1 UPDATE

~

2	Reframing postconcussional syndrome as an interface disorder
3	of neurology, psychiatry and psychology
4	Camilla N. Clark, ^{1,2,3} Mark J. Edwards, ^{1,3} Bee Eng Ong, ³ Luke Goodliffe, ³ Hena Ahmad, ³ Michael D. Dilley, ³
5	Shai Betteridge, ³ Colette Griffin ³ and Peter O. Jenkins ^{2,3,4}
6	Author affiliations:
7	1 Institute of Molecular & Clinical Sciences, St George's University of London, SW17 ORE, London, UK
8	2 UK DRI Care Research and Technology Centre, Imperial College London, W12 0BZ, London, UK
9	3 Neurology department, Atkinson Morley Regional Neuroscience Centre, St George's University
10	Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, SW17 0QT, London, UK
11	4 Neurology Department, University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust,
12	Southampton, UK
13	Correspondence to: Dr Camilla Clark
14	NIHR Clinical Research Fellow
15	St George's University of London
16	Cranmer Terrace
17	London SW17 ORE, UK
18	E-mail: cclark@sgul.ac.uk
19	Running title: Postconcussional syndrome: an interface disorder
20	

© The Author(s) 2022. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Guarantors of Brain. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

1 Abstract

- 2 Persistent symptoms following a minor head injury can cause significant morbidity, yet the underlying
- 3 mechanisms for this are poorly understood. The shortcomings of the current terminology that refer to
- 4 non-specific symptom clusters is discussed. This update considers the need for a multi-dimensional
- 5 approach for the heterogenous mechanisms driving persistent symptoms after mild traumatic brain
- 6 injury. Relevant pathophysiology is discussed to make the case for mild traumatic brain injury to be
- 7 conceptualised as an interface disorder spanning neurology, psychiatry and psychology. The relevance of
- 8 pre-injury factors, psychological co-morbidities and their interaction with the injury to produce
- 9 persistent symptoms are reviewed. The interplay with psychiatric diagnoses, functional and somatic
- 10 symptom disorder presentations and the influence of the medicolegal process is considered. The
- 11 judicious use and interpretation of investigations given the above complexity is discussed, with
- suggestions of how the explanation of the diagnostic formulation to the patient can be tailored,
 including insight into the above processes, to aid recovery. Moving beyond the one-dimensional concept
- 14 of "postconcussional syndrome" and reframing the cause of persistent symptoms following mild
- 15 traumatic brain injury in a bio-psycho-socio-ecological model will hopefully improve understanding of
- 16 the underlying contributory mechanistic interactions and facilitate treatment.
- 17 Keywords: mild traumatic brain injury; persistent symptoms; interface disorder; risk factors; imaging
- 18 **Abbreviations:** ADHD = Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder; BPPV = benign paroxysmal positional
- 19 vertigo; DSM-5 = 5th edition of Diagnostic and Statistical Manual for Mental Disorders; fMRI = functional
- 20 MRI; ICD = International Classification of Diseases (number suffix is edition); mTBI = mild traumatic
- 21 brain injury; PCS = postconcussional syndrome; PTSD = post traumatic stress disorder; SIGN = Scottish
- 22 intercollegiate guidance network; TBI = traumatic brain injury
- 23

- 1 Traumatic brain injury (TBI) is common. Fortunately, the vast majority of injuries are mild, typically
- 2 causing transient, self-limiting symptoms and no long-term sequelae. However, approximately 20% of
- 3 people following mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI) report persistent symptoms at three months post-
- 4 injury. For these people long-term outlook is poor with many experiencing ongoing negative impact on
- 5 work and social function.¹² Given how common mTBI is, this represents a huge number of affected
- 6 individuals.
- 7 Persistent symptoms that occur after mTBI are likely to be due to a range of identifiable disorders, many
- 8 of which have evidence-based treatments. However, we argue that clinical and research practice has
- 9 been held back by the use of syndromic terms such as postconcussional syndrome (PCS) to categorise
- 10 patients. Clinically, it produces bias away from considering treatable underlying causes of symptoms.
- 11 From a research perspective, it fosters an assumption that people with such symptoms are a single
- 12 group pathophysiologically. However, we would argue that the diagnostic heterogeneity here means
- 13 that group data from, for example functional MRI (fMRI) studies, are unlikely to provide a valid source of
- 14 information to make inferences about mechanism of symptoms, nor to extrapolate from, in order to
- 15 determine new avenues for treatment development.

16 Current terminology and its weaknesses

- 17 Numerous definitions for mTBI have been published (Table 1).^{3–13} The definitions extrapolate the
- 18 presumed presence and severity of an underlying TBI from clinical markers. Alteration of mental state is
- 19 considered a fundamental marker of TBI, with classifications agreeing, for example, that loss of
- 20 consciousness is sufficient (but not necessary) to diagnose an mTBI. However, debate remains regarding
- 21 the degree of alteration in mental state required, whether evidence of structural injury constitutes a
- 22 more severe injury and whether the presence of subjective post-injury symptoms (e.g. headache,
- 23 dizziness, cognitive impairment) is sufficient to diagnose a TBI.
- 24 A recent survey of mTBI experts found agreement amongst the panel that individuals with an mTBI can
- 25 present with isolated subjective symptoms such as headache, dizziness, and cognitive impairments.⁴
- 26 However, such symptoms are not specific to head injury, occurring at the same rate in those with
- 27 extracranial injury and in up to three quarters of otherwise healthy adults.^{14–16} This perhaps explains the
- 28 finding that 59% of the general population who report having been "concussed" deny ever having had a
- 29 brain/head injury.¹⁷
- 30 Despite the lack of specificity of these symptoms to brain injury, the term "PCS" is widely used to
- describe the persistence of these symptoms beyond 3 months following mTBI. Perhaps in recognition of
- 32 this lack of specificity (there was only 40% agreement between the 4th edition of the Diagnostic and
- 33 Statistical Manual (DSM-4) & 10th Edition of the International Classification of Disease (ICD-10)
- diagnostic criteria for PCS when applied to a large cohort¹⁸) the latest iterations of DSM and ICD have
- 35 removed the category of PCS and subsumed it under 'neurocognitive disorders due to traumatic brain
- 36 injury' and 'mild neurocognitive disorder' (which can be secondary to trauma) respectively (see Table 2).

