Sodium glucose co-transporter-2 inhibitors in heart failure: beyond glycaemic control. The Position Paper of the Heart Failure Association of the European Society of Cardiology



Petar M. Seferović^{*1,2}; Gabriele Fragasso³; Mark Petrie⁴; Wilfried Mullens^{5,6}; Roberto Ferrari⁷; Thomas Thum⁸; Johann Bauersachs⁹; Stefan D. Anker^{10,11}; Robin Ray¹²; Yuksel Çavuşoğlu¹³; Marija Polovina^{1,14}, Marco Metra¹⁵; Giuseppe Ambrosio¹⁶; Krishna Prasad¹⁷; Jelena Seferović^{1,18}, Pardeep S. Jhund¹⁹; Giuseppe Dattilo²⁰; Jelena Čelutkiene²¹; Massimo Piepoli²²; Brenda Moura²³; Ovidiu Chioncel^{24,25}, Tuvia Ben Gal²⁶, Stefan Heymans²⁷, Rudolf A. De Boer²⁸, Tiny Jaarsma²⁹, Loreena Hill³⁰, Yuri Lopatin³¹, Alexander R. Lyon³², Piotr Ponikowski³³, Mitja Lainščak^{34,35}, Ewa Jankowska³³, Christian Mueller³⁶, Francesco Cosentino³⁷; Lars Lund³⁸, Gerasimos S. Filippatos³⁹, Frank Ruschitzka⁴⁰, Andrew J.S. Coats⁴¹; Giuseppe M.C. Rosano^{*42}

¹Faculty of Medicine, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia;

²Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts;

³Heart Failure Unit, Clinical Cardiology, University Hospital San Raffaele, Milan, Italy

- ⁴Institute of Cardiovascular and Medical Sciences, University of Glasgow, Scotland, UK
- ⁵Faculty of Medicine and Life Sciences, BIOMED Biomedical Research Institute, Hasselt University, Diepenbeek, Belgium;

⁶Department of Cardiology, Ziekenhuis Oost, Genk, Belgium;

⁷Department of Cardiology and LTTA Centre, University Hospital of Ferrara and Maria Cecilia Hospital, GVM Care and Research, ES Health Science Foundation, Cotignola, Italy;

⁸Hannover Medical School, Institute of Molecular and Translational Therapeutic Strategies, Hannover, Germany;

⁹Department of Cardiology and Angiology, Medical School Hannover, Hannover, Germany;

- ¹⁰Department of Cardiology (CVK), Berlin Institute of Health Centre for Regenerative Therapies (BCRT);
- ¹¹German Centre for Cardiovascular Research (DZHK) partner site Berlin, Charité Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany
- ¹²Basildon and Thurrock University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Basildon, UK;
- ¹³Department of Cardiology, Faculty of Medicine, Eskişehir Osmangazi University; Eskişehir-Turkey.
- ¹⁴Department of Cardiology, Clinical Centre of Serbia, Belgrade, Serbia

¹⁵Cardiology, Department of Medical and Surgical Specialties, Radiological Sciences, and Public Health, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy;

- ¹⁶Division of Cardiology, University of Perugia, Perugia, Italy;
- ¹⁷United Kingdom Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency, London, UK;
- ¹⁸Clinic for Endocrinology, Diabetes and Metabolic Disorders, Clinical Centre, Belgrade, Serbia;
- ¹⁹British Heart Foundation, Cardiovascular Research Centre, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK;

²⁰University of Messina, Cardiology Unit, Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, Messina, Italy.

²¹Clinic of Cardiac and Vascular Diseases, Institute of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania

²²Heart Failure Unit, Cardiology, G. da Saliceto Hospital, Piacenza, Italy;

²³Hospital das Forças Armadas and Cintesis- Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade do Porto, Porto, Portugal;

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1002/ejhf.1954

- ²⁴University of Medicine Carol Davila, Bucharest, Romania;
- ²⁵Emergency Institute for Cardiovascular Diseases, 'Prof. C. C. Iliescu', Bucharest, Romania;
- ²⁶Department of Cardiology, Rabin Medical Center, Sackler Faculty of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel
- ²⁷Maastricht University Medical Centre, Cardiovascular Research Institute Maastricht, Maastricht, the Netherlands.
- ²⁸Department of Cardiology, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands;
- ²⁹Department of Social and Welfare Studies, Faculty of Health Science, Linköping University, Linköping, Sweden;
- ³⁰School of Nursing and Midwifery, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast, UK;
- ³¹Volgograd State Medical University, Regional Cardiology Centre Volgograd, Volgograd, Russian Federation;
- ³²National Heart and Lung Institute, Imperial College London and Royal Brompton Hospital, London, UK; ³³Centre for Heart Diseases, Faculty of Health Sciences, Wrocław Medical University, Wrocław, Poland.
- ³⁴Department of Internal Medicine, and Department of Research and Education, General Hospital Murska Sobota, Murska Sobota, Slovenia;
- ³⁵Faculty of Medicine, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana, Slovenia.
- ³⁶Department of Cardiology, Cardiovascular Research Institute Basel, University Hospital Basel, Spitalstrasse 21, Basel, Switzerland.
- ³⁷Cardiology Unit, Department of Medicine, Karolinska Institute and Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden;
- ³⁸Department of Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, and Heart and Vascular Theme, Karolinska University Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden;
- ³⁹National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, School of Medicine, University Hospital Attikon, Athens, Greece.
- ⁴⁰Department of Cardiology, University Heart Center, Zürich, Switzerland;
- ⁴¹Pharmacology, Centre of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, IRCCS San Raffaele Pisana, Rome, Italy;
 ⁴²Department of Medical Sciences, IRCCS San Raffaele Pisana, Rome, Italy

*These authors equally contributed to the Position Paper

Corresponding author:

Petar M. Seferović

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Medicine, Heart Failure Society of Serbia, 8 Koste Todorovića, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia. Tel/Fax: +381 11 361 47 38, Email: seferovic.petar@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Heart failure (HF) is common and associated with a poor prognosis, despite advances in treatment. Over the last decade cardiovascular outcome trials with sodium-glucose co-transporter 2 (SGLT-2) inhibitors in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) have demonstrated beneficial effects for three SGLT-2) inhibitors (empagliflozin, canagliflozin and dapagliflozin) in reducing hospitalisations for HF. More recently, dapagliflozin reduced the risk of worsening HF or death from CV causes in patients with chronic HF with reduced left ventricular ejection fraction, with or without T2DM. A number of additional trials in HF patients with reduced and/or preserved left ventricular ejection fraction are ongoing and/or about to be reported. The present position paper summarises recent clinical trial evidence and discusses the role of SGLT-2 inhibitors in the treatment of HF, pending the results of ongoing trials in different populations of patients with HF.

