


COMMENTARY

Sometimes simple is not best: The complex $\gamma\delta$ T cell interaction with tumour needs depth

Alexandra L. Morrison¹  | Aurora Campagna² | Mark Bodman-Smith²

¹UK Health Security Agency, National Infection Service, Porton Down, UK

²Infection and Immunity Research Institute, St George's University of London, London, UK

Correspondence

Alexandra L. Morrison, UK Health Security Agency, National Infection Service, Porton Down, UK.

Email: alexandra.morrison@phe.gov.uk

$\gamma\delta$ T cells have the potential to be an extremely valuable tool in cancer immunotherapy. They demonstrate cytotoxicity against a number of tumour cell lines, are able to respond rapidly due to their non-MHC dependent activation and are instrumental in recruiting the adaptive immune response. In 2015, Gentles et al. found that tumour infiltrating $\gamma\delta$ T cells were the cell type most indicative of a favourable cancer outcome.¹ Many studies have generated data allowing us to understand why $\gamma\delta$ T cells are associated with regression and how they can be of significant benefit in a clinical setting. Despite the activity in two-dimensional (2D) in vitro cell culture models, clinical studies show mixed results. This is likely due to the oversimplification of the relationship between effector $\gamma\delta$ T cells and tumours. In an effort to overcome this, three-dimensional (3D) tumour models, spheroids and organoids, have been developed. Recently Ou et al. have utilised 3D models with a range of complexity, allowing a greater understanding of changes in $\gamma\delta$ T cell activity in a tumour-like environment, and the logical application of strategies to restore tumour killing.²

Both V δ 1 and V δ 2 $\gamma\delta$ T cells have MHC-independent anti-tumour activity.³ Malignant cells often have high intracellular levels of small phosphoantigens due to a dysregulation of the mevalonate pathway. These small phosphoantigens bind to the intracellular region of the ubiquitously expressed Butyrophilin 3A1 molecule causing conformational changes in the extracellular domain, allowing for the interaction and activation of the V δ 2 T

cell receptor.⁴ Phosphoantigens can be increased therapeutically by aminobisphosphonate drugs, such as zoledronic acid. Additionally, $\gamma\delta$ T cells display natural killer (NK) receptors, like NKG2D, which can activate the cell through interaction with stress-induced ligands displayed by tumour cells. When activated, anti-tumour responses seen within in vitro 2D models include the expression of death receptors (TRAIL and FASL), the production of cytotoxic molecules like granzymes and perforin, as well as pro-inflammatory cytokines, like IFN- γ .³

Clinical approaches utilising $\gamma\delta$ T cells include in vivo activation of $\gamma\delta$ T cells with aminobisphosphonates and IL-2, or the adoptive transfer of ex vivo expanded $\gamma\delta$ T cells. Due to the MHC-independent nature of the $\gamma\delta$ T cell interaction, allogeneic effector cells are equally as efficient at tumour killing as autologous cells. This raises the possibility for the manufacture and storage of $\gamma\delta$ T cells from healthy blood donors to be used instead of autologous cells, which may be difficult to generate in time from a cancer patient. Results from small clinical studies utilising either in vivo activation or adoptive transfer have, however, so far been disappointing. Enhanced V δ 2 proliferation and activity in diverse tumours, including renal cell carcinoma, gastric cancer, and lung cancer, have been shown, with no severe toxicity associated with the treatment.⁵ Few patients, however, show clinical outcomes and even less show complete responses, as reviewed recently by Nussbaumer et al.⁵ These variable results highlight the distance between in vitro 2D cell culture and the in vivo reality.

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2022 The Authors. *Clinical and Translational Discovery* published by John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd on behalf of Shanghai Institute of Clinical Bioinformatics.

2D in vitro cell culture models are a powerful tool for pre-clinical research, they are economical, simple, and allow for rapid drug and toxicity studies. However, they lack the complexity that comes with cellular interactions and the milieu in the tumour microenvironment. In other systems mouse models are commonly used to address this complexity, providing valuable information on in vivo cancer biology, drug toxicity, and treatment efficacy. One major block to in vivo experimentation is the fact that $\gamma\delta$ T cells are dissimilar between mice and humans, and only primates have V δ 2 T cells. Although non-human primates (NHPs) are an appropriate model for $\gamma\delta$ T cell studies, there is a lack of available non-human primate tumour models. Alongside the obvious ethical concerns around inducing tumours in NHP studies, the high costs involved with this model are prohibitive. Patient-derived xenograft mouse models, involving the transfer of human tumours to immunodeficient mice, are highly manipulated and also typically depend upon the mouse immune system. Therefore, safety and efficacy cannot be extrapolated to humans.