- 1 Unfortunately, the criteria for neurocognitive disorder continue to lack diagnostic precision and focus on
- 2 non-specific symptoms. The aim should be objective diagnostic measures to help categorise the
- 3 symptoms within specific diagnoses, that in turn might link to specific treatments. In the differential
- 4 diagnosis section of neurocognitive disorders due to traumatic brain injury in DSM-5, the practitioner is
- 5 advised to specifically consider alternative diagnoses of somatic symptom disorder or factitious disorder
- 6 to explain the persistent neurocognitive impairment. The Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network
- 7 (SIGN) for brain injury rehabilitation state: "In a small minority of mTBI patients, symptoms may be more
- 8 prolonged, but in such cases the determinants of disability appear to be personal and social factors and
- 9 not related to the brain injury." ¹⁹ This approach results in a clear dualistic split between an (unspecified)
- 10 physical damage-related mechanism for persistent symptoms and an (unspecified) psychological
- 11 mechanism. However, as detailed below, a variety of interacting mechanisms for symptoms may exist
- 12 which span the false divide between "physical" and "psychological".

13 Approaching mTBI as an interface disorder

- 14 The syndrome of persistent symptoms following mTBI rests at the interface between neurology,
- 15 neurosurgery, psychiatry and psychology. Far from being a 'one-size fits all' condition, mTBI is a complex
- 16 condition with multiple potential underlying pathophysiological and psychopathological processes
- 17 requiring a range of interventions across numerous specialties. A novel approach focussing on pathology
- 18 and impairment-based diagnostics would allow accurate and timely diagnosis of the often complex
- 19 symptoms occurring after mTBI.²⁰

20 Preinjury factors

- 21 Pre-injury depressive or anxiety disorder are the strongest predictors of persistent symptoms after
- 22 mTBI.^{21,22} Additional factors that influence recovery include pre-injury life events, social circumstances,
- 23 personality traits including neuroticism and memory perfectionism, illness expectation and beliefs.^{23–25}
- 24 Expectations relevant for outcome include beliefs about symptom duration, the strength of identity and
- 25 the emotional impact of the TBI.^{26–28} Pre-existing anxiety and anxiety sensitivity are associated with
- 26 more severe and prolonged symptom reporting, potentially related to negative illness beliefs.^{29,30}
- Pre-injury neurodegeneration or even healthy ageing affect the outcome of the injury regardless of its
 severity.^{31,32} In addition, it is likely that pre-existing neurodevelopmental disorders would have an
 impact on outcome after mTBI. Premorbid psychiatric illnesses including attention deficit hyperactivity
 disorder (ADHD) are seen in a higher proportion of those with mTBI than would otherwise be
 expected.³³⁻³⁵ This may relate to impulse control behaviours including alcohol and substance misuse
- 32 which can predispose an individual to sustaining a TBI. These examples indicate that the neural
- 33 substrate on which the injury occurs interacts with the effect of the injury itself.

1 The injury: To what extent has persistent damage occurred?

- 2 A TBI results from an external mechanical force which is hypothesised to cause a primary injury.
- 3 However, the mTBI group comprises a huge range of injury severity. Within this same category might be
- 4 a person who sustained a very minor blow to the head resulting in symptoms such as dizziness,
- 5 headache and nausea and a person who, following a blow to the head, had 29 minutes of loss of
- 6 consciousness, 23 hours of post-traumatic amnesia and a non-displaced skull fracture. It seems logical
- 7 that the physical consequences to the brain are likely to differ across this spectrum.
- 8 Despite this complexity, there often appears to be an assumption in the literature that symptoms after
- 9 mTBI are always caused by the same basic process of brain injury at a cellular and structural level, and
- 10 therefore that experimental studies at a group level are a reasonable way to investigate the nature of
- 11 these injuries.³⁶ This fails to recognise the heterogeneity of likely physical injury within the broad mTBI
- 12 category and also, crucially, that other disorders can cause persistent symptoms after mTBI (e.g.
- 13 functional neurological disorder, depression, migraine) which are themselves associated with
- 14 abnormalities on experimental measures such as fMRI.^{37–39}
- 15 Post mortem studies of patients with a history of mTBI, but who died of other reasons, have found
- 16 evidence in some of white matter injury and persistent inflammation months after the injury.^{40,41}
- 17 Secondary injury could therefore develop in minutes, hours, or months, with possible long-term effects
- 18 on symptoms and function.⁴² However, caution must be applied because these phenomena are likely to
- 19 affect only a proportion of people with mTBI. There is also a tendency to extrapolate in an unrestrained
- 20 way the results of animal studies to humans even though the vast range of injury severities in humans
- 21 with mTBI do not map well onto the carefully controlled experimentally induced injury in animal studies.

22 Use of brain imaging to define extent of brain damage after mTBI

- 23 Advances in brain imaging techniques have allowed the possibility of examining the presence of post-TBI
- 24 pathophysiological changes in vivo. However, several potential pitfalls exist in the interpretation of
- 25 neuroimaging results in people with persistent symptoms after mTBI. These include 1) the sensitivity of
- 26 routine clinical and advanced imaging techniques for detecting injury after mTBI, 2) the specificity of any
- 27 abnormalities detected, 3) their role in prognostication and 4) their relationship to persistent post-
- 28 trauma symptoms. Over (or under) interpretation of imaging findings can lead to misdiagnosis in an
- 29 individual and consequently inappropriate treatment and prognostication. At a research level,
- 30 insufficiently powered studies or incorrect extrapolation of imaging findings to underlying
- 31 pathophysiology can also lead to inappropriate conclusions being formed.
- 32 A variety of imaging methods are sensitive to changes in brain structure (e.g. volumetric and diffusion
- tensor imaging), functioning (e.g. fMRI and magnetoencephalography) and alterations in cellular and
- 34 biochemical milieu, including evidence of persistent inflammation can be demonstrated (e.g. positron
- 35 emission tomography).^{43–45} Using these methods, numerous studies have identified imaging changes at a

- 1 group level in those with persistent symptoms after mTBI.¹ However, these changes are inconsistent and
- 2 cannot easily be extrapolated to single cases of mTBI.^{46–48}
- 3 It is also important to recognise that potential imaging changes may not necessarily be a direct
- 4 consequence of the mTBI itself. Co-morbid conditions which might be relevant for causing persistent
- 5 symptoms after mTBI, but not via structural damage, can also cause detectable changes using these
- 6 neuroimaging techniques. For example, diffusion tensor imaging metrics have been shown to be altered
- 7 in migraine,^{49,50} depression⁵¹ and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD).⁵² Equally, functional
- 8 neuroimaging changes have been reported in the same conditions and in functional neurological
- 9 disorder, irrespective of the presence of a TBI.^{37–39}. This complexity is not reliably accounted for in
- 10 imaging studies of mTBI.

