Gene variant effects across sodium channelopathies predict function and guide precision therapy

Andreas Brunklaus,[1,2,†] Tony Feng,[1,2,†] Tobias Brünger,[3,†] Eduardo Perez-Palma,[4] Henrike Heyne,[5,6,7] Emma Matthews,[8] Christopher Semsarian,[9,10,11] Joseph D. Symonds,[1,2] Sameer M. Zuberi,[1,2] Dennis Lal[12,13] and Stephanie Schorge[14]

[†]**These authors contributed equally to this work.**

1 The Paediatric Neurosciences Research Group, Royal Hospital for Children, Glasgow, UK

2 Institute of Health and Wellbeing, University of Glasgow, UK

3 Cologne Center for Genomics, University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany

4 Universidad del Desarrollo, Centro de Genética y Genómica, Facultad de Medicina Clínica Alemana, Santiago, Chile

5 Genomic and Personalized Medicine, Hasso Plattner Institute, Digital Health Center, Potsdam, Germany

6 Hasso Plattner Institute, Mount Sinai School of Medicine, NY, USA

7 Institute for Molecular Medicine Finland: FIMM, Helsinki, Finland

8 Atkinson Morley Neuromuscular Centre, St George's University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, and Molecular and Clinical Sciences Research Institute, St George's University of London, London, UK

9 Agnes Ginges Centre for Molecular Cardiology at Centenary Institute, The University of Sydney, Australia

10 Sydney Medical School Faculty of Medicine and Health, The University of Sydney, Australia

11 Department of Cardiology, Royal Prince Alfred Hospital, Australia

12 Epilepsy Center, Neurological Institute, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, USA

13 Stanley Center for Psychiatric Genetics, Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA

14 Department of Neuroscience, Physiology and Pharmacology, UCL, London WC1E 6BT, UK

Correspondence to: Dr Andreas Brunklaus, MD

Consultant Pediatric Neurologist, Honorary Clinical Senior Lecturer University of Glasgow

Fraser of Allander Neurosciences Unit, Office Block, Ground Floor, Zone 2

Royal Hospital for Children, 1345 Govan Road, Glasgow G51 4TF, UK

E-mail: andreas.brunklaus@glasgow.ac.uk

Correspondence may also be addressed to: Professor Stephanie Schorge, PhD

Professor of Translational Neuroscience

Department of Neuroscience, Physiology and Pharmacology, UCL,

London WC1E 6BT, UK

E-mail: s.schorge@ucl.ac.uk

Running title: Variant effect similarity across *SCN*s

Abstract

Pathogenic variants in the voltage-gated sodium channel gene family (SCNs) lead to early onset epilepsies, neurodevelopmental disorders, skeletal muscle channelopathies, peripheral neuropathies and cardiac arrhythmias. Disease-associated variants have diverse functional effects ranging from complete loss-of-function to marked gain-of-function. Therapeutic strategy is likely to depend on functional effect. Experimental studies offer important insights into channel function, but are resource intensive and only performed in a minority of cases. Given the evolutionarily conserved nature of the sodium channel genes we investigated whether similarities in biophysical properties between different voltage-gated sodium channels can predict function and inform precision treatment across sodium channelopathies. We performed a systematic literature search identifying functionally assessed variants in any of the nine voltage-gated sodium channel genes until 28 April 2021. We included missense variants that had been electrophysiologically characterised in mammalian cells in whole-cell patch-clamp recordings. We performed an alignment of linear protein sequences of all sodium channel genes and correlated variants by their overall functional effect on biophysical properties. Of 951 identified records, 437 sodium channel-variants met our inclusion criteria and were reviewed for functional properties. Of these, 141 variants were epilepsy-associated (*SCN1/2/3/8A*), 79 had a neuromuscular phenotype (*SCN4/9/10/11A*), 149 were associated with a cardiac phenotype (*SCN5/10A*) and 68 (16%) were considered benign. We detected 38 missense variant pairs with an identical disease-associated variant in a different sodium channel gene. 35 out of 38 of those pairs resulted in similar functional consequences indicating up to 92% biophysical agreement between corresponding sodium channel variants (odds ratio = 11.3; 95% CI = 2.8 to 66.9; $P<0.001$). Pathogenic missense variants were clustered in specific functional domains, whereas population variants were significantly more frequent across non conserved domains (odds ratio = 18.6; 95% CI = 10.9 to 34.4; $P<0.001$). Pore-loop regions were frequently associated with loss-of-function (LoF) variants, whereas inactivation sites were associated with gain-of-function (GoF; odds ratio = 42.1, 95% CI = 14.5 to 122.4; $P<0.001$), whilst variants occurring in voltage-sensing regions comprised a range of gain- and loss-of-function effects. Our findings suggest that biophysical characterisation of variants in one *SCN*-gene can predict channel function across different *SCN*-genes where experimental data are not available. The collected data represent the first GoF versus LoF topological map of SCN proteins indicating shared patterns of biophysical effects aiding variant analysis and guiding precision therapy. We integrated our findings into a free online webtool to facilitate functional sodium channel gene variant interpretation (http://SCN-viewer.broadinstitute.org).

Keywords: *SCN1A*; *SCN2A*; *SCN4A*; *SCN5A*; *SCN8A*

Abbreviations: ACMG = American college of medical genetics; BS = Brugada syndrome; D = domain; DS = Dravet syndrome; FHM3 = familial hemiplegic migraine type 3; GEFS+ = genetic epilepsy with febrile seizures plus; gnomAD = genome aggregation database; GoF = gain-of-function; LoF = loss-of-function; LQT3 = long-QT syndrome; NC = not conserved; NDD = neurodevelopmental disorder; PEPD = paroxysmal extreme pain disorder; PER = pathogenic enriched region; PMC = paramyotonia congenita; R0-R4 = arginine residues; S = segment; SCN = voltage-gate sodium channel; STW = similar-to-wildtype; VSR = voltage-sensing region

Introduction

Voltage-gated sodium channel genes (*SCN1-11A*) encode a homologous family of nine functionally expressed sodium channels (SCN) from Nav1.1 to Nav1.9.[1, 2] They play a key role in initiating action potentials[3] and are extensively distributed throughout the nervous system. Variants in *SCN*-genes are associated with early onset epilepsies, neurodevelopmental disorders, skeletal muscle channelopathies, peripheral neuropathies and cardiac conduction defects.[4, 5] All nine *SCN*-genes share common evolutionary origins and a conserved basic structure, consisting of four homologous domains (DI-IV), each containing six transmembrane segments (S1-6) with up to 85% amino acid sequence similarity between them.[6, 7] With the emergence of modern sequencing techniques facilitating genetic diagnosis, *SCN*-related disorders are promising candidates for precision therapies.[8] However, predicting the impact of a variant on channel kinetics without prior functional characterisation is challenging. Variants occurring within the same gene show remarkable phenotype variability depending on their location and effect on biophysical properties, while variants occurring in different *SCN*-genes may result in similar phenotypes. Such genetic and clinical complexity hinders the establishment of genotype-phenotype correlations.

