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#### Abstract

Since their discovery over five decades ago, quinolone antibiotics have found enormous success as broad spectrum agents that exert their activity through dual inhibition of bacterial DNA gyrase and topoisomerase IV. Increasing rates of resistance, driven largely by target-based mutations in the GyrA/ParC Quinolone Resistance Determining Region, have eroded the utility and threaten the future use of this vital class of antibiotics. Herein we describe the discovery and optimization of a series of 4-(aminomethyl)quinolin-2(1H)-ones, exemplified by 34, that inhibit bacterial DNA gyrase and topoisomerase IV and display potent activity against ciprofloxacin-resistant Gramnegative pathogens. X-ray crystallography reveals that $\mathbf{3 4}$ occupies the classical quinolone binding site in the topoisomerase IV-DNA cleavage complex, but does not form significant contacts with residues in the Quinolone Resistance Determining Region.


## Introduction

Quinolone antibiotics trace their history to two parallel discovery efforts at Imperial Chemical Industries (ICI) and Sterling Drug over 50 years ago. ${ }^{1}$ From these landmark studies emerged one
of the most successful classes of antibacterial agents with nearly 30 analogs approved for clinical use, and more still in the pipeline at various pharmaceutical companies. ${ }^{2-8}$

Quinolone antibiotics exert their activity by binding to and stabilizing DNA cleavage complexes formed by two essential bacterial enzymes: DNA gyrase and topoisomerase IV. This results in blockade of DNA replication. Subsequent downstream events lead to induction of the SOS response, chromosome fragmentation and cell death., ${ }^{9,10}$ Structural characterization of DNA-enzyme-quinolone ternary complexes has revealed that quinolones intercalate between DNA base pairs at two neighboring cleavage sites in the gyrase or topoisomerase IV heterotetramer complex. ${ }^{1-13}$ Additional studies support a binding mode in which the keto-acid functionality of bound quinolones is chelated to $\mathrm{a}^{2+}$ cation which in turn forms water mediated hydrogen bonds to residues in the quinolone resistance determining region (QRDR) of GyrA and ParC (e.g., Ser83 and Asp87 in Escherichia coli GyrA). ${ }^{14-18}$ This interaction appears to be critical for the quinolone mechanism of action and may account for the fact that, despite 50 years of medicinal chemistry research, the overwhelming majority of quinolone antibiotics retain a carbonyl at C 4 and carboxylate at C3 (as suggested by Wohlkonig et al). ${ }^{14}$ In fact, this arrangement of substituents at C3 and C4 has been widely regarded as optimal and essential for the antibacterial activity of quinolones. ${ }^{19}$ As with all classes of antibiotics, resistance to quinolones has emerged and continues to develop. While several mechanisms have been described, a major driver of high-level resistance is amino acid substitutions in DNA gyrase and topoisomerase IV at residues that are responsible for mediating the water-metal ion bridge interaction described above. ${ }^{20}$ As a result, the current and long-term utility of quinolones for treatment of many infections caused by both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria has already been eroded. ${ }^{21}$

The global problem of antibiotic resistance and the need for new and effective antibiotic drug candidates is well-documented. ${ }^{22-28}$ Bacterial DNA gyrase and topoisomerase IV are clinically validated targets for chemotherapy, and quinolone antibiotics possess many attractive features including bactericidal activity against a broad spectrum of pathogens, rapid killing kinetics and oral bioavailability. As such, there is significant interest in identifying inhibitors of these enzymes that are able to overcome target-based resistance to quinolones. ${ }^{29-31}$ In a series of seminal publications and patents Pfizer described the discovery and optimization of 3aminoquinazolinediones ${ }^{32-34}$ (e.g., compound 2, Figure 1) that are capable of binding to and stabilizing DNA gyrase/topoisomerase IV cleavage complexes in the absence of the key watermetal ion bridge interaction that characterizes classical quinolone binding. ${ }^{14-18,}{ }^{35}, 36$ Lead compounds in this series exhibited little or no cross-resistance with quinolones. Importantly, these results demonstrated that the C3 carboxylate/C4 carbonyl arrangement present in nearly all quinolone antibiotics (see ciprofloxacin, Figure 1) is not strictly required for antibacterial activity. More recently, researchers from the Innovative Medicines Initiative European Gram-Negative Antibacterial Engine (IMI-ENABLE) consortium reported a series of imidazopyrazinones (IPYs) that target the quinolone binding pocket of bacterial DNA gyrase and topoisomerase IV without formation of the water-metal ion bridge. ${ }^{37,38}$ Representative compounds from this series showed partial cross-resistance with quinolones. Interestingly, the influence of QRDR mutations on the activity of IPYs was found to be intermediate between 3-aminoquinazolinediones and quinolone antibiotics.

Within Novartis, antibacterial drug discovery efforts were broadly focused on pathogens and resistance phenotypes identified as major threats to human health by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the World Health Organization (WHO). ${ }^{22,23}$ As a part of these
efforts, we initiated an early stage medicinal chemistry program around compound $\mathbf{3}$ (Figure 1), which was identified as a hit during phenotypic screening for inhibitors of bacterial cell growth. Target identification efforts indicated that compounds in this series were inhibitors of DNA gyrase/topoisomerase IV, however the SAR proved to be extremely steep and we were unable to improve antibacterial potency to levels sufficient for a lead optimization program. The structural similarity to Pfizer's quinazolinediones, however, led us to consider a scaffold-morphing approach with the classical quinolone scaffold (e.g., 1, ciprofloxacin). ${ }^{39}$

Structural and biochemical studies with quinazolinediones suggest that while this chemotype is incapable of forming a water-metal ion bridge with the target enzymes, it derives potency and affinity through additional interactions around the C 7 amine moiety and a hydrogen bond between the C2 carbonyl oxygen and Arg117 (Streptococcus pneumoniae ParC numbering). ${ }^{12,35,36}$ We hypothesized that by simply moving the C 4 carbonyl of quinolones such as $\mathbf{1}$ to the C 2 position (e.g., 4, Figure 1), we would be able to generate compounds that take advantage of similar interactions and thus retain potency against both wild type (WT) and quinolone-resistant bacteria. We further postulated that completely removing the C3 carboxylate (e.g., 5, Figure 1) could generate compounds that make no binding interactions at all with the GyrA/ParC QRDR region. Importantly, if this strategy proved successful it would provide a unique opportunity to explore SAR at the C3 and C4 positions of the quinolone scaffold which have remained largely untouched over 50 years of research. ${ }^{19}$


1 (ciprofloxacin)



3 (NVS phenotypic hit)



Figure 1. Structures of the fluoroquinolone antibiotic ciprofloxacin, quinazolinedione PD 0305970 (Pfizer), Novartis phenotypic hit $\mathbf{3}$ and initial lead compounds $\mathbf{4}$ and 5.

## Results and Discussion

SAR Development of the Quinolin-2(1H)-one Scaffold Our efforts commenced with the synthesis and profiling of compounds $\mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{5}$. Biochemical assays were established that used sizeexclusion chromatography to monitor the introduction of supercoils into relaxed DNA and decatenation of kinetoplast DNA by E. coli DNA gyrase and topoisomerase IV respectively (see Experimental Section for details). Against DNA gyrase from E. coli, compound $\mathbf{4}$ was less potent than both ciprofloxacin (1) and PD 0305970 (2), while 5 (which lacks the C3 carboxylic acid) exhibited potency more comparable to $\mathbf{1}$ and 2 (Table 1). Against E. coli topoisomerase IV, compound $\mathbf{5}$ was again more potent than $\mathbf{4}$ and approached the activity seen with compound $\mathbf{2}$.

Against a selection of clinically relevant Gram-negative bacteria, compounds $\mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ exhibited encouraging levels of cell growth inhibition (Table 1). Robust activity was observed, in particular, against E. coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae. Importantly, both $\mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ exhibited a minimal shift in

MIC against an engineered efflux-deficient Pseudomonas aeruginosa strain harboring QRDR mutations in gyrase and topoisomerase IV (MIC values increased by 8 X for $\mathbf{4}$ and 2 X for 5 compared to 128 X for ciprofloxacin). However, there was clearly a significant loss of intrinsic cellular activity for compounds $\mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ relative to fluoroquinolones such as ciprofloxacin (1). We thus embarked upon a program that was focused upon optimization of activity against Gramnegative bacteria.

Table 1. Biochemical and cellular activity of 1, 2, 4 and 5.

|  |  | Compounds |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | E. coli Gyrase | 0.49 | 0.20 | 2.91 | 0.43 |
| Biochemical | E. coli Topo IV | 2.71 | 0.22 | 4.80 | 0.39 |
| $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | E. coli WT | 0.008 | 1 | 4 | 1 |
| K. pneumoniae WT | 0.03 | 2 | 8 | 4 |  |
| MIC | P. aeruginosa WT | 0.125 | 4 | 32 | 16 |
| $(\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$ | P. aeruginosa $\Delta \operatorname{mexB} / \Delta \operatorname{mex} X$ | 0.03 | 1 | 8 | 4 |
|  | P. aeruginosa $\triangle \operatorname{mexB} / \Delta \operatorname{mex} X$ FQ-R ${ }^{a}$ | 4 | 2 | 64 | 8 |

Historically, achieving sufficient cellular activity against Gram-negative bacteria has been one of the major hurdles limiting the success of many preclinical antibacterial research programs. ${ }^{40-42}$ This is due in part to the complexity of the Gram-negative cell envelope which includes an outer membrane that restricts permeation of large and/or lipophilic molecules and an inner membrane that opposes influx of more polar molecules. These physical barriers work in concert with multidrug efflux pumps to create a significant barrier to the accumulation of small molecule drugs in Gram-negative microorganisms. ${ }^{43-51}$ This is a particularly acute problem for inhibitors that must
reach targets within the cytoplasm. Simultaneous optimization of cell permeability, efflux, ontarget potency and drug-like properties continues to be a major challenge for the field. ${ }^{52-57}$

With this in mind, compound $\mathbf{5}$ was selected as the starting point for our efforts based on its superior baseline cellular activity and reduced impact of QRDR mutations on antimicrobial activity relative to $\mathbf{4}$. Compound 5 also provided a unique opportunity to explore SAR at both the C 3 and C 4 positions of the scaffold. At the time this work was initiated there was scant knowledge about the characteristics of this region of the binding pocket in the absence of the water-metal ion bridge since the Pfizer quinazolinedione series maintained a C 4 carbonyl group.

Small lipophilic substituents such as chlorine were well tolerated at C4 (e.g., 6, Table 2), however compound 7 was substantially less active. The latter may be ascribed to the relatively acidic nature and hydration of the hydroxyl $\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=5.7\right)$, which unlike the carboxylic acid of 4 cannot be masked by an internal hydrogen bond to the C2 carbonyl and may contribute to poor inner membrane permeability. Alternatively, while spectroscopic data for compound 7 was qualitatively similar to related $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{O}$-alkoxy derivatives, we cannot rule out the possibility that the C2-hydroxy/C4-carbonyl tautomer of 7 could exist in the bacterial cellular environment, and may contribute to the poor antibacterial activity observed. Capping the hydroxyl of 7 with a methyl group (8) restored some potency, while the nitrile (9) was 2-4 fold more potent against Gramnegative bacteria than compound 5. The corresponding aminomethyl analog 10, accessed by reduction of the nitrile, proved to be the most potent compound of the series. The introduction of a second, weakly basic amine at C4 often served to improve Gram-negative potency, particularly against $P$. aeruginosa (see Table 4 for additional examples of this effect). MIC values for $\mathbf{1 0}$ and related analogs against $E$. coli $\Delta a c r B$ revealed a moderate efflux liability that was later partially addressed through modifications at the C7 position (see Table 4 below). Replacing the C 4 amine
with an alcohol (11) was detrimental to antibacterial activity and appeared to further increase susceptibility to efflux in E. coli. The weakly basic amine could, however, be accommodated on a longer chain which presumably projects further into the binding pocket without significant loss of potency (12). A carboxylic acid (13) was deleterious to antimicrobial activity, possibly due to poor inner membrane permeability (carboxylate $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=2.2$ ). While smaller substituents at C 4 were generally preferred for Gram-negative antibacterial activity, some larger groups were tolerated as exemplified by $\mathbf{1 4}$, which retained some weak activity against $E$. coli WT.

Table 2. Antibacterial SAR at position 4 of the quinolin-2(1H)-one scaffold.


| Cmpd | $\mathbf{R}_{4}$ | MIC ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E. coli } \\ & \text { WT } \end{aligned}$ | E. coli $\Delta a c r B$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { K. } \\ \text { pneumoniae } \\ \text { WT } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P . \\ \text { aeruginosa } \\ \text { WT } \end{gathered}$ | P. aeruginosa $\Delta$ mexB $\Delta m e x X$ | P. aeruginosa $\Delta$ mexB $\Delta m e x X$ FQ-R ${ }^{a}$ |
| 5 | $\stackrel{H}{+}$ | 1 | 0.06 | 4 | 16 | 4 | 8 |
| 6 | $\underset{\text { Cl }}{ }$ | 2 | 0.06 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 8 |
| 7 |  | >32 | 16 | >32 | >32 | >32 | >32 |
| 8 | OMe | 32 | 1 | 16 | 32 | 8 | 16 |



Upon additional profiling of compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ it became apparent that the advantages conveyed by the presence of a weakly basic amine at C 4 extended beyond an improvement in Gram-negative antibacterial potency. Relative to compound 5, 10 displayed improved solubility and a reduction in plasma protein binding and hERG inhibition (Table 3). Both compounds exhibited low to moderate intrinsic clearance in liver microsomes, however $\mathbf{1 0}$ suffered from reduced permeability across mammalian cell membranes as gauged by CACO-2 $\mathrm{P}_{\text {app. }}$. Despite this, the aminomethyl substituent at C4 appeared to provide a good balance of potency and in vitro properties, prompting us to retain this group for subsequent SAR studies.

Table 3. In vitro profile of compounds 5 and $\mathbf{1 0}$.

|  | 5 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{logD}{ }_{7.4}$ | 0.74 | -0.29 |
| Solubility ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{PBS}$ ) | 498 | >555 |
| Plasma Protein Binding (\% bound, mouse/rat/human) | 81/75/90 | 56/18/17 |
| hERG IC ${ }_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | $48.8{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $>300^{\text {b }}$ |
| CACO-2 $\mathrm{Papp}^{\text {A-B }}$ (efflux ratio) | 16.7 (1.5) | 0.44 (18) |
| CLint in Liver Microsomes <br> ( $\mu \mathrm{L} / \mathrm{min} / \mathrm{mg}$, rat/human) | $10 /<7.7$ | <7.7 / 14 |
| ${ }^{a}$ Determined using manual patch clamp electrophysiology (see Experimental Section for details). ${ }^{b}$ Determined using QPatch automated electrophysiology (see Experimental Section for details). |  |  |

The C7 position of the classical quinolone scaffold is remarkably tolerant of a wide variety of substituents. Similarly, we found the C7 position of our scaffold to be more tolerant of structural changes than other positions. However, the scope of substituents that provided highly active compounds was significantly smaller than that described for quinolone antibiotics.

In general, substituted pyrrolidines proved to be the most suitable substituents at $\mathrm{C} 7.3 S$ aminopyrrolidine $\mathbf{1 5}$ was almost equally active relative to $\mathbf{1 0}$, however inversion of the amine stereocenter resulted in $\geq 4$-fold loss of in vitro potency (16, Table 4). Hydroxypyrrolidine $\mathbf{1 7}$ was also well tolerated and provided a boost in potency against E. coli and K. pneumoniae. An unsubstituted pyrrolidine at the C7 position provided a compound (18) with comparable potency against $E$. coli and K. pneumoniae but substantially reduced activity against $P$. aeruginosa. Aromatic substituents (e.g., 19) often provided potent compounds but this came at the expense of higher $\log \mathrm{D}_{7.4}$ and poor solubility (data not shown). Compounds 18 and 19 support the observation that compounds with only a single basic amine often exhibited reduced activity against $P$.
aeruginosa compared to compounds with two (e.g., 10) despite equal or better activity against $E$. coli. Six-membered saturated rings (e.g., piperazine, compound 20) offered no improvement in antimicrobial activity despite the fact that this group is present in ciprofloxacin. This result suggested a divergence in SAR from known quinolone antibiotics. A minor breakthrough was realized with the identification of [3.1.0] bicyclic amines 21 and $\mathbf{2 2}$, which were the most potent compounds of this series against E. coli. Compound 21 also showed reduced susceptibility to efflux relative to $\mathbf{1 0}$ as evidenced by a 4 -fold shift between MIC values against $E$. coli WT and $\Delta a c r B$ (compared to 16 -fold for 10). Further modification of the [3.1.0] azabicyclic moiety generally led to loss of antibacterial activity, which was strongly dependent on appropriate positioning of the amine. For example, the related [4.1.0] and [3.2.0] bicyclic amines (23 and 24) were much less potent, and moving the amine to alternate locations on the [3.1.0] ring system provided similar results (25-26). Given the comparable in vitro activity of $\mathbf{2 1}$ and $\mathbf{2 2}$, we elected to retain the less lipophilic [3.1.0] moiety of $\mathbf{2 1}$ for subsequent SAR studies.

Table 4. Antibacterial SAR at position 7 of the quinolin-2(1H)-one scaffold.
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MICs against WT E. coli, K. pneumoniae and $P$. aeruginosa (34). Whether this improvement in activity was due to an increase in on-target potency, an increase in intracellular accumulation, or a combination of both factors was not immediately clear.

Table 5. Optimization of substitution at positions 3 and 8 of the quinolin- $2(1 H)$-one scaffold.


| Cmpd | X | $\mathbf{R}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{8}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E. coli } \\ & \text { WT } \end{aligned}$ | E. coli $\Delta a c r B$ | MIC ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) |  | P. aeruginosa $\Delta$ mexB $\Delta m e x X$ | $P$. aeruginosa $\Delta$ mexB $\Delta$ mexX FQ-R ${ }^{a}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { K. } \\ \text { pneumoniae } \\ \text { WT } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P . \\ \text { aeruginosa } \\ \text { WT } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| 20 | H | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.25 | 0.06 | 0.5 | 4 | 0.5 | 1 |
| 27 | H | H | H | 1 | 0.5 | 4 | 16 | 2 | 4 |
| 28 | H | H | CN | 1 | 0.125 | 2 | 16 | 2 | 4 |
| 29 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | H | $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 1 | 0.25 | 4 | 32 | 8 | 16 |
| 30 | H | H | $\mathrm{OCF}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | 2 | 1 | 8 | >32 | 8 | 32 |
| 31 | H | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.25 | 0.125 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 2 |
| 32 | H | OH | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.5 | 0.25 | 1 | 2 | 0.5 | 2 |
| 33 | H | Cl | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.25 | 0.06 | 0.5 | 8 | 1 | 2 |
| 34 | H | F | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0.06 | $\leq 0.03$ | 0.125 | 1 | 0.25 | 0.5 |

## Structural Characterization of the Binding Mode of 34 to K. pneumoniae topoisomerase IV

During the course of the optimization campaign, we developed a co-crystallization system with topoisomerase IV from K. pneumoniae. Topoisomerase IV is a tetrameric enzyme formed by two ParC and two ParE subunits. For structural studies, we followed a previously employed approach to generate a fusion protein, ParE-ParC, from the respective subunits of K. pneumoniae. ${ }^{18}$ The resulting single polypeptide is a fusion protein from the C-terminal portion of the ParE (residues 390-631) and $N$-terminal portion of the $\operatorname{ParC}$ (residues 1-490) subunits of $K$. pneumoniae topoisomerase IV. ${ }^{18}$ This enabled us to solve several co-crystal structures with inhibitor and DNA bound, including compound 34 (Figure 2 and Supplementary Figures 1 and 2). The DNA duplex was an uninterrupted 26 -mer of the symmetrized E-site DNA. ${ }^{18}$ Because of the size and inherent flexibility of the complex, achieving near-atomic level diffraction proved very challenging and most data sets had diffraction limits between 3-3.50 $\AA$.

The complex formed between the ParE-ParC fusion protein, the homoduplex DNA and compound 34 resulted in crystals that diffracted to $3.20 \AA$ resolution and contained two copies of a ParE-ParC fusion protein dimer in the asymmetric unit, each bound to one homoduplex DNA. Unbiased electron density for compound 34 was observed in all four compound binding sites (Supplementary Figure 1). The following description is based on observations made of the compound binding site with the best electron density. Only small variations in the binding mode are observed in the other copies present in the asymmetric unit.

Inspection of the electron density revealed that $\mathbf{3 4}$ occupies the quinolone binding site as expected with the compound intercalating between base pairs and stabilizing the cleaved DNA. A covalent phosphotyrosine bond was observed between the catalytic Tyr120 of ParC and the 5'phosphate of the bound DNA at the break site, thus affording a view of the biologically relevant
cleavage complex (in contrast to structures of ternary complexes formed with pre-cut DNA; see D. A. Veselkov et al. ${ }^{18}$ for a comparison of structures solved with intact and pre-cut DNA). Binding affinity for compound $\mathbf{3 4}$ is driven in part by $\pi$-stacking interactions with the base pairs located above and below the ligand. A number of suboptimal intermolecular interactions between $\mathbf{3 4}$ and neighboring residues of topoisomerase IV are also present, generally characterized by relatively large interatomic distances. The C2 carbonyl oxygen is posed to interact with the side chain of Arg 119 (ParC subunit), similar to the reported S. pneumoniae topoisomerase IV-DNA co-structure with PD 0305970. ${ }^{12}$ In this case, however, the interatomic distance is too long to invoke a productive interaction. The C4 aminomethyl substituent occupies a relatively open portion of the binding pocket that would normally accommodate the water-metal ion bridge interaction involved in classical fluoroquinolone binding. Only poor electron density is observed for the C 4 aminomethyl substituent, which does not permit placing this group accurately and therefore no direct intermolecular interactions can be postulated. No specific interactions were observed between the nearby C3 fluorine atom and the protein, however its close proximity to Ser80 of ParC could explain the detrimental effect of a larger substituent at this position. It is possible that the fluorine atom contributes to an improved stacking interaction with DNA through electronic effects on the quinolin- $2(1 H)$-one core, which would explain the boost in potency conveyed by that substituent. With respect to the crucial C7 amine, the moderate resolution of the structure ( $3.2 \AA$ ) meant that the preferred stereochemistry and precise orientation was difficult to determine. Finally, the primary amine is positioned to form weak interactions with the backbone carbonyl of K442 and the side chain of E461 (ParE subunit). The boost in potency observed for the [3.1.0] bicyclic group (as compared to a simple aminopyrrolidine such as $\mathbf{1 5}$, for example) is potentially driven by a conformational preference that places the primary amine in an optimal location for binding.

