STXBP1 promotes Weibel-Palade body exocytosis through its interaction with the Rab27A effector Slp4-a
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Vascular endothelial cells contain unique rod-shaped secretory organelles, called Weibel-Palade bodies (WPBs), which contain the hemostatic protein von Willebrand factor (VWF) and a cocktail of angiogenic and inflammatory mediators. We have shown that the Rab27A effector synaptotagmin-like protein 4-a (Slp4-a) plays a critical role in regulating hormone-evoked WPB exocytosis. Using a nonbiased proteomic screen for targets for Slp4-a, we now identify syntaxin-binding protein 1 (STXBP1) and syntaxin-2 and -3 as endogenous Slp4-a binding partners in endothelial cells. Coimmunoprecipitations showed that STXBP1 interacts with syntaxin-2 and -3, but not with syntaxin-4. Small interfering RNA-mediated silencing of STXBP1 expression impaired histamine- and forskolin-induced VWF secretion. To further substantiate the role of STXBP1, we isolated blood outgrowth endothelial cells (BOECs) from an early infantile epileptic encephalopathy type 4 (EIEE4) patient carrying a de novo mutation in STXB1. STXB1-haploinsufficient EIEE4 BOECs contained similar numbers of morphologically normal WPBs compared with control BOECs of healthy donors; however, EIEE4 BOECs displayed significantly impaired histamine- and forskolin-stimulated VWF secretion. Based on these findings, we propose that the Rab27A–Slp4-a complex on WPB promotes exocytosis through an interaction with STXB1, thereby controlling the release of vasoactive substances in the vasculature. (Blood. 2014;123(20):3185-3194)

Introduction

Endothelial cells line the lumen of all blood vessels, providing a highly dynamic barrier that plays a crucial role in maintaining vascular homeostasis. They contain specialized secretory organelles called Weibel-Palade bodies (WPBs) that allow the endothelium to store and release, in a regulated fashion, a presynthesized cocktail of angiogenic and inflammatory mediators. Several soluble chemokines (eg, interleukin-6 [IL-6], IL-8) as well as the integral membrane proteins CD63 and P-selectin are stored in these organelles.4-9 Coordinated expression of CD63 and P-selectin on the endothelial cell surface after WPB exocytosis is crucial for leukocyte extravasation at sites of inflammation.10 The presence of angiopoietin-2 and insulin-like growth factor-binding protein 7 in WPBs points toward a critical role for the organelle in regulation of angiogenesis.11-13

The precise composition of mediators stored in WPBs depends crucially on the physical, mechanical, and chemical signals in the local microenvironment; for example, targeting of eotaxin-3, IL-8, and IL-6 has been observed in response to pro-inflammatory mediators such as IL-1β or IL-4,5,7,14,15 whereas the inclusion of angiopoietin-2 is modulated under conditions that mimic shear stress.16

Exocytosis of WPBs is triggered by a wide range of physiological secretagogues that trigger Ca2+- and cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP)-dependent signaling pathways, such as histamine and thrombin or vasopressin and epinephrine, respectively.3,17-20 Several key regulators of WPB exocytosis have been identified, including the small guanosine triphosphates (GTPases) RalA, Rab3A, Rab3D, and Rab27A.21-25 Rab27A plays a particularly crucial role in the
regulating WPB exocytosis through its ability to recruit multiple effector molecules, including myosin Va-Rab interacting protein (MyRIP), synaptotagmin-like protein 4-a (Slp4-a), and Munc13-4. MyRIP-dependent recruitment of the actin motor protein myosin Va to the WPB is proposed to link the organelle to the actin cytoskeleton, preventing access to and fusion with the plasma membrane. In contrast, both Slp4-a and Munc13-4 promote WPB exocytosis, although the mechanism remains unclear.