Genetic variants in *SCN1/2/3/8A* are responsible for a significant proportion of monogenic epilepsies and neurodevelopmental disorders (NDDs). Loss-of-function (LoF) variants in *SCN1A* manifest variable phenotypes, ranging from milder presentations such as genetic epilepsy with febrile seizure plus (GEFS+) to the severe developmental and epileptic encephalopathy Dravet syndrome (DS), whereas gain-of-function (GoF) variants are associated with familial hemiplegic migraine (FHM3).[9-14] Variants in *SCN2/3/8A* are clinically heterogenous, causing different forms of epilepsy ranging from self-limited infantile epilepsy to developmental and epileptic encephalopathies (DEEs) including Early Infantile DEE (Ohtahara Syndrome) and Infantile Spasms Syndrome.[15-17] Patients with *SCN5A* variants showing GoF manifest long-QT syndrome (LQT3), while LoF variants cause Brugada syndrome.[18, 19] *SCN4A* variants are responsible for a significant proportion of skeletal muscle channelopathies[20, 21] while *SCN9/10/11A* are primarily associated with peripheral neuropathies; both are predominantly caused by GoF variants and present with variable severity.[22-24] Whilst the majority of *SCN* related disorders are dominant conditions, newer *SCN4A*-linked phenotypes including congenital myasthenia and congenital myopathy have been identified caused by LoF variants inherited in a recessive manner.[25, 26]

Sodium channelopathies display varying treatment responsiveness depending on the underlying functional effect. While many patients with *SCN2/3/8A*-related epilepsy remain treatment resistant, those caused by GoF variants, tend to respond to sodium channel blockers (SCBs), whereas those with LoF variants do not.[27-30] In contrast, patients with Dravet syndrome due to LoF *SCN1A*-variants worsen with SCBs.[31, 32] Similarly, SCBs suppress pathological currents in patients with LQT3 caused by GoF *SCN5A* variants,[33] whereas patients with Brugada syndrome caused by LoF are often treatment-resistant, relying on device implantation for arrhythmia control.[34, 35] As functional knowledge is a key determinant for optimal management, variant interpretation without requiring resource-intensive electrophysiological studies represents an unmet clinical need.

To improve our understanding of *SCN*-related disorders, our objective was to investigate relationships between variant type, location and biophysical properties across all *SCN*-subtypes. Applying evidence from functional studies we aimed to build a framework that informs clinical practice and guides precision therapy.

Materials and methods

Search strategy and selection criteria

In accordance with PRISMA guidance, we systematically searched PubMed up until 28 April 2021 to identify studies published in English describing functional characteristics of missense variants using the terms "clamp AND *SCN1A"*. The same search was applied for *SCN2-11A*. To narrow search criteria for *SCN5A*, we added "Brugada" or "QT". In addition, we manually searched sodium channel mutation databases and bibliographies that were found through our systematic PubMed search. Any duplicate citations were removed, and all remaining studies were screened for relevance (Supplementary Fig. 1). Only missense variants electrophysiologically characterised by whole-cell patch clamp experiments were assessed for eligibility. To improve comparison of biophysical properties, only variants characterised in mammalian cells were included. We excluded variants if they had no evidence of a recognised human disease phenotype. We did not double count identical variants, but did consider patch clamp data from different sources if available. Data were independently reviewed by three researchers (A.B., T.F. & S.S.).

Variant analysis

Variants were categorised as either gain-of-function (GoF), loss-of-function (LoF), mixed-function (mixed) or similar-to-wildtype (STW) function depending on their effect on biophysical properties (Supplementary Methods). We define any biophysical change entailing an increase in the Na+ permeability as GoF, and the opposite for LoF. In some cases, variants demonstrated a paradoxical effect on channel properties, i.e. decreased peak current and increased persistent current. Where one effect was not clearly dominant, they were classified as "mixed".[36] Variants that exhibited wildtype-like function or lacked pronounced impact on channel function were classified as STW and assumed benign. To detect analogous missense variants amongst *SCN1-11A* genes, we performed an alignment of linear protein sequences using the '*PER viewer*' (pathogenic variant enriched regions (PERs) across genes and gene families, http://www.per.broadinstitute.org).[37] Variants detected in the same alignment index position were investigated for similarities in their overall functional effects. Variants occurring in positions where the reference amino acid was different across *SCN1-11A* in the PER alignment were classified as "not conserved" as this suggests that they were not conserved across evolution, and thus less likely to be functionally significant.

Population variants were collected for all *SCN1A-11A* genes where at least one pathogenic variant was previously identified from the Genome Aggregation Database (gnomAD, http://gnomad.broadinstitute.org), that provides access to germline variants from >140,000 exomes as well as 15,000 genomes from the general population. Assumed benign variants, which showed a wildtype like behaviour were added to the population variant cohort from gnomAD. Not conserved variants that were also present in gnomAD were assumed benign. The term benign implies that these variants occur frequently without disease; however, might still be associated with functional change.

In-silico prediction of functional variant effects

Heyne et al.[38] recently developed an in-silico prediction tool ('*funNCion*'; http://funNCion.broadinstitute.org) estimating functional consequences of voltage-gated sodium and calcium channels. The tool does not consider evidence from biophysical experiments, but infers channel function from a large dataset of clinical disease phenotypes. We applied the '*funNCion*' tool on our cohort of functionally characterised *SCN* variants to establish the tools accuracy and clinical utility compared to actual biophysical readouts.

Data analysis

A two-tailed Fishers' Exact test with Bonferroni correction was performed to assess the burden of pathogenic variants across different regions of the SCN protein, to compare pathogenic LoF and GoF variants and to determine categorical differences in functional effect and differences in phenotype or variant distribution across related *SCN*-subtypes. Similarly, Fisher's Exact test was performed to test whether missense variant pairs with identical disease-associated variants across different *SCN*-genes have more often similar functional consequences than expected by random sampling. Significance was tested for all tests at the 5% level and analysis was performed using R version 4.0.3.

Data availability

All data used in this study are available in the manuscript, the supplementary material and via the free online webtool (http://SCN-viewer.broadinstitute.org).

Results

Literature search

From our systematic PubMed search, we found 951 records, and following elimination of 127 duplicate citations, screened 824 titles and abstracts. 535 records were excluded as they did not include a patch-clamp experiment on a missense *SCN* variant and 289 records including 569 variants were subsequently assessed by full-text analysis. After additional manual searching and exclusion due to ineligibility, we identified 437 missense variants in the literature that were functionally characterised by whole-cell patch-clamp experiments. Of these, 369 (84%) variants were assessed as pathogenic including 141 associated with epilepsy (*SCN1/2/3/8A)*, 79 with a neuromuscular phenotype *(SCN4/9/10/11A)* and 149 with a cardiac phenotype (*SCN5/10A)*. 68 (16%) variants were considered benign as they either had properties similar to wildtype or were both not conserved and present in gnomAD. (Supplementary Fig. 1, Supplementary Table 1).

Similarities in variant function and distribution across related sodium channels

To illustrate the distribution of missense variants and similarities in functional consequences across related sodium channels, we plotted the position of 369 *SCN1-11A* pathogenic variants according to

their corresponding *SCN1A* alignment index position and compared their position to the distribution of 3454 gnomAD variants (Fig. 1). Enrichment analysis comparing pathogenic vs gnomAD variants demonstrates that pathogenic missense variants are clustered in specific functional domains, whereas gnomAD variants are significantly more frequent across functionally less important and not conserved cytoplasmic domains (odds ratio = 18.6; 95% CI = 10.9 to 34.4; *P*<0.001; Fig. 2).