11 Diagnoses that may underpin persistent symptoms after mTBI

12 The foundation of our approach to persistent symptoms after mTBI is the recognition that the

- 13 symptoms are non-specific. This means that, in different people, there might be a range of possible
- 14 diagnoses within which such symptoms could cluster. Alternatively, numerous different underlying
- 15 diagnoses might be present in another individual with the same persistent symptoms and those
- 16 symptoms may have a high degree of overlap between diagnoses. The assessment therefore needs to
- 17 tease apart (or indeed cluster together) symptoms to establish a reasonable diagnostic formulation
- 18 shared with the patient, with the express purpose of developing a rational bio-psycho-socio-ecological
- 19 treatment plan informed by that formulation. ⁵³ To illustrate this approach we have used two of the
- 20 most commonly described symptoms after mTBI; headache and dizziness (see Figure 1).⁵⁴
- 21 When compared to primary headache disorders, post-traumatic headache most commonly represents a
- 22 migraine-type headache with associated migraine symptoms including nausea, light and noise
- 23 sensitivity, irritability, and cognitive symptoms; symptoms that are also listed as typical symptoms of
- PCS itself.⁵⁵ Furthermore, a pre-existing or family history of migraine are risk factors for prolonged post-
- 25 traumatic headache.^{56,57} However, although migraine and post-traumatic headache pathophysiology
- 26 may overlap in some patients, there is likely to be a range of pathophysiological processes underpinning
- post-traumatic headache and treating all the same is unlikely to be successful.⁵⁸ For example, persistent
- 28 psychological factors and medication overuse are recognised to prolong post-traumatic headache.⁵⁹
- 29 Therefore, treatment trials that fail to stratify patients and instead treat all post-traumatic headache as
- 30 the same are at risk of failure. Despite these caveats, early treatment of post-traumatic headache,
- 31 particularly in those at greatest risk, and a diagnostic explanation for the patient including the clustering
- 32 of other "postconcussional" symptoms is warranted.
- 33 Post-traumatic dizziness is another good example of symptom clustering. The commonest causes
- following mTBI are benign paroxysmal positional vertigo (BPPV) (40%) and vestibular migraine (34%).⁶⁰
- 35 Vestibular migraine is associated with other migrainous symptoms as discussed above but, perhaps
- 36 surprisingly, BPPV is also associated with cognitive impairments and heightened anxiety, especially if left
- 37 untreated.⁶¹

1 Relationship to psychiatric disorders

- 2 mTBI increases the risk of developing a subsequent psychiatric condition nearly threefold.⁶² However,
- 3 trying to distinguish psychiatric conditions such as anxiety, depression or PTSD from the effects of an
- 4 mTBI can be challenging due to symptom overlap, yet has important implications for symptom
- 5 persistence (see Table 3).^{54,63,64} It is important to remember the symptom overlap can obscure
- 6 diagnostic clarity, 50% of people with depression who have not had a TBI meet criteria for moderate to
- 7 severe PCS.⁶⁵ Alongside the psychosocial impact of head injury, it is of course also possible for
- 8 depression and anxiety to be related to structural brain injury, either as a result of macroscopic damage
- 9 or triggering of a secondary inflammatory process.^{66–68}
- 10 There also remains stigma surrounding mental health diagnoses, which results in a higher likelihood of
- 11 misattributing the cognitive changes to the injury, rather than potentially reversible psychological or
- 12 psychiatric causes. The unfortunate consequence of this is that appropriate, evidence-based treatment
- 13 may not be accessed in a timely way, subsequently worsening the treatment responsiveness and
- 14 prognosis of the psychiatric condition.

15 Functional Neurological Disorder and Somatic Symptom Disorder

- 16 Functional neurological disorder is characterised by internal inconsistency, typically demonstrated by
- 17 the complaint of abnormal function in a system that can be demonstrated (usually clinically, but
- 18 sometimes by investigations) to be capable of normal function.⁶⁹ Over 80% of people with functional
- 19 neurological disorder report a health event near to the onset of functional symptoms.⁷⁰ These events,
- 20 which include accidental injuries, are typically minor and would be expected to improve and not
- 21 produce lasting symptoms in their own right.
- 22 This preceding discussion clearly has relevance for the development of persistent symptoms after mTBI.
- 23 The immediate and lasting physical and psychological consequences of accidents and injuries causing TBI
- could undoubtedly act as triggers to the onset of functional neurological disorder and/or somatic
- 25 symptom disorder in some people, also interacting with pre-morbid risk factors and subsequent
- 26 behaviours such as fear avoidance.⁷¹ Positive diagnosis of such symptoms is possible within normal
- 27 clinical practice, and diagnostic explanation according to best practice is typically a positive and
- 28 empowering experience for patients.

29 Medicolegal impact

- 30 Medicolegal processes appear to be correlated with persistence of symptoms in some people, a finding
- 31 that is often interpreted as evidence that mTBI is psychological in nature.⁷² The fact that there is often
- 32 someone at fault or to blame resulting in adversarial circumstances between involved parties means
- 33 that primary psychological reactions are naturally triggered by the litigation process, such as loss
- 34 aversion, anger, or revenge. The financial implications in this context are not necessarily the motivator
- for the feigning behaviour.^{73,74} It is notable that often from the outset of the medicolegal process, the

- 1 injury might not be acknowledged by the other party. This can result in anger from the injured
- 2 individual, particularly if they are subject to independent assessments where the assumption is that they
- 3 are not injured at all, or perhaps not as severely as thought, or even that they are malingering.⁷³ These
- 4 effects translate into an increased likelihood of feigning behaviour as a behavioural expression of the
- 5 emotional sequelae of the mTBI or the need for revenge if trust is violated.⁷⁵

6 Miscellaneous factors

- 7 In addition to the above causes, it is important to consider the potential effect of non-brain injury
- 8 factors. For example, extracranial injuries influence symptom persistence ⁷⁶. This may be related to
- 9 effects on sleep, pain and psychological impact. Finally, medications commonly prescribed after
- 10 traumatic injuries, particularly opiate based analgesics, can impair cognitive functions, disrupt sleep and
- 11 cause dizziness and nausea.