However, improved bacterial inner membrane permeability due to the reduced $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ of the amine may also have a significant impact.


Figure 2. Binding site view of the topoisomerase IV cleavage complex from K. pneumoniae with compound $\mathbf{3 4}$ bound (PDB ID: 6WAA). DNA nucleotides of the cleavage site are shown in gray. ParC and ParE residues of one ParE-ParC fusion protein are shown in green and cyan, respectively. ParC residues from the second ParE-ParC fusion protein, which provide the catalytic tyrosine, are shown in magenta. Residues further out from the binding site were omitted for clarity.

In Vitro ADMET Profile of Compound 34 Further in vitro profiling data for $\mathbf{3 4}$ is shown in Table 6. Biochemical activity against DNA gyrase and topoisomerase IV from E. coli was comparable to ciprofloxacin and well balanced ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=1.25$ and $0.81 \mu \mathrm{M}$ respectively). The two amines proved to be weakly basic as expected with experimentally determined $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values of 8.0 and 7.6. Over the course of our optimization effort, this $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ range was generally associated with good Gram-negative antibacterial potency. Solubility and plasma protein binding values were comparable to clinically used fluoroquinolone antibiotics. Importantly, $\mathbf{3 4}$ displayed CACO-2 permeability that was significantly higher than starting point $\mathbf{1 0}$, likely driven by a reduction in the
amine $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ of the C 7 moiety and an associated increase in $\log \mathrm{D}_{7.4}$. Unfortunately, it appeared that this increase in lipophilicity was also associated with a reduction in microsomal stability (particularly in rat microsomes) and a marginal in vitro safety profile.

Compound 34 was found to exhibit moderate levels of cytotoxicity against three human cell lines $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50}=82,40\right.$ and $29 \mu \mathrm{M}$ respectively against HepG2, K562 and MT4 cells). Further in vitro evaluation of $\mathbf{3 4}$ for phototoxicity potential using the 3 T 3 neutral red uptake (NRU) test produced a photo-irritation factor (PIF) value of 621 . The measured cellular $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ in the presence of irradiation with simulated sunlight was $1.61 \mu \mathrm{M}$, while in the absence of irradiation the IC50 exceeded the solubility limit of the compound $(1000 \mu \mathrm{M})$. The solubility limit was thus used in lieu of a measured $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ in the absence of irradiation to calculate the PIF (PIF $=\mathrm{IC} 50$ (-irr) $/ \mathrm{IC} 50(+\mathrm{irr})$ ). While a PIF value of 621 was considered high, it also reflected the very high solubility of compound 34. Although 34 was not evaluated in vivo for phototoxicity, Schümann et al. have demonstrated that for a range of systemic drug candidates, the probability of in vivo phototoxicity correlates well with the magnitude of the PIF value obtained from the 3 T 3 NRU assay. ${ }^{58}$ Notably, this study made use of the fluoroquinolone sparfloxacin as a positive control. Sparfloxacin produced an in vitro PIF value of $>82$ and was found to produce significant and dose-dependent phototoxicity in mice. Phototoxicity has also been observed clinically with a number of fluoroquinolones, and has severely limited the use of certain members of this class (e.g., clinafloxacin, sparfloxacin). ${ }^{59,60}$

Fluoroquinolones exhibit a range of photochemical activation and degradation pathways that depend strongly on substitution pattern and on the reaction medium. ${ }^{61}$ It has been suggested that fluoroquinolone phototoxicity may be caused by toxic photochemical degradation products, the generation of reactive oxygen species, or reactive intermediates such as aryl cations (arising from
dehalogenation) and/or radicals (arising from oxidation reactions induced by fluoroquinolones in the triplet state)..$^{62-64}$ Compared with quinolones that have a single fluorine at the C 6 position, difluorinated quinolones (i.e. fluorine at C 6 and C 8 ) are markedly more photolabile, with unimolecular defluorination constituting a major photodegradation pathway. The pendant alkyl groups at C7 and N1 can also participate in secondary intramolecular reactions with excited state species and reactive intermediates, thereby influencing the photochemical fate of quinolones.

Without further experimental data it is not possible to confirm if compound $\mathbf{3 4}$ exhibits comparable photochemical reactivity patterns. However, in order to derive additional SAR around phototoxicity for this series, compounds $\mathbf{1 5}$ and $\mathbf{2 1}$ were evaluated in the 3 T 3 NRU test. Compound 21, which lacks the C 3 fluorine atom present in 34, produced a PIF value of 104. Like 34, the IC50 of $\mathbf{2 1}$ in the absence of irradiation exceeded the solubility limit of the compound ( $316 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). In the presence of irradiation, however, compound 21 produced an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ of $3.03 \mu \mathrm{M}$, only marginally higher than $34\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50(+\mathrm{irr})}=1.61 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. Interestingly, compound 15, which lacks the C 3 fluorine and features a simple 3-aminopyrrolidine at C7, produced a PIF value of 1.7 (IC $\left.\mathrm{IC}_{5(+\mathrm{irr})}=288 \mu \mathrm{M}\right)$. Thus, it appears that phototoxicity of this series (as assessed by the 3T3 NRU test) is strongly influenced by the nature of the C 7 substituent and to a lesser extent by halogenation at C 3 .

Compound $\mathbf{3 4}$ also exhibited increased hERG channel inhibition relative to $\mathbf{1 0}$ ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=114 \mu \mathrm{M}$ compared to $>300 \mu \mathrm{M}) .{ }^{65}$ Like the in vitro phototoxicity results, the observed in vitro hERG inhibition data was viewed with some concern given the clinical history of certain members of the quinolone class. Moxifloxacin, for example, has a similar reported hERG IC50 and produces QT prolongation in humans at therapeutic doses. ${ }^{66-68}$ Based on reported data for fluoroquinolones, we anticipated that the plasma free $\mathrm{C}_{\text {max }}$ for an efficacious gyrase/topoisomerase IV inhibitor would be roughly $5-10 \mu \mathrm{M}$. A hERG IC 50 of $114 \mu \mathrm{M}$ would thus fall significantly short of the preferred

100-fold safety margin. ${ }^{69}$ Many compounds in this series (including compound 34), feature a basic amine flanked by a lipophilic aromatic group, which broadly fits the accepted hERG pharmacophore model for channel blockers. The reduced hERG inhibition exhibited by compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ is likely driven by reduced lipophilicity $\left(\log \mathrm{D}_{7.4}=-0.29\right.$ for $\mathbf{1 0}$, compared with 1.1 for $\mathbf{3 4}$ ). Increasing polarity represents a reasonable strategy for addressing hERG inhibition in future analogs, and one which has been applied successfully in the context of many drug discovery efforts. ${ }^{70}$ Other well-known strategies, including removal of basic amines and aromatic groups, reduction of amine pKa , and introduction of acidic groups (c.f. fluoroquinolones) may also be successful in reducing hERG inhibition, but come with the risk of undesirable effects on other compound properties (e.g. antibacterial activity, solubility, lipophilicity and fluoroquinolone cross-resistance). Although analogs with improved ADMET properties were identified during the course of the optimization effort, we were unable to identify compounds that combined these attributes with the necessary antibacterial potency or spectrum.

Table 6. In vitro ADMET profile of $\mathbf{3 4}$.

| Assay | 34 |
| :---: | :---: |
| E. coli Gyrase $\mathrm{IC}_{50}(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 1.25 |
| E. coli Topo IV IC $50(\mu \mathrm{M})$ | 0.81 |
| $\log \mathrm{D}_{7.4}$ | 1.1 |
| pKa | 8.0, 7.6 |
| Solubility ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$, PBS) | 1276 |
| CLint in Liver Microsomes <br> ( $\mu \mathrm{L} / \mathrm{min} / \mathrm{mg}$, mouse/rat/human) | 40/117 / 18 |
| Plasma Protein Binding (\% bound, mouse/rat/human) | $32 / 58 / 35$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CACO}-2 \mathrm{Papp}^{\text {A-B }} \\ & (\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{sec}) \text { [efflux ratio] } \end{aligned}$ | 10.4 [1.8] |
| hERG IC 50 ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ) | $114^{a}$ |
| Cytotoxicity EC50 <br> ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$, HepG2 / K562 / MT4) | 82 / 40 / 29 |
| PIF (3T3 NRU) | 621 |

${ }^{a}$ Determined using QPatch automated electrophysiology with a long incubation protocol (see Experimental Section for details).

Microbiological Profile Of Compound 34 Table 7 shows the microbiological profile of $\mathbf{3 4}$ and ciprofloxacin against a panel of 126 clinical isolates, $70 \%$ of which were resistant to ciprofloxacin. ${ }^{71}$ Against Enterobacteriaceae $(n=96) 34$ displayed a MIC90 of $8 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, whereas the ciprofloxacin MIC 90 was $>32 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$. Against isolates of E. coli $(n=30)$ and K. pneumoniae ( $n=30$ ) MIC 90 values were 2 and $16 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, respectively. This level of in vitro activity was again substantially better than ciprofloxacin ( $\mathrm{MIC}_{90}=>32 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ against $E$. coli and K. pneumoniae). As expected, 34 was less active against $P$. aeruginosa ( $\mathrm{MIC}_{90}=32 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ). The frequency of single step mutant selection was low; no resistant mutants were selected when $>10^{10}$ cells of $E$. coli ATCC 25922 were plated on Mueller-Hinton agar containing 2X $(0.12 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}), 4 \mathrm{X}(0.25$ $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL})$ or $8 \mathrm{X}(0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$ multiples of the MIC of compound 34 (mutant frequency $<10^{-10}$ ). The
low frequency of single step resistance for $\mathbf{3 4}$ may reflect balanced targeting of both DNA gyrase and topoisomerase IV in E. coli, consistent with similar levels of activity against both enzymes in the biochemical assay (Table 6). ${ }^{72}$

Table 7. Microbiological profile of 34: performance against a Gram-negative challenge panel (126 clinical isolates, $70 \%$ ciprofloxacin-resistant).

| Organism | \# isolates | MIC ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 (ciprofloxacin) |  |  | 34 |  |  |
|  |  | Range | $\mathrm{MIC}_{50}$ | MIC90 | Range | MIC50 | MIC90 |
| Enterobacteriaceae* | All species (96) | $\leq 0.03->32$ | 8 | >32 | 0.06->32 | 1 | 8 |
|  | E. coli <br> (30) | $\leq 0.03->32$ | >32 | >32 | 0.06-8 | 1 | 2 |
|  | K. pneumoniae (30) | $\leq 0.03->32$ | 8 | >32 | 0.25-32 | 4 | 16 |
| P. aeruginosa | 30 | 0.125->32 | 8 | >32 | 1->32 | 8 | 32 |

* 6 Citrobacter spp., 10 Enterobacter spp., 30 E. coli, 30 K. pneumoniae, 5 Klebsiella oxytoca,
4 Morganella morganii, 5 Proteus mirabilis, 6 Serratia marcescens

Given the promising potency of $\mathbf{3 4}$ against Enterobacteriaceae, we anticipated that a primary indication for a putative clinical candidate would be in complicated urinary tract infections (cUTI). It has been shown previously that in vitro antibacterial activity of some fluoroquinolone antibiotics is influenced by the pH of the test medium. ${ }^{73}$ It therefore became a priority to assess the antibacterial activity of $\mathbf{3 4}$ at a slightly acidic pH in order to mimic the environment in the urinary tract. As shown in Table 8, ciprofloxacin is 16 -fold less active against E. coli WT at pH 5.8 compared to pH 7.4 (MIC $=0.125 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ vs $0.008 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ respectively). Analogs such as 5 and 17, which feature a single basic amine, displayed a similar loss of activity at pH 5.8 . Compounds
with two basic amines, however, were affected to a greater extent. The pH -dependent reduction of activity was particularly severe for $\mathbf{3 4}$, which was 512 -fold less active against $E$. coli WT at pH 5.8 compared to pH 7.4 ( $\mathrm{MIC}=32 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ vs $0.06 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ). Although monobasic compounds were more tolerant of acidic pH , such compounds had insufficient antibacterial potency and spectrum to be progressed further.

Table 8. Effect of pH on antimicrobial activity of selected compounds.

|  | E. coli WT MIC $(\boldsymbol{\mu g} / \mathbf{m L})$ |  | MIC Fold |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound | $\mathbf{p H} 7.4$ | $\mathbf{p H} 5.8$ | Shift |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.008 | 0.125 | 16 |
| (ciprofloxacin) |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 1 | 16 | 16 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 1 | $>32$ | $\geq 64$ |
| $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 2 | $>32$ | $\geq 32$ |
| $\mathbf{1 7}$ | 0.5 | 8 | 16 |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | 0.25 | 32 | 128 |
| $\mathbf{3 4}$ | 0.06 | 32 | 512 |

In Vivo Profile of Compound 34 The in vivo PK and efficacy of compound $\mathbf{3 4}$ is shown in Table 9. In both rat and mouse PK studies compound $\mathbf{3 4}$ exhibited moderate to high CL, consistent with in vitro microsomal stability results. This likely drives the low oral bioavailability observed with 34. However, dose-normalized oral exposure was broadly similar to ciprofloxacin, and was somewhat higher in mice. In a neutropenic mouse thigh infection model using fluoroquinolonesensitive E. coli ATCC 25922, the static dose was determined. At the initiation of therapy, mice had a cfu/thigh of approximately $\log _{10} 6$ which increased to $>\log _{10} 9.5$ cfu/thigh 24 hours later in vehicle treated animals (see Supplementary Figure 3). 34 resulted in a static dose of 45.5 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} /$ day compared to $6.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} /$ day for ciprofloxacin. This closely parallels the difference in in
vitro potency (MIC) between the two compounds and demonstrates that compounds in this series are capable of achieving in vivo efficacy against Gram-negative bacteria.

Table 9. PK and in vivo efficacy of $\mathbf{3 4}$ in comparison to ciprofloxacin (1).

| Pharmacokinetics (PK) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 (ciprofloxacin) |  | 34 |  |
|  | Mouse ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Rat ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Mouse ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Rat ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| CL (mL/min $\cdot \mathrm{kg}$ ) | 63 | 40 | 50 | 71 |
| Vss (L/kg) | 2.2 | 3.4 | 5.1 | 24.1 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{1 / 2}$ term. i.v. (h) | 0.46 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 8.2 |
| $\operatorname{AUC}(\mu \mathrm{M} \cdot \mathrm{h}) /$ dose ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) p.o. | 0.13 | 0.29 | 0.31 | 0.10 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\text {max }}(\mu \mathrm{M}) / \mathrm{dose}(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ p.o. | 0.06 | 0.14 | 0.10 | 0.01 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\max }$ p.o. (h) | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.3 |
| Oral BA (\%F) | 19 | 23 | 36 | 15 |
| Neutropenic Murine Thigh Infection Model |  |  |  |  |
|  | $1^{e}$ |  | $34{ }^{f}$ |  |
| MIC (E. coli ATCC 25922, $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) | 0.008 |  | 0.06 |  |
| Static Dose ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} /$ day $)$ | 6.4 |  | 45.5 |  |

Compounds were administered as hydrochloride salts in solution using the following vehicles and doses: ${ }^{a}$ Vehicle $=0.9 \% \mathrm{NaCl}$; dose $=5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ I.V. and $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ P.O. ${ }^{b}$ Vehicle $=$ DI water; dose $=5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ I.V. and $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ P.O. ${ }^{c}$ Vehicle $=20 \%$ PEG300 $+5 \%$ Solutol in D5W; dose $=5$ $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ I.V. and P.O. ${ }^{d}$ Vehicle $=20 \%$ PEG300 $+5 \%$ Solutol in D5W; dose $=5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ I.V. and 10 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ P.O. ${ }^{e}$ Vehicle $=$ DI water; multiple dose levels, subcutaneous route of administration. ${ }^{f}$ Vehicle $=40 \%$ PEG300 $+10 \%$ Solutol HS-15 in D5W; multiple dose levels, subcutaneous route of administration. See Experimental Section for further details.

Chemistry A detailed description of the synthesis of compounds $\mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ is provided in the Experimental Section, and followed synthetic routes similar to those described below. Initial SAR exploration at C 4 was enabled by the synthesis of key intermediate $\mathbf{4 3}$, equipped with an oxidative handle for further functionalization (Scheme 1). Starting from commercially available arene 35, standard protocols were employed to effect sequential esterification, nitration, reduction, and halogenation to provide iodide 37 in four steps and $57 \%$ overall yield. The methyl group at C8 was next installed by Suzuki reaction with methyl boronic acid, while the aniline in $\mathbf{3 8}$ was engaged in a Chan-Lam coupling to provide cyclopropylamine 39. ${ }^{74-76}$ Following acetylation, bromide $\mathbf{4 0}$ was leveraged to append functionalized pyrrolidine 41 at C 7 via Buchwald-Hartwig coupling, catalyzed by the combination of $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$ and Xantphos in toluene at $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{77-80}$ Construction of the quinolin- $2(1 H)$-one core was then accomplished by subjection of $\mathbf{4 2}$ to base-mediated cyclization, delivering 43 in an overall 9 -step sequence.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of key intermediate toward C4-substituted analogs.


With a robust route to access $\mathbf{4 3}$, analogs containing oxidation at C 4 were prepared in short order as shown in Scheme 2. Boc deprotection gave hydroxy derivative 7, while treatment with $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ effected chlorination and concomitant deprotection to provide 6. Ethers $\mathbf{8}$ and $\mathbf{1 2}$ were accessed via alkylations with methyl iodide and 2-dimethylaminoethyl chloride, respectively.

Scheme 2. Direct functionalization of $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{OH}$.


The divergent synthesis of analogs with carbon-based functionality at C4 is illustrated in Scheme 3. Conversion of $\mathbf{4 3}$ to triflate $\mathbf{4 4}$ enabled installation of an ethyl ester (45, obtained as a partially de-protected mixture) via palladium-catalyzed carbonylation, ${ }^{81,82}$ or a nitrile (46) via a Negishitype cyanation reaction. ${ }^{83}$ Reduction of the deprotected ester (45, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$ ) afforded hydroxymethyl derivative 11. Alternatively, heating the protected ester with hydrazine in EtOH provided the acyl hydrazide 47, which was cyclized with CDI in dioxane at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give oxadiazolone $\mathbf{1 4}$ after Boc deprotection. The versatile nitrile 46 was used to access 10 (via deprotection and hydrogenation) and 13 (via hydrolysis of the cyano group and Boc deprotection with TFA).

Scheme 3. Generation of C4-carbon-based analogs via triflate functionalization.


Having established the C 4 aminomethyl substituent as optimal, attention turned to adapting the synthetic route to facilitate rapid SAR exploration at the C7 position by introducing the amine at a later stage in the synthesis (Scheme 4). To this end, N -acetylated bromide $\mathbf{4 0}$ was cyclized to form the quinolin- $2(1 H)$-one core as before, followed by treatment with $N$-phenyl triflamide to give 48 in 77\% yield over the two steps. Triflate 48 underwent chemoselective Negishi cyanation, leaving the bromide in 49 intact and poised for subsequent Buchwald-Hartwig coupling. A variety of C 7 amine derivatives were prepared in this manner as exemplified by $\mathbf{5 0}$, obtained in $60 \%$ yield after reaction with tert-butyl ( $S$ )-pyrrolidin-3-ylcarbamate. The reaction conditions shown in Scheme 4 were slightly modified as necessary to accommodate more difficult amine substrates (see Experimental Section for details). ${ }^{79,84,85} \mathrm{Next}$, the aminomethyl substituent at C 4 was revealed upon hydrogenation of the cyano group, for which the best results were consistently obtained when ammonia/methanol was used as solvent. A final Boc deprotection efficiently generated a series of differentially functionalized C7 analogs 15-26 (see Table 4). Compound 19
was prepared via Suzuki coupling with the appropriate arylboronic acid. ${ }^{86,87}$ The requisite azabicyclic amines for compounds 22-23 were prepared using an intramolecular Kulinkovich-style reaction reported by Laroche et al. ${ }^{88}$ (see Experimental Section for details).

Scheme 4. Divergent synthesis of C7 analogs.




Whereas the C7 position was ultimately amenable to SAR exploration in a divergent fashion, the preparation of compounds with alternative substituents at C 3 and C 8 required multiple adaptations at an earlier point in the synthetic route. However, efforts directed at developing new sequences also provided an opportunity to improve upon the previously established strategy. For instance, an alternative 2-step procedure was identified to afford $\mathbf{5 5}$ by conversion of aniline $\mathbf{5 2}$ (see Scheme 1, intermediate not drawn) to iodide 53 via Sandmeyer reaction, ${ }^{89}, 90$ followed by Buchwald-Hartwig coupling with cyclopropylamine, which proved higher yielding and generally more reliable than the previously utilized Chan-Lam reaction (Scheme 5a). Likewise, it was found that Suzuki reaction with triflate 57 and $N$-Boc aminomethyl potassium trifluoroborate proceeded smoothly to afford $\mathbf{5 8}$ in $53 \%$ yield, thus establishing a more convenient protocol for direct introduction of the aminomethyl group at $\mathrm{C} 4 .{ }^{91}$ Compound 27 lacking the C 8 methyl group was then obtained by coupling with pyrrolidine $\mathbf{5 9}$ and double Boc deprotection.

Scheme 5. Adapted synthetic routes toward C8 analogs.





