# European Archives of Oto-Rhino-Laryngology

# Tracheostomy care and decannulation during the COVID-19 pandemic. A multidisciplinary clinical practice guideline. --Manuscript Draft--

| Manuscript Number:                            |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| Full Title:                                   | Tracheostomy care and decannulation during the COVID-19 pandemic. A multidisciplinary clinical practice guideline.   |  |  |
| Article Type:                                 | Review Article   |  |  |
| Keywords:                                     | Tracheostomy care, COVID, multidisciplinary, novel coronavirus.  |  |  |
| Corresponding Author:                         | Aleix Rovira, MD<br>St George's University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust<br>London, UNITED KINGDOM   |  |  |
| Corresponding Author Secondary Information:   |  |  |  |
| Corresponding Author's Institution:           | St George's University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust   |  |  |
| Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution: |  |  |  |
| First Author:                                 | Aleix Rovira, FEBORL-HNS   |  |  |
| First Author Secondary Information:           |  |  |  |
| Order of Authors:                             | Aleix Rovira, FEBORL-HNS   |  |  |
|   | Deborah Dawson, RN PhD, Consultant Nurse Critical Care   |  |  |
|   | Abigail Walker, MSc MRCS DOHNS   |  |  |
|   | Chrysostomos Tornari, MB PhD MRCS DOHNS  |  |  |
|   | Alison Dinham, MRes BSc, Department of Physiotherapy   |  |  |
|   | Neil Foden, FRCS   |  |  |
|   | Pavol Surda, MD  |  |  |
|   | Sally Archer, PhD MRes BMedSci (Hons) MRCSLT   |  |  |
|   | Dagan Londsale   |  |  |
|   | Jonathan Ball, MRCP EDIC FFICM MSc MD  |  |  |
|   | Enyi Ofo, BSc(Hons) FRCS (ORL-HNS) PhD   |  |  |
|   | Yakubu Karagama, MBBS, DLO, MSc Voice, FRCS (ORL-HN), PGCertMed  |  |  |
|   | Tunde Odutoye, FRCS  |  |  |
|   | Sarah Little   |  |  |
|   | Ricard Simo, FRCS (ORL-HNS) PhD  |  |  |
|   | Asit Arora   |  |  |
| Order of Authors Secondary Information:       |  |  |  |
| Funding Information:                          |  |  |  |
| Abstract:                                     | Purpose Traditional critical care dogma regarding the benefits of early tracheostomy during invasive ventilation has had to be revisited due to the risk of COVID-19 to patients and healthcare staff. Standard practises that have evolved to minimise the risks associated with tracheostomy must be comprehensively reviewed in light of the numerous potential episodes for aerosol generating procedures. We meet the urgent need for safe practise standards by presenting the experience of two major London teaching |  |  |

hospitals, and synthesise our findings into an evidence based guideline for multidisciplinary care of the tracheostomy patient.

#### Methods

This is a narrative review presenting the extensive experience of X patients with tracheostomy, with a pragmatic analysis of currently available evidence for safe tracheostomy care in COVID-19 patients.

#### Results

Tracheostomy care involves many potentially aerosol generating procedures (AGPs) which may pose a risk of viral transmission to staff and patients. We make a series of recommendations to ameliorate this risk through infection control strategies, equipment modification, and individualised decannulation protocols. In addition, we discuss the multidisciplinary collaboration that is absolutely fundamental to safe and effective practise.

#### Conclusion

COVID-19 requires a radical rethink of many tenets of tracheostomy care, and controversy continues to exist regarding the optimal techniques to minimise risk to patients and healthcare worers. During this crisis we have managed x patients with tracheostomy, with x number decannulated prior to discharge. Safe practise requires a coordinated multidisciplinary team approach to infection control, weaning and decannulation, with integrated processes for continuous prospective data collection and audit.