12 Recommendations on how to implement assessment and

13 treatment for individuals with persistent symptoms after an

14 mTBI

- 15 The use of PCS as a diagnosis remains pervasive despite its removal from the latest iterations of the
- 16 DSM-5 and ICD-11.^{77,78} As discussed above, this syndromic diagnosis belies the complexity of the
- 17 underlying condition and its use acts to close off diagnostic and treatment pathways. In addition,
- 18 misinformation or lack of understanding about the nature of the condition can lead to unrealistic
- expectations, frustration with the medical process and symptom amplification.⁷⁹ Therefore, a
- 20 conceptual change, brought about by the abandonment of these syndromic terms, is important to
- 21 improve understanding and to facilitate the additional assessments and treatments needed.
- Given the incidence of mTBI, it is not feasible for all patients to be seen by specialist interdisciplinary 22 23 teams. We argue that by abandoning syndromic diagnostic labels and reframing the conceptualisation of 24 persistent symptoms as described above, primary care and non-specialist professionals would be more 25 alert to potential diagnoses for symptoms, be able to counsel patients more effectively and instigate 26 relevant treatments. Furthermore, it would allow the selection of those patients who would benefit 27 most from referral to a specialist service. For example, rather than attributing dizziness following a head injury to "PCS", without this diagnostic label further assessment for the cause of the dizziness would be 28 required. This would allow, for example, the identification of potentially treatable causes such as BPPV. 29 30 It would also improve the initial education process for patients, with early education recognised to
- 31 reduce persistent symptoms following mTBI.⁸⁰
- 32 For those patients referred for a specialist opinion, given the potential of this disorder to span
- neurology, psychiatry and psychology, the clinician must be trained to assess the biological and
- 34 psychological elements within a patient, in addition to considering ecological factors such as social and
- 35 economic circumstances. ⁵³ This interface across disciplines is not unique to mTBI, with increasing

- 1 recognition that the assessment and management of many "neurological" and "psychiatric" disorders
- 2 would benefit from expertise across these specialties.^{81,82}
- The aim of this assessment would be to map the cause for an individual's symptoms to a
 pathophysiological or psychopathological process, or both (Figure 1). This should allow:
- An individualised treatment plan which could be based within primary care with
 appropriate support and training (e.g. migraine treatment, psychological treatment and
 medication for neuropsychiatric disorders, management of sleep disturbance or vestibular
 manoeuvres for BPPV)
- 9 2. Appropriate explanation and psychoeducation for the patient to understand the cause of
 10 their symptoms including an understanding of the key role that somatic hypervigilance and
 11 emotional conditioning play in the chronicity of symptoms⁸³
- 123. A specialist multidisciplinary service which can provide specialist assessment and treatment13for a subset of patients with high symptom complexity/severity, treatment resistance or14diagnostic uncertainty.
- Development of clinical trials and experimental research studies within a properly stratified group of patients.
- 17 Finally, there must be capacity for patients to be reviewed if required beyond their initial appointment
- 18 to allow modification of interventions by monitoring the symptom trajectory and response.

19 **Conclusions**

- Persistent symptoms after mTBI represent a common and disabling problem resulting in major personal 20 21 and societal impact. The use of broad syndromic labels for these symptoms, such as PCS and 22 neurocognitive disorder, which build upon the very broad categorisation of head injury severity 23 encompassed by the term mTBI are directly unhelpful in advancing treatment, outcomes and scientific 24 understanding. We conceptualise mTBI instead as an "interface disorder". This means that clinicians and 25 researchers need to appreciate the complexity of the biological, psychological and ecological interfaces 26 that are often present in people with mTBI. This does not equate to a simple, binary biological and 27 psychological split. Recognising this complexity and abandoning the current syndromic terms is an 28 important first step in preventing the premature closure of the diagnostic and treatment pathways. 29 Given the prevalence of the condition, not all patients can be, or indeed need to be, referred to 30 specialist interdisciplinary teams. By supporting accurate diagnosis, patient education and early 31 instigation of evidence-based treatment within primary and non-specialist services, the specialist
- 32 multidisciplinary team is likely to be more effective in providing diagnostic and treatment input for those
- 33 patients with higher levels of complexity and need.

- 1 This approach places the person with mTBI at the centre of a diagnostic formulation which can be used
- 2 collaboratively to develop a rational and personalised therapeutic prescription. Such work, coupled with
- 3 research developments in biomarkers and clinical trials, should result in better outcomes for the many
- 4 people who experience persistent symptoms after mTBI.

5 Funding

- 6 CNC is currently employed in an NIHR Clinical Lecturer post funded by the Molecular and Clinical
- 7 Sciences Research Institute, St George's University of London. CNC is the recipient of an Academy of
- 8 Medical Sciences Starter Grant (REF: SGL025\1014). MJE receives current grant support from NIHR.

9 Competing interests

- 10 MDD: provides expert evidence and clinical treatment in medicolegal settings. MJE: provides
- 11 expert evidence and clinical treatment in medicolegal settings. He receives royalties from the
- 12 Oxford University Press for: The Oxford Specialist Handbook of Parkinson's Disease and Other
- 13 Movement Disorders. In the past year he has received honoraria for education work for Merz
- 14 Pharma. POJ: provides expert evidence in medicolegal settings.

1 References

- Biagianti B, Stocchetti N, Brambilla P, Van Vleet T. Brain dysfunction underlying prolonged post concussive syndrome: A systematic review. J Affect Disord. 2020;262(November 2019):71-76.
 doi:10.1016/j.jad.2019.10.058
- Thornhill S, Teasdale GM, Murray GD, McEwen J, Roy CW, Penny KI. Disability in young people
 and adults one year after head injury: Prospective cohort study. *Br Med J*. 2000;320(7250):1631 1635. doi:10.1136/bmj.320.7250.1631
- 8 3. Ruff RM, Iverson GL, Barth JT, Bush SS, Broshek DK, NAN Policy and Planning Committee.
- 9 Recommendations for diagnosing a mild traumatic brain injury: a National Academy of
- 10 Neuropsychology education paper. *Arch Clin Neuropsychol*. 2009;24(1):3-10.
- 11 doi:10.1093/arclin/acp006
- Silverberg ND, Iverson GL, ACRM Mild TBI Definition Expert Consensus Group and the ACRM
 Brain Injury Special Interest Group Mild TBI Task Force. Expert Panel Survey to Update the
 American Congress of Rehabilitation Medicine Definition of Mild Traumatic Brain Injury. Arch
 Phys Med Rehabil. 2021;102(1):76-86. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2020.08.022
- McCrory P, Meeuwisse W, Dvořák J, et al. Consensus statement on concussion in sport—the 5th
 international conference on concussion in sport held in Berlin, October 2016. *Br J Sports Med*.
 2017;51(11):838-847. doi:10.1136/BJSPORTS-2017-097699
- Holm L, David Cassidy J, Carroll L, Borg J. Summary of the WHO collaborating centre for
 neurotrauma task force on mild traumatic brain injury. *J Rehabil Med*. 2005;37(3):137-141.
 doi:10.1080/16501970510027321
- 22 7. CDC. Report to Congress on Mild Traumatic Brain Injury in the United States: Steps to Prevent a
 23 Serious Public Health Problem. Published online 2003.
- Management of Concussion/mTBI Working Group. VA/DoD Clinical Practice Guideline for
 Management of Concussion/Mild Traumatic Brain Injury. *J Rehabil Res Dev*. 2009;46(6):CP1-68.
 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20108447
- 9. Scholten J, Vasterling JJ, Grimes JB. Brain Injury Traumatic brain injury clinical practice guidelines