The synthetic routes toward C8 analogs $\mathbf{2 8}$ and $\mathbf{2 9}$ diverge at an early stage. Aryl cyanide $\mathbf{6 0}$ was produced in $77 \%$ yield by exposure of iodide 37 to CuCN in DMSO at elevated temperature (Scheme 5b). In this case, Chan-Lam coupling was utilized, albeit in low yield to access intermediate 61, which was elaborated to 28 through a sequence analogous to that described in Scheme 1 (see Experimental Section for details). Aryl iodide 37 was also used to prepare C8methoxy intermediate 62, which was converted to cyclopropylamine $\mathbf{6 4}$ using the same strategy employed for 27 . Compound 29 was then accessed by carrying 64 through the 7 -step synthetic sequence outlined in Scheme 4. Fortunately, iodide $\mathbf{6 3}$ could also be leveraged toward the synthesis of analog 30; demethylation and SEM protection gave $\mathbf{6 5}$, which was elaborated to $\mathbf{6 6} \mathbf{~ i n ~} 7$ steps
(Scheme 5c). The free phenol was then reacted with difluorocarbene generated from $\mathrm{PhCOCF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ to produce 67 in serviceable yield. ${ }^{92}$ Finally, coupling with pyrrolidine $\mathbf{5 9}$ under our standard conditions and double Boc deprotection delivered C8 difluoromethoxy analog $\mathbf{3 0}$.

The syntheses of C3 analogs $\mathbf{3 1}$ and $\mathbf{3 3}$ are shown in Scheme 6. Pyrrolidine $\mathbf{5 9}$ was coupled to aryl bromide 49 in $85 \%$ yield, followed by conversion of the C4 cyano group to the corresponding aminomethyl via hydrogenation in $\mathrm{NH}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ with subsequent Boc protection to give 69. This common intermediate was chlorinated at C3 upon exposure to NCS in acetonitrile, leading to 33. Alternatively, $\mathbf{6 9}$ could be brominated and subjected to a Suzuki reaction with methyl boronic acid, affording 31 after deprotection.

Scheme 6. Synthesis of $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{Cl}$ and Me analogs.


Incorporation of either OH or F substituents at C 3 required yet another synthetic route modification to build in an additional oxidation state prior to formation of the quinolin-2(1H)-one core; this was accomplished from cyclopropylamine 39 via acylation with either 2-benzyloxy or 2-fluoroacetyl chloride to generate 71 and 75, respectively (Scheme 7a/b). Each of these
intermediates was progressed through the established 4-step sequence involving cyclization, triflation, Suzuki, and Buchwald-Hartwig coupling reactions to yield advanced intermediates 74 $(\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{OH})$ and $79(\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{F})$ respectively. Fluoride 34 was obtained after Boc deprotection of 79, while hydrogenolysis was required to remove the benzyl group from 74, thus providing 32 after Boc deprotection.

Scheme 7. Synthesis of C3-OH and C3-F analogs 32 and 34.


## Conclusions

After decades of clinical success quinolone antibiotics remain a crucial component of our antibacterial armamentarium. Unfortunately, resistance-driven by target-based QRDR mutations that disrupt quinolone binding-poses a serious threat to their continued use. In an effort to address this situation we elected to prioritize the discovery of small molecules that target WT and QRDR mutant forms of bacterial DNA gyrase and topoisomerase IV. Based on a scaffold-morphing
approach we were ultimately able to identify inhibitors that lacked both the C 3 carboxylate and the C 4 carbonyl responsible for engaging in the key water-metal ion bridge that anchors classical quinolones in the gyrase/topoisomerase IV cleavage complex. Compounds in this series displayed minimal cross-resistance with quinolones in spite of an intrinsic loss of potency. Through a concerted SAR exploration effort we were successful in optimizing activity against Gram-negative bacteria en route to 34, which demonstrated promising activity against Gram-negative bacteria and in vivo efficacy against E. coli. Unfortunately, however, further optimization of antibacterial potency proved extremely challenging. A number of in vitro safety issues were subsequently identified for compound 34, including hERG inhibition, cytotoxicity, and phototoxicity. Compound 34, and related analogs, also suffered from a severe loss of antibacterial potency at pH 5.8, suggesting that clinical utility in the cUTI setting would be compromised. Although some of these issues were resolved in other analogs from this series, we were ultimately unable to identify compounds that married such properties with sufficient antibacterial potency and spectrum of activity. These challenges prompted us to halt further development of the series. It is our hope, however, that these studies may point the way toward development of more efficacious inhibitors that can help to address the ever-growing need for new agents to treat drug-resistant Gram-negative infections.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

## Chemistry

General: Unless otherwise noted, all key compounds possess a purity of at least $95 \%$ as assessed by analytical reversed phase HPLC and/or LC/MS.

Typical conditions for analytical HPLC: High performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) using a Waters UPLC instrument (Milford, MA). HPLC solvent A was $100 \%$ Water with $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) and solvent B was $100 \%$ acetonitrile with $0.1 \%$ TFA from EMD Chemicals Inc. The instrument was a Waters ACQUITY UPLC system with $1.2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ flow rate; column Kinetex-C18, $2.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 2.1 \times 50 \mathrm{~mm}$ from Phenomenex, column temperature: $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; gradient: $2-88 \%$ solvent B over 9.79 min . Compounds were detected by ultraviolet light (UV) absorption at either 220 or 254 nm .

Typical conditions for LC/MS analysis: HPLC/mass spectrometric analysis (LC/MS) was performed on a Waters ACQUITY UPLC system equipped with a ZQ 2000 or SQD MS system; Column: Kinetex by Phenomenex, $2.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 2.1 \mathrm{x} 50 \mathrm{~mm}$, column temperature: $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; gradient: $2-88 \%$ (or $0-45 \%$, or $65-95 \%$ ) solvent B over a 1.29 min or 9.79 min period; flow rate $1.2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Compounds were detected by a Waters Photodiode Array Detector. All masses were reported as those of the protonated parent ions, molecular weight range 150-850; cone Voltage 20 V . For select bromine-containing intermediates, MS data is reported as $[\mathrm{M}+2]^{+}$, reflecting the mass of the heavier isotope $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Alternative LC/MS method (compounds 27, 30, 32): BEH $1.7 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ C18 $50 \times 2.1 \mathrm{~mm}$; column temperature: ambient; mobile phase: 5 mM ammonium acetate in $0.1 \%$ formic acid in water (solvent A) and $0.1 \%$ formic acid in MeCN (solvent B); gradient: $5 \%-100 \%$ solvent B over 2.5
minutes and holding at $100 \%$ for 0.8 minutes with a flow rate of $0.55 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Compounds were detected by ESI-MS in positive ion mode and UV absorption at 254 nm .

High resolution ESI-MS data were recorded using a LTQ Orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with electrospray ionization source. The resolution of the MS system was approximately 30000 . The analyte compound was infused into the mass spectrometer by UPLC (Acquity, Waters) from sample probe. The separation was performed on Acquity UPLC BEH C18 $1 \times 50 \mathrm{~mm}$ column at $0.15 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ flow rate with the gradient from $5 \%$ to $95 \%$ in 2.8 min . Solvent A was Water with $0.1 \%$ Trifluoroacetic acid and solvent B was $75 \%$ Methanol and $25 \%$ Isopropyl alcohol with $0.1 \%$ Trifluoroacetic acid. The mass accuracy of the system was found to be $<5 \mathrm{ppm}$.

NMR spectra were run on Varian 400 or Bruker 400 and 500 MHz NMR spectrometers. Spectra were measured at 298 K and were referenced using the solvent peak.

Preparative separations were carried out using a Combiflash Rf system (Teledyne Isco, Lincoln, NE) with RediSep silica gel cartridges (Teledyne Isco, Lincoln, NE) or SiliaSep silica gel cartridges (Silicycle Inc., Quebec City, Canada) or by flash column chromatography using silica gel (230-400 mesh) packing material, or by HPLC using a Waters 2767 Sample Manager, C-18 reversed phase Sunfire column, 30X50 mm, flow $75 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Typical solvents employed for the Combiflash Rf system and flash column chromatography were dichloromethane, methanol, ethyl acetate, hexane, heptane, acetone, aqueous ammonia (or ammonium hydroxide), and triethyl amine. Typical solvents employed for the reverse phase HPLC are varying concentrations of acetonitrile and water with $0.1 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid.

## Synthesis of 7-((R)-3-((S)-1-Aminoethyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-

2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid hydrochloride (4)


## 3-Bromo-4-fluoro-2-methylbenzoic acid (S2)



To a stirred solution of $n$-butyllithium ( $1024 \mathrm{~mL}, 2560 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5 \mathrm{M}$ in hexanes) was added 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine ( $436.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 2560 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF $(2300 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring for 15 min the mixture was cooled to $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of 3-bromo-4-fluorobenzoic acid (255.0 $\mathrm{g}, 1160 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 700 mL ) was added dropwise and the mixture was stirred at this temperature for 2.5 h . The mixture was then treated with $\mathrm{MeI}(291.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 4640 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting solution was allowed to warm to $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ within 30 mins. Water was added to quench the reaction, and the resulting solution was washed with EtOAc and then acidified with 4 M HCl . The resulting mixture was extracted with EtOAc, the organic layer dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated to give the crude benzoic acid, which was re-crystallized from toluene to give the title compound ( $114 \mathrm{~g}, 42 \%$ yield) as a yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta$ $7.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{t}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

## tert-Butyl (3-bromo-4-fluoro-2-methylphenyl)carbamate (S3)



To a solution of 3-bromo-4-fluoro-2-methylbenzoic acid ( $6.00 \mathrm{~g}, 25.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $t$ - $\mathrm{BuOH}(49$ $\mathrm{mL})$ was added TEA $(7.20 \mathrm{~mL}, 51.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DPPA $(6.30 \mathrm{~mL}, 28.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was then allowed to reflux for 1 h . After the reaction was complete, the reaction mixture was concentrated to give the crude product, which was purified by chromatography on silica gel $(10 \%$ $\mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{PE}$ ) to afford the title compound ( $6.20 \mathrm{~g}, 79 \%$ yield) as a white solid. LCMS (m/z): 248.0 [M-55]. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.82(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{t}$, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.

## 3-Bromo-4-fluoro-2-methylaniline (S4)



To a mixture of tert-butyl (3-bromo-4-fluoro-2-methylphenyl)carbamate ( $6.20 \mathrm{~g}, 20.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(5.00 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{HCl}(4 \mathrm{M}$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}, 25.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 102 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 30 min . The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The slurry was extracted with EtOAc, the organic layer dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated to give the title compound ( $3.80 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%$ yield) as a white solid. LCMS (m/z): $204.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 6.91(\mathrm{t}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8$, $5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.04$ (br s, 2H), 2.18 (s, 3H).

## 3-Bromo-4-fluoro-6-iodo-2-methylaniline (S5)



A solution of 3-bromo-4-fluoro-2-methylaniline ( $2.30 \mathrm{~g}, 11.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{EtOH}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise to a mixture of $\mathrm{I}_{2}(2.90 \mathrm{~g}, 11.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(3.50 \mathrm{~g}, 11.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in EtOH $(35 \mathrm{~mL})$ at rt . The resulting solution was then stirred at rt for 2 h . After completion the reaction mixture was filtered and the filtrate was concentrated. The residue was re-dissolved in DCM. The organic phase was washed with $5 \%$ aq. NaOH and water, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated to give a residue, which was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (0$10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{PE}$ ), providing the title compound ( $2.10 \mathrm{~g}, 56 \%$ yield) as a black solid. LCMS (m/z): $329.9[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H})$.

## Methyl 2-amino-4-bromo-5-fluoro-3-methylbenzoate (38)



To a solution of 3-bromo-4-fluoro-6-iodo-2-methylaniline ( $2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 6.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in MeOH ( 20 $\mathrm{mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(36.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.031 \mathrm{mmol})$ and TEA $(1.70 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.2 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was stirred under a CO ( 40 psi ) atmosphere for 30 mins. After completion the reaction mixture was concentrated to give a crude product which was purified by chromatography on silica gel (0$5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{PE}$ ) affording the title compound ( $1.40 \mathrm{~g}, 84 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $7.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.83(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

## (2-Amino-4-bromo-5-fluoro-3-methylphenyl)methanol (S6)



To a solution of methyl 2-amino-4-bromo-5-fluoro-3-methylbenzoate ( $1.47 \mathrm{~g}, 5.61 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 10 mL ) was added LAH ( $234 \mathrm{mg}, 6.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 mins ,
another batch of LAH ( $117 \mathrm{mg}, 3.09 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The reaction mixture was further stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 mins . After completion of the reaction, water was added, the resulting suspension dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and the solid filtered. The filtrate was concentrated to give the desired product ( 1.32 g , quantitative yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d 6$ ) $\delta 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.29(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.83(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

## 2-Amino-4-bromo-5-fluoro-3-methylbenzaldehyde (S7)



To a solution of (2-amino-4-bromo-5-fluoro-3-methylphenyl)methanol ( $1.32 \mathrm{~g}, 5.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}(3.68 \mathrm{~g}, 42.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 mins , another batch of $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}(1.84 \mathrm{~g}, 21.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The reaction mixture was further stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 mins. The suspension was filtered and the filtrate was concentrated to give the desired product $\left(1.17 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%\right.$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 9.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), 7.15 (br s, 2H), 2.23 (s, 3H).

## 4-Bromo-2-(cyclopropylamino)-5-fluoro-3-methylbenzaldehyde (S8)



To a solution of 2-amino-4-bromo-5-fluoro-3-methylbenzaldehyde (1.70 g, 7.30 mmol ) in DCE $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added cyclopropylboronic acid $(1.25 \mathrm{~g}, 14.6 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(1.33 \mathrm{~g}, 7.30 \mathrm{mmol})$, bipyridine $(1.14 \mathrm{~g}, 7.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.55 \mathrm{~g}, 14.6 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 40 mins. After completion the mixture was filtered and the filtrate was concentrated to give the crude product, which was purified by flash chromatography ( $5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{PE}$ ), providing the title compound as a yellow oil ( $400 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 10.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.95-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.50(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.75-0.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.50-$ $0.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

Ethyl 7-bromo-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinoline-3carboxylate (S9)


To a solution of 4-bromo-2-(cyclopropylamino)-5-fluoro-3-methylbenzaldehyde (1.40 g, 5.20 mmol ) in EtOH ( 30 mL ) was added diethyl malonate $(1.67 \mathrm{~g}, 10.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and piperidine $(1.77 \mathrm{~g}$, 20.8 mmol ). The mixture was refluxed at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . The mixture was concentrated to give the crude product, which was purified by flash chromatography $(20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{PE})$ to give the title compound as a yellow solid ( $840 \mathrm{mg}, 44 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17$ (d, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.52-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 H), 1.28-1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.60-0.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

Ethyl 7-((R)-3-((S)-1-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)ethyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate (S10)


To a solution of ethyl 7-bromo-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2- dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate $(250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.68 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added tert-butyl $((S)$-1-(( $R$ )-pyrrolidin-3-yl)ethyl)carbamate ( $291 \mathrm{mg}, 1.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(666 \mathrm{mg}, 2.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), xantphos ( 59.0 mg , $0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(31.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ at rt . The reaction mixture was stirred at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . After completion the mixture was dissolved in water $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated to give a crude product, which was
purified by column chromatography on silica gel ( $0-50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{PE}$ ) affording the desired product (280 mg, $82 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51$ (br s, 1H), $4.41(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80-3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $2.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-2.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.30-$ $1.26(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 0.60-0.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

## 7-((R)-3-((S)-1-((tert-Butoxycarbonyl)amino)ethyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-

## fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid (S11)



To a solution of ethyl 7-((R)-3-((S)-1-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)ethyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(8 \mathrm{~mL}, 1: 1)$ was added $\mathrm{NaOH}(32.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . After the reaction was complete, the reaction mixture was acidified to $\mathrm{pH}=5$ and extracted with EtOAc. The organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated to give the desired product ( $175 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48-4.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.20-4.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.69-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.35-$ $3.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.89-2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.40(\mathrm{~s}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 1.30-1.24$ (m, 3H), 0.70-0.62 (m, 2H).

## 7-((R)-3-((S)-1-Aminoethyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-ox0-1,2-

 dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid hydrochloride (4)

To a solution of 7-((R)-3-((S)-1-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)ethyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid (180 mg, 0.38 mmol) in EtOAc $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{M}$ in EtOAc, 10 mL$)$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 3 h . After completion of the reaction, the organic layer was concentrated to give a crude product which was purified by reverse phase HPLC, affording the product ( 140 mg , 99\% yield). HRMS (ESI) calc'd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{FN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$374.1874, found 374.1869. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.70(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60-3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.30-3.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45-2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.70(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.30-1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 0.62-050(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

Synthesis of 7-((R)-3-((S)-1-Aminoethyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methylquinolin-2(1H)-one hydrochloride (5)



7-Bromo-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylic acid (S12)


To a solution of ethyl 7-bromo-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2- dihydroquinoline-3-carboxylate ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 0.81 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(12 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{KOH}(91.4$ $\mathrm{mg}, 1.63 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting mixture was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . After completion the
reaction mixture was acidified to pH 4 by the addition of 2 N HCl . The resulting solution was extracted with DCM, and the organic layer was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated to give the title compound ( $230 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 14.16(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.40-1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.70-0.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

## 7-Bromo-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methylquinolin-2(1H)-one (S13)



To a solution of 7-bromo-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinoline-3carboxylic acid ( $230 \mathrm{mg}, 0.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in quinoline $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Cu}(42.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.68 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting mixture was stirred at $240^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 mins . The reaction mixture was cooled to $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and water was added. The resulting suspension was extracted with EtOAc, the organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel $(0-50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{PE})$ to give the title compound as a yellow solid (120 mg, $60 \%$ yield $).{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53-3.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.30-1.20(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 H), 0.58-0.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
tert-Butyl ((S)-1-((R)-1-(1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-7-yl)pyrrolidin-3-yl)ethyl)carbamate (S14)


To a solution of 7-bromo-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methylquinolin-2( 1 H )-one ( $50.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17$ mmol) in toluene ( 3 mL ) was added tert-butyl ((S)-1-((R)-pyrrolidin-3-yl)ethyl)carbamate (45.4
$\mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(138.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.42 \mathrm{mmol})$, followed by xantphos ( $12.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.021 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(6.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.007 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was stirred at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen for 16 h . The reaction mixture was concentrated, the residue was dissolved in water, and the slurry was extracted with DCM. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated to afford a crude product, which was purified by preparative TLC to give the title compound ( $35.0 \mathrm{mg}, 48 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80-3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60-3.35$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.76-2.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.49(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.32-2.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $1.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.25-1.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.60-0.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

## 7-((R)-3-((S)-1-Aminoethyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methylquinolin-

## 2(1H)-one hydrochloride (5)



To a solution of tert-butyl ((S)-1-((R)-1-(1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-7-yl)pyrrolidin-3-yl)ethyl)carbamate ( $35.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{HCl}(4 \mathrm{M}$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}, 2 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting mixture was stirred at rt for 1 h . The reaction mixture was concentrated, and the residue was purified by reverse phase HPLC to give the title compound ( $10.9 \mathrm{mg}, 37 \%$ yield). HRMS (ESI) calc'd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{FN}_{3} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 330.1976$, found $330.1972 .^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90-3.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55-3.40(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.90-2.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.40-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 H), 1.20-1.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.50-0.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

## Preparation of compounds 6-15.

Compounds 6-15 were prepared from intermediate 43, which was synthesized according to the scheme below:




Methyl 4-bromo-3-fluorobenzoate (S15)


S15
A solution of 4-bromo-3-fluorobenzoic acid ( $350 \mathrm{~g}, 1.60 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in $\mathrm{HCl}(4 \mathrm{M}$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}, 2 \mathrm{~L})$ was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The reaction mixture was concentrated to give the title compound ( 372 g , quant. yield) as a gray solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.78-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

## Methyl 4-bromo-5-fluoro-2-nitrobenzoate (36)



36

To a solution of methyl 4-bromo-3-fluorobenzoate ( $372 \mathrm{~g}, 1.60 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(1000 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{KNO}_{3}(170 \mathrm{~g}, 1.68 \mathrm{~mol})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was then stirred at rt for 2 h . The reaction mixture was quenched with ice water and then extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated to give the crude product, which was purified by column chromatography on silica gel ( $5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{PE}$ ) affording the title compound ( $380 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%$ yield) as
a yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.94 (s, 3H).

