-analysis, moderators.

Introduction

People with eating disorders often have comorbid psychiatric and substance use disorders (1,2). In particular, abuse of alcohol and illicit drugs has been highly associated with anorexia (AN), bulimia nervosa (BN) and Binge Eating Disorder (BED) (3,4); however, very little is known about cigarette smoking rates.

In mental illness smoking is highly prevalent, with values even higher than 50% when considering a life-time diagnosis of mental illness(5), and in the general population, smoking is a leading cause of premature mortality (6); there are a number of pertinent effects of cigarette smoking that could be particularly deleterious among people with ED, as both AN and BN typically have an onset in the teenage years(7), but surprisingly smoking prevalence in BED, BN and AN has not been considered in previous reviews.(5) Also, smoking is a relevant issue to anorexia (AN) and bulimia nervosa (BN), since smoking is a risk factor for higher oxidative stress and inflammatory levels (8,9), as well as for osteoporosis and fractures, which are often observed in AN (10). Furthermore, concomitant consequences of high levels of alcohol, nutritional deficiency and acidic damage due to self-induced vomiting already put people with AN and BN at higher risk of esophageal cancer(11), and smoking is an additional, established risk factor for esophageal cancer.(12) Moreover, pulmonary consequences of smoking in AN are well known; in a malnourished population often with associated emphysema-like changes, cigarette use could induce even worse damage, potentially leading to high lung cancer risk (13,14). Also, BED is often in comorbidity with obesity and metabolic syndrome, and smoking would further add to the increased cardiovascular risk profile.(15,16)

The relationship between smoking and ED appears to be complex. Smoking could be used as a weight-control strategy by women affected by ED(17). There appears to be a longstanding belief that nicotine suppresses appetite, and smoking has been shown to increase resting metabolic rate (18), which is why smoking is used for weight control(19,20). In individuals with ED, the perceived benefits of nicotine for weight-control and temporary stress reduction may outweigh any concerns about the long-term harms of smoking. Women's concerns about body shape and weight, and their belief that smoking helps to control appetite, have indeed been considered as factors which may influence initiation of smoking(21) and relapse after periods of abstinence(22,23). Finally, in the general population, smoking cessation has been associated with a mean increase of 4-5 kg in body weight after 12 months of abstinence, with a wide variation in weight change; 16% of quitters lose

weight and 13% gain more than 10 kg(16). Determining the prevalence of smoking among people with ED, and comparing it with the general population is an important public health issue; a meta-analytic approach is a reliable method to correctly estimate the prevalence of smokers in patients with ED.

We conducted the first meta-analysis investigating the prevalence of smoking among people with ED versus healthy controls (HCs). In particular, we compared (i) smoking rates in BED, BN, and AN vs HCs, calculating OR (ii) calculated the prevalence and moderators of smoking prevalence in each ED.

Methods

This systematic review adhered to the MOOSE guidelines (26) and PRISMA statement (27).

Inclusion criteria

Eligible studies had to meet the following criteria: (1) included participants of any age with a primary diagnosis of any ED (i.e., AN, BN, BED, eating disorder not otherwise specified (EDNOS) based on established criteria (e.g., DSM-III, DSM-IV, or ICD-10), (2) reported data about either current and/or life-time smoking status (through self-report, questionnaire or medical notes), (3) published in a peer reviewed journal, (4) had a control group for OR calculation, or either had or did not have a control group for prevalence calculation, and (5) was written in English. When we encountered mixed samples of ED, where it was not possible to stratify the results, the study was excluded due to significant clinical differences across ED that were expected to affect the risk of smoking .

Information sources and searches

Three authors (BS, NV, MS) independently searched PubMed, MEDLINE and Scopus from database inception until December, 31st 2015, using the following search strategy: ("eating disorder"

OR "anorexia" OR "bulimia" OR "binge eating disorders") AND ("smoke" OR "smoking" OR "cigarette" OR "tobacco" OR "nicotine"). We also checked the reference list of included articles and of relevant reviews(28). Any web references will be archived before citation using WebCite[®] technology (<http://www.webcitation.org>).

Study selection

After removal of duplicates, three independent reviewers (CL, GS, DV) screened titles and abstracts of all potentially eligible articles. Three authors applied the eligibility criteria, considered the full texts and a final list of included articles was reached through consensus. A fourth reviewer (BS) was available for mediation.

Outcomes

Our primary outcome of interest was the odds ratios (ORs) of the relative prevalence of smokers between ED participants (AN, BN, EDNOS, BED) and HCs. For the aim of this work, both lifetime and current smoking were considered, without any pre-specified threshold in the number of cigarettes or years of smoking. Secondly, we investigated the prevalence of smokers in ED according to type of ED (AN, BN, EDNOS, BED). We chose OR as outcome since the vast majority of studies have a cross-sectional design, making Relative Risk not appropriate.

Data Extraction

Two authors (NV, MS) independently extracted data using a predetermined extraction form, including: years of publication, country, setting, demographic characteristics for people with ED and the control groups (age, number of females, sample size, mean BMI values in each group), and if any matching criteria for age and sex were applied.