Key words: heart failure, SGLT2 inhibitors, type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular outcomes, quality of life

Introduction

Accepted Article

Heart failure (HF) and type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) often occur together with an associated increased risk of adverse outcomes. HF is one of the most common cardiovascular conditions and one of the major causes of mortality in patients with T2DM [1, 2]. Furthermore, T2DM is frequent in patients with HF, occurring in almost 40% of patients hospitalised for HF and up to 30% of those with chronic HF [3]. Despite numerous available treatments for HF, the prognosis remains poor, with a small increase in survival over the last decade [4]. Concomitant T2DM confers a worse prognosis in HF, as the risks of cardiovascular and all-cause mortality are significantly increased, independent of other factors [5, 6].

Over the last decade, cardiovascular outcome trials have investigated several classes of new glucose-lowering agents, including dipeptidyl peptidase-4 inhibitors, glucagon like peptide-1 receptor agonists and sodium–glucose co-transporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitors, and all have demonstrated cardiovascular safety in patients with T2DM. Furthermore, some of these agents have been proven to have beneficial effects in reducing both major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE), as well as hospitalisation for HF and a few of these drugs have also reduced cardiovascular mortality (i.e. empagliflozin in EMPA-REG-Outcome [7] and liraglutide in LEADER [8]). Of particular importance has been the consistent finding of a reduction in HF hospitalisations in trials with SGLT2 inhibitors (empagliflozin, canagliflozin and dapagliflozin) in patients with T2DM [9]. Also, there was a consistent finding of renal protection in T2DM with these drugs [10-12]. The safety profile and position-of the new glucose-lowering agents in T2DM in general has been described in the 2019 European Society of Cardiology Guidelines on diabetes, pre-diabetes, and cardiovascular diseases [13], The 2019 Heart Failure Association (HFA) position paper on the role and safety of new glucose-lowering medications [14], and the HFA Clinical practice update on HF [15]. These documents suggest that SGLT-2 inhibitors,

empagliflozin, canagliflozin and dapagliflozin can be used to prevent HF hospitalisation in patients with T2DM.

Recently, the DAPA-HF trial reported that dapagliflozin reduced the risk of worsening HF or death from cardiovascular causes in patients with HF, with and without T2DM [16]. The results of this trial put forward the need to further update the role of SGLT-2 inhibitors in the treatment of HF. Hence, the present position paper extends the 2019 documents by providing a summary of evidence from the recent trials and discusses the role of SGLT-2 inhibitors in the treatment of HF.

New clinical trials with SGLT2 inhibitors

In patients with T2DM, SGLT2 inhibitors have been shown to reduce the risk of hospitalization for HF as shown for the first time for empagliflozin, and then for canagliflozin and dapagliflozin [9]. Of note, soon after the results of EMPA-REG-Outcome became known, the executive committee of DECLARE-TIMI-58 changed the trial end-point, from, initially having a primary safety outcome of MACE [17]. This was changed to having two primary efficacy outcomes – MACE and cardiovascular death or hospitalization for HF (with a split of alpha level equally), and no change in the primary safety outcome or the sample size. In the final results, the MACE co-primary outcome was not significantly reduced, but the second co-primary outcome was reduced, being entirely driven by HF hospitalisation, with no effect on cardiovascular mortality [17]. Recently, DAPA-HF has been the first trial to investigate efficacy of dapagliflozin in patients with HF and reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF) regardless of the presence of T2DM. This trial explored whether dapagliflozin 10 mg once daily, compared to placebo, improves morbidity, mortality and quality of life in symptomatic patients with HF and a left ventricular (LV) ejection fraction (LVEF) ≤40%, largely receiving guideline directed medical therapy (GDMT) for HF [16].

In 4744 patients enrolled in DAPA-HF, the primary endpoint of cardiovascular death or worsening HF (defined as a HF hospitalization or urgent outpatient visit for the treatment of HF) was significantly reduced (hazard ratio (HR) 0.74; 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.65 to 0.85, p<0.001) [16]. The number-needed-to-treat in order to prevent one event was 21 over the median follow up of 18.2 months. Reductions in the risk of other outcomes were also observed, including cardiovascular mortality (HR 0.82; 95% CI, 0.69 to 0.98). Beneficial effects were evident in patients mostly receiving optimal GDMT; namely, 94% were treated with an angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor (ACEi) or angiotensin-1 receptor blockers (ARB) or sacubitril/valsartan (of note, 11% received the latter at baseline), 96% with a beta-blocker and 71% with a mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist (MRA). Furthermore, patients who received dapagliflozin were more likely to have a clinically relevant improvement in their guality of life after 8 months of treatment as measured by the Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire (KCCQ). Importantly, there was no difference in pre-specified serious adverse events between the dapagliflozin and placebo groups. There was no evidence of heterogeneity in the efficacy of dapagliflozin in any of the pre-specified subgroups, except possibly for the New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional class, given that patients with NYHA class III-IV appeared to derive less benefit compared to patients with NYHA class II. However, there were no heterogeneities in other subgroups of patients, including those with lower LVEF or higher NTproBNP levels, or in patients with more advanced renal insufficiency, which suggests that dapagliflozin may be similarly effective in patients with more severe HF [16]. Most importantly, there was no difference in the efficacy of dapagliflozin in patients with and without T2DM. An exploratory analysis of DAPA-HF demonstrated that the efficacy of dapagliflozin was similar over the entire spectrum of glycosylated haemoglobin A_{1c} values [18]. These findings suggest that the SGLT2 inhibitor dapagliflozin exerts beneficial effects in HFrEF irrespective of T2DM status and it appears that the mechanism of action of dapagliflozin in HFrEF extends beyond a simple glucose-lowering effect.

In addition to the DAPA-HF trial, another trial of interest to learn lessons as to how to prevent HF development was the CREDENCE trial [12]. In this trial, 4401 patients with T2DM and an estimated glomerular filtration rate (GFR) of 30 to <90 ml/min/1.73 m² and albuminuria (ratio of albumin (mg) to creatinine (g), >300 to 5000) were randomized to canagliflozin or placebo [12]. Of the included patients, 15% had a history of HF at baseline, but these patients are not well characterised. Canagliflozin substantially reduced the risk of the primary composite endpoint of end-stage kidney disease, doubling of the serum creatinine level, or renal or cardiovascular death (HR 0.70; 95% Cl, 0.59 to 0.82; P < 0.001) [12]. There was also a significant reduction in the secondary outcome of HF hospitalizations (HR 0.61; 95% Cl, 0.47 to 0.80; P<0.001) [12], indicating that HF prevention is possible also in the setting of high-risk patients with T2DM and concomitant CKD. The preventive role of SGLT2 inhibitors for HF also pertains to other high-risk patients such as those with T2DM and established atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease, in whom cardiovascular outcome trials have consistently shown lower risk for HF hospitalisation with SGLT2 inhibitors [9].