Tumour spheroids are 3D cell models formed by culturing single-cell suspensions under non-adherent conditions, eventually leading to the spontaneous aggregation of 3D spheres. Spheroids grown in extracellular matrix (ECM) from tumour cell lines are able to mimic micrometastasis or tumour emboli, as well as the ECM organisation found in tumours. Recently, Mizuguchi et al. have shown that spheroids were grown in ECM display basal-apical polarity.⁶ Other groups have observed complex internal architecture with lumen formation⁷. The unique characteristic of tumour spheroids is their structure: they have an internal layer of necrotic cells, a middle layer of quiescent cells, and an outer layer of proliferating cells. As outlined recently by Gunti et al., this structure gives resistance to radiation and drugs, mirroring human tumours.⁸ Organoids are mini in vivo-like organs, resembling the tumour from which they were derived. Many studies have demonstrated the potential use of spheroid and organoid technology to study immunotherapies, autologous T cell expansion, and assessment of patient responses to anti-cancer drugs and immune checkpoint inhibition. Votanopoulos et al. found that the response of patient-derived organoids to immune checkpoint inhibitors correlated strongly with patient clinical responses.⁹ Another advantage of organoids is that they can be cryopreserved, and therefore organoid biobanks are being established as a tool for cancer research. Moreover, tumour organoids can be created using a combination of tumour and stromal cells that may further add to their semblance to in vivo tumours.

Many groups are now using 3D models to examine $\gamma\delta$ T anti-tumour responses, broadening the immunotherapeutic possibilities in pre-clinical cancer research. Nozaki

et al. demonstrated $\gamma\delta$ T expansion with intestinal epithelial organoids and successfully tracked their migratory phenotype.¹⁰ Zumwalde et al. showed that V δ 2 T cells are able to target and kill bisphosphonate treated breast organoids.¹¹ Ou et al. utilised four separate 3D models of increasing complexity to study $\gamma\delta$ T cell anti-melanoma activity.² Comparing $\gamma\delta$ T cell infiltration, cytotoxicity and checkpoint expression between the separate models allowed them to understand how growing complexity impacted the phenotype and activity of the cells. In particular, they demonstrated an exhausted $\gamma\delta$ phenotype, and that immune checkpoint inhibition could restore infiltration and killing.²

The lack of timely and economic pre-clinical platforms that are complex enough to mimic human malignancies is a major barrier to developing anti-cancer drugs and immunotherapies. However, 3D culture systems are able to bridge some of the gaps in complexity and, in the case of $\gamma\delta$ T cells, the issue of non-relevant animal models. Utilising this organoid approach for $\gamma\delta$ T cell immunotherapies can allow for rapid investigations of key checkpoint molecules as well as high-throughput screening of drugs that may enhance their infiltration and anti-tumour activity. The use of organoid cultures will allow the thorough investigation of the complex relationship between solid tumours and an exciting, emerging immunotherapy using a, so far, underutilised tumouricidal cell type, the $\gamma\delta$ T cell.

ORCID

Alexandra L. Morrison  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3401-1205>

REFERENCES

- Gentles AJ, Newman AM, Liu CL, et al. The prognostic landscape of genes and infiltrating immune cells across human cancers. *Nat Med*. 2015;21(8):938–45. <https://doi.org/10.1038/NM.3909>
- Ou L, Wang H, Huang H, et al. Preclinical platforms to study therapeutic efficacy of human $\gamma\delta$ T cells. *Clin Transl Med*. 2022.
- Park JH, Lee HK. Function of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in tumor immunology and their application to cancer therapy. *Exp Mol Med* 2021;53(3):318–27. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s12276-021-00576-0>
- Rigau M, Ostrowska S, Fulford TS, et al. Butyrophilin 2A1 is essential for phosphoantigen reactivity by $\gamma\delta$ T cells. *Science*. 2020;367(6478):eaay5516. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aay5516>
- Nussbaumer O, Koslowski M. The emerging role of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in cancer immunotherapy. *Immuno-oncol Technol*. 2019;1:3–10. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iotech.2019.06.002>
- Mizuguchi K, Aoki H, Aoyama M, et al. Three-dimensional spheroid culture induces apical-basal polarity and the original characteristics of immortalized human renal proximal tubule epithelial cells. *Exp Cell Res*. 2021;404(1):112630. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.YEXCR.2021.112630>
- Kapałczyńska M, Kolenda T, Przybyła W, et al. 2D and 3D cell cultures – a comparison of different types of cancer cell cultures.

- Arch Med Sci.* 2018;14(4):910–9. <https://doi.org/10.5114/AOMS.2016.63743>
8. Gunti S, Hoke ATK, Vu KP, London NR. Organoid and Spheroid Tumor Models: Techniques and Applications. *Cancers.* 2021;13(4):1–18. <https://doi.org/10.3390/CANCERS13040874>
 9. Votanopoulos KI, Forsythe S, Sivakumar H, et al. Model of patient-specific immune-enhanced organoids for immunotherapy screening: feasibility study. *Ann Surg Oncol.* 2020;27(6):1956–67. <https://doi.org/10.1245/s10434-019-08143-8>
 10. Nozaki K, Mochizuki W, Matsumoto Y, et al. Co-culture with intestinal epithelial organoids allows efficient expansion and motility analysis of intraepithelial lymphocytes. *J Gastroenterol.* 2016;51(3):206–13. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S00535-016-1170-8>
 11. Zumwalde NA, Haag JD, Sharma D, et al. Analysis of immune cells from human mammary ductal epithelial organoids reveals V δ 2+ T cells that efficiently target breast carcinoma cells in the presence of bisphosphonate. *Cancer Prevent Res.* 2016;9(4):305. <https://doi.org/10.1158/1940-6207.CAPR-15-0370-T>

How to cite this article: Morrison AL, Campagna A, Bodman-Smith M. Sometimes simple is not best: The complex $\gamma\delta$ T cell interaction with tumour needs depth. *Clin. Transl. Disc.* 2022;2:e71. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ctd2.71>