Quality assessment

As previously suggested (29) for reporting the methodological quality of observational studies, the quality of the included studies was assessed descriptively through five items, i.e. 1) clear description of participants (eligibility, setting, and methods of participant selection); 2) reporting data on mean duration of disease and diagnostic criteria in people with ED ; 3) clear definition of smoking; 4) reporting data about body mass index (BMI) or other body composition parameters; and 5) use of matching approach between ED patients and controls.

Meta-analysis

Due to the anticipated heterogeneity, we utilized random effects meta-analysis and calculated odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) as the effect size measure with comprehensive meta-analysis (CMA, version 3). The meta-analysis was conducted in the following steps. First, we calculated the OR statistic together with 95%CI to establish the difference in the proportion of smokers between each ED diagnostic group (AN, BN, EDNOS, BED) vs. HCs. Second, we calculated the prevalence of smokers in each ED group. Heterogeneity was assessed with the Cochrane Q and I^2 statistics for each analysis (30). We conducted meta-regression analyses with CMA for outcomes with high heterogeneity ($I^2 \geq 50\%$ and/or $p \leq 0.05$) and reported by at least 4 studies to investigate potential moderators of the results among people with ED compared with HCs, including country, setting, criteria for diagnosis of ED (DSM-IV vs. others), use of matching criteria (yes vs. no), methods for assessing smoking history (structural interview vs. self-reported), sample size, mean age, BMI, percentage of females in the ED and HC sample. Also, differences in mean age, BMI and in percentage of females were tested as potential moderators of the heterogeneity. All analyses were conducted for lifetime smoking and, if available, for current smoking status.

Publication bias was assessed with a visual inspection of funnel plots and with the Begg-Mazumdar Kendall's tau (31) and Egger bias test(32). In order to test for publication bias, we calculated the trim and fill adjusted analysis (33) to remove the most extreme small studies from the positive side of the

funnel plot, and recalculated the effect size at each iteration, until the funnel plot was symmetric about the (new) effect size. Finally, we calculated the fail safe number of negative studies that would be required to nullify (i.e. make $p > 0.05$) each of our comparative analyses(34).

Search results

The search identified 1,804 non-duplicated, potentially eligible studies. After excluding 1,711 papers through title and abstract review, 93 full text articles were examined, leading to the inclusion of 31 eligible studies in this meta-analysis (full details in **Figure 1**)(13,35–63).

Characteristics of included studies and participants

Study and patient characteristics are summarized in **Table S1** (comparative meta-analysis studies) and **Table S2** (prevalence studies (i.e., data from comparative and non-comparative studies)).The 31 studies included 76,852 participants (8,517 ED and 68,335 HCs). Of these, 2,033 participants were diagnosed with AN (mean age: 24.4 ± 6.1 years; mean BMI: 16.8 ± 2.4), 2,620 with BN (mean age: 23.5 ± 5.3 years; mean BMI: 22.9 ± 4.1), 3,528 with BED (mean age: 26.2 ± 5.8 years; mean BMI: 23.4 ± 4.1), and 336 with EDNOS (mean age: 22.6 ± 9.0 years; no studies with BMI data). The mean age of the 68,335 HCs was 26.7 ± 4.5 years with a BMI of 23.0 ± 3.1 Kg/m². As reported in **Tables S1-2**, 13 studies used a structured interview for assessing smoking history, while the other 18 utilized a self-reported questionnaire.

Most studies were conducted in North America (18 studies), followed by 10 studies in Europe, and two in Oceania or Asia. All except six studies included only females and only five used matching criteria between ED and HCs. Full details of the included studies are reported in **Tables S1-2**.

Study reporting quality and risk of bias

Full details of study reporting quality are placed in **Table S3**, demonstrating that the most common sources of bias was the lack of matching between ED and HC and that several studies did not report data about BMI, other body composition parameters, and illness duration.

Meta-analysis of smokers in ED and HCs

As shown in **Table 1**, BN was associated with a significantly higher proportion of life-time smokers than HCs (OR=2.165; 95%CI: 1.642-2.855, $p<0.0001$; $I^2=88.2\%$; studies=10; (35,39,40,48,50,54,55,61,62,64)), without any evidence of publication bias (Begg=-0.13, $p=0.59$, Egger=0.98, $p=0.67$). People with BED were also significantly more likely to be life-time smokers than HC (OR=1.792; 95%CI: 1.228-2.616, $p=0.002$; $I^2=0\%$; studies=4; (40,54,59,60)).

Conversely, in 7 studies(26,27,30,31,34,36,39)there was no evidence that people with AN are more likely to smoke in any period of their life than HC (OR=0.927; 95%CI: 0.650-1.320, $p=0.67$; $I^2=70.4\%$). There was no evidence of publication bias (Egger=-1.69, $p=0.18$, Begg=-0.09, $p=0.76$). Only one comparative study reported smoking status in EDNOS and found no significant difference compared to HCs (35).

The trim and fill adjusted analyses remained unchanged for BN and BED. The trim and fill analysis for comparative studies including AN yielded an adjusted and increased OR of 1.14 (95%CI: 0.79-1.64), but the difference to HCs remained non-significant.