In addition to clinical outcomes, a potential for an improvement in functional status has been recently explored with SGLT2 inhibitors. The effect of SGLT2 inhibitors on exercise tolerance in patients with HFrEF with and without T2DM is still under debate as the DEFINE-HF trial has not shown a significant effect of dapagliflozin on the mean N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide levels (NT-proBNP), but increased the proportion of patients achieving a combined endpoint of improved functional status (as measured by the KCCQ), or ≥20% reduction in NT-pro-BNP [19]. The results of DEFINE-HF trial could be considered as hypothesis generating. In contrast to these results, according to the recent press release, the EMPERIAL Reduced and Preserved trials failed to demonstrate an effect of empagliflozin on functional status in patients with HFrEF and HFpEF, with and without T2DM over a period of 3 months [20]. After these disappointing head-line results became known, the DETERMINE Reduced and Preserved trials (testing the impact of dapagliflozin vs placebo on quality of life and functional capacity over 3 months) changed their primary endpoint to be quality of lifefocused (rather than relying on 6-min-walking test distance as originally planned) and they were somewhat increased in size to improve power. Quality of life improvement may, however, need longer periods of time to become apparent (i.e. 8 months in DAPA-HF), but if achieved, would lend support to a possibility of decreasing the burden of HF symptoms with SGLT2 inhibitor treatment.

Biological mechanisms and effects of SGLT2 inhibitors in heart failure

At present, the mechanisms underlying protective cardiovascular and renal effects of SGLT2 inhibitors in patients with and without T2DM are not completely understood, and several, not mutually exclusive, mechanisms have been proposed [21, 22], as summarised in **Figure 1**.

SGLT2 inhibitors lower the threshold for glycosuria (60-90 g/day) by lowering the maximum renal transport capacity for glucose reabsorption [23]. This effect attenuates at low glucose levels, explaining the low risk of hypoglycaemia with SGLT2 inhibitors. In addition to glycosuria, SGLT2 inhibitors promote natriuresis and uricosuria [7, 17, 24-26]. Their favourable metabolic effects include increased insulin sensitivity and glucose uptake in the muscle cells [27], decreased gluconeogenesis and increased ketogenesis [28, 29]. These drugs also stimulate weight loss due to the renal caloric loss in glycosuria [7, 17, 24], and have a favourable impact on the body fat distribution [30, 31]. Recent findings also suggest a reduction in liver steatosis and the accompanying hepatocellular injury [32-35] Of note, SGLT2 inhibitors provide nephron protection, most likely through a tubulo-glomerular feedback-mediated vasoconstriction of the afferent arteriole and the reduction in intra-glomerular pressure [11, 36-38]. This effect is important to reduce glomerular hyperfiltration in T2DM, which may decrease the risk of subsequent nephropathy [11, 12]. These favourable metabolic and reno-protective effects may provide long-term benefits for outcomes, however, a relatively early separation of

treatment curves for worsening HF or cardiovascular mortality seen in DAPA-HF, suggests that more rapid mechanisms may be involved (e.g. improvement in haemodynamic status, direct metabolic or vascular effects) [39].

The favourable haemodynamic effects are mediated by a number of mechanisms including osmotic diuresis, natriuresis and plasma and interstitial fluid volume reduction, leading to a reduction in ventricular preload and afterload [23, 40, 41]. Furthermore, a mathematical model has been used, coupled with clinical data on water an electrolyte excretion, to illustrate that, unlike diuretics, SGLT-2 inhibitors seem to exert a greater reduction in interstitial fluid compared with plasma volume (mediated by peripheral sequestration of osmotically inactive sodium), which may prevent plasma volume depletion and subsequent hypoperfusion occasionally observed with diuretics [42]. An increasing body of evidence suggests that SGLT2 inhibitors may less likely induce electrolyte disturbances, neurohormonal activation and a decline in renal function that can occur with diuretics [43, 44]. Indeed, they prevent a decline in kidney function, which may have a favourable impact on HF prevention [12, 44].

Interestingly, a mediation analysis exploring the contribution of different factors to the cardiovascular mortality reduction seen with empagliflozin in the EMPA-REG OUTCOME trial, identified an increase in haemoglobin and haematocrit (i.e. likely due to a decrease in plasma volume) as the largest contributors, supporting the above described haemodynamic hypothesis [45, 46]. This is consistent with further observations from the EMPA-REG OUTCOME trial demonstrating that the cardiovascular effects of empagliflozin were independent of glycaemic control [47].

In addition to haemodynamic effects, other mechanisms may be involved in the increase in haematocrit. Given that an increase in haematocrit lasts longer compared with the increase in urine output after an SGLT₂ inhibitor initiation, it has been suggested that an increase in renal **erythropoietin**

production could be a potential mechanism for the change in haemoglobin and haematocrit levels [48, 49].

Another proposed mechanism for the beneficial effect of SGLT2 inhibitors is inhibition of the sodium-hydrogen-exchanger (NHE-1) activity, which is upregulated both in T2DM and HF [50]. By inhibiting the NHE-1 receptors, SGLT-2 inhibitors may protect the heart from toxic intracellular Ca²⁺ overload [51, 52]. SGLT-2 inhibitors may also exert direct effects on myocardial metabolism [40, 53] and decrease myocardial oxidative stress [54]. Similar to T2DM, HF is characterized by a state of insulin resistance [55]. In the insulin resistant heart, fatty acids (FFA) are favoured as an energy source over glucose [56]. This metabolic shift results in decreased cardiac metabolic efficiency (i.e. insufficient ATP production). In an experimental model, empagliflozin prevented a decrease in cardiac function and increased cardiac ATP production without changing overall metabolic efficiency [57]. This increase in cardiac energy production was the result of increased glucose oxidation, lower FFA oxidation, without changes in ketone body oxidation. Additionally, overall rates of ketone body oxidation were decreased and remained unchanged with empagliflozin treatment, although ketone body supply to the heart was increased. This suggests that the ability of STGL2 inhibitors to increase circulating ketone body levels may provide an additional source of energy to sustain cardiac contractile function. This was supported by another experimental study showing that empagliflozin ameliorated LV remodelling in pigs, an effect mediated by a greater uptake of ketone bodies, FFA and branched-chain amino acids [53].

> A benefit on ventricular remodelling was also demonstrated in patients with T2DM and coronary artery disease in EMPA-HEART CardioLink-6 study, which showed a reduction in LV mass index (as measured by cardiac magnetic resonance) and an improvement in diastolic function without changes in LV systolic function after 6 months of treatment with empagliflozin [58]. Furthermore, a significant reduction in LV mass in patients with T2DM was observed with

dapagliflozin in DAPA-LVH trial, suggesting a possibility of reverse LV remodelling [59]. However, this was not corroborated by a recent REFORM trial, in which dapagliflozin had no impact on any of the parameters of LV remodelling over 12 months of treatment [60]. These issues might be resolved by ongoing clinical studies utilizing advanced echocardiographic techniques (e.g. speckle tracking and RT3DE) and cardiac magnetic resonance imaging to assess the effects of SLGT2 inhibition on cardiac structure and function (**Table 1**).

