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**Short title:** Placental dysfunction screening and perinatal loss

Dear Professor Papageorghiou

We thank these eminent academics for their interest in our work and for acknowledging the importance of stark ethnic health inequalities in maternity outcomes in the UK.1 We welcome open and balanced academic discourse but were disappointed to see the term “racial inequalities” in their communication to the Journal. Race is perceived as inherent in biology, inherited across generations and therefore potentially unmodifiable. In contrast, ethnicity is understood as something we acquire based on factors like where we live, or the culture and interaction we share with others and as such, may be modifiable. The importance of this distinction was recently highlighted in the ethnic health inequalities report from the NHS Race and Health Observatory (NHS RHO).2 The link between race, ethnicity and health is complex with Black and minority ethnic groups paradoxically comparing favourably with White groups for some measures of health. What is evident is that Black and minority ethnic groups are disproportionately affected by socioeconomic deprivation – a key determinant of health status. This may seem a trivial point, but this type of unconscious bias may lead to a lack of understanding of the causality (and solutions) to the health inequalities that we seek to address.

The pregnancy risk assessment prediction model used in our study was developed by the Fetal Medicine Foundation (FMF) and then externally validated in a large head-to-head comparison with the NICE risk assessment checklist in current use, funded by the NIHR.3 The FMF group then conducted a randomised trial to demonstrate the efficacy of a screening programme using the risk prediction model, followed by targeted aspirin prophylaxis and serial ultrasound scans; this significantly reduced the prevalence of preterm pre-eclampsia.3 We implemented the protocol from this trial into a routine NHS setting and - using interrupted time series (ITS) analysis - demonstrated its effectiveness, with relative effect reductions in preterm pre-eclampsia (by 80%) and term small-for-gestational age birth (SGA) birth (by 45%).3,4 In the strengths and limitations section of our manuscript, we state the reasons why an ITS analysis would have been optimal, but that the total number of index events in the minimum required time period epochs before and after the intervention precluded this approach.

The correspondents raise the important point that the prediction model has not been investigated for its impact on perinatal death, and here, the authors overlook two important issues. Firstly, the use of a risk prediction model in isolation is very unlikely to change outcome in the absence of effective interventions. Secondly, pre-eclampsia, SGA birth and stillbirth are outcomes of the overarching disorder of placental dysfunction. SGA and hypertensive disorders of pregnancy alone contribute approximately 30% of the population attributable risk to stillbirth as well as being major causes of preterm birth predisposing to neonatal death.6 Our previous publications on the effect of the screening programme on identifying reducing preterm pre-eclampsia and term SGA birth is consistent with the finding of a much greater 72% reduction in PND associated with both of these conditions, compared to a 37% reduction in the overall population.4,5 Pre-eclampsia and SGA birth are more common in Black and ethnic minority women, explaining the very specific finding of a three-fold reduction in PND in this group of women compared to White women where the PND rate was unchanged following the implementation of the screening programme. The correspondents also suggest that variable dose of aspirin in the before and after arms of the study may have biased results. To the best of our knowledge there are no studies that demonstrate efficacy of aspirin prophylaxis of any dose in preventing perinatal death, and such bias is therefore unlikely. We do not claim that implementation of the screening test alone accounted for the reduction in perinatal mortality - rather we made clear our hypothesis, namely that the programme of interventions may have had a beneficial impact.

We agree with the authors that post-hoc analysis when performed may be unreliable, but this does not translate as “all post-hoc analyses are unreliable”. This is also true of secondary analyses conducted from RCTs, and we are certain that the authors would not claim that all such analyses should all be disregarded. We are unsure what groups the correspondents are referring to when they state that we relied on overlapping confidence intervals and non-significant p-values between study groups. We re-iterate that in the (pre-intervention) NICE cohort, the perinatal death rate was significantly higher in non-White than White women (7.95 versus 2.63/1000 births, OR 3.035, 95% CI 1.551–5.941). Following the introduction of FMF screening (post-intervention), the perinatal death rate in non-White women was no longer significantly different from White women (3.22 versus 2.55/1000 births, OR 1.261, 95% CI 0.641–2.483). Finally, they state that they did not find any subgroup effect in their test for interaction in the reported data. Presumably, they tested the difference between perinatal death rates between the NICE and FMF screened groups adding ethnicity as an interaction term. Not finding a significant effect for this particular subgroup interaction test does not negate our study findings – as the authors themselves state in their letter, it is “a classic case of misinterpreting absence of evidence as evidence of absence”.

The problem of ethnic health inequality in maternity care and pregnancy outcomes has been evident for several decades.7 The COVID-19 pandemic has brought such inequity to the fore and a plan of action in this area was proposed this week in the Government’s response to the Commission on Race and Ethnic Disparities report.8 The arbitrary use of screening thresholds in risk assessment and personalised care has put the pursuit of perfection in the way of progress, leaving maternity services reliant on a checklist-based approach developed 60 years ago. We do, however, acknowledge and understand the complex service/resource balance highlighted by Dr Ash Paul. The RCOG and RCM - funded by Tommy’s Charity - co-developed the Tommy’s National Centre for Maternity Improvement (NCfMI) which is championing the use of digital health technology to produce personalised risk assessment in a collaborative and focussed initiative to improve ethnic health inequalities.9 The NCfMI is actively seeking support – independent of current NHS funding – to undertake a study involving 20-30 hospitals. We look forward to working closely with health care practitioners, academics and policy makers in tackling the unnecessary and unwanted problem of ethnic and social disparity in maternal health care.
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