



Viewpoint

Acknowledging the Use of Human Cadaveric Tissues in Research Papers:

Recommendations from Anatomical Journal Editors

Joe Iwanaga¹⁻³, Vishram Singh⁴, Aiji Ohtsuka⁵, Youngil Hwang⁶, Hee-Jin Kim⁷, Janusz Moryś⁸,
Kumar Satish Ravi⁹, Domenico Ribatti¹⁰, Paul A. Trainor^{11,12}, José Ramón Sañudo¹³, Nihal
Apaydin¹⁴, Gülgün Şengül¹⁵, Kurt H. Albertine¹⁶, Jerzy A. Walocha¹⁷, Marios Loukas^{18,19},
Fabrice Duparc²⁰, Friedrich Paulsen^{21,22}, Mariano Guillermo Armando Del Sol Calderon²³, Philip
Addis²⁴, R. Shane Tubbs^{1,2,25,26}

The affiliations and addresses of the authors

1. Department of Neurosurgery, Tulane Center for Clinical Neurosciences, Tulane University School of Medicine, New Orleans, LA, USA
2. Department of Neurology, Tulane Center for Clinical Neurosciences, Tulane University School of Medicine, New Orleans, LA, USA
3. Division of Gross and Clinical Anatomy, Department of Anatomy, Kurume University School of Medicine, Kurume, Fukuoka, Japan
4. Department of Anatomy, Kasturba Medical College Mangalore, Manipal Academy of Higher Education, Karnataka, India

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1002/ca.23671

5. Department of Human Morphology, Okayama University Medical School, Okayama, Japan
6. Department of Anatomy and Cell Biology, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Korea
7. Division in Anatomy & Development Biology, Department of Oral Biology, Yonsei University College of Dentistry, Korea
8. Department of Anatomy & Neurobiology, Medical University of Gdańsk, Poland
9. Department of Anatomy, All India Institute of Medical Sciences Rishikesh, India.
10. Department of Basic Medical Sciences, Neurosciences and Sensory Organs, University of Bari Medical School, Bari, Italy
11. Stowers Institute for Medical Research, Kansas City, MO, USA
12. Department of Anatomy and Cell Biology, University of Kansas School of Medicine, Kansas City, KS, USA.
13. Departamento de Anatomía y Embriología Humanas, Facultad de Medicina, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Madrid, Spain
14. Department of Anatomy, Ankara University Faculty of Medicine, Ankara, Turkey
15. Department of Anatomy, Ege University Faculty School of Medicine, Bornova, Izmir, Turkey
16. Departments of Pediatrics, Medicine, and Neurobiology & Anatomy, University of Utah, School of Medicine, Salt Lake City, UT, USA

17. Department of Anatomy, Jagiellonian University, Medical College, Kraków, Poland
18. Department of Anatomical Sciences, St. George's University, St. George's, Grenada, West Indies
19. Department of Anatomy, Medical School Varmia and Mazuria, Olsztyn, Poland
20. Laboratory of Anatomy, Faculty of Medicine, Rouen-Normandy University, Rouen, France
21. Institute of Functional and Clinical Anatomy, Friedrich Alexander University Erlangen-Nürnberg, Germany
22. Sechenov University, Department of Operative Surgery and Topographic Anatomy, Moscow, Russia
23. Center of Excellence in Morphological and Surgical Studies, Faculty of Medicine, Universidad de La Frontera, Chile
24. Department of Anatomy, St George's, University of London, London, United Kingdom
25. Department of Structural & Cellular Biology, Tulane University School of Medicine, New Orleans, LA, USA
26. Department of Neurosurgery and Ochsner Neuroscience Institute, Ochsner Health System, New Orleans, LA, USA

Running title:

Acknowledging the Use of Human Cadaveric Tissues in Research Papers

Corresponding author:

Joe Iwanaga, DDS, PhD

Department of Neurosurgery, Tulane Center for Clinical Neurosciences, Tulane University

School of Medicine, 131 S. Robertson St. Suite 1300, New Orleans, LA 70112, USA

iwanagajoeca@gmail.com

Tel: 5049885565 Fax: 5049885793

The authors have nothing to disclose.