## Methyl 2-amino-4-bromo-5-fluorobenzoate (S16)



S16
To a solution of methyl 4-bromo-5-fluoro-2-nitrobenzoate (95.0 g, 0.34 mol ) in MeOH (1000 $\mathrm{mL})$ was added $\mathrm{AcOH}(102 \mathrm{~g}, 1.70 \mathrm{~mol})$. Fe power $(95.4 \mathrm{~g}, 1.70 \mathrm{~mol})$ was added slowly at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirred at rt for 1 h , the reaction mixture was filtered. The filtrate was concentrated, and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel $(5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{PE})$ to give the title compound $(62.5 \mathrm{~g}, 74 \%$ yield $)$ as a white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.62(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

## Methyl 2-amino-4-bromo-5-fluoro-3-iodobenzoate (37)



To a solution of methyl 2-amino-4-bromo-5-fluorobenzoate (50.0 g, 0.20 mol ) in MeOH ( 480 $\mathrm{mL})$ was added water $(400 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(100 \mathrm{~g}, 0.32 \mathrm{~mol})$. A solution of $\mathrm{I}_{2}(81.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.32 \mathrm{~mol})$ in THF ( 480 mL ) was added at rt . After stirring at rt for 30 min , the solvent was evaporated and the resulting suspension was filtered. The filtrate was extracted with EtOAc, the organic phase was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated to give the crude product, which was purified by column chromatography on silica gel ( $5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{PE}$ ) affording the title compound ( $68.0 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ yield) as a yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.55(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

## Methyl 2-amino-4-bromo-5-fluoro-3-methylbenzoate (38)



To a solution of methyl 2-amino-4-bromo-5-fluoro-3-iodobenzoate ( $80.0 \mathrm{~g}, 214 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DME $(1170 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added water $(200 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(77.0 \mathrm{~g}, 1.28 \mathrm{~mol}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(59.0 \mathrm{~g}, 428 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15.0 \mathrm{~g}, 2.14 \mathrm{~mol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h and then at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h . The reaction was quenched with water and extracted with EtOAc. The organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated and then purified by column chromatography on silica $\operatorname{gel}(2 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{PE})$, followed by recrystallization with $\mathrm{PE} / \mathrm{EtOAc}$ to give the title compound (29.0 $\mathrm{g}, 52 \%$ yield $)$ as a yellow solid. LCMS (m/z): $262.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.52$ (d, $J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.83(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

## Methyl 4-bromo-2-(cyclopropylamino)-5-fluoro-3-methylbenzoate (39)



To a solution of methyl 2-amino-4-bromo-5-fluoro-3-methylbenzoate ( $5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 19.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCE ( 50 mL ) was added $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(4.07 \mathrm{~g}, 38.4 \mathrm{mmol}), 2,2^{\prime}$-bipyridine ( $2.90 \mathrm{~g}, 19.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(3.50 \mathrm{~g}, 19.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and cyclopropylboronic acid $(3.50 \mathrm{~g}, 19.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min and then at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h . The reaction mixture was filtered and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel ( $2 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{PE}$ ) to give the title compound ( $2.40 \mathrm{~g}, 42 \%$ yield) as a yellow oil. LCMS $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}): 302.2$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.71$ $(\mathrm{tt}, J=6.8,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.68-0.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.49-0.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

## Methyl 4-bromo-2-(N-cyclopropylacetamido)-5-fluoro-3-methylbenzoate (40)



To a solution of methyl 4-bromo-2-(cyclopropylamino)-5-fluoro-3-methylbenzoate (13.0 g, 43.0 $\mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM ( 260 mL ) was added DIPEA ( $27.8 \mathrm{~g}, 215 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and acetyl chloride ( $33.8 \mathrm{~g}, 430$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 20 minutes. The reaction mixture was poured into ice water and the phases were separated. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel ( $0-50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{PE}$ ) to give the title compound ( $10.5 \mathrm{~g}, 71 \%$ yield) as a brown oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.63-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.15-3.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.34$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.93-0.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.79-0.60(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.47-0.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

Methyl 4-((R)-3-((S)-1-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)ethyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-2-(N-cyclopropylacetamido)-5-fluoro-3-methylbenzoate (42)


To a suspension of methyl 4-bromo-2-( $N$-cyclopropylacetamido)-5-fluoro-3-methylbenzoate $(6.00 \mathrm{~g}, 17.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(180 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added tert-butyl $((S)-1-((R)$-pyrrolidin-3-yl)ethyl) carbamate ( $6.72 \mathrm{~g}, 31.5 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(11.4 \mathrm{~g}, 35.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, xantphos $(3.00 \mathrm{~g}, 5.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(1.60 \mathrm{~g}, 1.75 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting mixture was purged with nitrogen for 5 min and heated to $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . The reaction mixture was filtered and the filtrate was concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel $(50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{PE})$ to give the title compound ( $5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%$ yield) as a brown oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.54-7.45(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.61(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-3.26(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.12-3.05(\mathrm{~m}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44-2.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.29-2.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.13(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.22-1.16(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.89-0.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.75-0.61(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.45-0.30$ (m, 1H).
tert-Butyl ((S)-1-((R)-1-(1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-hydroxy-8-methyl-2-oxo-1, dihydroquinolin-7-yl)pyrrolidin-3-yl)ethyl)carbamate (43)


To a solution of methyl 4-((R)-3-((S)-1-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)ethyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-2( $N$-cyclopropylacetamido)-5-fluoro-3-methylbenzoate ( $5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 10.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 150 mL ) was added NaHMDS ( $52.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 52.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ in THF) at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by stirring for 20 min . The mixture was quenched with water and acidified to pH 4 with 1 M HCl . The resulting suspension was extracted with EtOAc, and the organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated. The residue was purified by HPLC to give the title compound ( 2.30 g , $49 \%$ yield) as a yellow solid. LCMS (m/z): $447.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 7.40$ (d, $J=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.48-3.38$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.40-2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{ddd}, J=11.4,7.3,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.25-1.10(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 0.55-0.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

## 7-((R)-3-((S)-1-Aminoethyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-4-chloro-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-

## methylquinolin-2(1H)-one trifluoroacetic acid (6)



A solution of tert-butyl ((S)-1-((R)-1-(1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-hydroxy-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-7-yl)pyrrolidin-3-yl)ethyl)carbamate ( $65.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and phosphoryl trichloride $(0.03 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dioxane $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at room temperature for 2 minutes and then heated to $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ via microwave for 20 minutes. The reaction was cooled to room temperature and treated with ice and 6 N NaOH . The reaction was extracted with ethyl acetate, the organic phase was collected, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, concentrated, and purified by prep HPLC to afford the title compound ( $16.0 \mathrm{mg}, 18 \%$ yield). $\mathrm{HRMS}(\mathrm{ESI})$ calc'd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{ClFN}_{3} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ 364.1586, found 364.1582. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.83(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{q}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.32-3.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.38(\mathrm{q}, J=8.6,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.19-1.07 (m, 2H), 0.46-0.38 (m, 2H).

## 7-((R)-3-((S)-1-Aminoethyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-hydroxy-8-

 methylquinolin-2(1H)-one trifluoroacetic acid (7)

To a solution of tert-butyl ((S)-1-((R)-1-(1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-hydroxy-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-7-yl)pyrrolidin-3-yl)ethyl)carbamate ( $50.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in MeOH ( 1.1 mL ) was added $\mathrm{HCl}(4 \mathrm{M}$ in dioxane, 1.1 mL ) and the solution was stirred at rt for 16 h . The reaction solution was concentrated and purified by reverse phase HPLC to give the desired product ( $42.0 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \%$ yield). HRMS (ESI) calc'd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{FN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 346.1925$, found 346.1922. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 11.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.65(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51-3.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.36-3.29(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.29-3.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.41-2.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$2.12-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.13-1.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $0.34(\mathrm{p}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

## 7-((R)-3-((S)-1-Aminoethyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-methoxy-8-

 methylquinolin-2(1H)-one trifluoroacetic acid (8)

A mixture of tert-butyl ((S)-1-((R)-1-(1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-hydroxy-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-7-yl)pyrrolidin-3-yl)ethyl)carbamate ( $17.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), iodomethane (10.9 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol})$, potassium carbonate $(10.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $18-$ crown $-6(41.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMA ( 1 mL ) was stirred at rt overnight. The reaction was poured into brine and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic phase was collected, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated. The crude material was diluted with dichloromethane ( 1 mL ) and treated with trifluoroacetic acid (1 mL ) for 30 minutes. The reaction was concentrated and purified by prep HPLC to afford the desired material ( $6.0 \mathrm{mg}, 34 \%$ yield). HRMS (ESI) calc'd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{2} 7 \mathrm{FN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 360.2082$, found $360.2077 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.79(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.83$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.53-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.44-3.23(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{p}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.42-2.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.13-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.14-0.99(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 H), 0.43-0.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

## Preparation of compounds 9-10.



## 7-((R)-3-((S)-1-((tert-Butoxycarbonyl)amino)ethyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-

## fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-4-yl trifluoromethanesulfonate (44)



To a ice-cold solution of tert-butyl ((S)-1-((R)-1-(1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-hydroxy-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-7-yl)pyrrolidin-3-yl)ethyl)carbamate (170 mg, 0.38 mmol ) and triethylamine $(160 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{DMF}(1.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise $1,1,1$-trifluoro- $N$ -phenyl- $N$-((trifluoromethyl)sulfonyl)methanesulfonamide ( $164 \mathrm{mg}, 0.46 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 1 mL ). The reaction was stirred for 1 hour and then poured into water, extracted with ethyl acetate and washed with brine. The organic phase was collected, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The crude material was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $0-100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ heptane ) to afford the desired material (202 mg, 92\% yield). LCMS (m/z): $478.2[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Boc}+\mathrm{H}] .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84-3.70$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{q}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55-3.39(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.33-2.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{dtd}$, $J=14.0,6.8,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.30-1.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.19-1.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.66-0.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

## tert-Butyl

((S)-1-((R)-1-(4-cyano-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-7-yl)pyrrolidin-3-yl)ethyl)carbamate (46)


A solution of 7-((R)-3-((S)-1-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)ethyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-4-yl trifluoromethanesulfonate (113 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium ( $2.25 \mathrm{mg}, 1.95 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and dicyanozinc $(13.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(650 \mu \mathrm{l})$ was heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 days. The reaction was cooled to room temperature and partitioned between brine and ethyl acetate. The organic phase was collected, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, concentrated and purified by silica gel chromatography (0$100 \%$ EtOAc/heptane) to afford the desired material ( $54.5 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81-3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65$ $(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54-3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{q}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.14-3.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.75(\mathrm{dq}, J=12.0,8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.32-1.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.62-$ $0.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

## 7-((R)-3-((S)-1-Aminoethyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-

 dihydroquinoline-4-carbonitrile trifluoroacetic acid (9)

A solution of tert-butyl ((S)-1-((R)-1-(4-cyano-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-7-yl)pyrrolidin-3-yl)ethyl)carbamate ( $26.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dichloromethane $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with trifluoroacetic acid $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 30 minutes at room temperature.

The reaction was concentrated and purified by prep HPLC to afford the title compound ( 13.0 mg , $60 \%$ yield). HRMS (ESI) calc'd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 355.1929$, found $355.1924 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6) $\delta 7.84(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65-3.56(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54-3.44(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{t}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.33-3.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.43-2.31(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-2.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.81-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.21-1.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.44$ (ddd, $J=10.5,6.2,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

## 7-((R)-3-((S)-1-Aminoethyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-4-(aminomethyl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-

 methylquinolin-2(1H)-one trifluoroacetic acid (10)

A mixture of 7-((R)-3-((S)-1-aminoethyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinoline-4-carbonitrile ( $13.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.020 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(10 \%, 50 \%$ in water, 10.0 $\mathrm{mg})$, and acetic acid $(100 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ in methanol $(2.00 \mathrm{~mL})$ was sparged with $\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ for 5 minutes and then left under an atmosphere of $\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ for 4 hours. The suspension was filtered over Celite, concentrated, and purified by prep HPLC to give the title compound ( $3.0 \mathrm{mg}, 32 \%$ yield). LCMS $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}): 359.5[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO-d 6 ) $\delta 8.33$ (br s, 2H), 7.86 (br s, 2H), $7.38(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.4,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz} 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50-$ $3.24(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.43-2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.14-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.22-1.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.38-0.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

Preparation of 7-((R)-3-((S)-1-Aminoethyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-(hydroxymethyl)-8-methylquinolin-2(1H)-one trifluoroacetic acid (11)


Ethyl 7-((R)-3-((S)-1-aminoethyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-

## 1,2-dihydroquinoline-4-carboxylate (45)




A solution of 7-((R)-3-((S)-1-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)ethyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-4-yl trifluoromethanesulfonate (193 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol})$, palladium acetate $(7.50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\operatorname{dppf}(18.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$, molybdenum hexacarbonyl ( $44.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{EtOH}(1.00 \mathrm{~mL})$ and pyridine $(0.250 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ via microwave for 20 minutes. The reaction was concentrated, diluted with ethyl acetate, and washed with water. The organic phase was collected, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, concentrated, and purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $0-100 \%$ EtOAc/heptane, then 0 $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{DCM})$ to afford deprotected ester 45 ( $34.0 \mathrm{mg}, 25 \%$ yield) along with the corresponding Boc protected amine $\mathbf{S 1 7}$ ( $64.0 \mathrm{mg}, 38 \%$ yield). LCMS (m/z) for 45: $402.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

## 7-((R)-3-((S)-1-Aminoethyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-(hydroxymethyl)-8-

 methylquinolin-2(1H)-one trifluoroacetic acid (11)

A solution of the ester (cmpd 45, $34.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $i-\mathrm{PrOH}(0.7 \mathrm{~mL}) /$ water $(0.15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with sodium borohydride $(11.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ and stirred at rt for 4 hours. The reaction was quenched with acetic acid, concentrated under reduced pressure and purified by reverse phase prep HPLC to afford the title compound ( $4.0 \mathrm{mg}, 8 \%$ yield). LCMS (m/z): $360.4[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.79$ (br s, 2H), $7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.59(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.53-$ $3.22(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.43-2.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{ddt}, J=14.9,7.4,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.78-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.11(\mathrm{tq}, J=10.1,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.40-0.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

## 7-((R)-3-((S)-1-Aminoethyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-1-cyclopropyl-4-(2-(dimethylamino)ethoxy)-

 6-fluoro-8-methylquinolin-2(1H)-one trifluoroacetic acid (12)

A suspension of tert-butyl ((S)-1-((R)-1-(1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-hydroxy-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-7-yl)pyrrolidin-3-yl)ethyl)carbamate ( $59.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.133 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2-chloro- $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$ dimethylethanamine hydrochloride $(19.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol})$, potassium carbonate $(55.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and 18 -crown-6 $(211 \mathrm{mg}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMA $(444 \mu \mathrm{l})$ was heated at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred overnight. The reaction was poured into brine and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic phase was collected, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The crude residue was dissolved in
$\operatorname{DCM}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and treated with TFA $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction was stirred 1 hour and then concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by prep HPLC to afford the title compound ( $45.2 \mathrm{mg}, 45 \%$ yield). LCMS (m/z): $417.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 7.61$ (d, $J=$ $13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.74-3.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.65-3.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.50-3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-3.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.49(\mathrm{q}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.28-$ $2.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.27-1.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.55-0.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

Preparation of 7-((R)-3-((S)-1-Aminoethyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinoline-4-carboxylic acid trifluoroacetic acid (13)


A solution of tert-butyl ((S)-1-((R)-1-(4-cyano-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-7-yl)pyrrolidin-3-yl)ethyl)carbamate ( $27.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $10 \%$ aqueous ethanol ( 2.00 mL ) was treated with sodium hydroxide $(12.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ and stirred at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes. The reaction was concentrated under reduced pressure, dissolved in $\mathrm{DCM}(1.00$ $\mathrm{mL})$ and treated with TFA ( 1.00 mL ). After 30 minutes, the reaction was concentrated and the desired product was isolated by prep HPLC ( $4.0 \mathrm{mg}, 19 \%$ yield, purity $=87 \%$ ) along with the corresponding primary amide ( $8.0 \mathrm{mg}, 38 \%$ yield). LCMS (m/z) for $\mathbf{1 3}: 374.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO-d6) $\delta 7.83$ (br s, 2H), 7.73 (d, $J=14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60-3.51$ (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53-3.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.43-3.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.30-3.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.42-2.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.14-2.04 (m, 1H), 1.80-1.68(m, 1H), $1.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.17-1.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.43-0.33(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H})$.

Preparation of 7-((R)-3-((S)-1-aminoethyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-4-(5-oxo-4,5-dihydro-1H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)quinolin-2(1H)-one trifluoroacetic acid



tert-butyl ((S)-1-((R)-1-(1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-(hydrazinecarbonyl)-8-methyl-2-oxo-

## 1,2-dihydroquinolin-7-yl)pyrrolidin-3-yl)ethyl)carbamate (47)



A solution of ethyl 7-((R)-3-((S)-1-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)ethyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinoline-4-carboxylate ( $48.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.096 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and hydrazine $(0.015 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.479 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred for 12 hours at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction cooled to room temperature and was concentrated under reduced pressure to afford the desired material, which was used without further purification. LCMS (m/z): $488.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

7-((R)-3-((S)-1-aminoethyl)pyrrolidin-1-yl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-4-(5-ox0-4,5-dihydro-1H-1,2,4-triazol-3-yl)quinolin-2(1H)-one trifluoroacetic acid (14)


A solution of $47(51.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.106 \mathrm{mmol})$ and carbonyldiimidazole ( $34.32 \mathrm{mg}, 0.212 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dioxane ( 1 mL ) was stirred for 2 days at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was concentrated and then diluted with dichloromethane $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and treated with trifluoroacetic acid $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and aged for 30 minutes. The reaction was concentrated under reduced pressure and then purified by prep HPLC to afford the title compound ( $4.9 \mathrm{mg}, 6 \%$ yield, 2 steps, purity $=90 \%$ ). LCMS $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}): 414.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6) $\delta 13.03$ (s, 1H), 8.08 (d, $J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68-3.16(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.42-2.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

## Preparation of ( $\boldsymbol{S}$ )-4-(Aminomethyl)-7-(3-aminopyrrolidin-1-yl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-

 methylquinolin-2(1H)-one hydrochloride (15)


7-Bromo-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-hydroxy-8-methylquinolin-2(1H)-one (S18)


To an ice-cold solution of methyl 4-bromo-2-( $N$-cyclopropylacetamido)-5-fluoro-3methylbenzoate ( $595 \mathrm{mg}, 1.73 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 12 mL ) was added dropwise NaHMDS ( 8.64 mL , $8.64 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ in THF). After 30 minutes of stirring, 1 N HCl was added dropwise until pH 2 was reached. A solid precipitated, was filtered and washed with water. The aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate and the organic phase collected, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and
concentrated under reduced pressure. The extracted material was combined with the solid filtrate to give the title compound ( $461 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ yield). LCMS (m/z): $313.9[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 11.60(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{tt}, J=6.8,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.11-1.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.38-0.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

## 7-Bromo-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-4-yl

## trifluoromethanesulfonate (48)



A ice-cold mixture of 7-bromo-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-hydroxy-8-methylquinolin-2(1H)-one ( $287 \mathrm{mg}, 0.92 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triethylamine ( $384 \mu \mathrm{l}, 2.76 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF $(2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated dropwise with 1,1,1-trifluoro- $N$-phenyl- $N$-((trifluoromethyl)sulfonyl)methanesulfonamide (394 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 1 mL ) followed by stirring for 1 hour. The reaction was poured into water, extracted with ethyl acetate and washed with brine. The organic phase was collected, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The crude material was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $0-100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ heptane ) to afford the desired material ( $369 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ yield). LCMS (m/z): $446.0[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.51(\mathrm{tt}, J=6.8,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.30-1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.63-0.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

7-Bromo-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinoline-4-carbonitrile (49)


A solution of 7-bromo-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-4-yl trifluoromethanesulfonate ( $238 \mathrm{mg}, 0.54 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tetrakis(triphenylphosphine) palladium ( 31.0 mg ,
$0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$, and dicyanozinc ( $33.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 1.8 mL ) was heated at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 hours. The reaction was treated with water and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic phase was collected, washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, concentrated, and purified by silica gel column chromatography $(0-100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ heptane $)$ to afford the desired material ( $125 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ yield). LCMS (m/z): $322.9[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

## (S)-tert-Butyl (1-(4-cyano-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-7-yl)pyrrolidin-3-yl)carbamate (50)



A solution of 7-bromo-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinoline-4carbonitrile ( $159 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), xantphos $(43.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol})$, (S)-tert-butyl pyrrolidin-3ylcarbamate ( $138 \mathrm{mg}, 0.74 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tris(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium(0) (22.7 mg, $25.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and cesium carbonate ( $323 \mathrm{mg}, 0.99 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in toluene $(1.65 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The reaction was poured into water and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic phase was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, concentrated, and purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $0-80 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ heptane ) to afford the desired compound ( $126 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ yield). LCMS (m/z): $427.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81-3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{q}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{tt}, J=7.4,4.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.0,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.48(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{dq}, J=13.5,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(\mathrm{td}, J$ $=12.9,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.56(\mathrm{q}, J=4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

## (S)-4-(Aminomethyl)-7-(3-aminopyrrolidin-1-yl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-

 methylquinolin-2(1H)-one hydrochloride (15)

A mixture of (S)-tert-butyl (1-(4-cyano-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-7-yl)pyrrolidin-3-yl)carbamate ( $126 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{EtOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(10 \%$ dry wt, $50 \%$ water, $95.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol})$ and sparged with hydrogen for 5 minutes. The reaction was left under an atmosphere of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ for 2 hours. The reaction was filtered over Celite, concentrated under reduced pressure, diluted with DCM ( 1 mL ) and treated with TFA ( 2 mL ). After 1 hour, the reaction was concentrated under reduced pressure and purified by reverse phase HPLC to give the title compound ( $44.0 \mathrm{mg}, 33 \%$ yield, 2 steps). Re-lyophilizing with 1N HCl provided the HCl salt. HRMS (ESI) calc'd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 331.1929$, found 331.1925. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.99(\mathrm{p}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{ddd}, J=10.8,6.3,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{q}, J=8.1,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53$ (dt, $J=10.2,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.49(\mathrm{dtd}, J=13.6,7.9,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{ddt}, J=13.3$, $8.0,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.27-1.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.51-0.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
(R)-4-(Aminomethyl)-7-(3-aminopyrrolidin-1-yl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methylquinolin-2(1H)-one trifluoroacetic acid (16)

$16(0.09 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \%$ yield, 2 steps) was prepared from 7-bromo-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinoline-4-carbonitrile $49(105 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $(R)$-tert-butyl pyrrolidin-3-
ylcarbamate $(91.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to the procedure used for compound $\mathbf{1 5}$. LCMS (m/z): $331.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO-d $) \delta 8.35(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{q}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.95-3.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.56-3.44(m, 4H), 2.50(s, 3H), 2.37-2.30(m, 1H), 2.02-1.96(m, 1H), 1.18-1.11(m, 2H), 0.34$0.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

## (S)-4-(Aminomethyl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-7-(3-hydroxypyrrolidin-1-yl)-8-

 methylquinolin-2(1H)-one hydrochloride (17)

17 ( $62.2 \mathrm{mg}, 18 \%$ yield, 2 steps) was prepared from 7-bromo-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinoline-4-carbonitrile $49 \quad(300 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.93 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $(S)$-3-( (tertbutyldimethylsilyl)oxy)pyrrolidine ( $376 \mathrm{mg}, 1.87 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to the procedure used for compound 15 (omitting the Boc deprotection step). HRMS (ESI) calc'd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{FN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ 332.1769, found 332.1767. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.53(\mathrm{tt}, J=5.1,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.36-4.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{p}, J=6.3,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.53(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.27-2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{ddd}, J=11.6,6.8,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.34-1.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.54-$ 0.41 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ).