We also compared current smoking prevalence in AN and BN vs. HCs. AN did not differ significantly regarding current smokers compared to HCs (OR=0.783; 95%CI: 0.45-1.34; $p=0.31$; studies=7), while BN had higher proportions of current smokers than HCs (OR=2.32; 95%CI: 1.12-4.78; $p=0.02$; studies=4) (Table 1).

Prevalence of smoking in ED

Table 2 reports the prevalence of life-time and current smokers according to type of ED and other sub-group analyses, including publication bias data. Key findings are briefly summarised below. Among all ED, the highest prevalence of smokers was observed in BED (47.73%, 95%CI: 34.80-60.97%; five studies; (40,54,59,65)), followed by BN (39.41%, 95%CI: 33.23-45.95%; 23 studies (35,37,39-41,44,46-52,54-57,61-64,66,67)), AN (22.45%, 95%CI: 17.07-28.95%; 16 studies (13,35-47,49,67)) and EDNOS (22.17%, 95%CI: 10.51-40.85%; 2 studies (35,49)). We also calculated prevalence of current smokers in AN (21.6%; 95%CI: 15.6-29.1; studies=15), in BN (36%; 95%CI: 28.9-43.8; studies=17) and in EDNOS (14.8%, 95%CI 2.7-51.9, studies=2).

Considering AN, setting, region in which the study was performed, and study type (with or without control group) did not affect the prevalence of life-time or current smokers. Conversely, BN studies conducted in Europe reported a significantly higher prevalence of life-time smokers (45.79%; 95%CI: 35.05-56.93) compared with studies from other continents ($p < 0.001$).

Publication bias was evident for the main analyses in AN, and for studies conducted in North America among AN. After accounting for this issue, the trim and fill procedure suggested that the prevalence of life-time smokers was higher in AN in general (30.8%, 95%CI: 24.6-37.8%, 6 studies trimmed) as well as in the subgroup of North American studies (29.1%; 95%CI: 21.4-38.3%, 5 studies trimmed).

Meta-regression of moderators of smokers in ED

Meta-regression analyses for the prevalence of smokers in AN identified that a higher prevalence of smokers was moderated by a higher mean age of participants (life-time smokers: $\beta = 0.06$; 95%CI: 0.01 to 0.11, $p = 0.02$, $R^2 = 0.38$; current smokers: $\beta = 0.33$; 95%CI: 0.08 to 0.59, $p = 0.01$, $R^2 = 0.66$) and a higher mean BMI (life-time smokers: $\beta = 0.33$; 95%CI: 0.00 to 0.63, $p = 0.03$, $R^2 = 0.10$).

Regarding BN participants, the prevalence of life-time or current smokers was not moderated by either higher mean age, or mean BMI. However, a higher proportion of females was

significantly associated with a higher prevalence of life-time smokers with BN ($\beta=0.05$; 95%CI: 0.02 to 0.09, $p=0.005$, $R^2=0.27$).

Discussion

The current meta-analysis of 31 studies demonstrated that people with BED and even more so with BN, but not those with AN, were significantly more likely to have a lifetime history of smoking than HCs. Odds ratios indicated that BN and BED were around twice as likely to smoke as HCs. Meta-analysis of prevalence rates demonstrated that almost half of BED smoked, and almost 40% of the BN patients and 30% of people with AN smoked. Meta-regression analyses identified that higher mean age and BMI moderate life-time smoking rates in AN, with higher age moderating also current smoking in people with AN, whereas a higher percentage of females moderated the prevalence of life-time smokers in BN.

The high prevalence of smoking among BN could be due to the fact that smoking might be considered a compensatory behavior, since nicotine suppresses appetite, stimulates metabolism, and might control weight or modulate eating (19,68,69). Moreover smoking could be linked to both impulsive and compulsive personality traits and behaviors, which are known to be part of the relational and behavioral pattern in BN(70). Furthermore, smoking is associated with higher use of marijuana and alcohol(71), and different substance use is related in turn to increased incidence of attempted suicide, stealing, and sexual intercourse(42). Hence, much attention should be paid to the high smoking prevalence in patients with BN. However, among all ED, BED seem to have the highest presence of smokers. This is a concern, since these people are at increased risk of cardiovascular diseases due to higher presence of obesity(72), diabetes(73) and hypercholesterolemia(74). Hence, routine smoking assessment and smoking cessation treatment is warranted for those with BED and BN.

Conversely, our results suggest that AN is not associated with higher smoking frequencies than in HCs. Patients with AN likely pay more attention to their ability to exercise and focus more on details (75), which may lead them to contemplate the health consequences of smoking more than other EDs. However, as suggested by our meta-regression analysis, it should be noted that in AN higher BMI moderated higher prevalence of smokers, suggesting that also in this ED, tobacco smoking might be being used as compensatory behavior to lose weight. Unfortunately, among AN, uptake of outpatient services related to concerns about their health are poor, which would be an opportunity to explain the potential risks associated with cigarette use and initiate smoking cessation interventions (76). However, there is evidence that smoking cessation may exacerbate AN symptoms, and eating behavior should be carefully monitored in patients with lifetime AN who are attempting to quit smoking (77). Finally, the lower smoking prevalence in AN could also be due to a reporting bias in that patients with AN may be more likely to underreport unhealthy behaviors, similarly as for other self-reported information (78). Further studies should clarify smoking frequency in AN compared with HCs.