1 and best practices from the VA state of the art conference Traumatic brain injury clinical practice 2 guidelines and best practices from the VA state of the art conference. Published online 2017. 3 doi:10.1080/02699052.2016.1274780 4 10. Menon DK, Schwab K, Wright DW, Maas AI. Position statement: Definition of traumatic brain 5 injury. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2010;91(11):1637-1640. doi:10.1016/J.APMR.2010.05.017 6 Ontario Neurotrauma Foundation, Foundation ON. Guideline for Concussion/Mild Traumatic 11. 7 Brain Injury & Persistent Symptoms. Healthcare Professional Version. Published online 2018:250. 8 Accessed February 21, 2022. www.onf.org Malec JF, Brown AW, Leibson CL, et al. The Mayo Classification System for Traumatic Brain Injury 9 12. Severity. https://home.liebertpub.com/neu. 2007;24(9):1417-1424. doi:10.1089/NEU.2006.0245 10 11 Aubry M, Cantu R, Dvorak J, et al. Summary and agreement statement of the first International 13. 12 Conference on Concussion in Sport, Vienna 2001. Br J Sports Med. 2002;36(1):6-7. 13 doi:10.1136/BJSM.36.1.6 Meares S, Shores EA, Taylor AJ, et al. Mild traumatic brain injury does not predict acute 14 14. postconcussion syndrome. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2008;79(3):300-306. 15 16 doi:10.1136/jnnp.2007.126565 17 15. Iverson GL, Lange RT. Examination of "postconcussion-like" symptoms in a healthy sample. Appl Neuropsychol. 2003;10(3):137-144. doi:10.1207/S15324826AN1003 02 18 19 16. Dean PJA, O'Neill D, Sterr A. Post-concussion syndrome: Prevalence after mild traumatic brain 20 injury in comparison with a sample without head injury. Brain Inj. 2012;26(1):14-26. doi:10.3109/02699052.2011.635354 21 17. 22 McKinlay A, Bishop A, McLellan T. Public knowledge of "concussion" and the different 23 terminology used to communicate about mild traumatic brain injury (MTBI). Brain Inj. 2011;25(7-24 8):761-766. doi:10.3109/02699052.2011.579935 25 18. Boake C, McCauley SR, Levin HS, et al. Limited agreement between criteria-based diagnoses of 26 postconcussional syndrome. J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2004;16(4):493-499. 27 doi:10.1176/jnp.16.4.493

- Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/brain/advance-article/doi/10.1093/brain/awac149/6574496 by guest on 28 April 2022
- 1 19. SIGN. SIGN 130 • Brain Injury Rehabilitation in Adults.; 2013. Accessed May 10, 2021. 2 www.sign.ac.uk. 3 Li LM, Dilley MD, Carson A, et al. Management of traumatic brain injury (TBI): a clinical 20. 4 neuroscience-led pathway for the NHS. Qual Improv Clin Med. 2021;21(2):198-205. 5 doi:10.7861/clinmed.2020-0336 6 21. Meares S, Shores EA, Taylor AJ, et al. The Prospective Course of Postconcussion Syndrome: The 7 Role of Mild Traumatic Brain Injury. Neuropsychology. 2011;25(4):454-465. 8 doi:10.1037/a0022580 Edmed S, Sullivan K. Depression, anxiety, and stress as predictors of postconcussion-like 9 22. symptoms in a non-clinical sample. Psychiatry Res. 2012;200(1):41-45. 10 11 doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2012.05.022 Hou R, Moss-Morris R, Peveler R, Mogg K, Bradley BP, Belli A. When a minor head injury results in 12 23. enduring symptoms: A prospective investigation of risk factors for postconcussional syndrome 13 14 after mild traumatic brain injury. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2012;83(2):217-223. 15 doi:10.1136/jnnp-2011-300767
- Uomoto JM, Fann JR. Explanatory style and perception of recovery in symptomatic mild
 traumatic brain injury. *Rehabil Psychol*. 2004;49(4):334-337. doi:10.1037/0090-5550.49.4.334
- Garden N, Sullivan KA, Lange RT. The relationship between personality characteristics and
 postconcussion symptoms in a nonclinical sample. *Neuropsychology*. 2010;24(2):168-175.
 doi:10.1037/a0017431
- Whittaker R, Kemp S, House A. Illness perceptions and outcome in mild head injury: A
 longitudinal study. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2007;78(6):644-646.
 doi:10.1136/jnnp.2006.101105
- Snell DL, Hay-Smith EJC, Surgenor LJ, Siegert RJ. Examination of outcome after mild traumatic
 brain injury: The contribution of injury beliefs and Leventhal's Common Sense Model.
 Neuropsychol Rehabil. 2013;23(3):333-362. doi:10.1080/09658211.2012.758419
- 27 28. Taylor SE, Kemeny ME, Reed GM, Bower JE, Gruenewald TL. Psychological resources, positive
 28 illusions, and health. *Am Psychol*. 2000;55(1):99-109. doi:10.1037//0003-066x.55.1.99

1 29. Martin AK, Petersen AJ, Sesma HW, et al. Concussion symptomology and recovery in children and 2 adolescents with pre-existing anxiety. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2020;91(10):1060-1066. 3 doi:10.1136/jnnp-2020-323137 4 30. Albanese BJ, Boffa JW, Macatee RJ, Schmidt NB. Anxiety sensitivity mediates gender differences 5 in post-concussive symptoms in a clinical sample. Psychiatry Res. 2017;252:242-246. 6 doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2017.01.099 7 31. Bigler ED. Traumatic brain injury, neuroimaging, and neurodegeneration. Front Hum Neurosci. 8 2013;7(JUL). doi:10.3389/fnhum.2013.00395 Eman Abdulle A, van der Naalt J. The role of mood, post-traumatic stress, post-concussive 9 32. 10 symptoms and coping on outcome after MTBI in elderly patients. Int Rev Psychiatry. 2020;32(1):3-11. doi:10.1080/09540261.2019.1664421 11 Kashluba S, Paniak C, Casey JE. Persistent symptoms associated with factors identified by the 12 33. WHO task force on mild traumatic brain injury. Clin Neuropsychol. 2008;22(2):195-208. 13 doi:10.1080/13854040701263655 14 Ilie G, Vingilis ER, Mann RE, et al. The association between traumatic brain injury and ADHD in a 15 34. 16 Canadian adult sample. J Psychiatr Res. 2015;69:174-179. doi:10.1016/j.jpsychires.2015.08.004 17 35. Quinn DK, Mayer AR, Master CL, Fann JR. Prolonged postconcussive symptoms. Am J Psychiatry. 2018;175(2):103-111. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2017.17020235 18 19 36. Smith DH, Stewart W. 'Concussion' is not a true diagnosis. Nat Rev Neurol. 2020;16(9):457-458. doi:10.1038/s41582-020-0382-y 20 21 Perez DL, Nicholson TR, Asadi-Pooya AA, et al. Neuroimaging in Functional Neurological Disorder: 37. 22 State of the Field and Research Agenda. *NeuroImage Clin*. 2021;30:102623. 23 doi:10.1016/j.nicl.2021.102623 38. Maniyar FH, Goadsby PJ. Functional imaging in chronic migraine. *Curr Pain Headache Rep*. 24 25 2013;17(5):333. doi:10.1007/s11916-013-0333-z 26 39. Koenigs M, Grafman J. The functional neuroanatomy of depression: Distinct roles for 27 ventromedial and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex. Behav Brain Res. 2009;201(2):239-243.