## 4-(aminomethyl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-7-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)quinolin-2(1H)-one

(18)


18 ( $17.8 \mathrm{mg}, 14 \%$ yield, 2 steps) was prepared from 7-bromo-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinoline-4-carbonitrile $49(75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol})$ and pyrrolidine ( $39 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.47$ mmol ) according to the procedure used for compound 15 (omitting the Boc deprotection step). HRMS (ESI) calc'd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{FN} \mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 316.1820$, found $316.1820 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , DMSO-d6) $\delta 8.33(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.26-4.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 H), 1.98-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.21-1.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.35-0.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

Preparation of 7-(4-Amino-2,5-difluorophenyl)-4-(aminomethyl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methylquinolin-2(1H)-one trifluoroacetic acid (19)


## 7-(4-Amino-2,5-difluorophenyl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-

 dihydroquinoline-4-carbonitrile (S21)

A mixture of 7-bromo-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinoline-4carbonitrile ( $85.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2,5-difluoro-4-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2yl)aniline ( $68.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium ( $92.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and sodium carbonate $(84.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(1 \mathrm{~mL}) /$ water $(0.35 \mathrm{~mL}) /$ ethanol $(0.35 \mathrm{~mL})$ was sealed and maintained at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 hours. The reaction was cooled to room temperature, diluted with water, ethyl acetate, and the phases separated. The organic phase was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was
purified by silica gel column chromatography $(0-100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ heptane $)$ to afford the product (16.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 21 \%$ yield) along with an equimolar amount of the dehalogenated starting material. LCMS $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}): 369.9[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

## 7-(4-Amino-2,5-difluorophenyl)-4-(aminomethyl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-

 methylquinolin-2(1H)-one trifluoroacetic acid (19)

A mixture of 7-(4-amino-2,5-difluorophenyl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinoline-4-carbonitrile ( $41.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{EtOH}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(10 \%$ dry wt, $50 \%$ water, $17.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ and sparged with hydrogen for 5 minutes. The reaction was left under an atmosphere of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ for 2 hours. The reaction was then filtered over Celite and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by reverse phase HPLC to give the desired compound ( $6.0 \mathrm{mg}, 30 \%$ yield). LCMS (m/z): $374.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.1,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.1,7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{dq}, J=6.8,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.52(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.36-1.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $0.60-0.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

4-(Aminomethyl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-7-(piperazin-1-yl)quinolin-2(1H)-one trifluoroacetic acid (20)


20 ( $7.5 \mathrm{mg}, 6 \%$ yield, 2 steps) was prepared from 7-bromo-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinoline-4-carbonitrile 49 ( $81 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and benzyl piperazine-1-
carboxylate ( $83 \mathrm{mg}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to the procedure used for compound $\mathbf{1 5}$. LCMS $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ : $331.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.84(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.36(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.53-3.42(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.30-3.17(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.20-1.10$ (m, 2H), 0.35-0.22 (m, 2H).

7-(1-Amino-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-3-yl)-4-(aminomethyl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methylquinolin-2(1H)-one trifluoroacetic acid (21)


21 ( $7.0 \mathrm{mg}, 6 \%$ yield, 2 steps) was prepared from 7-bromo-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinoline-4-carbonitrile $49(60.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ and tert-butyl (3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-1-yl)carbamate ( $75.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to the procedure used for compound 15. HRMS (ESI) calc'd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 343.1929$, found 343.1929. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.65$ (br s, 2H), 8.37 (br s, 2H), 7.43 (d, $\left.J=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.55(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.23(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50-3.42(\mathrm{~m}$, 1H), 3.23-3.19 (m, 1H), $2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.5,4.6,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,5.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.18-1.07(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.36-0.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
rac-7-((1S,5R)-1-Amino-5-methyl-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-3-yl)-4-(aminomethyl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methylquinolin-2(1H)-one trifluoroacetic acid (22)


22 ( $9.0 \mathrm{mg}, 5 \%$ yield, 2 steps) was prepared from 7-bromo-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinoline-4-carbonitrile $49(80.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol})$, BINAP ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as
ligand instead of xantphos, and tert-butyl rac-((1S,5R)-5-methyl-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-1yl)carbamate ( $66.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to the procedure used for compound 15 . tert-butyl rac-((1S,5R)-5-methyl-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-1-yl)carbamate was prepared using procedures analogous to those described for $\mathbf{2 3}$ below. LCMS (m/z): $357.4[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59-$ $3.47(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.53(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.25-1.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.52-0.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

Preparation of 7-(1-amino-3-azabicyclo[4.1.0]heptan-3-yl)-4-(aminomethyl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methylquinolin-2(1H)-one (23)

tert-butyl (3-benzyl-3-azabicyclo[4.1.0]heptan-1-yl)carbamate (S22)


A solution of 2-(benzyl(but-3-en-1-yl)amino)acetonitrile ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 2.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and titanium (IV) isopropoxide ( $1.48 \mathrm{ml}, 4.99 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in ether $(15 \mathrm{ml})$ was slowly treated with a 2 M solution of cyclohexylmagnesium chloride ( $9.99 \mathrm{ml}, 9.99 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) over 1 hour. The reaction mixture was then stirred at rt for 1 more hour. The crude product was then cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated with 2 M $\mathrm{NaOH}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and allowed to stir for 1 hour. Inorganic precipitates were filtered off through a pad of Celite, and the filter cake was washed thoroughly with ether. The combined filtrates were
washed with brine and dried with $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in dioxane ( 2.5 mL ) then treated $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and di-tert-butyl dicarbonate ( $1079 \mathrm{mg}, 4.94 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and stirred at room temperature overnight. The reaction was diluted with ethyl acetate and water. Phases were separated and the organic phase collected, dried (MgSO4), filtered, concentrated, and purified by silica gel column chromatography eluting with a linear gradient of 0-60\% ethyl acetate in heptane to afford the desired product ( $157 \mathrm{mg}, 21 \%$ yield, 2 steps). LCMS (m/z): $303.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+$.

## tert-butyl (3-azabicyclo[4.1.0]heptan-1-yl)carbamate (S23)



A mixture of tert-butyl (3-benzyl-3-azabicyclo[4.1.0]heptan-1-yl)carbamate (155 mg, 0.513 $\mathrm{mmol})$ and $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(54.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.051 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was sparged with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ for 5 minutes and then left under an atmosphere (balloon) of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ overnight. The reaction was then filtered over an acrodisc filter and concentrated under reduced pressure to give the desired amine, which was used without further purification. LCMS (m/z): $213.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.
tert-butyl (3-(4-cyano-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-7-yl)-3-azabicyclo[4.1.0]heptan-1-yl)carbamate (S24)


A microwave vial was charged with 49 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.311 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tert-butyl (3-azabicyclo[4.1.0]heptan-1-yl)carbamate ( $99 \mathrm{mg}, 0.467 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), cesium carbonate ( $304 \mathrm{mg}, 0.934$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(57.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.062 \mathrm{mmol})$ and BINAP $(78 \mathrm{mg}, 0.125 \mathrm{mmol})$. The vial was placed
under vaccum and backfilled with $\mathrm{N}_{2} 3$ times, then toluene ( 2 mL ) was added. The mixture was stirred at $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The crude reaction mixture was filtered through a disposable filter funnel and volatiles were evaporated under reduced pressure. $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ flash chromatography (0-100\% EtOAc/heptane) provided the desired product ( $46.3 \mathrm{mg}, 33 \%$ yield, 2 steps) as a yellow dense oil. LCMS (m/z): $453.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

## tert-butyl

(3-(4-(aminomethyl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-7-yl)-3-azabicyclo[4.1.0]heptan-1-yl)carbamate (S25)


A mixture of tert-butyl (3-(4-cyano-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-7-yl)-3-azabicyclo[4.1.0]heptan-1-yl)carbamate (45 mg, 0.099 mmol ) in 2 M $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(10 \%$ dry wt, $50 \%$ water, $63.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.030 \mathrm{mmol})$. The flask was partially evacuated and back-filled with $\mathrm{H}_{2} 5$ times, then stirred under a balloon of hydrogen for $\sim 60$ minutes. The flask was partially evacuated and back-filled with $\mathrm{N}_{2} 5$ times, then the reaction mixture was passed through a $1 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ syringe filter and concentrated under reduced pressure to give the desired product ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 99 \%$ yield) as a yellow oil, which was used without further purification. LCMS (m/z): $457.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

## 7-(1-amino-3-azabicyclo[4.1.0]heptan-3-yl)-4-(aminomethyl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-

 methylquinolin-2(1H)-one trifluoroacetic acid (23)

A mixture of tert-butyl (3-(4-(aminomethyl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-7-yl)-3-azabicyclo[4.1.0]heptan-1-yl)carbamate (45.7 mg, 0.1 mmol ) in DCM (1 mL ) and TFA ( 1 mL ) was stirred for 30 minutes. Volatiles were evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in water/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and purified by preparative RP-HPLC $(0.1 \%$ TFA in $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) to give the desired product ( $11 \mathrm{mg}, 23 \%$ yield). HRMS (ESI) calc'd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{FN} 4 \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 357.2085$, found $357.2080 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , Methanol- $d 4$ ) $\delta 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.73-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.60-3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10-3.02$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.27-2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.05-1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.18$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.50-0.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
rac-7-((1S,5R)-1-Amino-3-azabicyclo[3.2.0]heptan-3-yl)-4-(aminomethyl)-1-cyclopropyl-

## 6-fluoro-8-methylquinolin-2(1H)-one trifluoroacetic acid (24)



24 ( $13.5 \mathrm{mg}, 7 \%$ yield, 2 steps) was prepared from 7-bromo-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinoline-4-carbonitrile $49(80.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol})$, BINAP ( $21.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03$ mmol) as ligand instead of xantphos and benzyl rac-(( $1 S, 5 R)$-3-azabicyclo[3.2.0]heptan-1$\mathrm{yl})$ carbamate $(55.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to the procedure used for compound 15 . HRMS (ESI) calc'd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{FN} 4 \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 357.2085$, found $357.2080 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta$ $7.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71-3.55(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}), 3.54-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.11-3.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.45-2.36(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.5$, $3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.29-1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.56-0.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
rac-4-(Aminomethyl)-7-(1-(aminomethyl)-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-3-yl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methylquinolin-2(1H)-one trifluoroacetic acid (25)


25 ( $4.0 \mathrm{mg}, 3 \%$ yield, 2 steps) was prepared from 7-bromo-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinoline-4-carbonitrile $49(80.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol})$, BINAP $(21.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ as ligand instead of xantphos and tert-butyl ((3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-1-yl)methyl)carbamate hydrochloride ( $78.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to the procedure used for compound $\mathbf{1 5}$. HRMS (ESI) calc'd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 357.2085$, found $357.2080 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta$ $7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.52(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68-$ $3.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.57-3.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46-3.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.70$ (dt, $J=7.8,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.30-1.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{t}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.1,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $0.52-0.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
rac-7-((1R,5S,6s)-6-Amino-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-3-yl)-4-(aminomethyl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methylquinolin-2(1H)-one trifluoroacetic acid (26)


26 ( $14.3 \mathrm{mg}, 11 \%$ yield, 2 steps) was prepared from 7-bromo-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinoline-4-carbonitrile $49(75.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), BINAP ( $58.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09$ mmol ) as ligand instead of xantphos and tert-butyl 3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-1-ylcarbamate (70.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to the procedure used for compound 15. HRMS (ESI) calc'd for
$\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{FN} 4 \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 343.1929$, found 343.1923. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.62-3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.86$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{q}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.30-1.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.56-0.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

## Preparation of 7-(1-Amino-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-3-yl)-4-(aminomethyl)-1-

cyclopropyl-6-fluoroquinolin-2(1H)-one hydrochloride (27)


Methyl 4-bromo-5-fluoro-2-iodobenzoate (53)


53
Methyl 2-amino-4-bromo-5-fluorobenzoate ( $2.37 \mathrm{~g}, 9.54 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in acetonitrile $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $6 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(48 \mathrm{~mL})$. To the heterogeneous yellow mixture at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added dropwise a solution of sodium nitrite $(0.69 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting mixture was stirred for 1 hour at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give an almost clear, yellow solution. A solution of potassium iodide ( 3.17 g , $19.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water ( 20 mL ) was added dropwise and the mixture was allowed to warm slowly to rt overnight. The reaction mixture was diluted with water and extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic extracts were washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered
and concentrated under reduced pressure. The desired product was purified silica gel column chromatography ( $0-5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ heptane ) to give the desired product ( $2.40 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%$ yield).

## Methyl 4-bromo-2-(cyclopropylamino)-5-fluorobenzoate (55)



To methyl 4-bromo-5-fluoro-2-iodobenzoate ( $5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 13.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dioxane ( 50 mL ) were added $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(9.05 \mathrm{~g}, 27.8 \mathrm{mmol})$, xantphos $(1.21 \mathrm{~g}, 2.01 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(0.64 \mathrm{~g}, 0.70 \mathrm{mmol})$, cyclopropylamine $(1.59 \mathrm{~g}, 27.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the reaction mixture was stirred at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 7 hours. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, diluted with water and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated to afford a crude residue. The crude residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (0-5\% EtOAc/hexanes) to afford the desired product ( $2.75 \mathrm{~g}, 69 \%$ yield). LCMS (m/z): $290.3[\mathrm{M}+2]^{+}$.

## Methyl 4-bromo-2-( $N$-cyclopropylacetamido)-5-fluorobenzoate (S26)



Methyl 4-bromo-2-(cyclopropylamino)-5-fluorobenzoate ( $2.75 \mathrm{~g}, 9.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in toluene $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature. Acetyl chloride $(1.49 \mathrm{~g}, 19.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, diluted with water and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated to afford a crude residue. The crude residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $0-5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexanes}$ ) to afford the desired product ( $2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 64 \%$ yield). LCMS (m/z): $332.3[\mathrm{M}+2]^{+}$.

## 7-Bromo-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-hydroxyquinolin-2(1H)-one (56)



Methyl 4-bromo-2-(N-cyclopropylacetamido)-5-fluorobenzoate ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 3.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dry THF ( 10 mL ) and the reaction mixture was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. LiHMDS ( 1.0 M solution in THF, $6.06 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour. The reaction mixture was quenched with water at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, acidified with HCl and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with water and concentrated to afford the desired product ( $0.62 \mathrm{~g}, 69 \%$ yield). LCMS (m/z): $299.9[\mathrm{M}+2]^{+} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSOd6) $\delta 11.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{ddd}, J=$ $10.8,7.0,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.76-0.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

## 7-Bromo-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-4-yl <br> trifluoromethane sulfonate (57)



7-Bromo-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-hydroxyquinolin-2(1H)-one ( $0.62 \mathrm{~g}, 2.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TEA $(0.63 \mathrm{~g}, 6.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in DMF $(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes. A solution of $N$-phenyl-bis(trifluoromethanesulfonimide) $(0.59 \mathrm{~g}, 1.66 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 1 mL ) was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 hours. The reaction mixture was quenched with water and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated to afford the product ( 0.57 g , $64 \%$ yield). LCMS (m/z): $432.4[\mathrm{M}+2]^{+}$.

## yl)methyl)carbamate (58)



A mixture of 7-Bromo-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-4-yl trifluoromethane sulfonate $(0.47 \mathrm{~g}, \quad 1.09 \mathrm{mmol})$, potassium $((($ tert butoxycarbonyl)amino)methyl)trifluoroborate ( $0.52 \mathrm{~g}, 2.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.35 \mathrm{~g}, 3.28$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was degassed with nitrogen for 5 minutes. $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{dppf})(0.08 \mathrm{~g}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 hours. The reaction mixture was diluted with water and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated to afford the crude residue. The crude residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $45-60 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexanes}$ ) to afford the desired product ( $0.24 \mathrm{~g}, 53 \%$ yield). LCMS (m/z): $413.5[\mathrm{M}+2]^{+} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ 6.0 Hz, 2H), 2.97-2.88(m, 1H), $1.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.44-1.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{q}, J=7.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

## 7-(1-Amino-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-3-yl)-4-(aminomethyl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-

fluoroquinolin-2(1H)-one hydrochloride (27)

tert-Butyl
((7-bromo-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-4-
yl)methyl)carbamate ( $0.210 \mathrm{~g}, 0.51 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tert-butyl (3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-1-yl)carbamate
$(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 1.02 \mathrm{mmol})$, cesium carbonate $(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 1.53 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{dba}_{3}(0.09 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ and BINAP ( $0.13 \mathrm{~g}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were combined in a sealed tube, which was evacuated and back-filled with nitrogen. Previously degassed toluene $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 hours in a sealed tube. The reaction mixture was quenched with water and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated to afford a crude residue. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $45-60 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ Hexane) to afford the desired protected amine. The Boc protected material was dissolved in DCM ( 5 mL ) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{HCl}(4 \mathrm{M}$ in dioxane, 1.0 mL$)$ was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 hours. The reaction mixture was concentrated to afford a crude product, which was triturated with diethyl ether to afford the title compound ( $0.140 \mathrm{~g}, 69 \%$ yield, 2 steps). HRMS (ESI) calc'd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{FN} 4 \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$329.1772, found 329.1771. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.5,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76-3.67$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.04-2.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.9,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.18-1.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $0.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.9,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinoline-8-carbonitrile trifluoroacetic acid (28)




Methyl 2-amino-4-bromo-3-cyano-5-fluorobenzoate (60)


To methyl 2-amino-4-bromo-5-fluoro-3-iodobenzoate ( $5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 13.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMSO ( 50 mL ) was added $\mathrm{CuCN}(2.40 \mathrm{~g}, 26.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ followed by stirring at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The reaction mixture was diluted with cold water, filtered through Celite and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated. The crude residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $2.5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes) to afford the desired product ( 2.80 g, $77 \%$ yield). LCMS (m/z): $273.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

Methyl 4-bromo-3-cyano-2-(cyclopropyl amino)-5-fluorobenzoate (61)


To methyl 2-amino-4-bromo-3-cyano-5-fluorobenzoate ( $2.80 \mathrm{~g}, 10.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM ( 60 mL ) was added cyclopropyl boronic acid ( $1.80 \mathrm{~g}, 20.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2, ${ }^{\prime}$-bipyridine ( $3.20 \mathrm{~g}, 20.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(3.70 \mathrm{~g}, 20.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(3.2 \mathrm{~g}, 30.7 \mathrm{mmol})$. Oxygen (gas) was purged continuously and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 days. The reaction mixture was filtered through celite, washed with DCM and the filtrate was concentrated. The crude residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (0-5\% EtOAc/hexanes) to afford the desired product ( $0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 16 \%$ yield). 1.5 g of starting material was recovered. LCMS $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}): 313.1$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.4,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.92-0.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.71-0.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

Methyl 4-(1-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-3-yl)-3-cyano-2-(cyclopropylamino)-5-fluorobenzoate (S27)


A suspension of methyl 4-bromo-3-cyano-2-(cyclopropyl amino)-5-fluorobenzoate ( 280 mg , 0.89 mmol ), $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(870 \mathrm{mg}, 2.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tert-butyl (azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-1-yl)carbamate ( $354 \mathrm{mg}, 1.79 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in toluene $(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was degassed for 5 minutes. $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(163 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18$ mmol ) and BINAP ( $222 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added and the reaction mixture was stirred at 110 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 hours. The reaction mixture was quenched with cold water and extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated to afford a crude residue, which was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( 0 -10\% EtOAc/hexanes) giving the desired product ( $210 \mathrm{mg}, 51 \%$ yield). LCMS (m/z): $431.6[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03-4.00(\mathrm{~m}$,
$2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.14-3.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.05-1.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $0.95-0.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.63-0.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

Methyl 4-(1-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-3-yl)-3-cyano-2-( $N$ -cyclopropylacetamido)-5-fluorobenzoate (S28)


Methyl 4-(1-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-3-yl)-3-cyano-2-(cyclopropylamino)-5-fluorobenzoate ( $190 \mathrm{mg}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in toluene ( 2 mL ), acetyl chloride ( $0.06 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.88 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 hours. The reaction mixture was quenched with water and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated to afford the desired product.
tert-Butyl (3-(8-cyano-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-hydroxy-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-7-yl)-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-1-yl)carbamate (S29)


NaHMDS (1M in THF, $0.31 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise to a solution of methyl 4-(1-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-3-yl)-3-cyano-2-( $N$ -
cyclopropylacetamido)-5-fluorobenzoate ( $48.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 2 mL ) at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 3 hours at this temperature, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over the next 45 minutes. The reaction was quenched by dropwise addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Upon warming to rt, the mixture was diluted with water and extracted with EtOAc. The combined
organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. LCMS (m/z): $441.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

7-(1-((tert-Butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-3-yl)-8-cyano-1-cyclopropyl-

## 6-fluoro-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-4-yl trifluoromethanesulfonate (S30)


tert-Butyl (3-(8-cyano-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-4-hydroxy-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-7-yl)-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-1-yl)carbamate ( $92.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(63.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.63 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in DMF ( 1 mL ) and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes. A solution of $N$-phenyl-bis(trifluoromethanesulfonimide) ( $89.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 1 mL ) was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 hour. The reaction mixture was quenched with water and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated. The crude residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $0-100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes ) to afford the desired product ( $69.0 \mathrm{mg}, 58 \%$ yield, 3 steps). LCMS (m/z): $573.1[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

## 7-(1-Amino-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-3-yl)-4-(aminomethyl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-2-

oxo-1,2-dihydroquinoline-8-carbonitrile trifluoroacetic acid (28)


A mixture of 7-(1-((tert-Butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-3-yl)-8-cyano-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-4-yl trifluoromethanesulfonate ( $69.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, potassium (((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)methyl)trifluoroborate ( $57.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and
$\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(38.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(1.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(0.05 \mathrm{~mL})$ was degassed with nitrogen for 5 minutes. $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{dppf})(20.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight. The reaction mixture was diluted with water and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated to afford the crude residue. The crude residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (0-100\% EtOAc/heptane) to afford the protected amine. The Boc protected material was dissolved in DCM $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and TFA ( 0.5 mL ) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 20 minutes. The reaction mixture was concentrated to afford a crude product, which was purified by prep-HPLC to afford the title compound (14.0 mg, 19\% yield, 2 steps). HRMS (ESI) calc'd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{FN} 5 \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 354.1725$, found $354.1722 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55-3.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.37-1.30$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.27-1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.78-0.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

## Preparation of 7-(1-Amino-5-methyl-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-3-yl)-4-(aminomethyl)-1-

 cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methoxyquinolin-2(1H)-one trifluoroacetic acid (29)