Considering the high prevalence of tobacco use in ED, in particular in patients with BN and BED, and in light of previous evidence suggesting that smoking is associated with alcohol abuse, poorer physical quality of life, increased risk of metabolic syndrome and cardiovascular risk factors, alongside with depressive symptoms in BED (79,80); clinicians should ask people with ED about their smoking status, and provide appropriate counseling and interventions (81).

Whilst our meta-analysis is the first to comprehensively assess smoking prevalence's in people with different kind of EDs, the findings should be considered within the study's limitations. First, we were not able to meta-analyze more detailed quantitative data (e.g. number of cigarettes, duration of smoking etc.) about smoking in patients with ED. Such data would have been relevant since several medical conditions (like cancer) show a dose-response with the number of cigarettes smoked. Further, nor did we have data on current smoking rates, especially not confirmed with

biochemical measures, such as expired carbon monoxide or cotinine. Second, we were not able to distinguish restrictive AN (RAN) from binge-purge AN (BPAN). This is an important limitation, since from a clinical and impulsivity-related perspective patients with BPAN are closer to BN than RAN, Therefore, smoking status in these two subgroups should be compared in future studies. Third, most of the meta-analyzed studies included females, and it remains unclear if the same results are evident in males with ED. However, our meta-regression analysis suggests that being female was at least related to a higher prevalence of smokers in BN. Further studies including males in ED are warranted to better clarify a potential effect of sex. Fourth, in several studies BMI or other relevant anthropometric measures were not reported. The effect of smoking on adiposity in ED remains to be confirmed with better designed studies. Finally, another potential bias could be due to the lack of matching between ED and HCs in most studies. On the other hand, notable strengths of this meta-analysis, besides it being the first on this important topic, are: (a) the large number of included studies (n=31) and (b) the large sample size (over 70,000 participants).

In conclusion, smoking is highly prevalent in ED, in particular among people with BED and BN. Further, high-quality studies are needed to assess the time course of ED and smoking, the impact of smoking on the onset and development of medical complications in eating disorders, and, most importantly, the effectiveness of interventions aimed at promoting smoking cessation in people with ED.

Acknowledgements/Disclosure of Conflicts

Funding: none.

Financial disclosure:

Dr. Correll has been a consultant and/or advisor to or has received honoraria from: Alkermes, Forum, Gerson Lehrman Group, IntraCellular Therapies, Janssen/J&J, Lundbeck, Medavante,

Medscape, Otsuka, Pfizer, ProPhase, Sunovion, Supernus, Takeda, and Teva. He has provided expert testimony for Bristol-Myers Squibb, Janssen, and Otsuka. He served on a Data Safety Monitoring Board for Lundbeck and Pfizer. He received grant support from Takeda.

The other authors have nothing to disclose.

Conflict of interest: the authors declare no conflict of interest for this manuscript.

References

1. Kollins SH. A qualitative review of issues arising in the use of psycho-stimulant medications in patients with ADHD and co-morbid substance use disorders. *Curr Med Res Opin* [Internet]. 2008 Apr [cited 2015 Oct 1];24(5):1345–57. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18384709>
2. Fornaro M, Perugi G, Gabrielli F, Prestia D, Mattei C, Vinciguerra V, et al. Lifetime co-morbidity with different subtypes of eating disorders in 148 females with bipolar disorders. *J Affect Disord* [Internet]. 2010 Feb [cited 2015 Dec 18];121(1-2):147–51. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19559486>
3. Holderness CC, Brooks-Gunn J, Warren MP. Co-morbidity of eating disorders and substance abuse review of the literature. *Int J Eat Disord* [Internet]. 1994 Jul [cited 2015 Oct 2];16(1):1–34. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7920577>
4. Courbasson CM, Nishikawa Y, Shapira LB. Mindfulness-Action Based Cognitive Behavioral Therapy for concurrent Binge Eating Disorder and Substance Use Disorders. *Eat Disord* [Internet]. Jan [cited 2016 Jan 30];19(1):17–33. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21181577>
5. Lasser K, Boyd JW, Woolhandler S, Himmelstein DU, McCormick D, Bor DH. Smoking and mental illness: A population-based prevalence study. *JAMA* [Internet]. Jan [cited 2016 Mar 10];284(20):2606–10. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11086367>
6. U.S. Public Health Service. A clinical practice guideline for treating tobacco use and dependence: 2008 update. A U.S. Public Health Service report. *Am J Prev Med* [Internet]. 2008 Aug [cited 2015 Aug 14];35(2):158–76. Available from: <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=4465757&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>
7. Crisp a, Sedgwick P, Halek C, Joughin N, Humphrey H. Why may teenage girls persist in smoking? *J Adolesc* [Internet]. 1999;22:657–72. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10527537>
8. Solmi M, Veronese N, Manzato E, Sergi G, Favaro A, Santonastaso P, et al. Oxidative stress and antioxidant levels in patients with anorexia nervosa: A systematic review and exploratory meta-analysis. *Int J Eat Disord* [Internet]. 2015 Aug 27 [cited 2015 Sep 25]; Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26311090>
9. Solmi M, Veronese N, Favaro A, Santonastaso P, Manzato E, Sergi G, et al. Inflammatory cytokines and anorexia nervosa: A meta-analysis of cross-sectional and longitudinal studies. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* [Internet]. 2015 Jan [cited 2015 Sep 25];51:237–52. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25462897>