1 doi:10.1016/j.bbr.2009.03.004

- Tagge CA, Fisher AM, Minaeva O V., et al. Concussion, microvascular injury, and early tauopathy
 in young athletes after impact head injury and an impact concussion mouse model. *Brain*.
- 4 2018;141(2):422-458. doi:10.1093/brain/awx350
- 5 41. Bigler ED. Neuropsychological results and neuropathological findings at autopsy in a case of mild
 6 traumatic brain injury. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc.* 2004;10(5):794-806.
- 7 doi:10.1017/S1355617704105146
- Kampos-Pires R, Hirnet T, Valeo F, et al. Xenon improves long-term cognitive function, reduces
 neuronal loss and chronic neuroinflammation, and improves survival after traumatic brain injury
 in mice. *Br J Anaesth*. 2019;123(1):60-73. doi:10.1016/j.bja.2019.02.032
- Messé A, Caplain S, Pélégrini-Issac M, et al. Structural integrity and postconcussion syndrome in
 mild traumatic brain injury patients. *Brain Imaging Behav*. 2012;6(2):283-292.
 doi:10.1007/s11682-012-9159-2
- 14 44. Messé A, Caplain S, Pélégrini-Issac M, et al. Specific and Evolving Resting-State Network
- 15 Alterations in Post-Concussion Syndrome Following Mild Traumatic Brain Injury. *PLoS One*.
- 16 2013;8(6). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0065470
- 17 45. Sours C, Zhuo J, Roys S, Shanmuganathan K, Gullapalli RP. Disruptions in resting state functional
- 18 connectivity and cerebral blood flow in mild traumatic brain injury patients. *PLoS One*.
- 19 2015;10(8). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0134019
- George EO, Roys S, Sours C, et al. Longitudinal and prognostic evaluation of mild traumatic brain
 injury: A 1H-magnetic resonance spectroscopy study. *J Neurotrauma*. 2014;31(11):1018-1028.
 doi:10.1089/neu.2013.3224
- Henry DE, Chiodo AE, Yang W. Central nervous system reorganization in a variety of chronic pain
 states: A review. *PM R*. 2011;3(12):1116-1125. doi:10.1016/j.pmrj.2011.05.018
- 48. Wäljas M, Iverson GL, Lange RT, et al. A prospective biopsychosocial study of the persistent postconcussion symptoms following mild traumatic brain injury. *J Neurotrauma*. 2015;32(8):534-547.
 doi:10.1089/neu.2014.3339

1	49.	Chong CD, Schwedt TJ. Migraine affects white-matter tract integrity: A diffusion-tensor imaging
2		study. Cephalalgia. 2015;35(13):1162-1171. doi:10.1177/0333102415573513
3	50.	Qin Z, He XW, Zhang J, et al. Structural changes of cerebellum and brainstem in migraine without
4		aura. J Headache Pain. 2019;20(1). doi:10.1186/s10194-019-1045-5
5	51.	Liao Y, Huang X, Wu Q, et al. Is depression a disconnection syndrome? Meta- analysis of diffusion
6		tensor imaging studies in patients with MDD. J Psychiatry Neurosci. 2013;38(1):49-56.
7		doi:10.1503/jpn.110180
8	52.	Fani N, King TZ, Jovanovic T, et al. White matter integrity in highly traumatized adults with and
9		without post-traumatic stress disorder. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2012;37(12):2740-2746.
10		doi:10.1038/npp.2012.146
11	53.	National Academies of Sciences E and M. Traumatic Brain Injury: A Roadmap for Accelerating
12		Progress. Berwick D, Bowman K, Matney C, eds. Published online February 1, 2022.
13		doi:10.17226/25394
14	54.	Déry J, De Guise É, Bussières ÈL, Lamontagne ME. Prognostic factors for persistent symptoms in
15		adults with mild traumatic brain injury: protocol for an overview of systematic reviews. Syst Rev.
16		2021;10(1):1-8. doi:10.1186/S13643-021-01810-6/TABLES/1
17	55.	Labastida-Ramírez A, Benemei S, Albanese M, et al. Persistent post-traumatic headache: a
18		migrainous loop or not? The clinical evidence. <i>J Headache Pain</i> . 2020;21(1):55.
19		doi:10.1186/s10194-020-01122-5
20	56.	Sufrinko A, McAllister-Deitrick J, Elbin R, Collins MW, Kontos AP. Family History of Migraine
21		Associated With Posttraumatic Migraine Symptoms Following Sport-Related Concussion. J Head
22		Trauma Rehabil. 2018;33(1):7-14. doi:10.1097/HTR.000000000000315
23	57.	Hoffman JM, Lucas S, Dikmen S, et al. Natural history of headache after traumatic brain injury. J
24		Neurotrauma. 2011;28(9):1719-1725. doi:10.1089/NEU.2011.1914
25	58.	Ashina H, Porreca F, Anderson T, et al. Post-traumatic headache: epidemiology and
26		pathophysiological insights. Nat Rev Neurol. 2019;15(10):607-617. doi:10.1038/s41582-019-
27		0243-8