# Tracheostomy care and decannulation during the COVID-19 pandemic. A multidisciplinary clinical practice guideline.

Aleix Rovira, Deborah Dawson, Abigail Walker, Chrysostomos Tornari, Alison Dinham, Neil Foden, Pavol Surda, Sally Archer, Dagan Lonsdale, Jonathan Ball, Enyi Ofo, Yakubu Karagama, Tunde Odutoye, Sarah Little, Ricard Simo, Asit Arora

Aleix Rovira FEBORL-HNS
Department of Otorhinolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery
St George's Hospital NHS Foundation Trust
London, United Kingdom

Deborah Dawson RN PhD Consultant Nurse Critical Care St George's University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust London, United Kingdom

Abigail Walker MSc MRCS DOHNS Department of Otorhinolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery University Hospital Lewisham London, United Kingdom

Chrysostomos Tornari MB PhD MRCS DOHNS Department of Otorhinolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery St George's Hospital NHS Foundation Trust London, United Kingdom

Alison Dinham MCSP MRes BSc Department of Physiotherapy Guy's and St Thomas Hospital NHS Foundation Trust London, United Kingdom

Neil Foden FRCS
Department of Otorhinolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery
St George's Hospital NHS Foundation Trust
London, United Kingdom

Sally Archer PhD MRes BMedSci (Hons) MRCSLT Speech and Language Therapy Department Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust Dagan Lonsdale MBBS BSc MRCP FFICM PhD Senior lecturer in clinical pharmacology St George's University of London Consultant Intensivist St George's Hospital NHS Foundation Trust London, United Kingdom

Jonathan Ball MRCP EDIC FFICM MSc MD Consultant Intensivist St George's Hospital NHS Foundation Trust London, United Kingdom

Enyi Ofo BSc(Hons) FRCS (ORL-HNS) PhD
Department of Otorhinolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery
St George's Hospital NHS Foundation Trust
London, United Kingdom

Y Karagama MBBS, DLO, MSc Voice, FRCS (ORL-HN), PGCertMed Department of Otorhinolayngology Head and Neck Surgery Guy's and St Thomas Hospital NHS Foundation Trust London, United Kingdom

Tunde Odutoye FRCS
Department of Otorhinolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery
St George's Hospital NHS Foundation Trust
London, United Kingdom

Sarah Little FRCSEd (ORL-HNS), MPhil Department of Otorhinolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery St George's Hospital NHS Foundation Trust London, United Kingdom

Ricard Simo FRCS (ORL-HNS) PhD
Department of Otorhinolayngology Head and Neck Surgery
Guy's and St Thomas Hospital NHS Foundation Trust
London, United Kingdom

Asit Arora PhD DIC DOHNS FRCS Department of Otorhinolayngology Head and Neck Surgery Guy's and St Thomas Hospital NHS Foundation Trust London, United Kingdom

#### Corresponding address:

Aleix Rovira FEBORL-HNS, Department of Otorhinolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery Email address: <a href="mailto:aleix.rovira@nhs.net">aleix.rovira@nhs.net</a>; https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8736-4963
St George's Hospital NHS Foundation Trust
Blackshaw Rd, Tooting, London SW17 0QT, United Kingdom

#### ABSTRACT:

#### Purpose

Traditional critical care dogma regarding the benefits of early tracheostomy during invasive ventilation has had to be revisited due to the risk of COVID-19 to patients and healthcare staff. Standard practises that have evolved to minimise the risks associated with tracheostomy must be comprehensively reviewed in light of the numerous potential episodes for aerosol generating procedures. We meet the urgent need for safe practise standards by presenting the experience of two major London teaching hospitals, and synthesise our findings into an evidence based guideline for multidisciplinary care of the tracheostomy patient.

#### Methods

This is a narrative review presenting the extensive experience of X patients with tracheostomy, with a pragmatic analysis of currently available evidence for safe tracheostomy care in COVID-19 patients.

#### Results

Tracheostomy care involves many potentially aerosol generating procedures (AGPs) which may pose a risk of viral transmission to staff and patients. We make a series of recommendations to ameliorate this risk through infection control strategies, equipment modification, and individualised decannulation protocols. In addition, we discuss the multidisciplinary collaboration that is absolutely fundamental to safe and effective practise.