Another currently unproven hypothesis about the cardiovascular effect of STGL2 inhibitors includes possible cardiac anti-fibrotic effects [40, 61]; and an improved balance in adipokine secretion [62]. Beneficial effects on endothelial function [63], blood pressure, central pulse pressure [7, 17, 24], and parameters of arterial stiffness and vascular resistance [64], as well as a reduction in sympathetic nervous system activity [65], may also play an important role in the prevention of HF. Furthermore, it has been hypothesised that a favourable change in the trajectory of cellular responses to environmental stressors may be yet another mechanism of cardiorenal protection with SGLT2 inhibitors that needs to be explored [66].

The role of SGLT-2 inhibitors in prevention and treatment of heart failure

Currently, DAPA-HF is the only published trial demonstrating a reduction in clinical endpoints with an SGLT2 inhibitor, dapagliflozin, in patients with HFrEF, with and without T2DM [16]. Hence, a role of SGLT-2 inhibitors in the treatment of HFrEF can only be documented for dapagliflozin, pending the results of ongoing trials with other SGLT-2 inhibitors.

Two points need to be noted when discussing the place of dapagliflozin in the treatment of HFrEF. First of all, the benefit of dapagliflozin on reducing important clinical events was seen within weeks of its initiation [16]. Given that HF is associated with severely impaired survival, a timely initiation of an agent with a proven benefit on outcomes is of a crucial clinical importance. Secondly, a sub-analysis of the DAPA-HF trial demonstrated that dapagliflozin can produce a significant improvement in quality of life as assessed by KCCQ in patients with HFrEF [67], which is of high clinical value [19]. Furthermore, dapagliflozin appears safe and effective in vulnerable elderly patients, as well as in those with impaired renal function (excluding patients with estimated glomerular filtration rate <30 mL/min/1.73 m²), in whom up-titration of GDMT may be challenging [68, 69]. A post-hoc analysis of the DAPA-HF trial demonstrated similar risk reductions in HF hospitalisation and mortality with dapagliflozin, irrespective of background HF therapy, including ACEi/ARB, beta-blockers, MRAs, ivabradine, sacubitril/valsartan, cardiac resynchronisation therapy and implantable cardioverter-defibrillators [70]. Furthermore, the results were consistent regardless of whether patients received ≥50% or <50% of guideline-directed target doses of ACEi/ARBs, beta-blockers or MRAs [70]. These observations indicate a complementary value of dapagliflozin in addition to the established GDMT for HF, and further support its use in ambulatory patients with symptomatic HFrEF in order to improve clinical outcomes.

Besides, significant renal protection observed with canagliflozin in the CREDENCE trial of T2DM patients with CKD and albuminuria (also noted in outcome trials with other SGLT2 inhibitors in the general population of T2DM patients) needs to be taken into account when discussing the role of SGLT2 inhibitors in HF [12]. Recently, a press release reported that the DAPA-CKD trial, enrolling 4245 patients with CKD, with and without T2DM, was prematurely stopped because of efficacy [71]. Since CKD is prevalent and associated with high mortality in HF [72, 73], prevention of the progression and/or worsening of CKD needs to be considered as an important goal that may translate into improved outcomes in HF.

Emerging data from EMPA-RESPONSE-AHF suggest potential safety of an early introduction of an SGLT2 inhibitor, empagliflozin, in acute HF patients, with and without T2DM

[74]. Pending confirmation from a larger trial, these results could be promising in advancing the treatment of acute HF.

Ongoing trials will further elucidate the role of SGLT2 inhibitors in the treatment of HF, as well as the underlying mechanisms by which SGLT2 inhibitors impact on cardiac structure, physiology and metabolism (**Table 1**).

Conclusions

Based on the available evidence, SGLT2 inhibitors (empagliflozin, canagliflozin, dapagliflozin), could be recommended to reduce the risk of HF hospitalisation in T2DM patients with either established cardiovascular disease, or at high cardiovascular risk. Currently available data suggest that dapagliflozin could be considered in the treatment of HFrEF patients, with and without T2DM. Further mechanistic studies and ongoing large-scale clinical trials will provide a more comprehensive overview of the role in the treatment of HF with other SGLT2 inhibitors and will also extend our knowledge on their potential for the treatment of acute HF and HFpEF.

Conflict of interest: Dr Seferović reports Medtronic honorarium for lecture, Abbott honorarium for lecture, Servier honorarium for lecture, Astra Zeneca honorarium for lecture, Respicardia honorarium for lecture, Boehringer Ingelheim consultancy agreement and honorarium for lecture. Novartis consultancy agreement and honorarium for lecture. Vifor Pharma consultancy agreement: Dr. Fragasso has nothing to disclose; Dr. Petrie reports grants and personal fees from Astra Zeneca, grants and personal fees from Novartis, grants and personal fees from Novo Nordisk, grants and personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, grants and personal fees from Abbvie, grants and personal fees from Takeda, grants and personal fees from Bayer, personal fees from Vifor, grants and personal fees from Pharmacosmos, personal fees from Cardiorentis, personal fees from Alnylam, outside the submitted work; Dr. Mullens has nothing to disclose; Dr. Ferrari reports personal fees from SERVIER INTERNATIONAL, personal fees from MERCK SERONO, grants and personal fees from NOVARTIS, personal fees from PFIZER, personal fees from DOC GENERICI, personal fees from Società Prodotti Antibiotici Spa, outside the submitted work; Dr. Thum reports personal fees and other from Cardior Pharmaceuticals GmbH, outside the submitted work; Dr. Bauersachs reports personal fees from Abbott, grants and personal fees from Abiomed, personal fees from Astra Zeneca, personal fees from Bayer, personal fees from BMS, personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, grants and personal fees from CvRX, personal fees from Daiichi Sankyo, personal fees from Medtronic, personal fees from MSD, personal fees from Novartis, personal fees from Pfizer, personal fees from Servier, grants and personal fees from Vifor, grants and personal fees from Zoll, outside the submitted work; Dr. Cavusoğlu has nothing to disclose; Dr Polovina reports Boehringer