The majority of pathogenic variants are located in the homologous domains D1-4 (Fig. 3). Pathogenic variants distributed across all four S5-6 pore-loop regions appeared to be predominantly LoF (91%, 58/64), whereas variants occurring in voltage-sensing regions (VSR, including S3-4, S4, S4-5) comprised a range of GoF (47%, 51/107), LoF (38%, 41/107) and mixed function (15%, 16/107) effects. In the fast inactivation gate (DIII-IV), 83% of variants were GoF (24/29), all occurring throughout the first half of the intracellular linker. Other sites implicated in inactivation gating including the intracellular S4-5 regions in DIII and DIV as well as DIVS6 shared this pattern of harbouring predominantly GoF variants (69%, 34/49).[39, 40] Overall, pore-loop regions were frequently associated with LoF variants, whereas inactivation sites were associated with GoF (odds ratio = 42.1, 95% CI = 14.5 to 122.4; *P*<0.001). The C-terminus displayed a range of GoF (46%, 12/26), LoF (27%, 7/26) and mixed effects (27%, 7/26). Here, GoF and mixed variants appear to cluster in the proximal region, whereas a minority of LoF variants were found distally. Overall, very few variants occurred in cytoplasmic regions (N-terminus, DI-II and DII-III linkers). A 3D illustration comparing GoF versus LoF locations across the SCN protein is detailed in Fig. 4.

Benign variants displayed a different distribution, comprising 40% of all variants identified in the N-terminus 8/20 - mainly located in the initial segment), 56% in the large DI-II intracellular linker (10/18), 57% in the large DII-III intracellular linker (8/14) and 26% in the C-terminus (9/35 – mainly located in the distal segment). In contrast, the fast inactivation gate was free of benign variants, and very few were found in other sites implicated in inactivation gating, including S4-5 of DIII and DIV, and DIVS6.

Corresponding variants in different *SCN*-genes have similar function

Among all functionally characterised *SCN1-11A* variants we identified 38 random pairs with a corresponding analogous identical disease-associated variant in a different *SCN*-gene, including six previously reported pairs[41] (Supplementary Table 2, Fig. 3). The missense variants in each of these pairs have similar functional consequences in 35 out of the 38 pairs (92%) regardless of the type of voltage-gated sodium channel affected (odds ratio = 11.3; 95% CI = 2.8 to 66.9; *P*<0.001). Many of these pairs

were found at conserved channel locations, including three LoF pairs between *SCN1/2/5A* at pore-loop regions, seven GoF pairs between *SCN1-9A* at sites implicated in channel inactivation, and eight pairs between *SCN1/4/5/8A* at S4 showing a mixture of GoF, LoF and mixed-function effects (Fig. 3). The only three pairs with divergent function included the *SCN1A* F1661S / *SCN4A* F1473S[42-44] variant pair and the *SCN1A* M1664K / *SCN9A* M1627K[45-48] variant pair, both located in the D4 S4-5 linker region as well as the *SCN1A* Q1923R / *SCN5A* Q1909R,[49-51] variant pair located in the distal C-terminus. Whilst the *SCN4A* variant was identified in a patient with paramyotonia congenita (PMC) due to GoF, the *SCN9A* variant in a patient with paroxysmal extreme pain disorder (PEPD) equally due to GoF and the *SCN5A* variant in a patient with sudden infant death syndrome, associated with mixed function, the three corresponding *SCN1A* variants were identified in patients with GEFS+/Dravet syndrome and found to be LoF/mixed function. The *SCN1A* variants led to impaired channel trafficking and reduced cell surface expression resulting in a reduction of peak current, not allowing for detailed biophysics to be recorded. In contrast, the trafficking of the *SCN4A*, *SCN5A* and *SCN9A* variants did not appear affected.

Comparison of reported biophysical effects versus predicted functional outcomes

We applied the recently-developed in-silico prediction tool '*funNCion*' to predict GoF versus LoF effects in the 369 biophysically characterised variants to evaluate the accuracy and usefulness of such a tool. Compared to the gold standard whole-cell patch clamp experiment results, the in-silico tool achieved a 78.5% agreement in the prediction of GoF properties and a 75.0% agreement in the prediction of LoF properties. Agreement differed depending on location within the channel with certain regions such as inactivation and pore loop sites achieving better agreement (78-96%) compared to others including the S4 region (<62%, Fig. 5).

Detailed *SCN1-11A* variant analysis

Each of the different *SCN* subtypes (*SCN1-11A*) present with a specific distribution pattern of pathogenic versus benign variants according to channel function and location (Fig. 6). Supplementary Table 3 lists the channel specific clinical phenotypes and associated function.

Discussion

Comparing the distribution of disease-associated missense variants across all nine *SCN*-subtypes, we observe striking similarities in altered biophysical channel properties induced by missense variants at analogous position across SCN proteins. Almost all identified variant pairs in different *SCN* genes exhibit similar biophysical properties regardless of the *SCN*-subtype affected. Functional data of variants at analogous positions in *SCN* genes can predict variant effects in related sodium channel genes, and may inform genotype-guided precision therapies in patients with neurological and cardiac sodium channelopathies.

Voltage-gated sodium channels contain a central pore composed of S5 and S6 segments from all four domains that line the inner cavity and form the intracellular exit. Intervening S5-6 pore-loops line the extracellular end of the pore, forming a large ion-selective filter.[52] In keeping with previous work, we observed that variants occurring in the S5-6 pore-loop caused predominantly LoF effects.[5, 53] This region displayed clustering of epilepsy-associated LoF *SCN1A* variants, whereas very few epilepsy-associated *SCN2/8A* variants occurred here. The relationship between variant distribution and functional consequences was also observed in *SCN5A*. Similar to reported case series,[54] the vast majority of Brugada syndrome-associated variants display LoF effects and cluster in S5-6 pore-loops. In contrast, neither LQT3-associated variants that predominantly caused GoF nor any GoF variants across other *SCN*-subtypes cluster in this region. This shows that, across different SCNs, variants occurring in S5-6 pore-loops often lead to LoF, causing detrimental effects on channel kinetics.

Sites implicated in inactivation gating harboured predominantly GoF variants. The short DIII-IV linker is responsible for fast inactivation. This loop folds into a hinged-lid structure that blocks the intracellular end of the pore during sustained depolarisation and contains residues (IFM motif) that form a latch, holding the inactivation gate shut.[52] Interference within this region leads to impaired inactivation and hyperexcitability that is in keeping with the underlying mechanism of *SCN*-related disorders.[5] Respectively, *SCN1A* and *SCN2/8A* variants distributed across the DIII-IV linker were associated with FHM3 and epilepsy, while *SCN4A* variants were associated with myotonia. Similarly, a significant proportion of LQT3-associated *SCN5A* variants and painful neuropathy-associated *SCN9A* variants occurred across all regions implicated in inactivation gating. This suggests that variants occurring in inactivation sites frequently present GoF across different SCNs.

Voltage-sensing regions (VSR) in each domain are composed of S4 and adjacent linkers. S4 serves as the voltage-sensor, containing a dense composition of highly-conserved arginine residues.[7] In response to depolarisation, this segment moves across the membrane and facilitates channel activation.[52] We observed a mixture of biophysical effects in S4, constituting a cluster of painful neuropathy-associated *SCN9/11A*, hypokalaemic periodic paralysis-associated *SCN4A* and LQT3-associated *SCN5A* variants with a moderate distribution of epilepsy-associated *SCN1/2/3/8A* variants. Rather than a regional effect (as in the aforementioned S5-6 linker region), functional impact in S4 appears to be determined by individual variant changes that manifest a spectrum of disorders across all *SCN*-subtypes. The five conserved arginine residues R0-R4 serve as example for this observation: whilst pathogenic variants in R0 are associated with increased function, there appears to be a steady shift from nearly complete LoF in variants of R1/R2 to LoF/mixed in R3 and mainly mixed effects in R4, the deeper the progression into the S4 (Supplementary Fig. 2).

By contrast, the large non-conserved cytoplasmic regions and distal N- and C-terminal cytoplasmic regions are devoid of pathogenic variants across all *SCN*-subtypes.