## Methyl 2-amino-4-bromo-5-fluoro-3-methoxybenzoate (62)
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Methyl 2-amino-4-bromo-5-fluoro-3-iodobenzoate ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 2.65 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in methanol $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{CuI}(0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.53 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added, followed by stirring for 5 minutes. $\mathrm{NaOMe}(1.43 \mathrm{~g}, 26.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and pyridine $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added and the reaction mixture was stirred at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour under microwave irradiation. The reaction mixture was quenched with cold water, acidified to pH 2 by the addition of 1 N HCl and the suspension was extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated to afford the corresponding acid which was triturated with pentane:diethyl ether (1:1). The acid was dissolved in methanol ( 120 mL ), concentrated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(12 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours. The reaction mixture was quenched with ice-water and extracted with DCM. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated to give a crude residue, which was purified by column chromatography ( $0-20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexanes}$ ), affording the desired product ( $4.50 \mathrm{~g}, 71 \%$ yield). LCMS (m/z): $279.9[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]+.{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right) \delta 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.69(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

## Methyl 4-bromo-5-fluoro-2-iodo-3-methoxybenzoate (63)



Methyl 2-amino-4-bromo-5-fluoro-3-methoxybenzoate ( $4.50 \mathrm{~g}, 16.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in acetonitrile $(27 \mathrm{~mL}), 6 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}(58.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 10 minutes. The reaction mixture was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{NaNO}_{2}(1.17 \mathrm{~g}, 17.0$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in water $(18 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added followed by stirring at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour. $\mathrm{KI}(5.37 \mathrm{~g}, 32.4 \mathrm{mmol})$
in water ( 36 mL ) was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes. The reaction mixture was quenched with cold water and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous sodium thiosulfate solution, dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated to afford a crude residue. The crude residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes) to afford the desired product ( $1.80 \mathrm{~g}, 29 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

## Methyl 4-bromo-2-(cyclopropylamino)-5-fluoro-3-methoxybenzoate (64)



Methyl 4-bromo-5-fluoro-2-iodo-3-methoxybenzoate ( $6.00 \mathrm{~g}, 15.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(15.0 \mathrm{~g}$, 46.3 mmol ) were suspended in dioxane ( 60 mL ) and degassed with nitrogen for 10 minutes. $\operatorname{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{dba}_{3}(0.70 \mathrm{~g}, 0.70 \mathrm{mmol})$, xantphos $(1.30 \mathrm{~g}, 2.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ and cyclopropyl amine ( $2.20 \mathrm{~mL}, 30.8$ mmol ) were added and the reaction mixture was stirred at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 hours. The reaction mixture was quenched with water and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated to afford a crude residue, which was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $0-10 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes), providing the desired product (4.20 g, $86 \%$ yield). LCMS (m/z): $320.13[\mathrm{M}+2]^{+} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.03-3.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.74-0.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.52-0.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

## Methyl 4-bromo-2-( $N$-cyclopropylacetamido)-5-fluoro-3-methoxybenzoate (S31)



Methyl 4-bromo-2-(cyclopropylamino)-5-fluoro-3-methoxybenzoate ( $2.90 \mathrm{~g}, 9.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in DCM ( 45 mL ) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. DIPEA ( $7.92 \mathrm{~mL}, 45.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and acetyl chloride
( $6.50 \mathrm{~mL}, 91.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 hour. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution and extracted with DCM. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated to afford a crude residue, which was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $25-40 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes) providing the desired product ( $2.60 \mathrm{~g}, 79 \%$ yield). LCMS (m/z): 362.4 $[\mathrm{M}+2]^{+} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.19-$ $3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.99-0.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.90-0.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.68-0.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## 7-Bromo-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methoxy-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-4-yl

## trifluoromethanesulfonate (S32)



Methyl 4-bromo-2-( $N$-cyclopropylacetamido)-5-fluoro-3-methoxybenzoate ( $2.30 \mathrm{~g}, 6.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in THF ( 25 mL ) and cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. NaHMDS (1.0 M in THF, $19.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 19.2$ mmol ) was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour. The reaction mixture was quenched with ice-water, acidified to pH 2 by the addition of 1 N HCl and extracted with 5\% MeOH in DCM solution. The combined organic layer was dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated to afford the desired cyclized product. The quinolone was dissolved in DMF ( 20 mL ) and cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. TEA ( $2.56 \mathrm{~mL}, 18.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{PhNTf}_{2}(2.61 \mathrm{~g}, 7.31 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 hours. The reaction mixture was quenched with cold water and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with cold water, brine, dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated to give a crude residue, which was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $0-15 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes) providing the desired product ( $1.50 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \%$
yield, 2 steps). LCMS (m/z): $462.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.16-1.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.54-0.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

## 7-Bromo-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methoxy-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinoline-4-carbonitrile

 (S33)

A solution of 7-bromo-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methoxy-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-4-yl trifluoromethanesulfonate $(0.80 \mathrm{~g}, 1.74 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}(0.22 \mathrm{~g}, 1.91 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 12 mL ) was degassed with nitrogen for 10 minutes. $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 hours. The reaction mixture was quenched with cold water and extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated to give a crude residue, which was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $0-15 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes $)$, affording the desired product ( $0.58 \mathrm{~g}, 58 \%$ yield). LCMS (m/z): $339.3[\mathrm{M}+2]^{+} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.46-3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.31-1.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.65-0.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
tert-Butyl (3-(4-cyano-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methoxy-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-7-yl)-

## 5-methyl-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-1-yl)carbamate (S35)



A vial containing 7-bromo-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methoxy-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinoline-4carbonitrile ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tert-butyl (5-methyl-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-1-yl)carbamate ( $94.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), BINAP ( $74.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tris(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium(0)
( $54.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and cesium carbonate ( $290 \mathrm{mg}, 0.89 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was sealed, evacuated and backfilled with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Toluene ( 3 mL ) was added and the mixture was heated to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 hours. Upon cooling to room temperature the mixture was filtered and volatiles were evaporated under reduced pressure. Silica gel flash chromatography ( $0-100 \%$ EtOAc/heptane) provided the desired product ( 200 mg ). LCMS (m/z): $469.4[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

## 7-(1-Amino-5-methyl-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-3-yl)-4-(aminomethyl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-

fluoro-8-methoxyquinolin-2(1H)-one trifluoroacetic acid (29)


A mixture of tert-butyl (3-(4-cyano-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methoxy-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-7-yl)-5-methyl-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-1-yl)carbamate ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(8.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(10 \%, 50 \%$ in water, $136 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol})$. The flask was partially evacuated and backfilled with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ several times, then stirred under a balloon of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ for 1 hour. The reaction mixture was then filtered and volatiles were evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was dissolved in a mixture of DCM ( 2 mL ) and TFA ( 2 mL ) and stirred at room temperature for 45 minutes. Volatiles were evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was concentrated twice from MeOH -toluene. Preparative prep-HPLC provided the product ( $14.9 \mathrm{mg}, 7 \%$ yield, 3 steps) as a dark yellow powder. HRMS (ESI) calc'd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{FN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 373.2034$, found 373.2030. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 8.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $8.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.25-4.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36-3.29$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.10-0.98(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.42-0.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

## Synthesis of 7-(1-Amino-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-3-yl)-4-(aminomethyl)-1-cyclopropyl-8-

## (difluoromethoxy)-6-fluoroquinolin-2(1H)-one hydrochloride (30)



Methyl 4-bromo-5-fluoro-2-iodo-3-((2- (trimethylsilyl)ethoxy)methoxy) benzoate (65)


Methyl 4-bromo-5-fluoro-2-iodo-3-methoxybenzoate ( $1.80 \mathrm{~g}, 4.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in DCM (20 mL) and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{BBr}_{3}(1 \mathrm{M}$ in $\mathrm{DCM}, 36.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 36.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 18 hours. The reaction mixture was quenched with ice-water and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated to afford the crude residue. The crude residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $0-10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes ) to afford the demethylated product. The corresponding phenol was dissolved in acetonitrile ( 6 mL ). $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.73 \mathrm{~g}, 5.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ and SEM-Cl $(0.42 \mathrm{~g}, 2.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added and the reaction mixture was stirred at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour. The reaction mixture was filtered, washed with EtOAc , and concentrated to afford the crude desired product ( $0.90 \mathrm{~g}, 39 \%$ yield, 2 steps). The crude product was used in the next step without
further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.30(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.11-$ $4.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.11-1.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.06(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.

## Methyl

4-bromo-2-( $N$-cyclopropylacetamido)-5-fluoro-3-((2-

## (trimethylsilyl)ethoxy)methoxy)benzoate (S36)



Methyl 4-bromo-5-fluoro-2-iodo-3-((2-(trimethylsilyl)ethoxy)methoxy) benzoate ( $0.90 \mathrm{~g}, 1.78$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.86 \mathrm{~g}, 2.65 \mathrm{mmol})$ and xantphos $(0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol})$ were suspended in dioxane $(28 \mathrm{~mL}) . \mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(0.08 \mathrm{~g}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol})$ and cyclopropyl amine $(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 3.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added and the reaction mixture was stirred at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 hours. The reaction mixture was quenched with water and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated. The crude residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (0$5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes $)$ affording the cyclopropyl aniline. The aniline was dissolved in $\mathrm{DCM}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. DIPEA $(0.44 \mathrm{~g}, 3.46 \mathrm{mmol})$ and acetyl chloride $(0.27 \mathrm{~g}, 3.46 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 hours. The reaction mixture was quenched with water and extracted with DCM. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated to afford the crude residue. The crude residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $20-30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes) to afford the desired product ( 0.30 $\mathrm{g}, 35 \%$ yield, 2 steps). LCMS (m/z): $346.2[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{SEM}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.55(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85$ (s, 3H), 3.22-3.19 (m, 1H), $2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.84-$ $0.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.68-0.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.06(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.

## 7-Bromo-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-2-oxo-8-((2-(trimethylsilyl)ethoxy)methoxy)-1,2-

## dihydroquinolin-4-yl trifluoromethanesulfonate (S37)



Methyl 4-bromo-2-(N-cyclopropylacetamido)-5-fluoro-3-((2-(trimethylsilyl)ethoxy)methoxy) benzoate $(0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 0.63 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. NaHMDS $(1.0$ M in THF, $1.90 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.90 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour. The reaction mixture was quenched with ice-water, acidified to pH 2 by the addition of 1 N HCl and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated to afford the desired cyclized product. The crude heterocycle was dissolved in DMF ( 5 mL ) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{Et} 3 \mathrm{~N}(0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{PhNTf}_{2}(0.19 \mathrm{~g}, 0.50$ mmol ) were added and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1.5 hours. The reaction mixture was quenched with cold water and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with cold water, brine, dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated to afford a crude residue. The crude residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (0-20\% EtOAc/hexanes) to afford the desired product ( $0.19 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%$ yield, 2 steps). LCMS (m/z): 578.4 $[\mathrm{M}+2]^{+} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.87-$ $3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.28-1.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.97-0.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.64(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.02(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
tert-Butyl ((7-bromo-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-2-oxo-8-((2-(trimethylsilyl)ethoxy)methoxy)-

## 1,2-dihydroquinolin-4-yl)methyl)carbamate (S38)



7-bromo-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-2-oxo-8-((2-(trimethylsilyl)ethoxy)methoxy)-1,2-
dihydroquinolin-4-yl trifluoromethanesulfonate $(0.59 \mathrm{~g}, 10.2 \mathrm{mmol})$, potassium (()tertbutoxycarbonyl)amino)methyl)trifluoroborate $(0.49 \mathrm{~g}, 20.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.27 \mathrm{~g}, 2.56$ mmol ) were suspended in toluene ( 18 mL ) and water $(0.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the reaction mixture was degassed with nitrogen for 5 minutes. $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{dppf})(0.04 \mathrm{~g}, 0.51 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 9 hours. The reaction mixture was diluted with water and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated to afford a crude residue, which was purified silica gel column chromatography (20$25 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes), providing the desired product ( $0.24 \mathrm{~g}, 42 \%$ yield). LCMS (m/z): 559.6 $[\mathrm{M}+2]^{+}$.

## 4-(Aminomethyl)-7-bromo-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-hydroxyquinolin-2(1H)-one (S39)


tert-Butyl ((7-bromo-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-2-oxo-8-((2-(trimethylsilyl)ethoxy) methoxy)-1,2-dihydroquinolin-4-yl)methyl)carbamate ( $0.12 \mathrm{~g}, 9.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in DCM ( 2 mL ) at room temperature. $\mathrm{HCl}(4 \mathrm{M}$ in dioxane, 1 mL ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 hours. The reaction mixture was concentrated to afford a crude residue, which was purified by trituration with pentane, affording the desired product ( $65.0 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%$ yield). LCMS (m/z): $329.4[\mathrm{M}+2]^{+}$.
tert-Butyl ((7-bromo-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-hydroxy-2-oxo-1,2-dihydro quinolin-4yl)methyl)carbamate (66)


4-(Aminomethyl)-7-bromo-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-hydroxyquinolin-2(1H)-one (65.0 mg, $0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{DCM}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the reaction mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \operatorname{Et} 3 \mathrm{~N}(0.12$ $\mathrm{g}, 1.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $(\mathrm{Boc})_{2} \mathrm{O}(59.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 hours. The reaction mixture was concentrated to afford a crude residue which was purified by trituration with pentane, affording the desired product ( $80.0 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%$ yield). LCMS (m/z): $429.5[\mathrm{M}+2]^{+}$.
tert-Butyl
((7-bromo-1-cyclopropyl-8-(difluoromethoxy)-6-fluoro-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-4-yl)methyl)carbamate (67)

tert-Butyl ((7-bromo-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-hydroxy-2-oxo-1,2-dihydro quinolin-4yl)methyl)carbamate ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in acetonitrile at room temperature. $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.29 \mathrm{~g}, 9.36 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water ( 3 mL ) and 2-chloro-2,2-difluoro-1-phenylethan-1-one (178 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.94 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added and the reaction mixture was stirred at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours. The reaction mixture was quenched with cool water and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated to afford a crude residue, which
was purified by silica gel column chromatography (15-20\% EtOAc/Hexane), providing the desired product ( $0.03 \mathrm{~g}, 27 \%$ yield). LCMS (m/z): $479.1[\mathrm{M}+2]^{+}$.
tert-Butyl ((7-(1-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-3-yl)-1-cyclopropyl-8-(difluoromethoxy)-6-fluoro-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-4-yl)methyl) carbamate ( $\mathbf{S 4 0}$ )

tert-Butyl ((7-bromo-1-cyclopropyl-8-(difluoromethoxy)-6-fluoro-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-4-yl)methyl)carbamate $(30.0 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.06 \mathrm{mmol})$, tert-butyl (3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-1yl)carbamate ( $25.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), cesium carbonate ( $61.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(11.0 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.01 \mathrm{mmol})$ and BINAP ( $16.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were combined in a sealed tube which was evacuated and back-filled with nitrogen. Toluene ( 0.6 mL ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 hours. The reaction mixture was quenched with water and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated to afford a crude residue, which was purified by prep TLC ( $50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{hexanes}$ ), affording the desired product ( $15.0 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \%$ yield). LCMS (m/z): $595.9[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$.

## 7-(1-Amino-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-3-yl)-4-(aminomethyl)-1-cyclopropyl-8-

(difluoromethoxy)-6-fluoroquinolin-2(1H)-one hydrochloride (30)

tert-Butyl ((7-(1-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-3-yl)-1-cyclopropyl-8-(difluoromethoxy)-6-fluoro-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-4-yl)methyl) carbamate ( $15.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03$ mmol) was dissolved in $\mathrm{DCM}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{HCl}(4 \mathrm{M}$ in dioxane, 0.5 mL$)$ was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 hours. The reaction mixture was concentrated to afford the crude product, which was triturated with diethyl ether, providing the desired product ( $10.0 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ yield, purity $=82 \%$ ). HRMS (ESI) calc'd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 395.1689$, found $395.1682 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 7.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.23-1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H})$.

Preparation of 7-(1-Amino-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-3-yl)-4-(aminomethyl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-3,8-dimethylquinolin-2(1H)-one trifluoroacetic acid (31)

tert-Butyl ((3-bromo-7-(1-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-3-yl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-4-yl)methyl)carbamate (70)


A mixture of tert-butyl ((7-(1-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-3-yl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-4-yl)methyl)carbamate ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18$ mmol) in MeCN ( 1 mL ) was treated with $N$-bromosuccinimide ( $49.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After stirring 30 minutes at rt , the reaction was concentrated and the crude material was purified by silica
gel flash chromatography ( $0-100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{heptane}$ ) to provide the desired product ( $27.0 \mathrm{mg}, 24 \%$ yield) as a yellow oil. LCMS (m/z): $623.4[\mathrm{M}+2]^{+}$.

7-(1-Amino-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-3-yl)-4-(aminomethyl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-3,8-dimethylquinolin-2(1H)-one trifluoroacetic acid (31)


A solution of tert-butyl ((3-bromo-7-(1-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-3-yl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-4yl)methyl)carbamate ( $27.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), methylboronic acid ( $13.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{dppf}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ adduct $(7.10 \mathrm{mg}, 8.69 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(18.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dioxane $(0.9 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 hours. Upon cooling to rt the mixture was diluted with water and extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated to give a dark brown oil. To a mixture of the protected bis-amine in DCM ( 0.5 mL ) was added TFA ( 0.5 mL ), followed by stirring at rt for 1 hour. The solution was concentrated and the crude material was purified by prep-HPLC, affording the desired product (9.3 $\mathrm{mg}, 36 \%$ yield, 2 steps) as an off-white powder. LCMS (m/z): $357.4[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.4,2.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62-3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.30$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.03-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.38-1.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.32-1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.25-1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.45-0.38$ (m, 2H). cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-3-hydroxy-8-methylquinolin-2(1H)-one trifluoroacetic acid (32)

Methyl 2-(2-(benzyloxy)- N -cyclopropylacetamido)-4-bromo-5-fluoro-3-methylbenzoate (71)


Benzyloxyacetyl chloride ( $0.39 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.48 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to a mixture of methyl 2( $N$-cyclopropylacetamido)-4-bromo-5-fluoro-3-methylbenzoate (150 mg, 0.50 mmol ) and pyridine $(0.20 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.48 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{DCM}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was stirred at rt for 3 hours. The mixture was diluted with DCM and washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and brine, then dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by silica gel column chromatography ( $0-60 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{heptane}$ ) provided the desired product as a yellow oil ( $209 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%$ yield). LCMS (m/z): $452.2[\mathrm{M}+2]^{+}$.

## 3-(Benzyloxy)-7-bromo-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-4-yl

## trifluoromethanesulfonate (72)



Methyl 2-(2-(benzyloxy)-N-cyclopropylacetamido)-4-bromo-5-fluoro-3-methylbenzoate (113 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in THF ( 2.5 mL ) and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. NaHMDS ( 1 M in THF, $0.75 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes. The reaction mixture was quenched with ice-water, acidified to pH 2 by the addition of 1 NHCl and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over sodium
sulfate and concentrated to afford the desired heterocycle. The crude material was dissolved in DMF ( 1.25 mL ) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{Et} 3 \mathrm{~N}(76.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{PhNTf}_{2}(107 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 hours. The reaction mixture was quenched with cold water and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with cold water, brine, dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated to afford a crude residue, which was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $0-40 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes ), providing the desired product $\left(0.071 \mathrm{~g}, 52 \%\right.$ yield). LCMS (m/z): $551.9[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.50$ (dd, $J=9.1,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.54(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{tt}, J=$ $7.0,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{q}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.62-0.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
tert-Butyl
((3-(benzyloxy)-7-bromo-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-

## dihydroquinolin-4-yl)methyl)carbamate (73)



A suspension of 3-(benzyloxy)-7-bromo-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-4-yl trifluoromethanesulfonate ( $0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 0.90 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(0.58 \mathrm{~g}, 2.70 \mathrm{mmol})$, potassium (((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)methyl)trifluoroborate ( $0.43 \mathrm{~g}, 1.81 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF (5 $\mathrm{mL})$ and water $(0.05 \mathrm{~mL})$ was degassed with argon for 30 minutes. $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{dppf}) \cdot \mathrm{DCM}$ adduct ( 22.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 7 hours. The reaction mixture was quenched with cold water and extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated to afford a crude residue, which was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $0-25 \%$ EtOAc/hexanes), providing the desired product ( $0.14 \mathrm{~g}, 15 \%$ yield). LCMS (m/z): $431.4[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Boc}+\mathrm{H}] .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz,

DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) 7.50-7.30 (m, 6H), $5.35(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81-3.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61-$ $3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.90-0.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.57-0.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
tert-Butyl (3-(3-(benzyloxy)-4-(((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)methyl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-7-yl)-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-1-yl)carbamate (74)


A suspension of tert-butyl ((3-(benzyloxy)-7-bromo-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-4-yl)methyl)carbamate ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(240 \mathrm{mg}, 0.73 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tert-butyl (azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-1-yl)carbamate ( $73.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in toluene ( 5 mL ) was degassed for 5 minutes. $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}(11.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol})$ and BINAP $(15.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added and the reaction mixture was stirred at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 hours. The reaction mixture was quenched with cold water and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated to afford a crude residue, which was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes), providing the desired product ( $55.0 \mathrm{mg}, 35 \%$ yield). LCMS (m/z): $649.5[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.43-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 5.32(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.93-3.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81-3.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68-3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.57-$ $3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26-3.23(\mathrm{~m} 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.57(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.30-$ $1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.91-0.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.59-0.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

## 7-(1-Amino-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-3-yl)-4-(aminomethyl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-3-

## hydroxy-8-methylquinolin-2(1H)-one trifluoroacetic acid (32)


tert-Butyl (3-(3-(benzyloxy)-4-(((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)methyl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-7-yl)-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-1-yl)carbamate (55.0 mg, $0.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL}) . \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(10 \%, 50 \%$ in water, 6.00 mg$)$ was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 hours under a hydrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite and the filtrate was concentrated to afford the deprotected hydroxyl. The protected bis-amine was dissolved in $\mathrm{DCM}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{HCl}(4.0 \mathrm{M}$ in dioxane, 0.3 mL$)$ was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 hours. The reaction mixture was concentrated to afford a crude residue, which was purified by prep HPLC providing the desired product ( $3.50 \mathrm{mg}, 15 \%$ yield, purity $=91 \%$ ). LCMS (m/z): $359.4[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ) $\delta 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.32(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 H), 3.82-3.57(\mathrm{~m} \mathrm{4H}), 3.37(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.01-1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.40-1.20(\mathrm{~m} 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.57-$ 0.54 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ).