1 59. Ashina H, Eigenbrodt AK, Seifert T, et al. Post-traumatic headache attributed to traumatic brain 2 injury: classification, clinical characteristics, and treatment. *Lancet Neurol*. 2021;20(6):460-469. 3 doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(21)00094-6 4 Marcus HJ, Paine H, Sargeant M, et al. Vestibular dysfunction in acute traumatic brain injury. J 60. 5 Neurol. 2019;266(10):2430-2433. doi:10.1007/s00415-019-09403-z 6 61. Cosetti MK, Lalwani AK. Dizziness, imbalance, and vestibular dysfunction. In: Textbook of Traumatic Brain Injury. 2nd ed. APPI, Washington, DC; 2011:351-361. 7 8 62. Fann JR, Burington B, Leonetti A, Jaffe K, Katon WJ, Thompson RS. Psychiatric Illness Following 9 Traumatic Brain Injury in an Adult Health Maintenance Organization Population. Arch Gen 10 *Psychiatry*. 2004;61(1):53-61. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.61.1.53 Delmonico RL, Theodore BR, Sandel ME, Armstrong MA, Camicia M. Prevalence of depression 11 63. and anxiety disorders following mild traumatic brain injury. PM R. Published online 2021. 12 doi:10.1002/PMRJ.12657 13 Greer N, Ackland P, Sayer N, et al. Relationship of Deployment-related Mild Traumatic Brain 14 64. Injury to Posttraumatic Stress Disorder, Depressive Disorders, Substance Use Disorders, Suicidal 15 16 Ideation, and Anxiety Disorders: A Systematic Review. Relatsh Deployment-related Mild Trauma 17 Brain Inj to Posttraumatic Stress Disord Depress Disord Subst Use Disord Suicidal Ideation, Anxiety Disord A Syst Rev. Published online 2019. Accessed February 23, 2022. 18 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK541421/ 19 20 65. Iverson GL. Misdiagnosis of the persistent postconcussion syndrome in patients with depression. 21 Arch Clin Neuropsychol. 2006;21(4):303-310. doi:10.1016/j.acn.2005.12.008 22 66. Guedes VA, Kenney K, Shahim P, et al. Exosomal neurofilament light. *Neurology*. 23 2020;94(23):e2412-e2423. doi:10.1212/WNL.000000000009577 67. 24 Juengst SB, Kumar RG, Failla MD, Goyal A, Wagner AK. Acute Inflammatory Biomarker Profiles 25 Predict Depression Risk Following Moderate to Severe Traumatic Brain Injury. J Head Trauma *Rehabil*. 2015;30(3):207-218. doi:10.1097/HTR.000000000000031 26 27 68. Risbrough VB, Vaughn MN, Friend SF. Role of Inflammation in Traumatic Brain Injury-Associated 28 Risk for Neuropsychiatric Disorders: State of the Evidence and Where Do We Go From Here. Biol

- 80. Boussard CN De, Holm LW, Cancelliere C, et al. Nonsurgical interventions after mild traumatic
 brain injury: a systematic review. Results of the International Collaboration on Mild Traumatic
 Brain Injury Prognosis. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2014;95(3 Suppl).
 doi:10.1016/J.APMR.2013.10.009
- Arciniegas DB, Kaufer DI. Core Curriculum for Training in Behavioral Neurology & amp;
 Neuropsychiatry. *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci*. 2006;18(1):6-13. doi:10.1176/jnp.18.1.6
- Perez DL, Keshavan MS, Scharf JM, Boes AD, Price BH. Bridging the Great Divide: What Can
 Neurology Learn From Psychiatry? *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci*. 2018;30(4):271-278.
 doi:10.1176/APPI.NEUROPSYCH.17100200
- 10 83. Silver JM. Neuropsychiatry of Persistent Symptoms After Concussion. *Psychiatr Clin North Am*.
- 11 2014;37(1):91-102. doi:10.1016/j.psc.2013.11.001
- 12
- 13

1 Figure legends

2 Figure 1 Two distinct approaches to the same symptom complex post mTBI. (A) demonstrates the

- 3 consequence of the PCS label being applied resulting in a single non-specific treatment. (B) Adopting an
- 4 individualised diagnostic formulation to consider and identify the multiple potential causative factors
- 5 potentially underlying identical symptom complexes. The consequence is instigation of targeted
- 6 evidence-based individualised treatment plans. Dotted grey arrows represent contributory processes to
- 7 symptom persistence/amplification.
- 8
- 9

Criteria (Year)	Definition of head injury	Factors related to injury can include
CDC (2003) 7	Blunt trauma to head or acceleration/deceleration forces results in a brief alteration of mental status or brief loss of consciousness	GCS: 13-15, LOC<30 mins, PTA<=24 hours Non-penetrating cranio-cerebral injury
WHO (2005) ⁶	Acute brain injury resulting from mechanical energy to the head from external physical forces.	GCS 13-15 ^a , LOC <= 30 mins; PTA<24 hours; and/or other transient neurological abnormalities ^{b.c} , and intracranial lesion not requiring surger
Mayo (2007): Mild (Probable) TBI ¹²	Traumatically induced injury that contributed to physiological disruption of brain function	GCS: 13-15 (>=13 at 30 minutes), LOC momentary to 30 mins, PTA momentary to 24 hours, skull # with intact dura EXCLUSION if death due to TBI, worst GCS in 1 st 24 hours <13 ^c , abnormal CT head
Mayo (2007): Symptomatic (Possible) TBI ¹²	Traumatically induced injury that contributed to physiological disruption of brain function	Symptoms only ^d . Symptoms must not be attributable to pre-existing or co-morbid diagnoses. EXCLUSION if criteria met for mild probable TB
VA/DoD (2009) ⁸	A traumatically induced structural injury and/or physiological disruption of brain function as a result of an external force	GCS 13-15°, LOC momentary to 30 mins; Alteration of consciousness/mental state (AOC) momentary up to 24 hours; PTA <2 hours; Neurological deficits ^f that may or may not be transient, Normal structural imaging
Ontario Neurotrauma (2018) ^f	Concussion/mTBI denotes the acute neurophysiological event related to blunt impact or other mechanical energy applied to the head, neck or body (with transmitting forces to the brain), such as from sudden acceleration, deceleration or rotational forces	LOC< 30 mins, Any AOC at the time of the injury, PTA <=24 hours, Physical Symptoms ^h , Normal standard imaging
ACRM (2021) ⁴	A traumatically induced physiological disruption of brain function	Symptoms following a head impact, without associated observable signs some instances), Recommendation (1) consider a probabilistic framework that weighs observable signs more than subjective symptoms and (2) incorporate objective cognitive, balance, and vestibular-oculomotor tes findings 1993 Criteria ^c : Initial GCS 13-15; any LOC; any AOC at the time of the injury and focal neurologic deficit(s) that may or may not be transient; a PTA
I st International conference of concussion in sport (2002) ¹³	A complex pathophysiological process affecting the brain, induced by traumatic biomechanical forces.	 Direct blow to the head, face, neck, or elsewhere on the body with a "impulsive" force transmitted to the head Rapid onset of short-lived impairment of neurological function that resolves spontaneously. May result in neuropathological changes, but the acute clinical symptoms largely reflect a functional disturbance versus structural injur 4. Results in a graded set of clinical syndromes that may or may not involve LOC. Resolution of the clinical and cognitive symptoms typically follows a sequential course Typically grossly normal structural neuroimaging studies
5 th International conference of concussion in sport (2017) ⁵	SRC is a traumatic brain injury induced by biomechanical forces	 S. Typically grossly normal screecting neuronnaging studies Modifications to above: In some cases, signs and symptoms evolve over a number of mins to hours. No abnormality is seen on standard structural neuroimaging SRC results in a range of clinical signs and symptoms^c In some cas symptoms may be prolonged

Table I Key definitions of mTBI and Concussion 1 itoria (V Definition of head inju Г

^a Ideally at 30 minutes post injury or 1st opportunity presented to healthcare ^b such as focal signs, seizure The clinical signs and symptoms cannot be explained by alternate cause e.g. drugs or other comorbidities (eg, psychological factors or

events immediately before or after the accident; SRC: Sports related concussion

coexisting medical conditions) ^d blurred vision, confusion (mental state changes), dazed, dizziness, focal neurologic symptoms, headache, nausea.