Ingelheim honorarium for lecture, outside the submitted work; Dr. Metra reports personal fees from Minimal amount honoraria from Astra-Zeneca, Abbott vascular, Bayer, Edwards Therapeutics, Vifor pharma for participation to trials' committees or public speeches. outside the submitted work: Dr. Ambrosio has nothing to disclose; Dr. Datillo has nothing to disclose. Dr. Čelutkienė reports personal fees from AstraZeneca, personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, personal fees from Novartis, personal fees from Servier, personal fees from Amgen, outside the submitted work; Dr. Piepoli has nothing to disclose; Dr. Ben Gal has nothing to disclose; Dr. Heymans has nothing to disclose; Dr. de Boer reports grants from Abbott, grants from AstraZeneca, grants from Bristol-Myers Squibb, grants from Novartis, grants from NovoNordisk, grants from Roche, personal fees from Abbott, personal fees from AstraZeneca, personal fees from Novartis, personal fees from Roche, outside the submitted work; Dr. Jaarsma has nothing to disclose; Dr. Hill reports personal fees from Novartis, outside the submitted work; Dr Lopatin has nothing to disclose; Dr. Lyon discloses personal fees from: Ferring Pharmaceuticals, Eli Lily, Bristol Myers Squibb, Eisai Ltd, Myocardial Solutions and Heartfelt Technologies; Dr. Lyon discloses personal fees from: Ferring Pharmaceuticals, Eli Lily, Bristol Myers Squibb, Eisai Ltd, Myocardial Solutions and Heartfelt Technologies; Dr. Mueller reports personal fees from Astra Zeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, outside the submitted work; Dr. Lund reports personal fees from Merck, personal fees from Sanofi, grants and personal fees from Vifor-Fresenius, grants and personal fees from AstraZeneca, grants and personal fees from Relypsa, personal fees from Bayer, grants from Boston Scientific, grants and personal fees from Novartis, personal fees from Pharmacosmos, personal fees from Abbott, grants and personal fees from Mundipharma, personal fees from Medscape, personal fees from Myokardia, grants and personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, outside the submitted work; Dr. Filippatos reports other from Committee Member in trials sponsored by Medtronic, Vifor, Servier, Novartis, BI, outside the submitted work; Dr. Ruschitzka Since 1st January 2018: No personal payments / All payments directly to the University of Zurich; Before 2018: reports grants and personal fees from SJM / Abbott, grants and personal fees from Servier, personal fees from Zoll, personal fees from Astra Zeneca, personal fees from Sanofi, grants and personal fees from Novartis, personal fees from Amgen, personal fees from BMS, personal fees from Pfizer, personal fees from Fresenius, personal fees from Vifor, personal fees from Roche, grants and personal fees from Bayer, personal fees from Cardiorentis, personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, other from Heartware, grants from Mars, outside the submitted work; Dr. Rosano has nothing to disclose. Dr. Cosentino reports personal fees from Novo Nordisk, personal fees from MSD, personal fees from Pfizer, personal fees from Mundipharma, personal fees from Lilly, personal fees from AstraZeneca, personal fees from BMS, outside the submitted work. Dr. Coats reports personal fees from Astra Zeneca, personal fees from Bayer, personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheimer, personal fees from Menarini, personal fees from Novartis, personal fees from Nutricia, personal fees from Servier, personal fees from Vifor, personal fees from Actimed, personal fees from Arena, personal fees from Cardiac Dimensions, personal fees from Corvia. personal fees from CVRx, personal fees from Enopace, personal fees from ESN Cleer, personal fees from Faraday, personal fees from Gore, personal fees from Impulse Dynamics, personal fees from Respicardia, outside the submitted work; Dr. Prasad has nothing to disclose. Dr. Anker reports grants and personal fees from Vifor Int, personal fees from Bayer, personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, personal fees from Novartis, personal fees from Servier, grants and personal fees from Abbott Vascular, personal fees from Impulse Dynamics, personal fees from Cardiac Dimensions, outside the submitted work; Dr. Ponikowski reports personal fees and other from Boehringer Ingelheim, personal fees and other from AstraZeneca, during the conduct of the study; personal fees and other from Amgen, personal fees and other from Novartis, personal fees and other from Servier, personal fees and other from Baver, personal fees and other from BMS, personal fees from Pfizer, personal fees from Berlin Chemie, personal fees and other from Vifor Pharma, personal fees and other from Renal Guard Solutions, personal fees and other from Impulse Dynamics, outside the submitted work; Dr Moura reports personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, personal fees from Astra Zeneca, outside the submitted work: Dr. Lainscak reports personal fees from AstraZeneca, personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, during the conduct of the study; Dr Ray has has nothing to disclose.

REFERENCES

1. Koudstaal S, Pujades-Rodriguez M, Denaxas S, Gho J, Shah AD, Yu N, et al. Prognostic burden of heart failure recorded in primary care, acute hospital admissions, or both: a population-based linked electronic health record cohort study in 2.1 million people. European journal of heart failure. 2017;19(9):1119-27.

2. Shah AD, Langenberg C, Rapsomaniki E, Denaxas S, Pujades-Rodriguez M, Gale CP, et al. Type 2 diabetes and incidence of cardiovascular diseases: a cohort study in 1.9 million people. The lancet Diabetes & endocrinology. 2015;3(2):105-13.

3. Seferovic PM, Petrie MC, Filippatos GS, Anker SD, Rosano G, Bauersachs J, et al. Type 2 diabetes mellitus and heart failure: a position statement from the Heart Failure Association of the European Society of Cardiology. European journal of heart failure. 2018;20(5):853-72.

4. Jones NR, Roalfe AK, Adoki I, Hobbs FDR, Taylor CJ. Survival of patients with chronic heart failure in the community: a systematic review and meta-analysis. European journal of heart failure. 2019;21(11):1306-25.

5. Dauriz M, Targher G, Laroche C, Temporelli PL, Ferrari R, Anker S, et al. Association Between Diabetes and 1-Year Adverse Clinical Outcomes in a Multinational Cohort of Ambulatory Patients With Chronic Heart Failure: Results From the ESC-HFA Heart Failure Long-Term Registry. Diabetes care. 2017;40(5):671-8.

6. Targher G, Dauriz M, Laroche C, Temporelli PL, Hassanein M, Seferovic PM, et al. In-hospital and 1-year mortality associated with diabetes in patients with acute heart failure: results from the ESC-HFA Heart Failure Long-Term Registry. European journal of heart failure. 2017;19(1):54-65.

7. Zinman B, Wanner C, Lachin JM, Fitchett D, Bluhmki E, Hantel S, et al. Empagliflozin, Cardiovascular Outcomes, and Mortality in Type 2 Diabetes. The New England journal of medicine. 2015;373(22):2117-28.

8. Marso SP, Daniels GH, Brown-Frandsen K, Kristensen P, Mann JF, Nauck MA, et al. Liraglutide and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Type 2 Diabetes. The New England journal of medicine. 2016;375(4):311-22.

9. Zelniker TA, Wiviott SD, Raz I, Im K, Goodrich EL, Bonaca MP, et al. SGLT2 inhibitors for primary and secondary prevention of cardiovascular and renal outcomes in type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis of cardiovascular outcome trials. The Lancet. 2019;393(10166):31-9.

10. Mosenzon O, Wiviott SD, Cahn A, Rozenberg A, Yanuv I, Goodrich EL, et al. Effects of dapagliflozin on development and progression of kidney disease in patients with type 2 diabetes: an analysis from the DECLARE-TIMI 58 randomised trial. The lancet Diabetes & endocrinology. 2019;7(8):606-17.

11. Wanner C, Inzucchi SE, Lachin JM, Fitchett D, von Eynatten M, Mattheus M, et al. Empagliflozin and Progression of Kidney Disease in Type 2 Diabetes. The New England journal of medicine. 2016;375(4):323-34.