#### Conclusion

COVID-19 requires a radical rethink of many tenets of tracheostomy care, and controversy continues to exist regarding the optimal techniques to minimise risk to patients and healthcare worers. During this crisis we have managed x patients with tracheostomy, with x number decannulated prior to discharge. Safe practise requires a coordinated multidisciplinary team approach to infection control, weaning and decannulation, with integrated processes for continuous prospective data collection and audit.

Key words: Tracheostomy care, COVID, multidisciplinary, novel coronavirus.

Declaration of interest: None of the authors declare any conflict of interest.

# Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic has resulted in unprecedented numbers of patients being admitted to intensive care units (ICUs) with additional capacity created in many hospitals all over the world to meet this overwhelming demand [1]. Approximately 70% of patients admitted to critical care require mechanical ventilation with a median length of stay of 13 days (IQR 7–19 days) [2]. Tracheostomy is often performed by critical care physicians to facilitate ventilatory wean when mechanical ventilation is prolonged (7–10 days) [3,4]. A significant number of patients admitted with COVID-19 are therefore expected to require tracheostomies as a result of this pandemic [5,6].

The benefits of tracheostomy include the ability to wean sedation, facilitate gradual reduction in ventilatory support, improve communication, participate in rehabilitation, reduce dead space, facilitate bronchial toilet, minimise the risk of subglottic stenosis and potentially reduce long term complications such as vocal cord granuloma formation and scarring [7,8]. However, there are also recognised complications and risks to the procedure which need to be minimised which may be more challenging in the current pandemic situation.

COVID-19 spreads primarily through contact and droplet routes so careful attention to infection control during tracheostomy management is paramount to prevent cross-contamination between patients and medical staff. The risk of transmission may be increased by aerosolisation of particles such as from an open airway [9,10]. Airway management by healthcare workers (HCW) involves manoeuvres which are recognised as aerosol generating procedures (AGPs), and as such, previous coronavirus experience suggests, they may present a significant risk of COVID-19 transmission [10]. Recognised AGPs related to tracheostomy care are summarised in Table 1 [9-14].

Despite the increasing demand for provision of tracheostomy care, there has been little time and experience to develop a robust evidence-based guide to the indications, timing, technique and delivery of tracheostomy care for patients with COVID-19. Such guidance is essential to provide the best standard of care, particularly as health care professionals re-deployed into ICU to manage the surge in patient volumes may lack relevant expertise.

To our knowledge, there is no published multidisciplinary guidance outlining the optimal tracheostomy care and decannulation process for patients with COVID-19 infection. We respond to this urgent need by presenting our experience of caring for tracheostomy patients treated for COVID-19 pneumonitis, with emphasis in the tracheostomy care and the process of weaning and decannulation. This represents the cumulative experience of the two largest South London tertiary

referral teaching hospitals at the epicentre of the COVID-19 pandemic, serving a population of over 4 million people in the UK. The aim of this paper is to review our early experience, provide evidence and the rationale underpinning our approach, and ultimately provide a clinical practice guideline to optimise patient care in newly tracheotomised patient as a result of COVID-19 infection

### Infection risk:

Awareness of increased harm to HCW has developed since the COVID-19 pandemic began in Wuhan at the end of 2019. A detailed analysis of COVID-19 infection rates in HCW will surely follow in the near future, but early reports from Italy suggest that HCW account for a disproportionate number; 8.4% of all cases in this country [15] and similar rates have also recently been reported by Chou et al [16]. The coronavirus is not currently considered to be an airborne virus so airborne precautions are not routinely necessary [17]. However, certain procedures particularly those associated with airway management can create aerosols containing virus that linger in the air and therefore risk transmission over distances beyond two metres. The risk of COVID-19 infection with AGP's is such that healthcare organisations around the world have been clear in their guidance that precautions must be followed to protect HCW. This includes the use of personal protective equipment (PPE) such as disposable gloves, fluid-repellent gown, filtering face piece respirator and eye/face protection. When possible, AGP's should be carried out in a single room with negative pressure airflow (preferably HEPA filtered) with the doors closed and with the minimum number of HCW's present. Full PPE would need to be worn to enter the room for a period of time after the AGP depending on the air change rate; in the absence of a negative pressure room, this room isolation period would need extending.