Preparation of 7-(1-Amino-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-3-yl)-4-(aminomethyl)-3-chloro-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methylquinolin-2(1H)-one trifluoroacetic acid (33)

tert-Butyl (3-(4-cyano-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-7-yl)-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-1-yl)carbamate (68)


A suspension of 7-bromo-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinoline-4carbonitrile ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.78 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tert-butyl 3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-1-ylcarbamate ( 309 mg , 1.56 mmol ), BINAP ( $194 \mathrm{mg}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{dba}_{3}(143 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ and cesium carbonate ( $761 \mathrm{mg}, 2.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in toluene ( 7.8 mL ) was heated to $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5.5 hours. Upon cooling to room temperature the mixture was filtered and concentrated. The crude material was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $0-100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ heptane ) to give the product ( $650 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ yield). LCMS (m/z): $439.4[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94-3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75-3.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59-3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52-3.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-3.30$ (m, 1H), $2.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.27-1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.17-1.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.06-0.97$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.60-0.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
tert-Butyl (3-(4-(((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)methyl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-7-yl)-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-1-yl)carbamate (69)


A mixture of tert-butyl (3-(4-cyano-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-7-yl)-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-1-yl)carbamate ( $650 \mathrm{mg}, 1.48 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(10 \%, 50 \%$ water, $947 \mathrm{mg}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol})$. The flask was partially evacuated and back-filled with $\mathrm{H}_{2} 5$ times, then stirred under a balloon of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ for 1 hour. The reaction mixture was filtered through a plug of celite and concentrated under reduced pressure to give the desired primary amine as a yellow-brown oil. The amine was dissolved in THF (7.4 $\mathrm{mL})$ was treated with DIPEA $(0.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.45 \mathrm{mmol})$ and Boc anhydride $(0.45 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.93 \mathrm{mmol})$ followed by stirring at room temperature for 1 hour. The mixture was diluted with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic extracts were washed with saturated brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Silica gel column chromatography ( $0-100 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{heptane}$ ) provided the title compound ( $448 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \%$ yield, 2 steps) as a yellow foam. LCMS (m/z): $543.4[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.10$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.86-4.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.44-4.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88-3.75$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.56-3.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.69$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 1.21-1.12(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.03-0.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.54-0.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
tert-Butyl (3-(4-(((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)methyl)-3-chloro-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-7-yl)-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-1-yl)carbamate (S43)


A mixture of tert-butyl (3-(4-(((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)methyl)-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-7-yl)-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-1-yl)carbamate (176 mg, 0.32 mmol ) in $\mathrm{MeCN}(1.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with $N$-chlorosuccinimide ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 0.97 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by stirring for 4 hours at room temperature. Volatiles were evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in EtOAc and washed with $10 \%$ aqueous citric acid, saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and brine, then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Silica gel column chromatography (0-100\% EtOAc/heptane) provided the title compound (127 $\mathrm{mg}, 61 \%$ yield) as a pale yellow foam. LCMS (m/z): $577.3[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.55-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.04(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.83(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.55-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 1.22-1.14(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.04-0.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.56-0.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

## 7-(1-Amino-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-3-yl)-4-(aminomethyl)-3-chloro-1-cyclopropyl-6-

 fluoro-8-methylquinolin-2(1H)-one trifluoroacetic acid (33)

A mixture of tert-butyl (3-(4-(((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)methyl)-3-chloro-1-cyclopropyl-6-fluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-7-yl)-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-1-yl)carbamate
$\mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{DCM}(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ and TFA $(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred for 20 minutes at room temperature. Volatiles were evaporated under reduced pressure and the oily residue was concentrated twice from MeOH -toluene then subjected to preparative HPLC to give the product ( $22.8 \mathrm{mg}, 32 \%$ yield). LCMS (m/z): $377.2[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, CD 3 OD ) $\delta 7.55$ (d, $J=$ $13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.87-3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74-3.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69-3.63$ (m, 1H), 3.44-3.40 (m, 1H), $2.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.03-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.36-1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.52-0.42(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H})$.

Preparation of 7-(1-Amino-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-3-yl)-4-(aminomethyl)-1-cyclopropyl-3,6-difluoro-8-methylquinolin-2(1H)-one hydrochloride (34)

## Methyl 4-bromo-2-(N-cyclopropyl-2-fluoroacetamido)-5-fluoro-3-methylbenzoate (75)



Methyl 4-bromo-2-(cyclopropylamino)-5-fluoro-3-methylbenzoate ( $3.50 \mathrm{~g}, 11.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in toluene $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. 2-Fluoro acetyl chloride ( $1.11 \mathrm{~g}, 11.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 hours. The reaction mixture was quenched with water and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution and brine, then dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated. The crude residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (25-30\% EtOAc/hexanes) to afford the desired product ( 3.00 g , $62 \%$ yield). LCMS (m/z): $364.4[\mathrm{M}+2]^{+} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.71-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.40$ (ddd, $J=47.0,37.4,14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.53$ (ddd, $J=76.7,47.1,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97-3.84(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.19-3.03 (m, 1H), 2.48-2.22 (m, 3H), 0.97-0.38 (m, 4H).

## 7-Bromo-1-cyclopropyl-3,6-difluoro-4-hydroxy-8-methylquinolin-2(1H)-one (76)



Methyl 4-bromo-2-(N-cyclopropyl-2-fluoroacetamido)-5-fluoro-3-methylbenzoate (3.00 g, 8.20 mmol) was dissolved in THF ( 20 mL ) and cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. NaHMDS (1M in THF, 24.9 mL , 24.9 mmol ) was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes. The reaction mixture was then stirred at room temperature for 1 hour. The reaction mixture was quenched with ice-water and washed with EtOAc. The aqueous layer was acidified with 1 N HCl and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated to afford a crude residue, which was purified by trituration with pentane, providing the desired product ( $2.50 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%$ yield). LCMS (m/z): $332.3[\mathrm{M}+2]^{+} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta 12.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.43(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

7-Bromo-1-cyclopropyl-3,6-difluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-4-yl trifluoro methanesulfonate (77)


7-Bromo-1-cyclopropyl-3,6-difluoro-4-hydroxy-8-methylquinolin-2(1H)-one (2.50 g, 7.50 mmol) was dissolved in DMF ( 14 mL ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Triethylamine ( $2.29 \mathrm{~g}, 22.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{PhNTf}_{2}$ $(4.00 \mathrm{~g}, 11.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(14 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 hours. The reaction mixture was quenched with ice-water and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with cold water and brine, then dried over sodium
sulfate and concentrated to afford a crude residue, which was purified by silica gel column chromatography ( $0-5 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes ), providing the desired product ( $3.00 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%$ yield). LCMS (m/z): $464.4[\mathrm{M}+2]^{+}$.
tert-Butyl ((7-bromo-1-cyclopropyl-3,6-difluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydro quinolin-4yl)methyl)carbamate (78)


A solution of 7-bromo-1-cyclopropyl-3,6-difluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-4-yl trifluoro methanesulfonate ( $1.50 \mathrm{~g}, 3.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), potassium (((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)methyl) trifluoroborate $(1.53 \mathrm{~g}, 6.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.86 \mathrm{~g}, 8.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(45 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(0.15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was degassed with nitrogen for 5 minutes. $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{dppf})(0.12 \mathrm{~g}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 hours. The reaction mixture was diluted with water and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated. The crude residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (0$50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexanes $)$ to afford the desired product ( $0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 17 \%$ yield). LCMS (m/z): 445.5 $[\mathrm{M}+2]^{+}$.
tert-Butyl ((7-(1-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-3-yl)-1-cyclopropyl-3,6-difluoro-8-methyl-2-ox0-1,2-dihydroquinolin-4-yl)methyl)carbamate (79)


A suspension of tert-butyl ((7-bromo-1-cyclopropyl-3,6-difluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydro quinolin-4-yl)methyl)carbamate ( $0.22 \mathrm{~g}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), tert-butyl (3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-1-
yl)carbamate $(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.99 \mathrm{mmol})$, cesium carbonate $(0.49 \mathrm{~g}, 1.49 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{dba}_{3}(0.09 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10$ mmol) and BINAP $(0.12 \mathrm{~g}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dioxane $(3.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 hours. The reaction mixture was quenched with water and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over sodium sulfate and concentrated. The crude residue was purified by prep HPLC purification to afford the desired product ( $0.08 \mathrm{~g}, 40 \%$ yield). LCMS (m/z): 561.8 $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, MeOD) $\delta 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.46(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61$ (d, $J=20.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=19.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.53(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

## 7-(1-Amino-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-3-yl)-4-(aminomethyl)-1-cyclopropyl-3,6-difluoro-8-methylquinolin-2(1H)-one hydrochloride (34)


tert-Butyl ((7-(1-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-azabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-3-yl)-1-cyclopropyl-3,6-difluoro-8-methyl-2-oxo-1,2-dihydroquinolin-4-yl)methyl)carbamate ( $50.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dioxane $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{HCl}(4 \mathrm{M}$ in dioxane, 3.0 mL$)$ was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 hours. The reaction mixture was concentrated and the crude residue was triturated with diethyl ether to afford the desired product $(35.0 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ yield). HRMS (ESI) calc'd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$361.1834, found 361.1832. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}) \delta 7.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43-3.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.38-1.26(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.54(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H})$.

## In vitro and in vivo Biology

General: $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values obtained from the GyrA/B and ParC/E biochemical assays are reported as the geometric mean of 2 or more replicates, with the exception of compound $\mathbf{3 4}$ against E. coli GyrA/B (single replicate). For MIC data, the most frequently occurring value from three or more replicates is reported. In cases where only two replicates were available, or where two values occurred with identical frequency, the higher of the two values is reported. MIC data is based on two or more separate experiments with the exception of compounds 6-8, 11-14 and 18 .

## Biochemical Assay

Purification of E. coli GyrA, GyrB, ParC and ParE: Large scale expression cultures of $E$. coli cells carrying expression plasmid of recombinant full-length GyrA, GyrB, ParC and ParE (T7 promoter C-terminal 6 xHis tag) were grown separately using standard procedures (1-6 liters). When cultures reached late log-phase, incubation temperature was lowered to $18{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and protein expression was induced by adding IPTG to 1 mM with growth continuing overnight. Cells were collected by centrifugation, resuspended in 5 x volume of IMAC buffer A ( 50 mM Tris- HCl pH 7.5 ; $300 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl} ; 2 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}$; $10 \%$ (v/v) glycerol; 1 mM TCEP ) containing 15 mM imidazole, Benzonase endonuclease (EMD Millipore) and protease inhibitors (Roche cOmplete, EDTA-free), and lysed by sonication. The total lysate was centrifuged to obtain the soluble lysate, which was applied to a column of Ni Sepharose FF (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) equilibrated with 6\% (v/v) IMAC buffer B ( 50 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 7.5 ; 300 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl} ; 2 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} ; 10 \%$ (v/v) glycerol; 1 mM TCEP; 250 mM imidazole). The column was washed with the same buffer and developed with a linear gradient to $100 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ IMAC buffer B over 20 column volumes.

Fractions were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and pooled accordingly, concentrated and applied to a Superdex 200 (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) size-exclusion column equilibrated with 50 mM Tris-
$\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 7.5,50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 2 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,10 \%(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v})$ glycerol; 1 mM TCEP. Fractions were pooled based on analysis by SDS-PAGE, made to 2 mM spermidine, quantified by Bradford assay, aliquoted, flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for reconstitution prior to biochemical assays.
E. coli gyrase DNA supercoiling assay: Tetrameric E. coli GyrAB was prepared by incubation of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of each subunit in GyrAB assay buffer ( 50 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 7.5,10 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,30 \mathrm{mM}$ $\mathrm{KCl}, 10 \%$ glycerol, $0.005 \% \mathrm{BSA}, 0.005 \%$ CHAP, 75 mM ammonium acetate, 0.5 mM EDTA and 1 mM DTT) at room temperature for 60 minutes.

10 nM tetramer of $E$. coli GyrAB was pre-incubated with compound for 30 minutes at room temperature before $40 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ (final concentration) relaxed DNA (TopoGEN TG2035-3) and 1 mM (final concentration) ATP in GyrAB assay buffer were added for a reaction time of 2 hours. The reaction was quenched with $0.1 \%$ (final) SDS.

Supercoiled DNA generated by E. coli GyrAB was monitored by size exclusion chromatography. An Agilent 1100 HPLC containing a diode array detector was used to acquire data. The instrument was controlled using the Agilent Chemstation software. A $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ aliquot of each sample was injected onto a Waters Acquity BEH200 (1.7 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ particle, $4.6 \times 300 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) column. Separation occurred using an isocratic gradient with 100 mM sodium phosphate, pH 6.8 , as mobile phase. Detection was by diode array at 260 nm . To increase assay throughput, the SEC analysis was multiplexed, by staggering injections of samples to effectively analyze four samples in one run. Samples were injected every 4 minutes using separate 4 min long isocratic gradient, with the fourth sample injected being subjected to a full 40 minute gradient to elute all peaks (see HPLC conditions below). Peak height was used to calculate percent inhibition.

To reduce column degradation and clogging, two $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ injections of Pierce universal nuclease $(100 \mathrm{kU})$ were run through the column on a 120 minute isocratic gradient at a flow rate of 10 $\mu \mathrm{L} / \mathrm{min}$, followed by 3 blank injections using the same gradient.

Multiplexed HPLC pump and autosampler conditions for samples $1 n-3 n$

| Sample $1 n$ - $3 n$ pump parameters |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Time (min) | Flow Rate $(\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min})$ |
| 0 | 0.1 |
| 4 | 0.1 |
| NOTE: Injection overlap at 2.5 minutes |  |
| Multiplexed HPLC pump and autosampler conditions for samples $4 n$ |  |
| Sample 4n pump parameters |  |
| Time (min) | Flow Rate (mL/min) |
| 0 | 0.1 |
| 20 | 0.1 |
| 20.1 | 0.2 |
| 37 | 0.2 |
| 37.1 | 0.1 |
| 40 | 0.1 |

E. coli Topoisomerase IV DNA decatenation assay: E. coli ParCE tetramer was prepared by incubation of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of each subunit in ParCE assay buffer ( 50 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{pH} 7.5,10 \mathrm{mM}$ $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, 50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 100 \mathrm{mM}$ KGlu, 1 mM EDTA, $0.01 \%$ Tween $20,4 \mathrm{mM}$ DTT, $0.01 \% \mathrm{BSA}$ ) at room temperature for 60 minutes.
1.25 nM E. coli ParCE tetramer was pre-incubated with compound for 30 minutes at room temperature before $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ (final concentration) kinetoplast DNA (TopoGEN TG2013-3) and 1 mM (final concentration) ATP in ParCE assay buffer were added for a reaction time of 1 hour. The reaction was quenched with $0.1 \%$ (final) SDS.

Decatenated kinetoplast DNA generated by E coli ParCE was monitored by size exclusion chromatography. An Agilent 1200 capillary HPLC containing a variable wavelength detector was used to acquire data. The instrument was controlled using the Agilent MassHunter Acquisition software. An $8 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ aliquot of each sample was injected onto a Sepax Zenix size exclusion chromatography guard column ( $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ particle, $4.6 \times 50 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). The separation of the decatenated DNA from the UV sensitive buffer components was achieved on a 7 minute isocratic gradient at $600 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ per minute. 100 mM sodium phosphate pH 6.8 was used as the mobile phase. Diode array detector (DAD) detection was performed at 260 nm .

Data were analyzed and LC peaks integrated using Agilent ChemStation software (Revision B.0.03.02-SR2). Manual integration was used to adjust integration in the event of additional peak integration. Area under the curve of decatenated kDNA was used to calculate percent inhibition.

## Antibacterial Susceptibility Testing

Antibacterial Activity Testing: Antibacterial activity was assessed using a broth microdilution assay following the recommended methodology of the Clinical and Laboratories Institute (CLSI M07). ${ }^{93}$ Performance of the assay was monitored by testing ciprofloxacin against laboratory quality control strains in accordance with guidelines of the CLSI (CLSI M100). ${ }^{71}$

Selection of single step spontaneous mutants. E. coli ATCC 25922 was used for selection experiments. Single-step selection was performed on cation-adjusted Mueller-Hinton agar plates containing test compounds at $2 \mathrm{X}, 4 \mathrm{X}$ and 8 X multiples of the MIC. Dilutions of cell suspension
were also plated on drug-free medium to enumerate $\mathrm{CFU} / \mathrm{mL}$. Plates were incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for up to 72 hours and CFU were counted. Mutant frequencies were calculated by dividing the number of colonies on drug containing plates by the number of CFU plated.

Bacterial strains: Wild type and laboratory engineered mutant strains are described in Table 9. In P. aeruginosa, a C248T mutation in gyrA (encoding T83I) together with a C260G mutation in $\operatorname{parC}$ (encoding S 87 L ) imparts a 256 -fold decrease in susceptibility to ciprofloxacin. ${ }^{94}$ These mutations were introduced onto the genome of the efflux deficient $P$. aeruginosa strain NB52023 as follows: first, a 1 kb gyrA fragment encompassing the C248T mutation was amplified from a genome sequenced $P$. aeruginosa clinical isolate using primers SR73 (5'-ggccagtgccaagctattgaacgaggcgactgga-3') and SR75 (5'-acgaattcgagctcgggcagctcggtgatgataa-3'). This was inserted into the gene replacement vector pEX18Ap, ${ }^{95}$ that had been digested with HindIII/KpnI, using the In-Fusion HD cloning kit (Takara) according to the supplied instructions. The resulting vector was mobilized into strain NB52023 as described previously ${ }^{96}$ and merodiploids were selected on L-agar containing irgasan and carbenicillin. Merodiploids were resolved on L-agar containing sucrose and $0.125 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ciprofloxacin, and the presence of the gyrA C248T mutation was confirmed by PCR and sequencing. Next, a 1 kb parC fragment encompassing C260G was amplified from a genome sequenced $P$. aeruginosa clinical isolate using primers SR80 (5'-ggccagtgccaagcttgaccttcctgctcgatacc-3') and SR82 (5'-acgaattcgagctcgecggtctcgtagaccttctg-3'), which was inserted into pEXAp. The resulting suicide vector was used to introduce the mutation into NB52023 gyrA C248T as described above, except that merodiploids were resolved on L-agar containing sucrose and $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ciprofloxacin. The presence of parC C260G was confirmed by PCR and sequencing. The resulting gyrA parC double mutant was designated NB52023-CDK0006.

MIC $_{50 / 90}$ Panel: A panel consisting of 126 Gram-negative clinical isolates, including 96 Enterobacteriaceae and 30 P. aeruginosa, was assembled from the Novartis collection. The isolates were acquired between 2000 and 2016 from various geographic regions and included the following Enterobacteriaceae species: Citrobacter spp., Enterobacter spp., Escherichia coli, Klebsiella oxytoca, K. pneumoniae, Morganella morganii, Proteus mirabilis, and Serratia marcescens.

Table 9. Wild type and mutant bacterial strains used in this study

| Novartis Strain Code | Referred to as | Description | Source or Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E. coli |  |  |  |
| NB27001 | E. coli WT | ATCC 25922 | ATCC |
| NB27178 | E. coli- $\triangle$ acrB | BW25113 $\triangle a c r B$, JW0451-2 | Ref ${ }^{97}$ |
| K. pneumoniae NB29002 | K. pneumoniae WT | ATCC 43816 | ATCC |
| $P$. aeruginosa |  |  |  |
| NB52019 | P. aeruginosa WT | K767; PAO1, prototroph | Ref ${ }^{98}$ |
| NB52023 | $P$. aeruginosa $\triangle \operatorname{mex} B$ $\Delta$ mex $X Y$ | K1542; K767 mex $^{\text {d }} \Delta$ mexXY | Ref ${ }^{99}$ |
| NB52023- <br> CDK0006 | P. aeruginosa $\Delta m e x B \Delta m e x X$ FQ-R | NB52023 gyrA T83I parC S87L | This study <br> (also see <br> Ref ${ }^{100}$ ) |

## Animal studies

All studies were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Novartis Institutes for BioMedical Research, Inc. (Emeryville, CA, USA). Female CD-1 mice (17-20 g; Envigo, Livermore, CA, USA) and male Wistar-Han rats (250-300 g, CRL, Raleigh, North Carolina, USA) were kept under controlled conditions with food and water ad libitum. Pharmacokinetic studies were performed in mice and rat with drug concentrations determined by LC-MS/MS. All analysis was performed using Phoenix WinNonLin 6.4 to generate PK
parameters. The AUC was obtained from the measured plasma concentrations versus time using the trapezoidal method.

In Vivo PK Studies: All animal studies were conducted under a Novartis-Emeryville IACUC approved protocol in compliance with Animal Welfare Act regulations and the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. Animals were fasted overnight and dosed IV and PO at the targeted doses in a solution of 20\% PEG300 and 5\% Solutol in D5W or saline water. The whole blood was collected into vials containing EDTA at post-dose $0.083,0.25,0.5,1,2,4,6,8$ and 24 hours, and immediately placed on dry ice until all samples were stored in $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ freezer. Prior to analysis, the samples were thawed on ice. Ten microliters of each blood sample were added to 80 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of acetonitrile containing Internal Standard (CHIRO73911) and centrifuged 3450 xg at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 minutes. A $70 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ aliquot of the supernatant was transferred into a microtiter plate and dried down at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the residue was reconstituted with $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $0.1 \% \mathrm{FA}$ in water. An aliquot of each sample was injected into the liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry/mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) system for analysis. Non-compartmental PK analysis was conducted using Phoenix WinNonLin 6.4 professional ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ (6.4) (Certara, inc., Menlo Park, CA) to generate pharmacokinetic parameters.