10. Veronese N, Solmi M, Rizza W, Manzato E, Sergi G, Santonastaso P, et al. Vitamin D status in anorexia nervosa: A meta-analysis. *Int J Eat Disord* [Internet]. 2014 Nov 29 [cited 2015 Sep 25]; Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25445242>
11. Brewster DH, Nowell SL, Clark DN. Risk of oesophageal cancer among patients previously hospitalised with eating disorder. *Cancer Epidemiol* [Internet]. 2015 Jun [cited 2015 Sep 14];39(3):313–20. Available from: <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=4464101&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>
12. Enzinger PC, Mayer RJ. Esophageal cancer. *N Engl J Med* [Internet]. 2003 Dec 4 [cited 2016 Jan 4];349(23):2241–52. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14657432>
13. Coxson HO, Chan IHT, Mayo JR, Hlynsky J, Nakano Y, Birmingham CL. Early emphysema in patients with anorexia nervosa. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* [Internet]. 2004 Oct 1 [cited 2015 Oct 1];170(7):748–52. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15256394>
14. Karamanis G, Skalkidou A, Tsakonas G, Brandt L, Ekblom A, Ekselius L, et al. Cancer incidence and mortality patterns in women with anorexia nervosa. *Int J Cancer* [Internet]. 2014 Apr 1 [cited 2015 Oct 1];134(7):1751–7. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24114497>
15. Leehr EJ, Krohmer K, Schag K, Dresler T, Zipfel S, Giel KE. Emotion regulation model in binge eating disorder and obesity--a systematic review. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* [Internet]. 2015 Feb [cited 2015 Dec 22];49:125–34. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25530255>
16. DE Hert M, Correll CU, Bobes J, Cetkovich-Bakmas M, Cohen D, Asai I, et al. Physical illness in patients with severe mental disorders. I. Prevalence, impact of medications and disparities in health care. *World Psychiatry* [Internet]. 2011 Mar [cited 2016 Jan 30];10(1):52–77. Available from: <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3048500&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>
17. Fairweather-Schmidt K, Wade TD. The relationship between disordered eating and cigarette smoking among adult female twins. *Int J Eat Disord* [Internet]. 2015;48(6):708–14. Available from: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/eat.22363>
18. Jo Y-H, Talmage DA, Role LW. Nicotinic receptor-mediated effects on appetite and food intake. *J Neurobiol* [Internet]. 2002 Dec [cited 2016 Apr 25];53(4):618–32. Available from: <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2367209&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>
19. Welch SL, Fairburn CG. Smoking and Bulimia Nervosa. *Int J Eat Disord* [Internet]. 1998 May;23(4):433-7.;
20. Chiolero A, Faeh D, Paccaud F, Cornuz J. Consequences of smoking for body weight, body fat distribution, and insulin resistance. *Am J Clin Nutr* [Internet]. 2008 Apr 1 [cited 2016 Jan 30];87(4):801–9. Available from: <http://ajcn.nutrition.org/content/87/4/801.full>
21. French SA, Perry CL, Leon GR, Fulkerson JA. Weight concerns, dieting behavior, and smoking initiation among adolescents: a prospective study. *Am J Public Health* [Internet]. 1994 Nov [cited 2015 Oct 6];84(11):1818–20. Available from: <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=1615224&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>
22. Pirie PL, Murray DM, Luepker R V. Gender differences in cigarette smoking and quitting in a

- cohort of young adults. *Am J Public Health* [Internet]. 1991 Mar [cited 2015 Oct 6];81(3):324–7. Available from:
<http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=1405015&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>
23. Filozof C, Fernández Pinilla MC, Fernández-Cruz A. Smoking cessation and weight gain. *Obes Rev* [Internet]. 2004 May [cited 2016 Jan 30];5(2):95–103. Available from:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15086863>
 24. Perkins KA. Weight gain following smoking cessation. *J Consult Clin Psychol* [Internet]. 1993 Oct [cited 2015 Oct 2];61(5):768–77. Available from:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8245274>
 25. Aubin H-J, Farley A, Lycett D, Lahmek P, Aveyard P. Weight gain in smokers after quitting cigarettes: meta-analysis. *BMJ* [Internet]. 2012 Jul 10 [cited 2015 Oct 5];345(jul10 2):e4439–e4439. Available from: <http://www.bmj.com/content/345/bmj.e4439>
 26. Stroup DF, Berlin J a, Morton SC, Olkin I, Williamson GD, Rennie D, et al. Meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology: a proposal for reporting. Meta-analysis Of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) group. *JAMA* [Internet]. 2000;283:2008–12. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10789670>
 27. Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, Mulrow C, Gøtzsche PC, Ioannidis JPA, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration. *PLoS Med* [Internet]. 2009;6:e1000100. Available from:
<http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2707010&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>
 28. Graham NA, Frost-Pineda K, Gold MS. Tobacco and psychiatric dual disorders. *J Addict Dis* [Internet]. 2007 Jan [cited 2016 Jan 10];26 Suppl 1:5–12. Available from:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19283970>
 29. Sanderson S, Tatt ID, Higgins JPT. Tools for assessing quality and susceptibility to bias in observational studies in epidemiology: A systematic review and annotated bibliography. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2007;36:666–76.
 30. Higgins JPT, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ Br Med J*. 2003;327:557–60.
 31. Begg CB, Mazumdar M. Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication bias. *Biometrics*. 1994;50:1088–101.
 32. Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ*. 1997;315:629–34.
 33. Duval S, Tweedie R. Trim and fill: A simple funnel-plot-based method of testing and adjusting for publication bias in meta-analysis. *Biometrics* [Internet]. 2000;56:455–63. Available from:
<http://eutils.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/eutils/eflink.fcgi?dbfrom=pubmed&id=10877304&retmode=ref&cmd=prlinks\npapers2://publication/uuid/03187D6E-D3FD-46C3-92FE-8D76273619E6>
 34. Rosenthal R. The file drawer problem and tolerance for null results. *Psychol Bull*. 1979;86:638–41.
 35. KRUG I, TREASURE J, ANDERLUH M, BELLODI L, CELLINI E, DIBERNARDO M, et al. Present and lifetime comorbidity of tobacco, alcohol and drug use in eating disorders: A European multicenter study. *Drug Alcohol Depend* [Internet]. 2008;97(1-2):169–79. Available from:

<http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0376871608001658>

36. Fedoroff IC, Stoner S a., Andersen AE, Doty RL, Rolls BJ. Olfactory dysfunction in anorexia and bulimia nervosa. *Int J Eat Disord* [Internet]. 1995 Jul;18(1):71–7. Available from: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/1098-108X%28199507%2918%3A1%3C71%3A%3AAID-EAT2260180108%3E3.0.CO%3B2-5>
37. Haug NA, Heinberg LJ, Guarda AS. Cigarette smoking and its relationship to other substance use among eating disordered inpatients. *Eat Weight Disord* [Internet]. 2001;6(3):130-9.
38. Birmingham CL, Stigant C, Goldner EM. Chest Pain in Anorexia Nervosa. 1997;3–6.
39. Anzengruber D, Klump KL, Thornton L, Brandt H, Crawford S, Fichter MM, et al. Smoking in eating disorders. *Eat Behav*. 2006;7:291–9.
40. Root TL, Ph D, Pisetsky EM, Thornton L, Lichtenstein P, Pedersen NL, et al. Patterns of comorbidity of eating disorders and substance use in Swedish Females. 2011;40(1):105–15.
41. George A, Waller G. Motivators for smoking in women with eating disorders. *Eur Eat Disord Rev* [Internet]. 2005;13(6):417–23. Available from: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/erv.623>
42. Wiederman MW, Pryor T. Substance use among women with eating disorders. *Int J Eat Disord* [Internet]. 1996 Sep;20(2):163–8. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8863068>
43. Ehrlich S, Walton E, Roffman JL, Weiss D, Puis I, Doehler N, et al. Smoking, but not malnutrition, influences promoter-specific DNA methylation of the proopiomelanocortin gene in patients with and without anorexia nervosa. [Internet]. *The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry / La Revue canadienne de psychiatrie*. 2012. p. 168–76. Available from: <http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE=reference&D=psyc9&NEWS=N&AN=2012-10627-006>
44. Bulik CM, Sullivan PF, Epstein LH, McKee M, Kaye WH, Dahl RE, et al. Drug use in women with anorexia and bulimia nervosa. *Int J Eat Disord* [Internet]. 1992;11(3):213–25. Available from: [http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/1098-108X\(199204\)11:3<213::AID-EAT2260110304>3.0.CO;2-6](http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/1098-108X(199204)11:3<213::AID-EAT2260110304>3.0.CO;2-6)
45. Halmi K a, Eckert E, Marchi P, Sampugnaro V, Apple R, Cohen J. Comorbidity of psychiatric diagnoses in anorexia nervosa. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 1991;48:712–8.
46. Wiederman W. BRIEF REPORT SUBSTANCE USE AND IMPULSIVE BEHAVIORS AMONG ADOLESCENTS WITH EATING DISORDERS. *Addict Behav*. 1996;21(2):269-72.
47. Corte C, Stein KF. Eating disorders and substance use. An examination of behavioral associations. *Eat Behav*. 2000;1(2):173–89.
48. Wiseman C V, Turco RM, Sunday SR, Halmi KA. Smoking and Body Image Concerns in Adolescent Girls. *Int J Eat Disord*. 1998;24:429–33.
49. Mann AP, Accurso EC, Stiles-Shields C, Capra L, Labuschagne Z, Karnik NS, et al. Factors Associated With Substance Use in Adolescents With Eating Disorders. *J Adolesc Heal* [Internet]. Elsevier Ltd; 2014;55(2):182–7. Available from: <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1054139X14000603>
50. Welch SL, Fairburn CG. Smoking and bulimia nervosa. *Int J Eat Disord* [Internet]. 1998 May [cited 2015 Oct 2];23(4):433–7. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9561434>
51. Gendall KA, Bulik CM, Sullivan PF, Joyce PR, McIntosh V V, Carter FA. Body weight in bulimia nervosa. *Eat Weight Disord*. 1999;4(4):157–64.