In the hospital environment, room ventilation ensures quick viral aerosol clearance. One air exchange removes approximately 63% of the virus [18]. After five air exchanges in the room, there is <1% of the original viral load. Five exchanges take usually 25 minutes. However, in ICU or operating room, the air exchange is more frequent and five exchanges will take 12 minutes. While negative pressure rooms are recommended for aerosol generating procedures, our experience has shown that such settings are difficult to achieve. In some locations, engineering modification can change a positive pressure room or entire ward to negative pressure. We emphasise that having a room with good ventilation and a high rate of air exchanges, is likely to be more important than whether it is positive or negative pressure [19].

The sequelae of COVID-19 infection can be potentially devastating, and the sensitivity of COVID-19 testing is recognised to be suboptimal at present. Therefore, caring for a patient with a negative swab result does not preclude the need for full PPE [17]. Furthermore, a range of data suggests that

patients with a tracheostomy may be infectious for longer than the average COVID-19 patient. This is because tracheostomy patients will, by definition, have been critically ill during their COVID-19 episode and this is associated with the delayed clearance of viral RNA [20]. The increased sensitivity of COVID-19 RNA detection in blind endotracheal aspirates compared to saliva tests and oropharyngeal swabs suggests that viral load is greater in the lower respiratory tract [21]. Tracheostomy is thought to pose a particular threat to HCW through exposure to these endobronchial secretions and the performance of multiple AGPs during routine care. These factors, combined with the putative peak viral load in early illness lend weight to the consensus view for later tracheostomy in COVID-19 patients [6,22-23].

# **Basic Tracheostomy care**

The same basic principles of care should apply to a tracheostomy patient with suspected or diagnosed COVID-19 infection as for any other tracheotomised patient in hospital. These include clear display of vital information at the bedside; tracheostomy emergency protocols [24]; infection control; stoma care; humidification; cuff management; secretion management and oral hygiene; as well as ongoing quality assurance and improvement processes that deliver and disseminate best practice.

As a patient begins to recover from the insult that led to ICU admission, there begins a process of rehabilitation that incorporates facilitating communication, swallowing, weaning and, ideally, eventual decannulation [25,26]. Collaboration across specialties is critical to deliver safe and effective care, and we are unified in commending the benefits of a multidisciplinary tracheostomy team who work together in synchronous ward rounds, with standardised protocols/guidelines, interdisciplinary education, patient and family involvement, and quality improvement processes [27].

The following proposed measures (Table 2), although not eliminating the possibility of aerosolisation, should minimise droplet production and therefore protect health care workers. This will not preclude the need for PPE as per current guidance.

#### **Emergency situations**

Care requirements to allow early recognition and rapid management of emergency situations are essential for all tracheostomy care, however this is even more critical in the context of COVID-19, where infection control procedures can impact on the speed of response. It is essential that regular observations and basic maintenance care procedures happen in a timely fashion to avert impending emergencies [24]. Cohorted care of "positive" patients will reduce the risk of cross-infection but also may help to reduce risk from delays in donning and doffing PPE. Otherwise, the location of patients in side rooms with doors shut may be a communication hindrance and may delay access to equipment. It is therefore essential that this extra time is factored in when staffing areas with tracheostomy patients.

Locally agreed resuscitation guidance in COVID-19 patients indicates that no airway related procedures are performed unless all staff present are wearing PPE. This means that a first responder needs to enter the area in full PPE. In circumstances where the staff present are not wearing appropriate PPE, modified mechanisms to support emergency care need to be adopted whilst other staff are preparing to attend the emergency in full PPE. Additional equipment may need to be provided as standard and other mechanisms for enhanced team communication should be considered.