12. Perkovic V, Jardine MJ, Neal B, Bompoint S, Heerspink HJL, Charytan DM, et al. Canagliflozin and Renal Outcomes in Type 2 Diabetes and Nephropathy. The New England journal of medicine. 2019.

13. Cosentino F, Grant PJ, Aboyans V, Bailey CJ, Ceriello A, Delgado V, et al. 2019 ESC Guidelines on diabetes, pre-diabetes, and cardiovascular diseases developed in collaboration with the EASD. Eur Heart J. 2019.

14. Seferovic PM, Coats AJS, Ponikowski P, Filippatos G, Huelsmann M, Jhund PS, et al. European Society of Cardiology/Heart Failure Association position paper on the role and safety of new glucose-lowering drugs in patients with heart failure. European journal of heart failure. 2019.

15. Seferovic PM, Ponikowski P, Anker SD, Bauersachs J, Chioncel O, Cleland JGF, et al. Clinical practice update on heart failure 2019: pharmacotherapy, procedures, devices and patient management. An expert consensus meeting report of The Heart Failure Association of the European Society of Cardiology. European journal of heart failure. 2019.

16. McMurray JJV, Solomon SD, Inzucchi SE, Kober L, Kosiborod MN, Martinez FA, et al. Dapagliflozin in Patients with Heart Failure and Reduced Ejection Fraction. The New England journal of medicine. 2019;381(21):1995-2008.

17. Wiviott SD, Raz I, Bonaca MP, Mosenzon O, Kato ET, Cahn A, et al. Dapagliflozin and Cardiovascular Outcomes in Type 2 Diabetes. The New England journal of medicine. 2019;380(4):347-57.

18. Petrie MC, Verma S, Docherty KF, Inzucchi SE, Anand I, Belohlavek J, et al. Effect of Dapagliflozin on Worsening Heart Failure and Cardiovascular Death in Patients With Heart Failure With and Without Diabetes. Jama. 2020.

19. Nassif ME, Windsor SL, Tang F, Khariton Y, Husain M, Inzucchi SE, et al. Dapagliflozin Effects on Biomarkers, Symptoms, and Functional Status in Patients With Heart Failure With Reduced Ejection Fraction: The DEFINE-HF Trial. Circulation. 2019;140(18):1463-76.

20. Abraham WT, Ponikowski P, Brueckmann M, Zeller C, Macesic H, Peil B, et al. Rationale and design of the EMPERIAL-Preserved and EMPERIAL-Reduced trials of empagliflozin in patients with chronic heart failure. European journal of heart failure. 2019;21(7):932-42.

21. de Leeuw AE, de Boer RA. Sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibition: cardioprotection by treating diabetes-a translational viewpoint explaining its potential salutary effects. European heart journal Cardiovascular pharmacotherapy. 2016;2(4):244-55.

22. Verma S, McMurray JJV. SGLT2 inhibitors and mechanisms of cardiovascular benefit: a state-of-the-art review. Diabetologia. 2018;61(10):2108-17.

23. Lambers Heerspink HJ, de Zeeuw D, Wie L, Leslie B, List J. Dapagliflozin a glucose-regulating drug with diuretic properties in subjects with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes, obesity & metabolism. 2013;15(9):853-62.

24. Neal B, Perkovic V, Mahaffey KW, de Zeeuw D, Fulcher G, Erondu N, et al. Canagliflozin and Cardiovascular and Renal Events in Type 2 Diabetes. The New England journal of medicine. 2017;377(7):644-57.

25. Zhao Y, Xu L, Tian D, Xia P, Zheng H, Wang L, et al. Effects of sodium-glucose co-transporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitors on serum uric acid level: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Diabetes, obesity & metabolism. 2018;20(2):458-62.

26. Chino Y, Samukawa Y, Sakai S, Nakai Y, Yamaguchi J, Nakanishi T, et al. SGLT2 inhibitor lowers serum uric acid through alteration of uric acid transport activity in renal tubule by increased glycosuria. Biopharm Drug Dispos. 2014;35(7):391-404.

27. Ferrannini E, Baldi S, Frascerra S, Astiarraga B, Heise T, Bizzotto R, et al. Shift to Fatty Substrate Utilization in Response to Sodium–Glucose Cotransporter 2 Inhibition in Subjects Without Diabetes and Patients With Type 2 Diabetes. Diabetes. 2016;65(5):1190-5.

28. Ferrannini E, Solini A. SGLT2 inhibition in diabetes mellitus: rationale and clinical prospects. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2012;8(8):495-502.

29. WASEDA N, SATOH H, YOSHIDA C, IKEDA F, KANAZAWA A, WATADA H. Effects of SGLT2 Inhibitors on Insulin Secretion and Insulin Resistance—Results from a Cross-Sectional Study. Diabetes. 2018;67(Supplement 1):1187-P.

30. Bolinder J, Ljunggren O, Kullberg J, Johansson L, Wilding J, Langkilde AM, et al. Effects of dapagliflozin on body weight, total fat mass, and regional adipose tissue distribution in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus with inadequate glycemic control on metformin. The Journal of clinical endocrinology and metabolism. 2012;97(3):1020-31.

31. Yamamoto C, Miyoshi H, Ono K, Sugawara H, Kameda R, Ichiyama M, et al. Ipragliflozin effectively reduced visceral fat in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes under adequate diet therapy. Endocr J. 2016;63(6):589-96.

32. Eriksson JW, Lundkvist P, Jansson PA, Johansson L, Kvarnstrom M, Moris L, et al. Effects of dapagliflozin and n-3 carboxylic acids on non-alcoholic fatty liver disease in people with type 2 diabetes: a double-blind randomised placebo-controlled study. Diabetologia. 2018;61(9):1923-34.

33. Kuchay MS, Krishan S, Mishra SK, Farooqui KJ, Singh MK, Wasir JS, et al. Effect of Empagliflozin on Liver Fat in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes and Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: A Randomized Controlled Trial (E-LIFT Trial). Diabetes care. 2018;41(8):1801-8.

34. Sattar N, Fitchett D, Hantel S, George JT, Zinman B. Empagliflozin is associated with improvements in liver enzymes potentially consistent with reductions in liver fat: results from randomised trials including the EMPA-REG OUTCOME(R) trial. Diabetologia. 2018;61(10):2155-63.

35. Leiter LA, Forst T, Polidori D, Balis DA, Xie J, Sha S. Effect of canagliflozin on liver function tests in patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes & metabolism. 2016;42(1):25-32.

36. Shin SJ, Chung S, Kim SJ, Lee EM, Yoo YH, Kim JW, et al. Effect of Sodium-Glucose Co-Transporter 2 Inhibitor, Dapagliflozin, on Renal Renin-Angiotensin System in an Animal Model of Type 2 Diabetes. PLoS One. 2016;11(11):e0165703.

37. Yoshimoto T, Furuki T, Kobori H, Miyakawa M, Imachi H, Murao K, et al. Effects of sodiumglucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors on urinary excretion of intact and total angiotensinogen in patients with type 2 diabetes. J Investig Med. 2017;65(7):1057-61.