Comparison of corresponding variants aids clinical prediction

Our alignment of all nine channel subtypes allowed a comparison of *SCN*-variants by their corresponding index position, revealing similar functional consequences occurring in specific regions. The clinical manifestation of the observed biophysical change depends on neuron type and neuronal network distribution. For example, while all variant pairs observed in the S5-6 pore-loop regions displayed the same LoF functional consequences, a LoF in *SCN1A* leads to epilepsy due to impaired expression of inhibitory interneurons,[10] whilst the corresponding LoF *SCN2A* and *SCN5A* variants result in autism spectrum disorder and Brugada syndrome respectively, due to high expression of these genes in excitatory brain-expressed *SCN2A* neurons and cardiac-expressed *SCN5A* channels.[17, 18] The same pattern was observed in sites of inactivation, where GoF variants in *SCN1A* cause FHM3, whilst analogous GoF variants in *SCN2/8A* cause epilepsy. Similarly, GoF variants at inactivation sites occurring in *SCN4A* cause myotonia due to hyperexcitability of the sarcolemma,[20] while corresponding variants in *SCN9/10/11A* that display the same GoF properties, cause painful peripheral neuropathy owing to expression in peripheral neurons.[22] Importantly, these similarities in functional consequences across related SCNs apply in particular to regions that are conserved across evolution. For example, all variant

pairs occurring in the S4 segment involved substitution of arginine residues which are highly-conserved across all related SCNs.[55]

In the three cases where there were discrepancies between how variants affected different channels (Supplementary Table 2), we noted that all three were cases where a variant produced LoF/mixed function (reduced or no peak current) of *SCN1A*, but GoF or mixed effects in other channels. This discrepancy may be related to impaired channel trafficking by which *SCN1A* may be particularly affected. Where trafficking is suspected, pharmacological rescue is a possibility, first suggested when mexiletine partially-rescued trafficking for a mutation in *SCN5A*.[56] Since then, trafficking mutations have been shown for *SCN1A*,[51] and several of these can be rescued pharmacologically with anti-epileptic drugs such as phenytoin,[43] and other chaperonins.[12, 57] Indeed, *SCN1A* is so sensitive to trafficking that a mutation initially identified as LoF in cell lines due to trafficking, becomes GoF simply by expressing in neurons.[58] Thus we propose that an additional use of our data may arise when classifying an *SCN1A* variant associated with a LoF in functional studies, but linked to a variant known to produce GoF or mixed effects in other channels when heterologously expressed. In this exceptional case, our data may support cautious interpretation of the functional data (which in almost every other case should be the gold standard). Our data suggest that in these cases it is particularly important to consider trafficking, as *SCN1A* may be more sensitive to trafficking deficits when expressed in non-native cells, such as HEK cells. Where complete LOF may be seen in HEK cells, it is possible that functional channels – potentially with aberrant gating – are produced in native cells. As new genetic therapies may begin to distinguish between complete LOF and aberrant function (such as gating pore leaks), it will be particularly important to rule out the possibility of a mutant allele having gating changes or trafficking issues that could alter neuronal health if expression is increased. As treatments targeting trafficking become clinically available, these mutations may be prioritised for interrogation for these treatments: if in functional studies treatments that increase trafficking produce wildtype-like currents, then these mutations would represent a potentially valuable treatment via trafficking for children.

Overlapping boundaries between presumed benign and pathogenic variants

We observed that a significant proportion of *SCN4/5/10A* variants were not conserved or assumed benign, compared to very few *SCN1/2/8A* variants. This difference may be explained by the easily recognizable clinical presentation of *SCN1/2/8A*-related disease with difficult to treat epilepsy and severe intellectual disability.[10] In comparison, *SCN4/5/10A*-related disorders appear to have less

noticeable clinical features, often presenting with only one paroxysmal symptom with variable severity.[59-61] Furthermore, there is significant evolutionary constraint among *SCN* genes, suggesting that whilst patients with *SCN1/2/3/8A* variants present with early onset severe dominant *de novo* disease and marked reduction in fecundity, variants associated with familial *SCN* disease such as *SCN4/5/9/10/11A* are better tolerated and might go unnoticed.[36, 62]

The distribution pattern across *SCN*-subtypes for gnomAD/benign variants clearly contrasted that of pathogenic variants. Consistent with previous work, very few benign variants were found at sites of inactivation and pore-forming regions.[63, 64] A significant proportion of variants occurring at DI-II and DII-III linkers were assumed benign. Many did not share the same amino acid as their corresponding *SCN1A* position and were present in gnomAD, demonstrating how these regions are not conserved across evolution, and of lesser functional significance.[65] The distribution of variants found in reference populations correlate strongly with the functional significance of these regions, and thus aids the interpretation of variant pathogenicity across different *SCN*-subtypes (http://per.broadinstitute.org).

Clinical implications on precision treatment and its limitations

Precision medicine aims to tailor individual treatment to reverse or modify an underlying disease pathophysiology.[66, 67] Knowledge of the functional impact of a *SCN*-variant can inform clinical management and therapeutic choice. Our approach will be particularly helpful for clinicians faced with early presentation in very young children with a novel mutation of unknown function, where biophysical estimation could guide treatment. Functional characterisation remains the gold standard, but can lead to many months of delay, whilst our data will allow almost instantaneous information about the likely effect of a novel variant. However, we emphasise this should not replace experimental functional analysis, which allows the actual identification of detailed effects and mechanisms. Evidence of successful precision treatment approaches have been reported across SCN-related disorders. GoF variant carriers are more likely to respond to SCBs in *SCN2/8A*-related epilepsies,[27, 68] *SCN4A*-related myotonias[69] and *SCN5A*-mediated arrhythmias/LQT3.[33, 70] In contrast, use of SCBs in LoF cases is contraindicated. Inadvertently selecting incorrect treatment without knowledge of function can be detrimental to clinical symptoms.[27, 32] However, success with precision treatment is not guaranteed. A recent survey of precision medicine in genetic epilepsies demonstrated that many individuals with genetic epilepsy continue to have symptoms, with >50% seizure reduction observed in just 30% of patients.[66] Limitations to precision medicine include the genetic background between patients, variation

in gene expression, epigenetics, and environmental factors. In vitro functional studies are not able to account for these modifying factors which limits their clinical utility.

We identify a number of SCN gene specific clinical examples where knowledge of the underlying functional properties assists patient management. Early in disease presentation when phenotypes have not fully evolved, functional inference may guide early management and help predict the ultimate phenotype. In *SCN1A*-related disorders this is particularly useful in those presenting <4 months of age as these can either be LoF, associated with Dravet syndrome, or GoF/mixed function, associated with early onset DEE.[71, 72] Our approach aids interpretation of novel *SCN1A* variants in FHM phenotypes, since these would be expected to be GoF. In *SCN2A*-related disorders it guides therapy for individuals with early onset DEE presenting between 2-4 months, since either GoF or LoF variant carriers might manifest around this age. Although many patients remain pharmacoresistant, GoF variant carriers tend to experience reduction in seizure frequency with SCBs, in particular phenytoin in high doses, whereas SCBs lead to seizure aggravation in LoF variant carriers.[27] Given that the number of reported variants and functional studies in *SCN3A* are very limited our approach can be used to help determine pathogenicity of novel variants in this gene. In *SCN8A*-related epilepsies our approach guides therapy for those presenting between 9 months and 3 years of life that can harbour either GoF or LoF variants as recently shown in a large *SCN8A* cohort.[30] Whilst many patients still have pharmacoresistant epilepsy, GoF variant carriers respond significantly better to SCBs than to other anti-seizure medications.[30]

In addition to conventional SCBs, more selective agents, including those that target mutations with specific effects on channel function[73, 74] and those that target specific types of sodium channels are being developed.[75, 76] As these approaches rely on variant position and functional impact on the sodium channel, our method could facilitate identification of variants suitable for these treatments.