#### **Cuff management**

An inflated cuff during ventilation should provide a closed system and is therefore most likely to prevent cross-contamination of staff, equipment and other patients. When inflated, cuff pressure should be monitored using a manometer and maintained between 25-30cmH<sub>2</sub>O in order to decrease the risk of cuff leak [28]. The cuff pressure should be checked at the beginning of each nursing shift and re-checked whenever a cuff leak has been identified or when the cuff or tracheostomy tube has been manipulated [29]. HCW should be aware that all methods of cuff measurement are inherently inefficient and using a cuff manometer will cause some cuff deflation [30] and possible aerosol generation due to a cuff leak; PPE should therefore be worn whenever the cuff is checked. Once the patient is liberated from the ventilator the cuff pressure should be dropped to normal values of approximately 20-25cmH2O to prevent tracheal tissue damage through ischaemia. Where available, automatic tracheostomy cuff pressure monitoring devices will minimise these risks.

#### Humidification

Warm humidification is a fundamental aspect of tracheostomy care. The tracheostomy bypasses the normal upper airway mechanisms for humidification, filtration, and warming of inspired gases [25]. Therefore there must be an adequate 24 hour humidification strategy in place for all patients with a tracheostomy. Mechanical ventilation ideally requires humidification and this can be achieved through different methods with no advantages of one against the other [31]. Concerns were raised early on in the UK's pandemic experience regarding the potential for "wet" circuits to generate virus-laden aerosols at times of disconnection. Recommendations were made that ventilated patients should have a heat and moisture exchanger (HME) in the circuit [19] set in conjunction with in-line nebulised saline every 4 to 6 hours. Subsequently, there have been counter-arguments that this strategy was associated with inadequate humidification and that "wet" circuit protocol should be adopted where full PPE and adequate isolation or cohorting measures are in use. We do not recommend one against the other provided appropriate PPE is used.

Similarly, in self-ventilating patients a fitted HME cartridge is preferable to the looser fitting bib type, provided secretion volumes do not place the patient at risk of tube occlusion

#### **Nebulisers**

In many settings, nebulised saline is used routinely to maintain tracheostomy tube patency, especially in the absence of heated humidification systems (such as when self-ventilating on room air) [32]. Current advice in COVID-19 suggests avoidance of nebulisers where possible, using spacer devices and metered dose inhalers to deliver inhaled mediations such as bronchodilators [33]. However, in tracheotomised patients without physiological mechanisms for humidification through the upper airway, saline/mucolytic nebulisers would still be beneficial. There is debate over whether nebuliser administration is aerosol generating with conflicting advice in WHO [34] and PHE/NERVTAG [14] guidance. However, as in the case of many of the unknowns surrounding COVID-19 transmission, it would be pertinent to continue to take a risk reduction approach such as the use of expiratory filters.

#### **Secretion management**

Critically ill tracheotomised patients often produce copious volumes of respiratory secretions due to the altered dynamics of the upper aerodigestive tract, effects of medication-induced paralysis/sedation, airway inflammation, and/or secondary infections. This secretion load may require tracheal suctioning for removal, to ensure ongoing airway patency and gas exchange. To reduce aerosol spread during suctioning, the use of closed in-line suction is recommended for all

COVID-19 patients [35] - this is particularly useful for maintaining a closed circuit in patients requiring high PEEP. However, in order to reduce tracheal injury, regular indiscriminate suctioning should be avoided: the patient should be assessed for signs of sputum in the airway, and where the patient can cough secretions independently into the top of the tracheostomy tube, these secretions should be removed using the in-line suction catheter, avoiding its insertion into the trachea. Overly aggressive tracheal suctioning risks damage to the trachea that may result in bleeding, granulation and ultimately tracheal stenosis. These risks must be balanced against ineffective suctioning and inadequate secretion clearance, with subsequent risk of pneumonia and tracheitis.