To investigate the mechanism by which Slp4-a regulates WPB exocytosis, we used an unbiased mass spectrometry approach aimed at identifying endogenous Slp4-a interactors in human endothelial cells. Using this screen, we identified syntaxin binding protein 1 (STXBP1, also known as Munc18-1) and syntaxin-2 and -3 as potential effectors of Slp4-a. RNA interference–mediated depletion of STXBP1 significantly impaired WPB release. Loss-of-function mutations in STXBP1 have been shown to be the cause of early infantile epileptic encephalopathy type 4 (EIEE4), a severe epileptic disorder. Blood outgrowth endothelial cells (BOECs), isolated from peripheral blood of a patient with EIEE4 carrying a de novo mutation in STXB1, displayed impaired stimulated WPB release, providing further support for a role for STXBP1 in regulating VWF secretion. Our findings provide a link between the Rab27A–Slp4-a complex on WPBs and a regulatory component of the Soluble NSF Attachment Protein REceptor (SNARE) complex, which controls the release of vaso-active substances in the vasculature.

Methods

Antibodies and reagents

Histamine, forskolin, 3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine (IBMX), and ionomycin were from Sigma-Aldrich (Steinheim, Germany). Glutathione-Sepharose 4B was from GE Healthcare Europe GmbH (Diegem, Belgium). Fetal calf serum (FCS) was from Biochrom (Berlin, Germany). Trypsin, Fura-2/AM, and Alexa 488-, Alexa 568-, and Alexa 633-conjugated secondary antibodies were from Invitrogen (Breda, The Netherlands). Primary antibodies used in this study are shown in supplemental Table 1 on the Blood Web site. DNA constructs are described in the supplemental data.

Cell culture, BOEC isolation, and secretion assay

Primary human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) were obtained from Promocell (Heidelberg, Germany) and were grown in EGM-2 medium (Lonza, Basel, Switzerland) supplemented with 18% FCS (referred to henceforth as EGM-18) or as described. HUVECs were obtained from ATCC (Wessell, Germany) and were grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium containing n-glucose, n-glutamine, and pyruvate (Life Technologies, Bleiswijk, The Netherlands) supplemented with 10% FCS, 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 nM/mL streptomycin. BOECs were isolated as previously described. Twelve milliliters of venous blood was drawn from 1 individual with EIEE4 and a de novo STXB1 mutation (patient 4 in Deprez et al) after the patient’s parents signed an informed consent form for participation. The study was approved by the Sanquin Ethical Advisory Board and the Commission for Medical Ethics of the University of Antwerp. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Cells were stimulated with serum-free (SF) medium or SF medium containing 100 nM histamine or 10 mM forskolin supplemented with 100 nM IBMX. VWF secretion was assayed by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) as described previously. VWF antigen levels in patient plasma were determined using ELISA.

RNA interference

Downregulation of endogenous expression of STXB1 was performed essentially as described. Pools of 4 small interfering RNA (siRNA) oligo duplexes (ON-TARGETplus SMARTpool; Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL) were used to deplete HUVEC of STXB1 (#L019571). A pool of 4 non-targeting siRNAs (ON-TARGETplus nontargeting pool, #D001810) was used as a control. Oligo sequences are given in supplemental Table 2. Depletion of STXB1 was assessed by immunoblot. Band intensities of immunoblots were analyzed using ImageJ (http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/) to determine STXB1 knockdown efficiency.

Lentiviral transduction of endothelial cells. Lentivirus encoding monomeric enhanced green fluorescent protein (mEGFP) (LVX-mEGFP-LIC) or mEGFP-STXB1 (LVX-mEGFP-STXB1) was produced in HEK293T cells grown in a collagen matrix. LVX-mEGFP-LIC or LVX-mEGFP-STXB1 together with VSV-G, REV, and MDL helper plasmids were transfected using TransIT-LT1 transfection reagent (Mirus Bio LCC, Madison, WI) essentially according to the manufacturer’s recommendation. After overnight incubation, the medium was exchanged for EGM-18. Endothelial cells were transduced by 2 subsequent overnight incubations with 0.45-μm filtered EGM-18 culture supernatants of virus-producing HEK293T cells.