New disease modifying treatments are being developed for *SCN1A*, *SCN2A* and *SCN8A*–related disorders targeting gene specific LoF and GoF effects.[77] Phase 1/2 clinical trials are ongoing/being developed for Dravet syndrome aiming to increase *SCN1A* expression in LoF disease. This approach would likely be detrimental in GoF disease.[77] Our method allows estimation of channel function that will be useful for variant interpretation in specific gain- or loss-of-function directed disease modifying therapies. However, any decision of which therapeutic approach should be taken will be informed by multiple factors including functional estimation as well as clinical presentation.

In light of these developments, being able to map specific GoF and LoF regions across sodium channel paralogues allows clinicians to make the best use of extensive functional data to help inform both drug

choice in the individual patient as well as allowing targeted drug compound development across different channels.[76, 78, 79] Specific examples are discussed below where LOF in *SCN1A* may be prioritised for new treatments improving trafficking, or where homology suggests a LOF might also induce toxic gating pore leaks, potentially precluding treatments aimed at increasing expression of both alleles. It has recently been shown how identifying key functional regions in one channel (Nav1.7/*SCN9A*) can be used to accelerate the design of next generation Nav modulators across other channels.[80] Likewise, the increasing recognition that loss of S4 Arginines may have a pathogenic mechanism of introducing an aberrant gating pore leak in multiple channels including *SCN4A*-related muscle channelopathies[78] and *SCN2A*-related epilepsies,[81] means that drugs which specifically block gating pore leaks may be applicable in cases where gating pore leak is suspected and could apply across a range of channels, even where gating pore recordings are not possible. However, clinicians should consider functional variant information in the context of the clinical presentation of their patient, including seizure types, EEG signature, previous response to specific medications and medication side effects, all of which might offer important clues towards diagnosis and management.

Gold standard patch-clamp recordings in mammalian models are time and resource intensive, precluding their use in routine practice and the ability of functional variant interpretation without prior biophysical studies represents an unmet clinical need.[82] However, our finding of up to 92% functional agreement between corresponding sodium channel variants illustrates that *SCN* paralogues can aid variant interpretation and guide precision treatment.

In comparison, agreement between the existing 'funNCion' prediction technique and gold standard patch-clamp recordings ranged between 50-96% (Fig. 5). This lack in precision of the 'funNCion' tool may in part be explained as the tool does not consider biophysical evidence, but infers channel function from clinical datasets. We noticed that specific protein locations could be predicted with better accuracy than others. For example, functionally homogeneous GoF areas such as the D3-4 linker inactivation gate region and LoF areas such as the S5-6 linker pore region were predicted with high confidence. In contrast mixed gain- and loss-of-function areas such as the S4 segments that include different arginine residues appear more challenging to predict using the 'funNCion' tool.

Compared to the current gold standard, our approach is very effective and >90% accurate. We are not aware of any other techniques that would allow such immediate SCN functional prediction. Molecular dynamics can be useful for understanding specific channel properties including the movement of ions through the pore, but not for processes like inactivation and does not allow prediction of overall

function.[81] AlphaFold is a promising new approach to solving static protein structures, that may be combined with molecular dynamics. However, for the present it may be envisaged that collated functional data from published electrophysiological studies will be needed to affirm predictions from molecular dynamics and AlphaFold in the future. At present these approaches are too computationally expensive for prediction of the overall functional change caused by a variant.[82]

Based on our findings we created a freely accessible *SCN*-Viewer allowing clinicians and scientists immediate access to published biophysical data across all voltage gated sodium channels (http://SCN-viewer.broadinstitute.org). The tool enables users to identify any *SCN*-paralogues detailing both functional and clinical characteristics associated with specific *SCN1-11A* variants.

In practice, when a new voltage gated sodium channel missense variant is identified and pathogenicity has been established by ACMG criteria, we propose the following key indicators to estimate the variants functional properties (Box 1). In the absence of gold standard functional data, these tools offer clinicians and scientists valuable insights when interpreting newly identified variants. Whilst this approach is not intended to replace clinical judgment, it will inform and complement clinical decision making based on objective and quantifiable data.

There are several limitations to this study. We limited our literature search to peer reviewed studies reported in PubMed and sodium channel databases and did not include other sources. Whilst a number of reports will have been missed, this is unlikely to affect our main findings of functional similarity among different *SCN*-genes. Our simplified approach of variant categorisation into gain-, loss- and mixed function often does not fully reflect the complex biophysical properties of voltage gated sodium channels; however, our data illustrate that there is value in this pragmatic approach of functional variant categorisation.

Conclusion

Our findings suggest that biophysical characterisation of variants in one *SCN*-gene can predict channel function across different *SCN*-genes where experimental data are not available. Shared patterns of functional effects aid variant interpretation and guide precision therapy.

Funding

D.L. and E.P. received a grant from the Dravet Syndrome Foundation (grant number, 272016) to support this work.

E.P. is supported by the Agencia Nacional de Investigación y Desarrollo (ANID, PAI77200124) of Chile and the FamilieSCN2A foundation 2020 Action Potential Grant.

A.B. and S.Z. received a grant from Dravet syndrome UK (grant number, 16GLW00) for the Glasgow *SCN1A* database.

C.S. is the recipient of a National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Practitioner Fellowship (#1154992).

Competing interests

A.B. has received honoraria for presenting at educational events, advisory boards and consultancy work for Biocodex, GW Pharma, Encoded Therapeutics, Stoke Therapeutics, Nutricia and Zogenix. E.P. has received honoraria for consultancy work for the Friends of Faces foundation. S.M.Z. has received honoraria for presenting at educational events, advisory boards and consultancy work for GW Pharma, Zogenix, Biocodex, Encoded Therapeutics, Stoke Therapeutics and Nutricia. D.L. has received honoraria for advisory board work for Encoded Therapeutics. No other competing interests were reported.

Supplementary material

Supplementary material is available at *Brain* online**.**

References

1. Goldin AL, Barchi RL, Caldwell JH, et al. Nomenclature of voltage-gated sodium channels. *Neuron*. Nov 2000;28(2):365-8. doi:10.1016/s0896-6273(00)00116-1

2. Yu FH, Catterall WA. Overview of the voltage-gated sodium channel family. *Genome Biol*. 2003;4(3):207. doi:10.1186/gb-2003-4-3-207

3. Hodgkin AL, Huxley AF. A quantitative description of membrane current and its application to conduction and excitation in nerve. *J Physiol*. Aug 1952;117(4):500-44. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.1952.sp004764

4. Spillane J, Kullmann DM, Hanna MG. Genetic neurological channelopathies: molecular genetics and clinical phenotypes. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. Jan 2016;87(1):37-48. doi:10.1136/jnnp-2015-311233

5. Brunklaus A, Ellis R, Reavey E, Semsarian C, Zuberi SM. Genotype phenotype associations across the voltage-gated sodium channel family. *J Med Genet*. Oct 2014;51(10):650-658. doi:10.1136/jmedgenet-2014-102608

6. Catterall WA, Goldin AL, Waxman SG. International Union of Pharmacology. XLVII. Nomenclature and Structure-Function Relationships of Voltage-Gated Sodium Channels. *Pharmacol Rev*. 2005;57(4):397-409. doi:10.1124/pr.57.4.4

7. Yu FH, Catterall WA. The VGL-chanome: a protein superfamily specialized for electrical signaling and ionic homeostasis. *Sci STKE*. Oct 5 2004;2004(253):re15. doi:10.1126/stke.2532004re15