52. Sandager N, Peterson CB, Allen S, Henderson KE, Crow S, Thuras P. Tobacco use and comorbidity in bulimia nervosa. *Int J Eat Disord* [Internet]. 2008 Dec [cited 2015 Oct 1];41(8):734–8. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18570194>
53. Duncan AE, Neuman RJ, Kramer J, Kuperman S, Hesselbrock V, Reich T, et al. Are there subgroups of bulimia nervosa based on comorbid psychiatric disorders? *Int J Eat Disord*. 2005;37:19–25.
54. Ross HE, Ivis F. Binge eating and substance use among male and female adolescents. *Int J Eat Disord* [Internet]. 1999;26(3):245–60. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10441240>
55. Gonçalves SF, Machado BC, Martins C, Brandão I, Roma Torres A, Machado P. Dysregulated behaviours in bulimia nervosa-A case-control study. *Clin Psychol* [Internet]. 2014;18(2):55–62. Available from: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/cp.12013>
56. Fischer S, Grange D. Comorbidity and High-Risk Behaviors in Treatment-Seeking Adolescents with Bulimia Nervosa. *Int J Eat Disord*. 2007;40(8):751–3..
57. Gendall KA, Bulik CM, Joyce PR, Mcintosh V V, Carter FA. Menstrual cycle irregularity in bulimia nervosa Associated factors and changes with treatment. *J Psychosom Res*. 2000;49(6):409-15.
58. White MA, Grilo CM, Van den Eynde F, Giampietro V, Simmons A, Uher R, et al. Brain responses to body image stimuli but not food are altered in women with bulimia nervosa. *BMC Psychiatry* [Internet]. 2013;13:302. Available from: <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=4225677&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>
59. Knoph Berg C, Torgersen L, Von Holle A, Hamer RM, Bulik CM, Reichborn-Kjennerud T. Factors associated with binge eating disorder in pregnancy. *Int J Eat Disord*. 2011;44(2):124–33.
60. Reichborn-Kjennerud T, Bulik CM, Sullivan PF, Tambs K, Harris JR. Psychiatric and medical symptoms in binge eating in the absence of compensatory behaviors. *Obes Res* [Internet]. 2004;12(9):1445–54. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15483209>
61. Suzuki K, Takeda A, Matsushita S. Coprevalence of bulimia with alcohol abuse and smoking among Japanese male and female high school students. *Addiction* [Internet]. 1995 Jul;90(7):971–5. Available from: <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.1360-0443.1995.tb03506.x>
62. Jäger B, Liedtke R, Künsebeck HW, Seide L, Lempa W. Everyday addictive behavior of bulimic women. *Psychother Psychosom* [Internet]. 1991 Jan [cited 2016 Jan 9];56(1-2):64–9. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/1891583>
63. Crow SJ, Keel PK, Thuras P, Mitchell JE. Bulimia symptoms and other risk behaviors during pregnancy in women with bulimia nervosa. *Int J Eat Disord*. 2004;36(2):220–3.
64. Van den Eynde F, Giampietro V, Simmons A, Uher R, Andrew CM, Harvey P-O, et al. Brain responses to body image stimuli but not food are altered in women with bulimia nervosa. *BMC Psychiatry* [Internet]. 2013 Jan [cited 2016 Jan 9];13:302. Available from: <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=4225677&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>
65. Ashar FN, Moes A, Moore AZ, Grove ML, Chaves PHM, Coresh J, et al. Association of mitochondrial DNA levels with frailty and all-cause mortality. *J Mol Med (Berl)* [Internet]. 2015 Feb [cited 2015 Sep 27];93(2):177–86. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25471480>