Immunoprecipitation

HUVECs were lysed in NP40 lysis buffer (1% NP40, 10% glycerol, 137 mM NaCl, 25 mM N-2-hydroxyethylpiperazine-N’-2-ethanesulfonic acid, pH 7.4, and protease/phosphate inhibitors), supplemented with 5 mM MgCl2 and 5 mM CaCl2 (STXBP1 and syntaxin-3 immunoprecipitations) or 10 mM EDTA (GFP immunoprecipitation). Total cell lysates were centrifuged for 10 minutes at 4°C at 20 000g. A total of 50 μL magnetic Protein G Dynabeads was coupled with μg mouse anti-STXBP1 immunoglobulin G (IgG)/rabbit anti-syntaxin-3 IgG/sheep anti-GFP IgG or control mouse/rabbit/sheep IgG (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) and were subsequently crosslinked using BS3 according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Supernatants were incubated with antibody-coupled beads for 2 hours at 4°C and were washed 4 times with 1% NP40 lysis buffer. Immunoprecipitates and lysates were separated on precast 4% to 12% NuPAGE gels (Invitrogen) and assessed by immunoblot with primary antibodies (supplemental Table 1), followed by the appropriate infrared (IR) dye-coupled donkey secondary antibodies (LI-COR Biosciences, Cambridge, United Kingdom). Membranes were scanned with the LI-COR Odyssey Infrared Imaging system.

Fluorescence microscopy

Immunostaining and fluorescence imaging of fixed cells was performed as previously described. Immuno stained cells were mounted in MOWIOL or Fluorosave mounting medium (Calbiochem, Nottingham, United Kingdom) and images were acquired by confocal microscopy using a Zeiss LSM 510 (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany) or a Leica SP1 (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany). Ionomycin-induced exocytosis of WPBs containing Proregion-EGFP (which essentially is VWF propeptide with a carboxy-terminal–fused EGFP tag) or EGFP-Slp4-a full length (FL) or EGFP-Slp4-a-synaptotagmin-like protein homology domain (SHD) expressing WPBs was determined as previously described. The probability of release (P) was determined as the mean percentage of degranulation of fluorescent WPBs after ionomycin stimulation.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was by 1-way analysis of variance and Bonferroni posttest analysis using GraphPad Prism 5.04 (GraphPad, La Jolla, CA). Significance values are shown in the figures or figure legends. Data are shown as mean ± standard error of the mean.

Results

N-terminal SHD mediates WPB localization but is not sufficient to enhance WPB exocytosis

Previously, we have shown that Rab27A recruits its effector Slp4-a to the WPB, which coincides with the acquisition of secretion competence by this secretory organelle. WPBs contain a cocktail of...
secretory Rabs capable of recruiting Slp4-a, including Rab27A and 3 isoforms of Rab3 (Rab3 A, B, and D). Slp4-a is reported to bind to these secretory Rabs via its N-terminal SHD. We speculated that this region was responsible for recruitment of Slp4-a to WPBs and for this purpose we expressed epitope-tagged fusion proteins of Slp4-a truncations that consist of, or lack, the SHD (Figure 1A). Full-length mCherry-Slp4-a and mCherry-Slp4-a-SHD localized to WPBs, whereas a truncation mutant lacking the SHD domain (mCherry-Slp4-a-ΔSHD) did not. Instead, a diffuse cytoplasmic staining extending to the cell periphery and possibly the plasma membrane was observed (Figure 1B).