38. Cherney DZ, Perkins BA, Soleymanlou N, Maione M, Lai V, Lee A, et al. Renal hemodynamic effect of sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibition in patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus. Circulation. 2014;129(5):587-97.

39. Butler J, Handelsman Y, Bakris G, Verma S. Use of sodium–glucose co-transporter-2 inhibitors in patients with and without type 2 diabetes: implications for incident and prevalent heart failure. European journal of heart failure. 2020;22(4):604-17.

40. Yurista SR, Sillje HHW, Oberdorf-Maass SU, Schouten EM, Pavez Giani MG, Hillebrands JL, et al. Sodium-glucose co-transporter 2 inhibition with empagliflozin improves cardiac function in non-diabetic rats with left ventricular dysfunction after myocardial infarction. European journal of heart failure. 2019;21(7):862-73.

41. Sha S, Polidori D, Heise T, Natarajan J, Farrell K, Wang SS, et al. Effect of the sodium glucose co-transporter 2 inhibitor canagliflozin on plasma volume in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Diabetes, obesity & metabolism. 2014;16(11):1087-95.

42. Hallow KM, Helmlinger G, Greasley PJ, McMurray JJV, Boulton DW. Why do SGLT2 inhibitors reduce heart failure hospitalization? A differential volume regulation hypothesis. Diabetes, obesity & metabolism. 2018;20(3):479-87.

43. Tang H, Zhang X, Zhang J, Li Y, Del Gobbo LC, Zhai S, et al. Elevated serum magnesium associated with SGLT2 inhibitor use in type 2 diabetes patients: a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. Diabetologia. 2016;59(12):2546-51.

44. Yurista SR, Sillje HHW, van Goor H, Hillebrands JL, Heerspink HJL, de Menezes Montenegro L, et al. Effects of Sodium-Glucose Co-transporter 2 Inhibition with Empaglifozin on Renal Structure and Function in Non-diabetic Rats with Left Ventricular Dysfunction After Myocardial Infarction. Cardiovascular drugs and therapy. 2020.

45. Inzucchi SE, Zinman B, Fitchett D, Wanner C, Ferrannini E, Schumacher M, et al. How Does Empagliflozin Reduce Cardiovascular Mortality? Insights From a Mediation Analysis of the EMPA-REG OUTCOME Trial. 2018;41(2):356-63.

46. Martens P, Nijst P, Dupont M, Mullens W. The Optimal Plasma Volume Status in Heart Failure in Relation to Clinical Outcome. Journal of cardiac failure. 2019;25(4):240-8.

47. Inzucchi SE, Kosiborod M, Fitchett D, Wanner C, Hehnke U, Kaspers S, et al. Improvement in Cardiovascular Outcomes With Empagliflozin Is Independent of Glycemic Control. Circulation. 2018;138(17):1904-7.

48. Sano M, Goto S. Possible Mechanism of Hematocrit Elevation by Sodium Glucose Cotransporter 2 Inhibitors and Associated Beneficial Renal and Cardiovascular Effects. Circulation. 2019;139(17):1985-7.

49. Sano M, Takei M, Shiraishi Y, Suzuki Y. Increased Hematocrit During Sodium-Glucose Cotransporter 2 Inhibitor Therapy Indicates Recovery of Tubulointerstitial Function in Diabetic Kidneys. J Clin Med Res. 2016;8(12):844-7.

50. Packer M, Anker SD, Butler J, Filippatos G, Zannad F. Effects of Sodium-Glucose Cotransporter 2 Inhibitors for the Treatment of Patients With Heart Failure: Proposal of a Novel Mechanism of Action. JAMA cardiology. 2017;2(9):1025-9.

51. Uthman L, Baartscheer A, Bleijlevens B, Schumacher CA, Fiolet JWT, Koeman A, et al. Class effects of SGLT2 inhibitors in mouse cardiomyocytes and hearts: inhibition of Na(+)/H(+) exchanger, lowering of cytosolic Na(+) and vasodilation. Diabetologia. 2018;61(3):722-6.

52. Iborra-Egea O, Santiago-Vacas E, Yurista SR, Lupon J, Packer M, Heymans S, et al. Unraveling the Molecular Mechanism of Action of Empagliflozin in Heart Failure With Reduced Ejection Fraction With or Without Diabetes. JACC Basic Transl Sci. 2019;4(7):831-40.

53. Santos-Gallego CG, Requena-Ibanez JA, San Antonio R, Ishikawa K, Watanabe S, Picatoste B, et al. Empagliflozin Ameliorates Adverse Left Ventricular Remodeling in Nondiabetic Heart Failure by Enhancing Myocardial Energetics. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2019;73(15):1931-44.

54. Li C, Zhang J, Xue M, Li X, Han F, Liu X, et al. SGLT2 inhibition with empagliflozin attenuates myocardial oxidative stress and fibrosis in diabetic mice heart. Cardiovascular diabetology. 2019;18(1):15.

55. Paolisso G, De Riu S, Marrazzo G, Verza M, Varricchio M, D'Onofrio F. Insulin resistance and hyperinsulinemia in patients with chronic congestive heart failure. Metabolism: clinical and experimental. 1991;40(9):972-7.

56. Lopaschuk GD, Ussher JR, Folmes CD, Jaswal JS, Stanley WC. Myocardial fatty acid metabolism in health and disease. Physiological reviews. 2010;90(1):207-58.

57. Verma S, Rawat S, Ho KL, Wagg CS, Zhang L, Teoh H, et al. Empagliflozin Increases Cardiac Energy Production in Diabetes: Novel Translational Insights Into the Heart Failure Benefits of SGLT2 Inhibitors. JACC Basic Transl Sci. 2018;3(5):575-87.

58. Verma S, Mazer CD, Yan AT, Mason T, Garg V, Teoh H, et al. Effect of Empagliflozin on Left Ventricular Mass in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus and Coronary Artery Disease: The EMPA-HEART CardioLink-6 Randomized Clinical Trial. Circulation. 2019;140(21):1693-702.

59. Brown A, Gandy S, McCrimmon R, Struthers A, Lang CC. Abstract 10643: A Randomised Controlled Trial of Dapagliflozin on Left Ventricular Hypertrophy in Patients With Type Two Diabetes. The DAPA-LVH Trial. Circulation. 2019;140(Suppl_1):A10643-A.

60. Singh JSS, Mordi IR, Vickneson K, Fathi A, Donnan PT, Mohan M, et al. Dapagliflozin Versus Placebo on Left Ventricular Remodeling in Patients With Diabetes and Heart Failure: The REFORM Trial. Diabetes care. 2020:dc192187.

61. Pabel S, Wagner S, Bollenberg H, Bengel P, Kovacs A, Schach C, et al. Empagliflozin directly improves diastolic function in human heart failure. European journal of heart failure. 2018;20(12):1690-700.