66. Duncan AE, Neuman RJ, Kramer JR, Kuperman S, Hesselbrock VM, Bucholz KK. Lifetime psychiatric comorbidity of alcohol dependence and bulimia nervosa in women. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2006;84:122–32.
67. Wiederman MW, Pryor T. Substance use and impulsive behaviors among adolescents with eating disorders. *Addict Behav* [Internet]. Jan [cited 2015 Oct 1];21(2):269–72. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8730530>
68. Bulik CM, Brinded EC. The effect of food deprivation on the reinforcing value of food and smoking in bulimic and control women. *Physiol Behav* [Internet]. 1994 Apr;55(4):665–72. Available from: <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/0031938494900426>
69. White M a. Smoking for weight control and its associations with eating disorder symptomatology. *Compr Psychiatry* [Internet]. Elsevier Inc.; 2012 May [cited 2015 Oct 1];53(4):403–7. Available from: <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3193869&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>
70. Pearson CM, Wonderlich SA, Smith GT. A risk and maintenance model for bulimia nervosa: From impulsive action to compulsive behavior. *Psychological Review*. 2015. p. 516–35.
71. Hammond CJ, Pilver CE, Ruge L, Steinberg MA, Mayes LC, Malison RT, et al. An exploratory examination of marijuana use, problem-gambling severity, and health correlates among adolescents. *J Behav Addict* [Internet]. 2014 Jun [cited 2015 Oct 1];3(2):90–101. Available from: <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=4117289&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>
72. de Zwaan M. Binge eating disorder and obesity. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* [Internet]. 2001 May [cited 2015 Nov 4];25 Suppl 1:S51–5. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11466589>
73. Yanovski SZ. Binge eating disorder and obesity in 2003: could treating an eating disorder have a positive effect on the obesity epidemic? *Int J Eat Disord* [Internet]. 2003 Jan [cited 2016 Jan 10];34 Suppl:S117–20. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12900992>
74. Mitchell JE, King WC, Pories W, Wolfe B, Flum DR, Spaniolas K, et al. Binge eating disorder and medical comorbidities in bariatric surgery candidates. *Int J Eat Disord* [Internet]. 2015 Jul 16 [cited 2016 Jan 10];48(5):471–6. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25778499>
75. Roberts ME, Tchanturia K, Treasure JL. Is attention to detail a similarly strong candidate endophenotype for anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa? *World J Biol Psychiatry* [Internet]. 2013 Aug [cited 2016 Jan 14];14(6):452–63. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22263673>
76. Tabri N, Murray HB, Thomas JJ, Franko DL, Herzog DB, Eddy KT. Overvaluation of body shape/weight and engagement in non-compensatory weight-control behaviors in eating disorders: is there a reciprocal relationship? *Psychol Med* [Internet]. 2015 Oct [cited 2015 Oct 1];45(14):2951–8. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25994276>
77. Simioni N, Cottencin O. Resurgence of anorexic symptoms during smoking cessation in patients with a history of anorexia nervosa: An unseen problem?-Report of two cases. *Int J Eat Disord* [Internet]. 2015 Sep [cited 2015 Oct 6];48(6):798–801. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26016609>
78. Goss K, Allan S. Shame, pride and eating disorders. *Clin Psychol Psychother* [Internet].

2009;16:303–16. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19639646>

79. Udo T, White MA, Barnes RD, Ivezaj V, Morgan P, Masheb RM, et al. Psychosocial and metabolic function by smoking status in individuals with binge eating disorder and obesity. *Addict Behav* [Internet]. 2016 Feb [cited 2016 Apr 11];53:46–52. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26451703>

80. Chao AM, White MA, Grilo CM. Smoking status and psychosocial factors in binge eating disorder and bulimia nervosa. *Eat Behav* [Internet]. 2015 Dec 19 [cited 2016 Mar 16];21:54–8. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26741260>

81. Mitchell AJ, Vancampfort D, De Hert M, Stubbs B. Do people with mental illness receive adequate smoking cessation advice? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry* [Internet]. Jan [cited 2015 Aug 14];37(1):14–23. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25510845>

Accepted Article

Table 1: Meta-analysis of smoking rates in patients with eating disorders versus controls


Analysis	Number of studies	Number participants			Meta-analysis			Heterogeneity	Publication bias	
		ED	HC	OR	95% CI	P value	I ²	Egger bias & p value	Trim and fill (95% CI) [NR studies trimmed]	
Life-time Smokers										
<i>Anorexia Nervosa</i>	7	1617	1350	0.93	0.65	1.32	0.674	70.4	-1.69, p=0.18	1.14 (0.79 to 1.64) [2]
<i>Bulimia Nervosa</i>	10	149	139	2.16	1.64	2.85	<0.0001	88.2	0.98, p=0.67	Unchanged
<i>BED</i>	4	3425	4313	1.79	1.23	2.62	0.002	0	0.90, p=0.31	Unchanged
Current Smokers										
<i>Anorexia Nervosa</i>	7	578	2131	0.78	0.45	1.34	0.31	83.3	-1.02, p=0.27	Unchanged
<i>Bulimia Nervosa</i>	4	322	592	2.32	1.12	4.78	0.02	61.3	0.67, p=0.71	Unchanged

Key: SMD = standardised mean difference, CI = confidence interval, EDNOS= eating disorder not otherwise specified, BED= binge eating disorder

Table 2.Life-time and current prevalence of smokers in each eating disorder subcategory

Analysis	Number of studies	Number participants	Meta-analysis		Heterogeneity	Publication bias		
			ED	Prevalence		95% CI	I^2	Egger bias & p value
LIFE-TIME SMOKERS								
<i>Anorexia Nervosa</i>	16	2033	22.45	17.0 7	28.9 5	84.9	-2.8, p<0.05	30.8 (24.6 to 37.8) [6]
<i>Bulimia Nervosa</i>	23	2620	39.41	33.2 3	45.9 5	89.2	-1.6, p=0.27	unchanged
EDNOS	2	336	22.17	10.5 1	40.8 5	97.5	N/A	N/A
<i>BED</i>	5	3528	47.73	34.8 0	60.9 7	96.9	-5.6, p=0.26	56.2 (44.2 to 67.6) [2]
CURRENT SMOKERS								
<i>Anorexia Nervosa</i>	15	1168	21.6	15.6	29.1	89.7	-1.1, p=0.45	Unchanged
<i>Bulimia Nervosa</i>	17	1557	36.04	28.9	43.8	86.6	0.78, p=0.61	Unchanged
EDNOS	2	304	14.8	2.7	51.9	92.7	N/A	N/A

Figure 1. PRISMA flow-chart



Key: ED= Eating Disorders