8. Brunklaus A. Precision medicine in sodium channelopathies - Moving beyond seizure control towards disease modification. *Eur J Paediatr Neurol*. Jan 2020;24:7. doi:10.1016/j.ejpn.2020.01.008

9. Yu FH, Mantegazza M, Westenbroek RE, et al. Reduced sodium current in GABAergic interneurons in a mouse model of severe myoclonic epilepsy in infancy. *Nat Neurosci*. Sep 2006;9(9):1142-1149. doi:10.1038/nn1754

10. Mantegazza M, Broccoli V. SCN1A/NaV1.1 channelopathies: Mechanisms in expression systems, animal models, and human iPSC models. *Epilepsia*. 2019;60(S3):S25-S38. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/epi.14700

11. Cestèle S, Scalmani P, Rusconi R, Terragni B, Franceschetti S, Mantegazza M. Self-Limited Hyperexcitability: Functional Effect of a Familial Hemiplegic Migraine Mutation of the Nav1.1 (SCN1A) Na+ Channel. *J Neurosci*. 2008;28(29):7273-7283. doi:10.1523/jneurosci.4453-07.2008

12. Cestèle S, Schiavon E, Rusconi R, Franceschetti S, Mantegazza M. Nonfunctional NaV1.1 familial hemiplegic migraine mutant transformed into gain of function by partial rescue of folding defects. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. Oct 22 2013;110(43):17546-17551. doi:10.1073/pnas.1309827110

13. Kahlig KM, Rhodes TH, Pusch M, et al. Divergent sodium channel defects in familial hemiplegic migraine. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. Jul 15 2008;105(28):9799-9804. doi:10.1073/pnas.0711717105

14. Barbieri R, Bertelli S, Pusch M, Gavazzo P. Late sodium current blocker GS967 inhibits persistent currents induced by familial hemiplegic migraine type 3 mutations of the SCN1A gene. *J Headache Pain*. 2019/11/15 2019;20(1):107. doi:10.1186/s10194-019-1056-2

15. Berkovic SF, Heron SE, Giordano L, et al. Benign familial neonatal-infantile seizures: characterization of a new sodium channelopathy. *Ann Neurol*. Apr 2004;55(4):550-557. doi:10.1002/ana.20029

16. Nakamura K, Kato M, Osaka H, et al. Clinical spectrum of SCN2A mutations expanding to Ohtahara syndrome. *Neurology*. Sep 10 2013;81(11):992-998. doi:10.1212/WNL.0b013e3182a43e57

17. Shi X, Yasumoto S, Kurahashi H, et al. Clinical spectrum of SCN2A mutations. *Brain Dev*. Aug 2012;34(7):541-545. doi:10.1016/j.braindev.2011.09.016

18. Bennett PB, Yazawa K, Makita N, George AL, Jr. Molecular mechanism for an inherited cardiac arrhythmia. *Nature*. Aug 24 1995;376(6542):683-685. doi:10.1038/376683a0

19. Fonseca DJ, Vaz da Silva MJ. Cardiac channelopathies: The role of sodium channel mutations. *Rev Port Cardiol*. Feb 2018;37(2):179-199. doi:10.1016/j.repc.2017.11.007

20. Jurkat-Rott K, Holzherr B, Fauler M, Lehmann-Horn F. Sodium channelopathies of skeletal muscle result from gain or loss of function. *Pflugers Arch*. Jul 2010;460(2):239-248. doi:10.1007/s00424-010-0814-4

21. Lee SC, Kim HS, Park YE, Choi YC, Park KH, Kim DS. Clinical Diversity of SCN4A-Mutation-Associated Skeletal Muscle Sodium Channelopathy. *J Clin Neurol*. Dec 2009;5(4):186-191. doi:10.3988/jcn.2009.5.4.186

22. Waxman SG. Painful Na-channelopathies: an expanding universe. *Trends Mol Med*. Jul 2013;19(7):406-409. doi:10.1016/j.molmed.2013.04.003

23. Faber CG, Lauria G, Merkies IS, et al. Gain-of-function Nav1.8 mutations in painful neuropathy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. Nov 20 2012;109(47):19444-19449. doi:10.1073/pnas.1216080109

24. Huang J, Han C, Estacion M, et al. Gain-of-function mutations in sodium channel Na(v)1.9 in painful neuropathy. *Brain*. Jun 2014;137(Pt 6):1627-1642. doi:10.1093/brain/awu079

25. Elia N, Palmio J, Castañeda MS, et al. Myasthenic congenital myopathy from recessive mutations at a single residue in Na(V)1.4. *Neurology*. Mar 26 2019;92(13):e1405-e1415. doi:10.1212/wnl.0000000000007185

26. Habbout K, Poulin H, Rivier F, et al. A recessive Nav1.4 mutation underlies congenital myasthenic syndrome with periodic paralysis. *Neurology*. Jan 12 2016;86(2):161-169. doi:10.1212/wnl.0000000000002264

27. Wolff M, Johannesen KM, Hedrich UBS, et al. Genetic and phenotypic heterogeneity suggest therapeutic implications in SCN2A-related disorders. *Brain*. May 1 2017;140(5):1316-1336. doi:10.1093/brain/awx054

28. Sanders SJ, Campbell AJ, Cottrell JR, et al. Progress in Understanding and Treating SCN2A-Mediated Disorders. *Trends Neurosci*. Jul 2018;41(7):442-456. doi:10.1016/j.tins.2018.03.011

29. Moller RS, Johannesen KM. Precision Medicine: SCN8A Encephalopathy Treated with Sodium Channel Blockers. *Neurotherapeutics*. Jan 2016;13(1):190-191. doi:10.1007/s13311-015-0403-5

30. Johannesen KM, Liu Y, Koko M, et al. Genotype-phenotype correlations in SCN8A-related disorders reveal prognostic and therapeutic implications. *Brain*. Aug 25 2021;doi:10.1093/brain/awab321

31. Brunklaus A, Ellis R, Reavey E, Forbes GH, Zuberi SM. Prognostic, clinical and demographic features in SCN1A mutation-positive Dravet syndrome. *Brain*. Aug 2012;135(Pt 8):2329-2336. doi:10.1093/brain/aws151

32. Guerrini R, Dravet C, Genton P, Belmonte A, Kaminska A, Dulac O. Lamotrigine and seizure aggravation in severe myoclonic epilepsy. *Epilepsia*. May 1998;39(5):508-512. doi:10.1111/j.1528-1157.1998.tb01413.x

33. Mazzanti A, Maragna R, Faragli A, et al. Gene-Specific Therapy With Mexiletine Reduces Arrhythmic Events in Patients With Long QT Syndrome Type 3. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. Mar 8 2016;67(9):1053-1058. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2015.12.033

34. Kambouris NG, Nuss HB, Johns DC, Marbán E, Tomaselli GF, Balser JR. A revised view of cardiac sodium channel "blockade" in the long-QT syndrome. *J Clin Invest*. Apr 2000;105(8):1133-1140. doi:10.1172/jci9212

35. Priori SG, Wilde AA, Horie M, et al. HRS/EHRA/APHRS expert consensus statement on the diagnosis and management of patients with inherited primary arrhythmia syndromes: document endorsed by HRS, EHRA, and APHRS in May 2013 and by ACCF, AHA, PACES, and AEPC in June 2013. *Heart Rhythm*. Dec 2013;10(12):1932-1963. doi:10.1016/j.hrthm.2013.05.014

36. Brunklaus A, Schorge S, Smith AD, et al. SCN1A variants from bench to bedside-improved clinical prediction from functional characterization. *Hum Mutat*. Feb 2020;41(2):363-374. doi:10.1002/humu.23943