Abstract

Research within the anatomical sciences often relies on human cadaveric tissues. Without the good will of these donors who allow us to use their bodies to push forward our anatomical knowledge, most human anatomical research would come to a standstill. However, many research papers omit an acknowledgement to the donor cadavers or, as no current standardized versions exist, use language that is extremely varied. To remedy this problem, 19 editors-in-

Accepted Article

chiefs from 16 anatomical journals joined together to put together official recommendations that can be used by authors when acknowledging the donor cadavers used in their studies. The goal of these recommendations is to standardize the writing approach by which donors are acknowledged in anatomical studies that use human cadaveric tissues. Such sections in anatomical papers will not only rightfully thank those who made the donation but might also encourage, motivate, and inspire future individuals to make such gifts for the betterment of the anatomical sciences and patient care.

Keywords: anatomy, dissection, cadavers, research, recommendation, medical ethics

Introduction

Cadaveric tissues are essential for researchers performing human tissue research and for many educators who teach future healthcare providers. However, historically, such specimens were often derived from dubious sources. In modern times, most human cadaveric tissues are derived from donors while still alive. This premortem consent is important for maintaining good ethical

practices in anatomical research. Moreover, this last gift to mankind is important for increasing our knowledge of human morphology and thus, often improves patient care through education and research. Therefore, acknowledging these donors is of utmost importance. Unfortunately, there is currently no standardized writing approach for such acknowledgments (Table 1). Diversity in religion, sense of medical ethics, and view of life and death have all probably contributed to the lack of a standardized Acknowledgment section in studies using cadaveric tissues. To address this, a group of international editors from journals publishing in the anatomical sciences and publishing studies that often utilize cadaveric tissues joined together to develop consensus recommendations for writing acknowledgments to anatomical donors in their publications. Such recommendations add to the respect anatomical studies give to donor cadavers and might also positively influence public attitudes regarding human cadaveric research.

Recommendations

Nineteen editors-in-chief of 16 anatomical journals contributed their expertise to these recommendations.

The recommendations established by the current editor-in-chief authorship include the following:

1. The recommendation is applied to any research using donor cadavers or cadaveric tissues in a study.
2. An Acknowledgment section should follow the Conclusion section of papers.
3. Within the Acknowledgment section, gratitude to the donor cadavers and their families should be expressed.
4. An appropriate and agreed upon donor cadaver Acknowledgment is:

The authors sincerely thank those who donated their bodies to science so that anatomical research could be performed. Results from such research can potentially increase mankind's overall knowledge that can then improve patient care. Therefore, these donors and their families deserve our highest gratitude.

Discussion

Previously, recommendations were established for not only reporting original anatomical studies (Tomaszewski et al., 2017) but also for the uniform reporting of study ethics within anatomical research (Henry et al., 2018). Frequently not been mentioned in publications, however, is thankfulness and appreciation of donor cadavers in anatomical studies has traditionally not been

mentioned in publications. More recently, some authors have recommended adding these to publications where cadaveric tissues are studied.

Henry et al. (2018) in their Anatomical Quality Assurance (AQUA) Checklist, stated, “We strongly recommend that authors acknowledge the contribution of donors in the acknowledgments section of their manuscript to improve the overall ethical standards of published anatomical research.” Nevertheless, those authors realized that such an acknowledgement is not a direct issue of ethical compliance. Benninger (2013) reminded us that authors often thank colleagues who review/provide feedback at the close of their scientific papers but there is usually no gratitude offered to the donor cadavers at the conclusion of anatomical publications.