Having confirmed that the SHD domain of Slp4-a was essential for recruitment to WPBs, we next examined whether this region alone was sufficient to support the enhancement of hormone-evoked WPB exocytosis reported for EGFP-Slp4-a-FL. Exogenously expressed EGFP-Slp4-a-SHD labeled WPBs exclusively (Figure 2A). Data from live cell imaging of Ca^{2+}-driven WPB exocytosis in EGFP-Slp4-a-FL expressing HUVEC (Figure 2B-D) was compared with previously reported data for Proregion-EGFP (which labels the WPB contents and serves as a control) and EGFP-Slp4-a-FL-expressing HUVECs. As for EGFP-Slp4-a-FL, expression of EGFP-Slp4-a-ΔSHD significantly increased the delay in the onset of WPB fusion (Figure 2Di) and reduced the mean maximal rate of WPB exocytosis, although like EGFP-Slp4-a-FL, this difference did not reach statistical significance (Figure 2Dii). However, in contrast to EGFP-Slp4-a-FL, EGFP-Slp4-a-ΔSHD failed to increase the P of WPBs (Figure 2Diii). This suggested that the capacity to enhance Ca^{2+}-evoked WPB exocytosis must reside in the region of the molecule distal to the SHD.

STXB1 and syntaxin-2 and -3 are targets for Slp4-a in endothelial cells

To identify effectors of Slp4-a involved in regulated WPB release, we performed pull-downs in HUVEC lysates with glutathione S-transferase (GST)-Slp4-a-ΔSHD and GST-Slp4-a-SHD. Putative interacting proteins were identified by mass spectrometry after their separation by sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and trypsin-mediated in-gel digestion (see supplemental data). As expected, several peptides derived from Slp4-a and GST were identified (Figure 3). Using the criteria outlined in the supplemental data, 324 candidate binding partners for Slp4-a-ΔSHD and 429 potential interactors for Slp4-a-SHD were identified (for a full list, see supplemental Table 3A-B).

The SHD contains the WPB targeting signal for Slp4-a; therefore, we expect proteins responsible for recruitment of Slp4-a among the candidates. Partners for the SHD were Rab8A and Rab27A (Figure 3A). 2 Rab GTPases that have previously been described to be able to interact with the SHD of Slp4-a. Interestingly, the Rac1 exchange factor PREX-1, which we recently identified as a regulator of cAMP-mediated WPB release, was also pulled down. Annotated spectra of Rab8A and Rab27A are shown in supplemental Figures 1 and 2.

Because we determined that the exocytosis-promoting capacity of Slp4-a is localized carboxyl-terminal of the SHD, we hypothesized that among the interactome of Slp4-a, ΔSHD effectors of Slp4-a involved in exocytosis can be found. A prominent binding partner of Slp4-a-ΔSHD was STXB1 (Figure 3A). Seventeen unique peptides corresponding to STXB1 were identified; the annotated

Figure 1. Targeting of Slp4-a to WPBs depends on its Slp homology domain. (A) Cartoon overview of mCherry-tagged Slp4-a truncation variants, indicating the boundaries of the SHD domain. (B) Immunocytochemical analysis of intracellular localization of Slp4-a variants. HUVECs were transfected with mCherry-tagged constructs and fixed with paraformaldehyde 24 hours posttransfection. WPBs were visualized by immunostaining for VWF propeptide (green). Magnifications of boxed regions are shown on the right and the bottom. Arrowheads point to WPBs devoid of mCherry-Slp4-a-ΔSHD. Bars represent 10 µm.
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spectrum of peptide ISEQTYQLSR corresponding to residues 288-297 is displayed in Figure 3B. STXBP1 has previously been identified as an important regulator of secretory vesicle exocytosis because of its abilities to regulate docking of secretory granules to the plasma membrane and regulation of the SNARE complex assembly.40-44 We also identified 2 SNARE proteins: 6 unique peptides derived from syntaxin-3, and 1 peptide derived from syntaxin-2. Other highly significant candidates are Ras interacting protein 1 and Vps39. Annotated spectra of syntaxin-2 and -3 are shown in supplemental Figures 3 and 4.