62. Packer M. Do sodium-glucose co-transporter-2 inhibitors prevent heart failure with a preserved ejection fraction by counterbalancing the effects of leptin? A novel hypothesis. Diabetes, obesity & metabolism. 2018;20(6):1361-6.

63. Shigiyama F, Kumashiro N, Miyagi M, Ikehara K, Kanda E, Uchino H, et al. Effectiveness of dapagliflozin on vascular endothelial function and glycemic control in patients with early-stage type 2 diabetes mellitus: DEFENCE study. Cardiovascular diabetology. 2017;16(1):84.

64. Chilton R, Tikkanen I, Cannon CP, Crowe S, Woerle HJ, Broedl UC, et al. Effects of empagliflozin on blood pressure and markers of arterial stiffness and vascular resistance in patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetes, obesity & metabolism. 2015;17(12):1180-93.

65. Herat LY, Magno AL, Rudnicka C, Hricova J, Carnagarin R, Ward NC, et al. SGLT2 Inhibitor– Induced Sympathoinhibition. A Novel Mechanism for Cardiorenal Protection. 2020;5(2):169-79.

66. Avogaro A, Fadini GP, Del Prato S. Reinterpreting Cardiorenal Protection of Renal Sodium– Glucose Cotransporter 2 Inhibitors via Cellular Life History Programming. Diabetes care. 2020;43(3):501-7.

67. Kosiborod MN, Jhund PS, Docherty KF, Diez M, Petrie MC, Verma S, et al. Effects of Dapagliflozin on Symptoms, Function, and Quality of Life in Patients With Heart Failure and Reduced Ejection Fraction: Results From the DAPA-HF Trial. Circulation. 2020;141(2):90-9.

68. Martinez FA, Serenelli M, Nicolau JC, Petrie MC, Chiang CE, Tereshchenko S, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Dapagliflozin in Heart Failure With Reduced Ejection Fraction According to Age: Insights From DAPA-HF. Circulation. 2020;141(2):100-11.

69. Lainscak M, Milinkovic I, Polovina M, Crespo-Leiro MG, Lund LH, Anker SD, et al. Sex- and agerelated differences in the management and outcomes of chronic heart failure: an analysis of patients from the ESC HFA EORP Heart Failure Long-Term Registry. European journal of heart failure. 2020;22(1):92-102.

70. Docherty KF, Jhund PS, Inzucchi SE, Kober L, Kosiborod MN, Martinez FA, et al. Effects of dapagliflozin in DAPA-HF according to background heart failure therapy. Eur Heart J. 2020.

71. Heerspink HJL, Stefansson BV, Chertow GM, Correa-Rotter R, Greene T, Hou FF, et al. Rationale and protocol of the Dapagliflozin And Prevention of Adverse outcomes in Chronic Kidney Disease (DAPA-CKD) randomized controlled trial. Nephrology, dialysis, transplantation : official publication of the European Dialysis and Transplant Association - European Renal Association. 2020;35(2):274-82.

72. Damman K, Valente MA, Voors AA, O'Connor CM, van Veldhuisen DJ, Hillege HL. Renal impairment, worsening renal function, and outcome in patients with heart failure: an updated meta-analysis. Eur Heart J. 2014;35(7):455-69.

73. Mullens W, Damman K, Testani JM, Martens P, Mueller C, Lassus J, et al. Evaluation of kidney function throughout the heart failure trajectory - a position statement from the Heart Failure Association of the European Society of Cardiology. European journal of heart failure. 2020.

74. Damman K, Beusekamp JC, Boorsma EM, Swart HP, Smilde TDJ, Elvan A, et al. Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicentre pilot study on the effects of empagliflozin on clinical outcomes in patients with acute decompensated heart failure (EMPA-RESPONSE-AHF). European journal of heart failure. 2020.

FIGURE LEGEND

Figure 1. Proposed biological mechanisms and effects of SGLT2 inhibitors

Table 1. Ongoing clinical trials with SGLT2 inhibitors
Cardiovascular outcomes in patients with HFrEF or HFpEF
EMPEROR-Reduced (NCT03057977)
 Empagliflozin in patients with HFrEF with/without T2DM; Primary outcome: cardiovascular death or HF hospitalization.
EMPEROR-Preserved (NCT0305795)
 Empagliflozin in patients with HFpEF with/without T2DM; Primary outcome: cardiovascular death or HF hospitalization.
DELIVER (NCT03619213)
 Dapagliflozin in patients with HFpEF with/without T2DM; Primary outcome: composite of cardiovascular death, hospitalisation for HF or urgent HF visit.
SOLOIST-WHF (NCT03521934)
 Sotagliflozin in patients with T2DM and HF (following hospitalisation for worsening HF); Primary outcome: cardiovascular death or hospitalisation for HF in patients with LVEF <50%, as well as in the total patient population (regardless of LVEF); Prematurely discontinued.
Symptoms and functional status
DETERMINE-Reduced (NCT03877237)
 Dapagliflozin in patients with HFrEF with/without T2DM; Primary outcome: change from baseline in KCCQ and 6-minute walk distance at Week16.
DETERMINE-Preserved (NCT03877224)
 Dapagliflozin in patients with HFpEF with/without T2DM; Primary outcome: change from baseline in KCCQ and 6-minute walk distance at Week16.
Outcomes in patients with chronic kidney disease
EMPA-KIDNEY (NCT03594110)
 Empagliflozin in patients with chronic kidney disease with/without T2DM; Primary outcome: kidney disease progression (defined as ESKD, a sustained decline in eGFR to <10 mL/min/1.73m², renal death, or a sustained decline of ≥40% in eGFR from randomization) or cardiovascular death.
DAPA-CKD (NCT03036150)
 Dapagliflozin in patients with chronic kidney disease with/without T2DM; Time to the first occurrence of any of the components of the composite: ≥50% sustained decline in eGFR or reaching ESKD or cardiovascular death or renal death. Prematurely discontinued for efficacy
Cardiac physiology and metabolism
EMPA-VISION (NCT03332212)
 Empagliflozin in patients with HFrEF or HFpEF with/without T2DM; Primary outcome: effect on cardiac physiology and metabolism as assessed by cardiac magnetic resonance spectroscopy.
EMPA-TROPISM (NCT03485222)
 Empagliflozin in patients with HFrEF (LVEF <50%) without T2DM; Primary outcome: effect on LV systolic and diastolic volumes as assessed by cardiac magnetic resonance imaging.
EmDia (NCT02932436)
 Empagliflozin in patients with T2DM; Primary outcome: effect on LV diastolic function as assessed by echocardiography.

HFpEF – heart failure with preserved ejection fraction; HFrEF – heart failure with reduced ejection fraction; eGFR – estimated glomerular filtration rate; ESKD – end-stage kidney disease; KCCQ – Kansas City Cardiomyopathy Questionnaire; LVEF – left ventricular ejection fraction; T2DM – type 2 diabetes mellitus