^e Take best available score <24 hours.

^fWeakness, loss of balance, change in vision, praxis, paresis/plegia, sensory loss, aphasia, etc.

^g Stratified into high and low risk mTBI

^h vestibular, headache, weakness, loss of balance, change in vision, auditory sensitivity, dizziness

-
^

Table 2 Current definitions of persistent symptoms post head injury

	Timing	Trigger	General	Physical	Emotional	Cognition
Postconcussional syndrome (ICD- 10)	Chronic, permanent, or late emerging	Head injury usually with loss of consciousness	Not explicitly specified	Headache, dizziness, fatigue, insomnia	Irritability, reduced tolerance to stress, emotional excitement and alcohol	Difficulties with concentration, memory and mental tasks ^a
Mild neurocognitive disorder (ICD- 11)	< I m between head injury and symptom onset	Head injury with loss of consciousness or aetiology may be undetermined.	Not sufficiently severe to significantly interfere with independence or activities of daily living	Headache, dizziness, fatigue, insomnia, noise intolerance,	Irritability, reduced alcohol tolerance, depression, anxiety, emotional lability, preoccupation with symptoms	Subjective decline in concentration, memory, or intellectual difficulties
Major/Mild neurocognitive disorders due to TBI (DSM-5)	From injury or when consciousness recovers. Persists beyond acute period. Resolution: usually complete and <3m	Head injury	Severe vs modest interference with ability to be independent in functions of daily living.	Headache, vertigo, sleep disorder, tinnitus, hyperacusis, photosensitivity, anosmia, hemiparesis, seizures, visual disturbance, orthopaedic injury, cranial nerve or neuromotor deficits	Irritability, reduced tolerance to psychotropic medication, loss of emotional control (e.g. aggression), inappropriate affect, apathy, anxiety, depressed mood ^b , altered personality and/or social cognition	Difficulty concentrating, learning and memory, executive function, slowed processing speed, reduced cognitive efficiency, decline in language, neglect, constructional dyspraxia,

m = month(s).
 ^a persistent disorientation and confusion (compare PTSD with specific triggers).
 ^b depressive and anxiety symptoms amplify cognitive complaints and worsen functional outcome.

Table 3 Diag				symptoms post head i		
	Timing	Trigger				
PTSD ^a Generalized Anxiety Disorder			General Impaired social, occupational and other aspects of functioning Impaired social, occupational	Physical Disturbed sleep (e.g. recurrent distressing dreams related to trauma), change in arousal, hypervigilance for potential threats, episodic physical symptoms can act as trigger for PTSD symptoms ^b Disturbed sleep, fatigue, exaggerated startle, muscle	Emotional Irritability, outbursts, reckless, flashbacks, intense/prolonged distress related to cues with/without avoidance, persistent negative emotional state Irritability, anxiety/fear not related to traumatic event or	Cognition Difficulty concentrating, inability to remember important aspects of event (not due to head injury), recurrent distressing memories ^g (consider OCD criteria for obsession if unrelated to trauma) Difficulty concentrating owing to worrisome
	than 50% of the time for >6m		and other aspects of functioning	tension or soreness, change in arousal including panic attacks, somatic symptoms e.g. sweating, diarrhoea	specific triggers, overestimate dangers/ future threat with avoidance, worry about multiple events, situations or activities	thoughts , mind going blank
Major Depressive disorder	>2 weeks duration of new or clearly worsened symptoms, can be discrete episodes	Can be traumatic/stressful event, often on a background of adverse childhood experiences	Impaired social, occupational and other aspects of functioning	Sleep disturbance, fatigue, weight change, loss of libido, General heaviness of limbs ^c , somatic symptoms especially pain ^d , bsvchomotor agitation or retardation	Irritable ^e , outbursts, excessive guilt/worthlessness, low/dysphoric mood or anhedonia, diminished interest, suicidal thoughts, anxiety, phobias, excessive worry	Difficulty concentrating, thinking, distractibility, indecisiveness, obsessive rumination (compare PTSD where related to a specific event)
Functional neurological disorder	Acute: <6m duration (may have similar previous episodes) Persistent: >6m	Onset may be preceded by injury (physical/ psychological)	Impaired social, occupational and other aspects of functioning	Disturbance of any neurological system, internal inconsistency on examination	Can be associated with dissociative symptoms at onset or during attacks, <i>distress</i> associated with loss of function	Absent from definition
Somatic symptom disorder	Any one symptom may not be persistent but state of being symptomatic >6m	Can be precipitated by stressful life events	Symptoms are distressing or result in significant disruption of daily life	May represent normal bodily sensations/discomfort, may be specific (e.g. localised <i>pain</i>) or generalized (e.g. fatigue)	Persistently high levels of anxiety related to symptom and/or family history of disease, appraise bodily symptoms as threatening ^f	Excessive thoughts related to somatic symptom (thoughts are less intrusive than OCD)

Within symptom columns; Bold denotes useful differentiating features; Itallic denotes shared symptoms across >1 category; m; month(s); OCD;

^a >80% likely to have symptoms that meet diagnostic criteria for another mental disorder eg depression, bipolar disorder, anxiety, substance

^d Depression single diagnosis if somatic symptoms and related thoughts, feelings or behaviours occur only during major depressive episodes. if mood disturbance characterised by irritability alone in the absence of sadness/anhedonia consider alternative diagnoses e.g. ADHD. Anxiety is focused on symptoms and distress caused by the symptoms (compare Generalized Anxiety Disorder). Absence of repetitive

^b new onset of somatic symptoms within the context of posttraumatic distress may indicate PTSD rather than a functional neurological

disorder.

Obsessive compulsive disorder.

use compared to those without PTSD.

^c versus focal and more prominent in functional neurological disorder.

behaviours aimed to reduce anxiety that occur in OCD.

oses and important differentials for persistent symptoms post head injury from DSM-5 1 Table 3 Dia