Identification of STXBP1, syntaxin-2, and syntaxin-3 as putative binding partners of Slp4-a led us to test whether these proteins might exist in a complex in which the interaction of syntaxin-2 or -3 with Slp4-a is mediated through STXBP1, as has been previously suggested.45 To confirm the interaction of STXBP1 with syntaxins and Slp4-a, we performed pull-down studies using exogenously expressed mEGFP-STXBP1 in endothelial cells. As shown in Figure 4A, STXBP1 bound endogenous Slp4-a as well as endogenous syntaxin-3. Further coimmunoprecipitation studies of endogenous STXBP1 revealed that syntaxin-2 and syntaxin-3 associate with STXBP1 in HUVECs (Figure 4B). We also assessed the ability of syntaxin-4 to interact with STXBP1, because this syntaxin has previously been implicated in the regulation of WPB exocytosis.46 However, syntaxin-4 failed to coimmunoprecipitate with STXBP1 (Figure 4B). When approached from the other end, we could also demonstrate coprecipitation of STXBP1 with syntaxin-3 (Figure 4C). Syntaxin-3 immunoprecipitation did not coprecipitate syntaxin-2, ruling out the possibility that STXBP1 binds syntaxin-2...
and -3 simultaneously. Taken together, the data suggest that Slp4-a-STXBP1-syntaxin-2 and Slp4-a-STXBP1-syntaxin-3 complexes are present in endothelial cells.

**STXBP1 depletion decreases WPB exocytosis**

To address whether STXBP1 is involved in regulating WPB exocytosis, we reduced STXBP1 in primary endothelial cells using siRNA-mediated knockdown (Figure 5A). Endogenous expression of STXBP1 protein was decreased to approximately 30% of control levels (Figure 5B). STXBP1 depletion did not affect the number or cellular localization of WPBs (not shown), nor did it affect intracellular levels of VWF (Figure 5C). Slp4-a or Rab27A localization was also not notably altered after STXBP1 knockdown (not shown). However, depletion of STXBP1 impaired both histamine- and forskolin-induced VWF secretion (Figure 5D), indicating that STXBP1 is a critical mediator for both Ca²⁺- and cAMP-triggered WPB exocytosis.

**Impaired WPB exocytosis in BOECs derived from an EIEE4 patient**

To further test the function of STXBP1 we determined whether hormone-evoked secretion of VWF was impaired in endothelial cells derived from a patient with a known defect in STXBP1. EIEE4 is a rare and very severe epileptic disorder characterized by defective neurotransmitter release as a consequence of de novo loss-of-function mutations in the **STXBP1** gene. As such, individuals with EIEE4 represent human models of STXBP1 dysfunction. We isolated BOECs from a 16-year-old EIEE4 patient carrying a de novo heterozygous 23- to 35.4-kbp microdeletion in **STXBP1** [c.963_1967del; p.Thr322_Glu603 del]. This deletion affects exons 12 to 20 of **STXBP1** and is predicted to result in either a truncated protein spanning amino acids 1-321 (provided that the messenger RNA transcript undergoes translation) or in nonsense mediated decay, which would result in haploinsufficiency. In this patient, circulating plasma levels of VWF:Ag (64 IU/dL) were at the low end of the physiological range (50-200 IU/dL). BOEC colonies were derived from peripheral blood and were pooled for further analysis. As shown in Figure 6A, endogenous STXBP1 protein expression was decreased in EIEE4 BOECs compared with BOECs derived from a healthy control individual (49.6 ± 5.4% residual STXBP1 protein level as estimated by immunoblotting, Figure 6B). Using 2 antibodies directed against STXBP1, we were unable to detect the predicted truncated form of STXBP1 in EIEE4 BOECs (data not shown), supporting the hypothesis that the aberrant transcript is degraded. The decrease in STXBP1 protein expression did not affect WPB morphology or numbers as shown by immunocytochemistry (Figure 6C).
we did not observe changes in the recruitment of Slp4-a or Rab27A to WPBs (supplemental Figures 5 and 6), suggesting that the upstream components of the exocytotic machinery were unperturbed. EIEE4 BOECs contained slightly more VWF when compared with healthy donor BOECs; however, this difference was not statistically significant (Figure 6D). We next tested whether agonist-induced release of VWF was impaired in EIEE4 BOECs. Unstimulated (basal) VWF secretion was slightly lower in EIEE4 BOECs, but this was not significant