37. Pérez-Palma E, May P, Iqbal S, et al. Identification of pathogenic variant enriched regions across genes and gene families. *Genome Res*. Jan 2020;30(1):62-71. doi:10.1101/gr.252601.119

38. Heyne HO, Baez-Nieto D, Iqbal S, et al. Predicting functional effects of missense variants in voltage-gated sodium and calcium channels. *Sci Transl Med*. Aug 12 2020;12(556)doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.aay6848

39. Meisler MH, Hill SF, Yu W. Sodium channelopathies in neurodevelopmental disorders. *Nat Rev Neurosci*. Mar 2021;22(3):152-166. doi:10.1038/s41583-020-00418-4

40. Mantegazza M, Cestèle S, Catterall WA. Sodium channelopathies of skeletal muscle and brain. *Physiol Rev*. 2021;101(4):1633-1689. doi:10.1152/physrev.00025.2020

41. Brunklaus A, Du J, Steckler F, et al. Biological concepts in human sodium channel epilepsies and their relevance in clinical practice. *Epilepsia*. 2020;61(3):387-399. doi:10.1111/epi.16438

42. Rhodes TH, Lossin C, Vanoye CG, Wang DW, George AL, Jr. Noninactivating voltage-gated sodium channels in severe myoclonic epilepsy of infancy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. Jul 27 2004;101(30):11147-11152. doi:10.1073/pnas.0402482101

43. Thompson CH, Porter JC, Kahlig KM, Daniels MA, George AL, Jr. Nontruncating SCN1A mutations associated with severe myoclonic epilepsy of infancy impair cell surface expression. *J Biol Chem*. Dec 7 2012;287(50):42001-42008. doi:10.1074/jbc.M112.421883

44. Fleischhauer R, Mitrovic N, Deymeer F, Lehmann-Horn F, Lerche H. Effects of temperature and mexiletine on the F1473S Na+ channel mutation causing paramyotonia congenita. *Pflugers Arch*. Oct 1998;436(5):757-765. doi:10.1007/s004240050699

45. Bechi G, Rusconi R, Cestele S, Striano P, Franceschetti S, Mantegazza M. Rescuable folding defective NaV1.1 (SCN1A) mutants in epilepsy: properties, occurrence, and novel rescuing strategy with peptides targeted to the endoplasmic reticulum. *Neurobiol Dis*. Mar 2015;75:100-114. doi:10.1016/j.nbd.2014.12.028

46. Fertleman CR, Baker MD, Parker KA, et al. SCN9A mutations in paroxysmal extreme pain disorder: allelic variants underlie distinct channel defects and phenotypes. *Neuron*. Dec 7 2006;52(5):767-774. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2006.10.006

47. Dib-Hajj SD, Estacion M, Jarecki BW, et al. Paroxysmal extreme pain disorder M1627K mutation in human Nav1.7 renders DRG neurons hyperexcitable. *Mol Pain*. Sep 19 2008;4:37. doi:10.1186/1744-8069-4-37

48. Theile JW, Jarecki BW, Piekarz AD, Cummins TR. Nav1.7 mutations associated with paroxysmal extreme pain disorder, but not erythromelalgia, enhance Navbeta4 peptide-mediated resurgent sodium currents. *J Physiol*. Feb 1 2011;589(Pt 3):597-608. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2010.200915

49. Winkel BG, Yuan L, Olesen MS, et al. The role of the sodium current complex in a nonreferred nationwide cohort of sudden infant death syndrome. *Heart Rhythm*. Jun 2015;12(6):1241-1249. doi:10.1016/j.hrthm.2015.03.013

50. Abdelsayed M, Baruteau AE, Gibbs K, et al. Differential calcium sensitivity in NaV 1.5 mixed syndrome mutants. *J Physiol*. Sep 15 2017;595(18):6165-6186. doi:10.1113/jp274536

51. Rusconi R, Scalmani P, Cassulini RR, et al. Modulatory proteins can rescue a trafficking defective epileptogenic Nav1.1 Na+ channel mutant. *J Neurosci*. Oct 10 2007;27(41):11037-11046. doi:10.1523/jneurosci.3515-07.2007

52. Catterall WA. Structure and function of voltage-gated sodium channels at atomic resolution. *Exp Physiol*. Jan 2014;99(1):35-51. doi:10.1113/expphysiol.2013.071969

53. Zuberi SM, Brunklaus A, Birch R, Reavey E, Duncan J, Forbes GH. Genotype-phenotype associations in SCN1A-related epilepsies. *Neurology*. Feb 15 2011;76(7):594-600. doi:10.1212/WNL.0b013e31820c309b

54. Tarradas A, Selga E, Beltran-Alvarez P, et al. A novel missense mutation, I890T, in the pore region of cardiac sodium channel causes Brugada syndrome. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(1):e53220. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0053220

55. Liebeskind BJ, Hillis DM, Zakon HH. Evolution of sodium channels predates the origin of nervous systems in animals. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2011;108(22):9154-9159. doi:10.1073/pnas.1106363108

56. Valdivia CR, Ackerman MJ, Tester DJ, et al. A novel SCN5A arrhythmia mutation, M1766L, with expression defect rescued by mexiletine. *Cardiovasc Res*. Aug 1 2002;55(2):279-289. doi:10.1016/s0008-6363(02)00445-5

57. Bechi G, Rusconi R, Cestele S, Striano P, Franceschetti S, Mantegazza M. Rescuable folding defective NaV1.1 (SCN1A) mutants in epilepsy: properties, occurrence, and novel rescuing strategy with peptides targeted to the endoplasmic reticulum. *Neurobiol Dis*. Mar 2015;75:100-114. doi:10.1016/j.nbd.2014.12.028

58. Dhifallah S, Lancaster E, Merrill S, Leroudier N, Mantegazza M, Cestele S. Gain of Function for the SCN1A/hNav1.1-L1670W Mutation Responsible for Familial Hemiplegic Migraine. *Front Mol Neurosci*. 2018;11:232. doi:10.3389/fnmol.2018.00232

59. Horga A, Raja Rayan DL, Matthews E, et al. Prevalence study of genetically defined skeletal muscle channelopathies in England. *Neurology*. Apr 16 2013;80(16):1472-1475. doi:10.1212/WNL.0b013e31828cf8d0

60. Loussouarn G, Sternberg D, Nicole S, et al. Physiological and Pathophysiological Insights of Nav1.4 and Nav1.5 Comparison. *Front Pharmacol*. 2015;6:314. doi:10.3389/fphar.2015.00314

61. Antzelevitch C, Brugada P, Borggrefe M, et al. Brugada syndrome: report of the second consensus conference. *Heart Rhythm*. Apr 2005;2(4):429-440. doi:10.1016/j.hrthm.2005.01.005

62. Ku CS, Polychronakos C, Tan EK, et al. A new paradigm emerges from the study of de novo mutations in the context of neurodevelopmental disease. *Mol Psychiatry*. Feb 2013;18(2):141-153. doi:10.1038/mp.2012.58

63. Holland KD, Bouley TM, Horn PS. Location: A surrogate for personalized treatment of sodium channelopathies. *Ann Neurol*. Jul 2018;84(1):1-9. doi:10.1002/ana.25268

64. Yoshinaga H, Sakoda S, Shibata T, et al. Phenotypic Variability in Childhood of Skeletal Muscle Sodium Channelopathies. *Pediatr Neurol*. 2015/05/01/ 2015;52(5):504-508. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pediatrneurol.2015.01.014

65. Cooper GM, Stone EA, Asimenos G, Green ED, Batzoglou S, Sidow A. Distribution and intensity of constraint in mammalian genomic sequence. *Genome Res*. Jul 2005;15(7):901-913. doi:10.1101/gr.3577405

66. Balestrini S, Chiarello D, Gogou M, et al. Real-life survey of pitfalls and successes of precision medicine in genetic epilepsies. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. Oct 2021;92(10):1044-1052. doi:10.1136/jnnp-2020-325932

67. Council NR. *Toward Precision Medicine: Building a Knowledge Network for Biomedical Research and a New Taxonomy of Disease*. The National Academies Press; 2011:142.