## In Vivo Pharmacology Studies

Inoculum preparation: An overnight culture of E. coli ATCC 25922 was prepared by inoculating 25 mL Mueller-Hinton Broth with $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of bacterial strain from frozen stock. The culture was allowed to grow for $16-18 \mathrm{~h}$ at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with agitation at 150 rpm (I2400 Incubator Shaker; New Brunswick Scientific). An aliquot was taken, centrifuged and the bacterial pellet resuspended in sterile saline $(0.9 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$. Using optical density: CFU correlations, the inoculum was prepared at $2 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{CFU} / \mathrm{mL}$ by diluting with sterile saline.

Neutropenic murine thigh infection: Animals (4 mice/group) were rendered neutropenic by intraperitoneal injections of 150 and $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ cyclophosphamide (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA), on days -4 and -1 respectively prior to infection. Two hours prior to treatment ( -2 h ), $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of bacterial inoculum was administered into the left gastrocnemius muscle via an intramuscular injection. At time 0 h , animals were treated via the subcutaneous (sc) route of administration with doses from $2.5-120 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$. At 0 h a cohort of animals was sacrificed via $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to determine the bacterial levels at the start of treatment. The remaining animals were euthanized 24 h after the start of therapy. The infected thighs were excised and homogenized in sterile saline $(0.9 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v})$ until the tissue was completely homogenized. The homogenates were serially diluted in sterile saline before dilutions were plated on TSA plates, incubated overnight at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the colonies and. CFU/thigh determined.

Calculation of static dose: The static dose $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{day})$ is that which is required to keep the bacterial load at the same level as when therapy was initiated. Dose/day was plotted against $\log _{10}$ CFU and analysed using a four parametric logistic curve (SigmaPlot 12.0; SyStat Software, San Jose, CA, USA). The static dose was calculated using the following equation: $\log _{10}$ static dose $=\left\{\log _{10}\left[E /\left(E_{\max }-E\right)\right] / N\right\}+\log _{10} \mathrm{ED}_{50}$, where $E$ is the control growth ( $\log _{10}$ change in CFU per thigh in untreated controls after the 24 h period of study), $E_{\max }$ is the maximum effect, $\mathrm{ED}_{50}$ is the dose required to achieve $50 \%$ of $E_{\max }$, and $N$ is the slope of the dose-effect curve.

## In vitro ADMET Assays

$\log \mathrm{D}_{7.4}$ determination: Compound stock solutions ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 10 \mathrm{mM}$ in DMSO) were placed in each well of a 96 well plate. $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 2 mM Halodipine ( $\operatorname{logD}=3.00$ ) was added to each well as an internal standard (IS) and mixed. DMSO was removed using a lyophilizer (overnight). $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of water (PBS, $\mathrm{pH}=7.4$ )-saturated octanol was added to each well followed by $250 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of octanol-
saturated water ( $\mathrm{PBS}, \mathrm{pH}=7.4$ ) and the plate was vortexed overnight. $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the octanol phase was removed from each well, diluted 1:100 with DMSO and 1 uL was injected into a LC/UV/qTOF system (RP column). $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the water phase was removed from each well and $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ was injected into the LC/UV/qTOF system (RP column). Data was processed with ProfileLynx. Mass chromatograms were integrated, corrected for dilution and injection volumes and, finally, corrected using area ratio of internal standard. IS peak areas were adjusted to fit the theoretical concentration for aqueous and octanol phases for the known $\operatorname{logD}$ value.
$\log D$ was calculated according to the following:
Analyte $\log \mathrm{D}=\log$ (Ratio_oct/Ratio_aq)
Ratio_oct $=$ (Undiluted analyte_peak area/Adjusted IS_peak area)oct
Ratio_aq $=($ Undiluted analyte_peak area/Adjusted IS_peak area)aq
Solubility determination: $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 10 mM DMSO compound stock solution was transferred into a 96 deep well "sample plate". $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 10 mM DMSO stock solution was transferred to a second "compound standard plate". The sample plate was placed in a Multi-Tainer MT-4 container (FTS Systems) and freeze-dried overnight to remove DMSO. $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of PBS ( pH 7.0 ) was added to the dried compound in the sample plate and $95 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of DMSO to the standard plate. The sample plate was sonicated in a water bath for 10 min . The two plates were then placed onto a VWR orbital shaker to equilibrate for 24 hours at room temperature, then centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 30 min . $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ aliquots of supernatant from the sample plate were removed and diluted 5 -fold. Compound standard and sample were injected into a UPLC/UV/CLND/MS system to generate multi detector qualitative and quantitive analytical data. Data was processed with Xcalibur. CLND equimolar response was used for measuring compound concentration of DMSO solution and UV270 nm or MS relative ratio for was used for solubility determination.
$\mathbf{p K} \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{a}}$ Measurements: $\mathrm{pK} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values were determined using the UV-metric method as described previously. ${ }^{101}$ UV-metric ionization constants were determined on the commercial Spectral Gradient Analyzer (SGA) or T3 instrument (Sirius Analytical Ltd., sirius-analytical.com) as described by Allen et al. ${ }^{102}$ Test compounds were diluted to 0.04 mM in a cosolvent mixture and titrated three times in $20-40 \%$ wt methanol. The titrations were performed at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 0.15 M ionic strength, from pH 2 to 12 or 12 to 2 (with delta pH of 0.2 ) depending on the acidic or basic nature of the test compound. A linear buffer was added to allow fast pH stabilization after each titrant addition. Wavelengths from 230 to 450 nm were typically monitored for UV absorbance change due to the ionization state of the compound. Target factor analysis (TFA) was used to calculate apparent $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{as}}$ from the multiwavelength absorption data at a given percent of cosolvent $\left(\mathrm{psK}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$, followed by Yasuda-Shedlovsky extrapolation to $0 \%$ methanol to provide the aqueous pK . Acid/base assignment was performed based on the slope of extrapolation.

Metabolic stability in liver microsomes: Test compounds at $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ in duplicate were incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence of $0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ liver microsomal protein suspension, 1 mM NADPH, 1 mM UDPGA, 3 mM MgCl 2 , and $25 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ alamethicine per mg of microsomal protein. Sequential samples were collected at $0,5,15$, and 30 minutes of the incubation. DMSO final concentration in the incubation was $0.01 \%$. Bioanalysis was carried out using semi-quantitative LC-MS/MS method in MRM mode. Then compound concentration (Peak area ratio to internal standard) against time is plotted to obtain elimination rate constant $(k)$ for intrinsic clearance estimation: Intrinsic Clearance $=(-\mathrm{k}) /[$ microsome protein $]$.

Compounds were tested in the following number of individual experiments (replicates). For compounds with more than one replicate, data is presented as an average: compound 5: 4 replicates; compound 10: 2 replicates; compound 34: 1 replicate.

In vitro plasma protein binding: Compounds were spiked into plasma of selected species (male CD-1 mouse, male Sprague Dawley rat or pooled human plasma, all in lithium heparin preparations, BioreclamationIVT) in the "plasma chamber" of a Rapid Equilibrium Device (RED, Thermo Scientific) plate at a final concentration of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}(1 \%$ DMSO in the incubation), and allowed to reach equilibrium between the "plasma chamber" and "buffer chamber" ( 100 mM PBS ) for four hours in a shaking incubator ( $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 800 rpm ). Compounds were tested in duplicates. At the end of incubation, samples were collected from plasma and buffer chambers followed by bioanalysis using LC-MS/MS. The percent plasma protein binding of a test compound was estimated using the following equation:
$\operatorname{PPB}(\%)=100 \times\left(1-\mathrm{C}_{\text {buffer }} / \mathrm{C}_{\text {plasma }}\right)$
Where $\mathrm{C}_{\text {buffer }}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\text {plasma }}$ are compound concentrations in the buffer and plasma chambers at equilibrium, respectively.

Recovery of a compound after the incubation was also calculated as \% Recovery:
Recovery (\%) $=100 \times\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {buffer }} \times \mathrm{V}_{\text {buffer }}+\mathrm{C}_{\text {plasma }} \times \mathrm{V}_{\text {plasma }}\right) /\left(\mathrm{C}_{0} \times \mathrm{V}_{\text {plasma }}\right)$
Where $V_{\text {buffer }}$ and $V_{\text {plasma }}$ are incubation volumes in buffer and plasma chambers, respectively. $\mathrm{C}_{0}$ is the initial compound concentration in plasma at the beginning of the incubation.

The data presented for compounds $\mathbf{5}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$ represents the results of a single experiment. Data for compound $\mathbf{3 4}$ represents the average of two individual experiments (replicates).

CACO-2 Permeability: CACO-2 cells were cultured for 21 days on 96-well transwell plates. Test compound solutions were prepared by diluting $7 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 2 mM DMSO stock solution in transport buffer to a final volume of 1.4 mL (final compound concentration was $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ). Test compound solutions were transferred to either the apical or basolateral chambers of the 96 -well transwell plates (the donor wells). Transport buffer was then added to the respective receiver wells.

Plates were then incubated for 2 hours at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with shaking/vortex. Donor wells were sampled at $t=0$. Apical and basolateral wells were sampled at $t=2$ hours. Samples were analyzed by LC-MS-MS. Transport from A-B and B-A was measured in triplicate. Apparent compound permeability is calculated as follows:

$$
P_{\text {app }}=(\partial Q / \partial t)^{*}\left(1 / A C_{0}\right)
$$

where $\partial Q / \partial t$ is the total amount of compound transported to the recipient chamber per unit time (e.g., $\mathrm{nmol} / \mathrm{sec}$ ); $A$ is the surface area of the transport membrane $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$ and $C_{0}$ is the initial compound concentration in the donor chamber (e.g., $\mathrm{nmol} / \mathrm{mL}$ ). $\mathrm{P}_{\text {app }}$ is expressed as $\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{sec}$.

Compounds were tested in the following number of individual experiments (replicates). For compounds with more than one replicate, data is presented as an average: compound 5:2 replicates; compound 10: 2 replicates; compound 34: 1 replicate.
hERG Manual Patch Clamp Electrophysiology: HEK293 cells stably transfected with the alpha-subunits of the hERG (University of Wisconsin, USA) were continuously maintained and passaged using standard cell culture media (Gibco-BRL, Switzerland). For experiments, the cells were plated onto sterile glass coverslips in $35 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ dishes at a density of $1.1-1.5 \times 10^{5}$ cells per dish. The dishes were stored in a humidified and gassed $\left(5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$ incubator at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until use.

The effect on hERG currents was assessed by means of the patch clamp technique in the wholecell configuration at $35 \pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The corresponding vehicle for all superfusion concentrations was $0.1 \%$ DMSO. The vehicle effect was investigated in 5 cells. The effect of the positive controls 100 nM E-4031 (Calbiochem, Switzerland) was investigated in 2 cells. Cells were exposed to the test item for approximately 10 min . hERG tail currents were elicited by voltage jumps from -75 mV to $+10 \mathrm{mV}(500 \mathrm{~ms})$ and then to $-40 \mathrm{mV}(500 \mathrm{~ms})$ at 0.1 Hz . The composition of the extracellular solutions was $[\mathrm{mM}]: \mathrm{NaCl} 137 ; \mathrm{KCl} 4 ; \mathrm{CaCl}_{2} 1.8 ; \mathrm{MgCl}_{2} 1.0 ;$ D-glucose $10 ; \mathrm{N}-2-$
hydroxyethylpiperazine-N'-2-ethanesulfonic acid (HEPES) 10; pH 7.4 (adjusted with 5 M NaOH ). The composition of the pipette solutions was (mM): $\mathrm{KCl} 130 ; \mathrm{MgCl}_{2} 1.0$; Ethylene glycol-bis( $(3-$ aminoethyl ether)-N,N,N',N'-tetraacetic acid (EGTA) 5; Mg-ATP 5; HEPES 10; pH 7.2 (adjusted with 1 M KOH$)$. The compound effect on the currents was corrected by the mean vehicle rundown which was observed $(\mathrm{n}=5)$ by the treatment with extracellular solution containing 0.1 \% DMSO. Data capturing and analysis was performed by using Pulse (Heka Electronics, Germany) and Excel.

The data presented for compounds $\mathbf{5}$ represents the results of a single experiment.
Automated Electrophysiology: $h E R G$ expressing cell lines were produced in-house at Novartis using CHO-K1 T-Rex ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ inducible plasmid system (Invitrogen) as described previously. ${ }^{103}$ Cell lines were maintained in Ham's F12 Nutrient Mixture containing 10\% FBS, blasticidin (10 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$; InvivoGen), hygromycin B (200 mg/mL; InvivoGen), Zeocin ( $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, Invitrogen) and neomycin ( $200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, Invitrogen) using SelecT ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ automated cell culture system (TAP Biosystems, Cambridge, UK). $h E R G$ and $h C a_{v} 1.2$ channels expression was induced with tetracycline $(0.25-1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$, Invitrogen) at least 24 hours prior to the experiment.
$h E R G$ currents were recorded using the Qpatch automated patch clamp systems (Sophion Bioscience Inc., North Brunswick, NJ) in the whole (single) cell configuration. hERG expressing CHO-K1 cells were harvested with Detachin (Genlantis) and stored in the modified serum-free SFM-2 media (life Technologies) at room temperature. The extracellular solution contained (in mM) NaCl (145), KCl (4), $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$ (1), $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ (2), and HEPES (10), pH 7.4 with NaOH . The intracellular solution contained $\mathrm{KCl}(135), \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$ (1.75), $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ (5.4), EGTA (10), K2-ATP (4), and HEPES (10), pH 7.2 with KOH . After whole cell configuration was achieved, the cell was held at -90 mV , and a $0.1-\mathrm{sec}$ pulse to -50 mV was delivered to measure the leaking current, which
was subtracted from the tail current on-line. Then the cell was depolarized to +20 mV for 4 seconds (pre-pulse), followed by a 4 -sec test pulse to -50 mV to reveal hERG tail current. To monitor changes in the current amplitude, this voltage protocol was repeatedly applied every 20 sec . Test compounds were first diluted in DMSO for six dose-response experiments and then dissolved in the extracellular solution using Freedom EVO liquid handling robotic system (Tecan, Männedorf, Switzerland). The final DMSO concentration in samples was $0.3 \% \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$. Amitriptyline (Sigma) was tested as a positive control. Data were analyzed using in-house developed MatLab-based program (MathWorks, Natick, MA).
hERG QPatch testing for compound 34 was performed using a long incubation protocol (10 minutes per concentration point). To avoid current rundown during prolonged incubation, current was measured in duplicate ([11 and $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ] and [ 33 and $300 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ] concentration pairs) in different cells simultaneously. The results of these measurements were combined into one DR-plot. Data were analyzed manually using Sophion QPatch Assay software.

The data presented for compounds $\mathbf{1 0}$ and $\mathbf{3 4}$ represents the results of a single experiment.
Cytotoxicity assay: K562 (human leukemia cells), Molt-4 (T-lymphoblast derived from acute lymphoblastic leukemia) and HepG2 (human hepatocellular carcinoma cells) were used to determine compound cytotoxicity. Cell viability was quantified by using CellTiter-Glo ${ }^{\circledR}$, which measures ATP release based on the mono-oxygenation of luciferin catalyzed by $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$, ATP and molecular oxygen.

Cell line maintenance media - K562 cells were maintained in Iscove's Modification of Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (IMDM) supplemented with 1\% penicillin/streptomycin and $10 \%$ heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS). Molt-4 cells were maintained in Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI 1640) culture medium supplemented with $1 \%$ penicillin/streptomycin
and $10 \%$ heat-inactivated FBS. HepG2 cells were maintained in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM)-F12 supplemented with $1 \%$ penicillin/streptomycin and $10 \%$ heat-inactivated FBS.

Cells were counted using a hemocytometer and diluted to $2 \times 10^{4}$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$ for $\mathrm{K} 562,1 \times 10^{5}$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$ for Molt-4, and $5 \times 10^{4}$ cells $/ \mathrm{mL}$ for HepG2 in the appropriate culture media. $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of cell suspension were added to each well of the 384 -well assay plate containing $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of serial diluted compound ( $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ top concentration, 3.16x dilution factor) using the BioTek Multiflo-Stacker liquid dispenser. Plates were incubated 72 hours at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $90 \%$ humidity. $25 \mu \mathrm{Lof} 1 \mathrm{X}$ CellTiter-Glo ${ }^{\circledR}$ were then added to each well of the assay plate. Plates were incubated for 10 minutes at room temperature before reading on the PolarStar-Omega plate reader using the Luminescence settings and a gain of 3300 . The $50 \%$ cytotoxic concentrations ( $\mathrm{CC}_{50}$ ) were determined. When multiple $\mathrm{CC}_{50}$ were determined for the same compound the average is reported. If one or more $\mathrm{CC}_{50}$ for the same compound showed a value $>100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (top concentration tested), $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ was used as the value in the average calculation. Compound $\mathbf{3 4}$ was tested in the following number of individual experiments (replicates): 3 (HepG2 and K562), 2 (MT4).

In vitro 3T3 NRU phototoxicity test: In vitro phototoxicity assessment was conducted as described previously. ${ }^{58}$ Mouse Balb/c 3 T 3 fibroblast cells were treated with the test item for one hour and, subsequently, either irradiated with simulated sunlight for 50 minutes (normalized to 1.7 $\pm 0.1 \mathrm{~mW} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ of UVA, resulting in a normalized radiation dose of $5.1 \pm 0.3 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{UVA}$ ), or kept in the dark for 50 minutes. After medium replacement and approximately 24 hours recovery cell viability was assessed using the Neutral Red Uptake (NRU) endpoint. IC50 values were derived from the concentration-response curves obtained with and without irradiation and used to calculate the PIF (Photo Irritation Factor, PIF) as follows:

$$
\text { PIF }=\mathrm{IC}_{50} \text { (-irr) } / \mathrm{IC}_{50} \text { (+irr) }
$$

In the case of compounds $\mathbf{1 5}, 21$ and $\mathbf{3 4}$, an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ was not achieved in the absence of irradiation. As a surrogate, the solubility limit during incubation was used ( $500 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for $15,316 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for 21 and $1000 \mu \mathrm{M}$ for 34). In parallel, the known phototoxic compound chlorpromazine was tested as a positive control.

## X-ray Crystallography Studies

Cloning, protein expression and purification: A K. pneumoniae Topoisomerase IV ParE-ParC fusion gene was made by fusing the C-terminal domain of parE (residues 390-631) and the N terminal domain of parC (residues 1-490) linked by a 2 amino acid Glu-Phe linker. The resulting construct (Kp ParEC) was inserted into a pETite vector (Lucigen) harboring a C- terminal 6 Xhistidine tag. OverExpress C41 (DE3) cells (Lucigen) were then transformed with this construct and grown at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in Terrific Broth. Protein expression was induced with $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ IPTG. Cells were pelleted and resuspended in 20 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 8.0,200 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \%$ glycerol, 2 mM TCEP, 1 mM EDTA and Roche protease inhibitor and lysed in a pneumatic cell homogenizer. The cleared lysate was supplemented with imidazole and $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$ to final concentrations of 10 mM and 1.5 mM , respectively, and loaded on a immobilized metal chelate affinity column and eluted with increasing imidazole concentrations. The fusion protein was then further purified on a Q Sepharose ion exchange column equilibrated with 20 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 8.0,10 \%$ glycerol and 2 mM TCEP and eluted with column equilibration buffer including 1 M NaCl . Protein containing fractions were pooled and further purified on a Superdex 200 SEC column equilibrated with 20 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,100$ mM NaCl , and 2 mM TCEP. The fusion protein ( $\mathrm{MW} \sim 84 \mathrm{kDa}$ ) was concentrated to $7.6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$, flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored in $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for up to 6 months. A total of 22 mg of Kp ParEC with $>95 \%$ purity was purified from 2 L of cell culture.

Crystallography: HPLC purified symmetric DNA oligo 5'-TTACGTTGTATGATCATACAACGTAA-3' was ordered from IDT and dissolved in 10 mM Tris- HCl pH 7.5 and 50 mM NaCl . The DNA was annealed by denaturing at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and slow cooling to room temperature in a thermos flask over 48 hours to form a 1 mM solution of symmetric duplex DNA. The protein-DNA-compound ternary complex was made by mixing 23 $\mathrm{uM}(4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}) \mathrm{Kp}$ ParEC dimer with $28 \mu \mathrm{M}$ duplex DNA and 0.2 mM compound $\mathbf{3 4}$ and incubated overnight at $17{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Complex crystals were grown by the sitting drop vapor diffusion method at 18 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and appeared after 24 to 48 hours. 200 nL complex solution was mixed with 100 nL crystallization solution $(20 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,40 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{LiCl}, 2 \mathrm{mM}$ hexamine cobalt chloride, 40 mM sodium cacodylate trihydrate pH 5.5 , and $30 \% \mathrm{MPD}$ ) and crystals were frozen in liquid nitrogen directly from the drop. Diffraction data were collected at the beamline 5.0.2 at the Advanced Light Source in Berkeley and processed with XDS. The structure was solved by molecular replacement using PDB 5EIX as starting model using Phaser. ${ }^{104}$ The model was improved to convergence by iterative rounds of model rebuilding in $\operatorname{Coot}^{105,106}$ and refinement using phenix.refine of the Phenix suite. ${ }^{107,108}$
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## ABBREVIATIONS

ADMET: Absorption, Distribution, Metabolism, Excretion, Toxicity; BA: bioavailability; BINAP: 2,2'-Bis(diphenylphosphino)-1, 1'-binaphthalene; $\mathrm{C}_{\text {max }}$ : maximum plasma concentration; CDI: carbonyl diimidazole; CL: clearance; CLint: intrinsic clearance; DIPEA: $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}-$ diisopropylethylamine; DPPF: 1,1'-ferrocenediyl-bis(diphenylphosphine); FQ: fluoroquinolone; FQ-R: fluoroquinolone resistant; \%F: percent orally bioavailable; fAUC: free (unbound) area under the curve; LiHMDS: lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide; MeCN: acetonitrile; NaHMDS: sodium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide; QRDR: quinolone resistance determining region; QT interval: the time that elapses between the beginning of the $Q$ wave and the end of the $T$ wave in an electrocardiogram; SAR: structure-activity relationship; SEMCl: 2-(Trimethylsilyl)ethoxymethyl chloride; $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}$ : time at which maximum plasma concentration was reached; Vss: volume of distribution.
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