Figure 4. Interaction of STXBP1 with SNARE proteins in endothelial cells. (A) Lysates of endothelial cells lentivirally transduced with mEGFP-STXBP1 or mEGFP were incubated with magnetic beads covalently coupled with sheep anti-GFP IgG or an equivalent amount of naive sheep IgG. Lysates (input) and co-immunoprecipitates (IP) were separated by SDS-PAGE and probed with rabbit anti-Slp4-a, anti-syntaxin-3, or mouse anti-GFP followed by incubation with IR dye-labeled donkey anti-rabbit or anti-mouse IgG. Slp4-a and syntaxin-3 bound mEGFP-STXBP1 but not mEGFP. (B,C) Endothelial lysates were incubated with magnetic beads covalently coupled with mouse anti-STXBP1 IgG or an equivalent amount of naive mouse IgG (B) or with rabbit anti-syntaxin-3 IgG or an equivalent amount of naive rabbit IgG (C). Lysates (input) and co-IP were separated by SDS-PAGE and probed with rabbit anti-Slp4-a, anti-syntaxin-2, anti-syntaxin-3, or mouse anti-syntaxin-4 followed by incubation with IR dye-labeled donkey anti-rabbit or anti-mouse IgG. Syntaxin-2 and syntaxin-3 but not syntaxin-4 coimmunoprecipitated with STXBP1 (B). Immunoprecipitation of syntaxin-3 coprecipitated STXBP1 but not syntaxin-2 (C).

Figure 5. Impaired Ca\(^{2+}\)- and cAMP-mediated VWF secretion after STXBP1 depletion. (A) HUVECs were nucleofected with siRNA oligos directed against STXBP1 (siSTXBP1) or with nonhybridizing control oligos (siCTRL) and were assayed 48 hours after nucleofection. Lysates were separated by SDS-PAGE and were probed with rabbit anti-STXBP1 or mouse anti-actin antibodies followed by incubation with IR dye-labeled donkey anti-rabbit or anti-mouse IgG. (B) Quantification of STXBP1 expression in control cells (black) or after STXBP1 depletion (white) (n = 3). (C) Endothelial cells were lysed in SF medium containing 1% Triton X-100 and were assayed for VWF content by ELISA (n = 3). (D) Endothelial cells were incubated for 30 minutes with SF medium (basal), SF medium supplemented with 10 \(\mu\)M forskolin, and 100 \(\mu\)M IBMX (FSK) or with 100 \(\mu\)M histamine (HIS). Supernatants were assayed for secreted VWF by ELISA. ***P < .005 (n = 3).
compared with the control BOECs of a healthy donor. However, both histamine- and forskolin-stimulated VWF secretion were significantly reduced in the EIEE4 BOECs compared with the control (Figure 6E).

**Discussion**

The small GTPase Rab27A and its effectors MyRIP, Munc13-4, and Slp4-a play key roles as regulators of WPB exocytosis. Each of these effectors perform discrete steps in the sequence of events that follow secretory organelle formation until eventual exocytosis, with some of them exerting opposing effects (MyRIP-Myosin Va vs Slp4-a and Munc13-4) on the probability of release of the WPB. A complicated picture emerges, in which the releasability of a WPB is determined by the balance of effectors present on its membrane and the efficacy of the individual effectors’ downstream mechanisms. Although the mechanism of action of MyRIP, a negative-regulator of WPB exocytosis, has been partially elucidated, how Slp4-a and Munc13-4 function as positive regulators of WPB exocytosis remains unclear. Here we provide evidence that Slp4-a functions through the recruitment of STXBP1.