68. Gardella E, Møller RS. Phenotypic and genetic spectrum of SCN8A-related disorders, treatment options, and outcomes. *Epilepsia*. Dec 2019;60 Suppl 3:S77-S85. doi:10.1111/epi.16319

69. Suetterlin KJ, Bugiardini E, Kaski JP, et al. Long-term Safety and Efficacy of Mexiletine for Patients With Skeletal Muscle Channelopathies. *JAMA Neurol*. Dec 2015;72(12):1531-1553. doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2015.2338

70. Mann SA, Castro ML, Ohanian M, et al. R222Q SCN5A mutation is associated with reversible ventricular ectopy and dilated cardiomyopathy. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. Oct 16 2012;60(16):1566-1573. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2012.05.050

71. Sadleir LG, Mountier EI, Gill D, et al. Not all SCN1A epileptic encephalopathies are Dravet syndrome: Early profound Thr226Met phenotype. *Neurology*. Sep 5 2017;89(10):1035-1042. doi:10.1212/wnl.0000000000004331

72. Berecki G, Bryson A, Terhag J, et al. SCN1A gain of function in early infantile encephalopathy. *Ann Neurol*. Apr 2019;85(4):514-525. doi:10.1002/ana.25438

73. Anderson LL, Thompson CH, Hawkins NA, et al. Antiepileptic activity of preferential inhibitors of persistent sodium current. *Epilepsia*. Aug 2014;55(8):1274-1283. doi:10.1111/epi.12657

74. Männikkö R, Shenkarev ZO, Thor MG, et al. Spider toxin inhibits gating pore currents underlying periodic paralysis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. Apr 24 2018;115(17):4495-4500. doi:10.1073/pnas.1720185115

75. Weuring WJ, Singh S, Volkers L, et al. NaV1.1 and NaV1.6 selective compounds reduce the behavior phenotype and epileptiform activity in a novel zebrafish model for Dravet Syndrome. *PLoS One*. 2020;15(3):e0219106. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0219106

76. Xu H, Li T, Rohou A, et al. Structural Basis of Nav1.7 Inhibition by a Gating-Modifier Spider Toxin. *Cell*. Feb 7 2019;176(4):702-715. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.12.018

77. Han Z, Chen C, Christiansen A, et al. Antisense oligonucleotides increase Scn1a expression and reduce seizures and SUDEP incidence in a mouse model of Dravet syndrome. *Sci Transl Med*. Aug 26 2020;12(558)doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.aaz6100

78. Matthews E, Labrum R, Sweeney MG, et al. Voltage sensor charge loss accounts for most cases of hypokalemic periodic paralysis. *Neurology*. May 5 2009;72(18):1544-1547. doi:10.1212/01.wnl.0000342387.65477.46

79. Sampedro Castañeda M, Zanoteli E, Scalco RS, et al. A novel ATP1A2 mutation in a patient with hypokalaemic periodic paralysis and CNS symptoms. *Brain*. 2018;141(12):3308-3318. doi:10.1093/brain/awy283

80. Xu H, Li T, Rohou A, et al. Structural Basis of Nav1.7 Inhibition by a Gating-Modifier Spider Toxin. *Cell*. Feb 7 2019;176(4):702-715.e14. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.12.018

81. Mason ER, Wu F, Patel RR, Xiao Y, Cannon SC, Cummins TR. Resurgent and Gating Pore Currents Induced by De Novo SCN2A Epilepsy Mutations. *eNeuro*. 2019;6(5)doi:10.1523/eneuro.0141-19.2019

82. Dunlop J, Bowlby M, Peri R, Vasilyev D, Arias R. High-throughput electrophysiology: an emerging paradigm for ion-channel screening and physiology. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*. 2008/04/01 2008;7(4):358-368. doi:10.1038/nrd2552

Figure legends

Figure 1 SCN protein structure and position of disease-causing missense vs gnomAD variants. (**A**) & (**B**) SCN protein structure in side and top view. (**C**) Patient variants shown in red. (**D**) GnomAD variants shown in blue.

Figure 2 Enrichment analysis of gnomAD vs pathogenic variants and LoF vs GoF variants. Enrichment analysis of (**A**) gnomAD vs pathogenic and (**B**) LoF vs GoF variants across different protein parts including four homologous domains (D1-D4), each consisting of six transmembrane segments (S1-S6) and large cytoplasmic loops.

Figure 3 2D representation of pathogenic *SCN* variants with functional effects. 2D representation of the SCN protein. The alpha subunit consists of four homologous domains (D1-4) each formed of six transmembrane segments (S1-S6). Segment 4 represents the voltage sensor and segments S5-6 the pore region. Individual missense variants are displayed as different coloured bars. Blue denotes gain-of-function, red loss-of-function and yellow mixed function. Analogue missense pairs are displayed as circles with amino acid details.

Figure 4 3D illustration comparing GoF with LoF locations across the SCN protein. (A) Gain-of-function (GoF) variants are illustrated in blue. (**B**) Loss-of-function (LoF) variants are illustrated in red.

Figure 5 In-silico prediction versus reported biophysical *SCN* variant effects. Prediction agreement in % detailed according to different protein parts including four homologous domains (D1-D4), each consisting of six transmembrane segments (S1-S6) and large cytoplasmic loops. In-silico prediction was performed according to 'funNCion' (http://funNCion.broadinstitute.org).

Figure 6 2D representation of *SCN* variants with functional effects according to single sodium channels. 2D representation of different SCN proteins. The alpha subunit consists of four homologous domains (D1-4) each formed of six transmembrane segments (S1-S6). Segment 4 represents the voltage sensor and segments S5-6 the pore region. Individual missense variants are displayed as different coloured circles. Blue denotes gain-of-function, red loss-of-function, yellow mixed function and purple similar-to-wildtype (STW). Benign variants are illustrated in pale colours.

Box 1 Approach to functional *SCN* variant estimation

Key indicators of variant characteristics

1. Identical missense variant in a paralogue sodium channel has been functionally characterised (http://SCN-viewer.broadinstitute.org): up to 92% likelihood that reported findings apply to new variant.
2. Use of in-silico functional prediction tool (http://funNCion.broadinstitute.org): 58-96% likelihood that prediction applies to new variant (depending on variant location)
3. Similar missense variant at equivalent position in a paralogue sodium channel has been reported in a related characteristic gain- or loss-of-function *SCN* disorder (http://per.broadinstitute.org): it is likely that the new variant is in keeping with the reported phenotypes.



Figure 1
165x134 mm (9.4 x DPI)



Figure 2
165x75 mm (9.4 x DPI)



Figure 3
165x124 mm (9.4 x DPI)



Figure 4
165x93 mm (9.4 x DPI)



Figure 5
165x99 mm (9.4 x DPI)



Figure 6
165x176 mm (9.4 x DPI)



Figure 7
165x124 mm (9.4 x DPI)

Brunklaus *et al.* report that biophysical characterisation of variants in one voltage-gated sodium channel (SCN) gene can predict channel function across different SCN genes where experimental data are not available. Shared patterns of functional effects can aid variant interpretation and guide precision therapy.