Benninger (2013) evaluated various clinical journals and found that none of these required or even suggested that a donor cadaver acknowledgment be included with anatomical study submissions. Benninger (2013) also suggested that as many universities/private procurement organizations have donor memorial services that authors might mistakenly believe that adequate acknowledgement has already been made. Fortunately, some anatomical journals have begun asking authors to provide an acknowledgment to donor cadavers (Gürses et al., 2016). Although, regrettably, a peer-reviewed framework for such acknowledgments, until now, has not been extant. Additionally, there is a precedent for anatomical editors uniting for a common cause. For example, the Federal International Committee of Scientific Publications (FICSP) of the International Federation of Associations of Anatomists (IFAA)

(<http://www.ifaa.net/committees/scientific-publications-ficsp/>) works together on issues that commonly affect editors.

Conclusions

The recommendations reported herein provide the anatomical researcher with straightforward and concise approach for explicitly acknowledging donor cadavers in published studies.

Additionally, such acknowledgment standards can be used for oral and poster presentations, books and book chapters, and various forms of social media (Rai et al., 2019) where cadaveric tissues are exhibited. Moreover, anatomical journals and journals publishing studies that use cadaveric tissues might recommend a cadaveric donor acknowledgement in their author guidelines. A standardized acknowledgement might increase its use in other peer-reviewed publications.

References

- Benninger, B. (2013). Formally acknowledging donor-cadaver-patients in the basic and clinical science research arena. *Clin Anat* 26:810-13.
- Bolt, S. (2012). Dead bodies matter. *Med Anthropol Quart* 26:613-34.
- Gürses, İ. A., Coşkun, O., Gürtekin, B., Kale, A. (2016). The amount of information provided in articles published in clinical anatomy and surgical and radiologic anatomy regarding human cadaveric materials and trends in acknowledging donors/cadavers. *Surg Radiol Anat* 38:1225-31.
- Henry, B.M., Vikse, J., Pekala, P., Loukas, M., Tubbs, R.S., Walocha, J.A., Jones, D.G., Tomaszewski, K.A. (2018). Consensus guidelines for the uniform reporting of study ethics in anatomical research within the framework of the anatomical quality assurance (AQUA) checklist. *Clin Anat* 31:521-24.
- Rai, R., Shereen, R., Protas, M., Greaney, C., Brooks, K.N., Iwanaga, J., Loukas, M., Tubbs, R.S. (2019). Social media and cadaveric dissection: a survey study. *Clin Anat* 32:1033-1041.
- Tomaszewski, K.A., Henry, B.M., Kumar Ramakrishnan, P., Roy, J., Vikse, J., Loukas, M., Tubbs, R.S., Walocha, J.A. (2017). Development of the anatomical quality assurance (AQUA) checklist: Guidelines for reporting original anatomical studies. *Clin Anat* 30:14:-20.

Table 1

Descriptions of acknowledging donors shown in the author guidelines from major anatomy journals (as of July 1, 2020).

Journal	Description
<i>Anatomical Record</i>	None
<i>Anatomical Science International</i>	None
<i>Anatomy</i>	None
<i>Anatomy & Cell Biology</i>	None
<i>Annals of Anatomy</i>	None
<i>Clinical Anatomy</i>	If the submission uses cadaveric tissue, please acknowledge the donors in an acknowledgement at the end of the paper.
<i>Developmental Dynamics</i>	None
<i>European Journal of Anatomy</i>	None
<i>Folia Morphologica</i>	None
<i>International Journal of Anatomical Variations</i>	None
<i>International Journal of Morphology</i>	None
<i>Italian Journal of Anatomy and Embryology</i>	None
<i>Journal of Anatomy</i>	None

<i>Journal of Plastination</i>	None
<i>Journal of the Anatomical Society of India</i>	None
<i>National Journal of Clinical Anatomy</i>	None
<i>Romanian Journal of Morphology and Embryology</i>	None
<i>Surgical and Radiologic Anatomy</i>	None
<i>Translational Research in Anatomy</i>	None