The SHD-domain of Slp4-a contains binding sites for several secretory Rabs, including Rab27A, Rab3, and Rab8. Consistent with this, our unbiased proteomic analysis identified Rab27A and Rab8A as binding partners for the SHD domain of Slp4-a. Earlier work from our group has shown that WPB localization of Slp4-a depends on the small GTPases Rab27A and (primarily) Rab3B; however, only Rab27A-bound Slp4-a was found to be functionally involved in exocytosis. Surprisingly, we did not identify peptides of any of the Rab3 isoforms in our pull-down with Slp4-a-SHD, although fluorescence recovery after photobleaching studies suggest that the majority of WPB localized Slp4-a is in complex with Rab3B. The likely explanation is that the Slp4-a-Rab3 interaction is weaker or more labile than the corresponding interactions with Rab27A or Rab8. This idea is supported by both coimmunoprecipitation studies
that show a very weak pull-down of Rab3s compared with Rab27A and by fluorescence recovery after photobleaching analysis of WPB dissociation rates for Slp4-a in the presence of exogenously expressed Rab27A, Rab3B, or Rab3D.29 In the latter case, the dissociation rate for Slp4-a in the presence of Rab27A was an order or magnitude slower than in the presence of Rab3B or Rab3D, indicating a strong interaction between these molecules in living cells. Similar observations have been made in PC12 cells; although Rab3A was shown to interact with Slp4-a in an in vitro binding assay in the absence of Rab27A and Rab8A,28 only Rab27A and Rab8A could be detected after coimmunoprecipitation with Slp4-a.47 The strong interaction between Rab27A and Slp4-a might reflect in part the unique ability of this Rab protein to bind Slp4-a irrespective of its GTP/guanosine diphosphate status.37 Together, these properties may have contributed to a competitive advantage for Rab27A during our pull-down procedure. We also identified Rab8A in our screen, a protein that is localized to the Golgi apparatus and is not recruited to WPBs, which makes it an unlikely candidate to contribute directly to WPB localization of Slp4-a.28 Rab8A-bound Slp4-a has been reported to exert different effects on secretion depending on the cell type studied.47,49,50 Nonetheless, depletion of Rab8A has been found to decrease phorbol ester–induced VWF release from endothelial cells48; whether this is mediated via Slp4-a remains to be established.

Live cell imaging of WPB exocytosis in EGFP-Slp4-a-SHD–expressing cells showed that the SHD domain of Slp4-a alone was not sufficient to enhance hormone-evoked WPB exocytosis. This result suggests 2 items: (1) that the capacity of exogenously expressed Slp4-a to promote WPB exocytosis is unlikely to be due solely to displacement of the negative regulator MyRIP from the WPB,27 but must instead reflect an active property of Slp4-a; and (2) that this activity requires the region of the molecule distal to the SHD. We identified STXBP1, syntaxin-2, and syntaxin-3 as endogenous molecules that interact specifically with this distal region of Slp4-a. STXBP3s and syntaxins are key components of the SNARE machinery, a multiprotein complex that catalyzes the fusion of membranes.31

Our data suggest a crucial role for STXBP1 in both Ca2+- and cAMP-mediated VWF secretion from endothelial cells. In addition, stimulated VWF secretion of BOECs derived from an EIEE4 patient, a human model of STXBP1 haploinsufficiency, was impaired. Previous studies demonstrate that STXBP1 is essential for secretory vesicle release, illustrated by the fact that STXBP1-null mutant mice showed reduction of Ca2+-regulated synaptic vesicle exocytosis from neuroendocrine cells.52,43 Furthermore, insulin granule docking and secretion from pancreatic β cells as well as catecholamine-containing large, dense, core vesicle docking and secretion from chromaffin cells are impaired by STXBP1 deletion.40,41,44 The severe neurological defects displayed by patients with EIEE4 are also attributed to abnormalities in synaptic vesicle release.30,31 On this basis, it is likely that STXBP1 also contributes to the process of WPB docking in endothelial cells, most likely by linking the WPB (through Rab27A–Slp4-a) to plasma membrane syntaxins.

The physiological significance of regulation of WPB exocytosis by SNARE proteins is also underscored by a number of recent genomewide association studies on VWF plasma levels and associated risk factors for cardiovascular diseases such as arterial and venous thrombosis. Single nucleotide polymorphisms in syntaxin-2 and also STXBPs (a member of the same protein family as STXBP1) have been associated with circulating VWF levels, risk of venous thrombosis, and severity of the bleeding phenotype in von Willebrand disease type 1 patients.52-55 It is intriguing to speculate that these deficits reflect disruption of the SNARE machinery regulating WPB exocytosis and VWF secretion.

In the EIEE4 patient studied, plasma VWF levels were found to be on the low side of the normal range. Such a mild quantitative deficiency in VWF does not qualify as von Willebrand disease type 1 according to current laboratory criteria,56 nor does it confer a high risk of bleeding; indeed, this patient has no such history. Patients with EIEE4 display severe neurological defects including early-onset epilepsy and intellectual disability, which have been attributed to abnormalities in synaptic vesicle release.30,31 STXBP1 has also been implicated in insulin release.49 Although moderately elevated levels of blood glucose have been observed in mice heterozygous for STXBP1 deficiency as a result of slightly decreased insulin release,49 no altered glucose levels or symptoms related to aberrant insulin secretion have so far been reported in patients with EIEE4. Circulating levels of VWF are determined primarily by unstimulated (basal) secretion of VWF from endothelial cells, which is now known to arise primarily through basal WPB exocytosis.57 It is interesting to speculate whether the small (but nonsignificant) reduction in basal secretion of VWF identified in cultured BOECs isolated from the EIEE4 patient might reflect the function of the patient’s own endothelium, accounting for a slightly low circulating level of plasma VWF. However, we need to take into consideration that it is difficult to draw firm conclusions solely based on BOEC data of a limited number of donors because significant heterogeneity seems to exist between single isolates.54 Furthermore, based on our findings with EIEE4 BOECs, we hypothesize that the

**Figure 7. Cartoon model of regulation of WPB exocytosis.** (I) Newly formed WPBs recruit Rab proteins (Rab27A and Rab3A/B/D) and their effectors Slp4-a, Munc13-4, and MyRIP/Myosin Va,27,28,37,29 (II) WPBs are anchored to the actin cytoskeleton via Myosin Va and MyRIP.27,28 (III) STXBP1 links WPBs to the SNAREs (syntaxin-2/3) via Slp4-a and Rab27A, whereas STXBP3 (Munc18c) associates with syntaxin-4.46 (IV) SNARE complex assembly of syntaxins with WPB-associated VAMP 3/8,52 potentially facilitated by Munc13-4.46 Fusion of WPB and plasma membrane and dispersal of WPB cargo into vascular lumen.
effect of STXB1 haploinsufficiency on VWF secretion would present itself more prominently upon acute endothelial stimulation (eg, after administration with DDAVP).

A growing number of molecules have been identified as components of the control mechanism for stimulated WPB exocytosis (Figure 7). Earlier work from Fu and colleagues has shown the involvement of Munc18c (STXBP3) and syntaxin-4 in thrombin-stimulated P-selectin expression, which coincides with release of a Munc18c–syntaxin-4 interaction after protein kinase C–dependent phosphorylation events on either partner. Syntaxin-4 associates with SNAP23 and the R-SNARE VAMP3 that, along with VAMP8 (which does not associate with syntaxin-4), is localized on WPBs. From these observations and ours, it becomes apparent that there is both redundancy within the SNARE molecules involved as well as a considerable resilience of endothelial cells to conditions that perturb SNARE complex formation. The apparent redundancy of SNARE complexes operating in endothelial cells might allow for different upstream signaling cascades to target discrete exocytotic machineries, providing the endothelium with the possibility to fine tune its secretory response.
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