Several methods have been developed to achieve a filtered circuit in self-ventilated patients with no study comparing the advantages of each option. An example is represented in Figure 1. The use of an HME with closed suction apparatus reduces aerosolisation during suction. It has been observed that the weight of this may inadvertently alter tracheostomy position and risk causing tracheal or stomal trauma. In these circumstances, where appropriate external support is possible, this would be recommended to avoid this complication. Otherwise, in-line suction systems can be used intermittently provided that safe closed storage arrangements are in place between suctions and appropriate closing of the otherwise open airway takes place in between (e.g. HME cartridge, bib). No evidence currently exists comparing the amount of environmental contamination with intermittent closed suction versus open suction or spontaneous coughing with either a HME or bib in situ.

#### Naso-Oral hygiene

Tracheotomised patients also require effective naso-oropharyngeal secretion clearance to reduce the risk of build-up and loading of the upper respiratory tract, with associated risk of aspiration and ventilator associated pneumonia (VAP) [36]. Patients able to participate in self clearance of secretions should be encouraged to adhere to heightened infection control advice (such as immediate disposal of tissues) and provided with their own hand sanitiser.

#### Inner cannula

Inner cannulas are a commonly used to safeguard against tube occlusion. At least one clean dry spare non-fenestrated inner cannula should be kept at the bedside at all times and in non-COVID patients would be changed and cleaned regularly. There is very little published evidence specifically regarding the management of inner cannulas for COVID-19 patient, but after balancing the risk of

occlusion versus the risk to HCW we recommend that for the period that a patient is ventilated, the inner cannula should not be changed routinely; but instead changed opportunistically when the circuit has to be broken for other reasons or when there are signs of increased ventilation pressure.

For self-ventilating patients, wherever possible, consider teaching the patient to change the inner cannula (e.g. every 2-4 hours) to ensure timely secretion management and reduce the risk of tube blockage whilst minimising any unnecessary aerosol exposure to the HCW.

When cleaning the inner tube, we would recommend that all materials are stored, used, and disposed of at the bedside in order to avoid contamination of common areas. For that reason, use of disposable inner cannulas has been recommended for COVID-19 patients by Goldman et al [37] although cost-effectiveness has yet to be proven.

#### **Subglottic aspiration ports**

Tracheostomy tubes with subglottic aspiration ports are commonly used in the ICU setting to allow removal of the secretions that can accumulate around and above of the cuff. There is evidence in orotracheal intubation that this may help to decrease the incidence of VAP [38], although there is ongoing debate as to whether this can be extrapolated to their use in tracheostomy care. There is, however, the potential of aerosol generation during sub-glottic aspiration (by triggering cough). Therefore, its use should be decided on a case-by-case basis but primarily used in those patients with high oral or oropharyngeal secretion load. When they are utilised, an appropriately sized tube with a sufficiently large cuff is required to ensure effectiveness. Where cost or availability issues preclude their use, it should not be a reason to delay or avoid tracheostomy.

#### **Cuff deflation trials**

Controversy exists regarding when to start cuff deflation and the balance between HCWs' safety and expediting weaning has to be taken into account. In one of our units, cuff deflation is only commenced once the patient is able to maintain adequate gas exchange and is self-ventilating for 24 hours with moderate to low levels or no supplemental oxygen. Once a patient meets this criterion, speech and language therapy and physiotherapy assessments may commence to assess suitability for cuff deflation trials. The usual considerations should be made including assessment of alertness, sensation, secretion management, effective cough, and capacity for physical function [39]. In the other unit, short ventilator-free breathing trials are combined with cuff down time and in line one

way valve (Figure 2) to initiate weaning despite an ongoing need for intermittent mechanical ventilation.

Additional considerations may be required to guide an individualised weaning strategy. Factors such as the mode and duration of mechanical ventilation, medicated paralysis/sedation, proning, and previous failed extubations/reintubations should be considered in order to take into account ICU acquired myopathy and residual laryngeal oedema or trauma [40].

Once the cuff is deflated, the usual clinical assessment of upper airway patency can be performed through a brief digital occlusion of the tracheostomy tube. Swallowing should be assessed by a Speech and Language Therapist due to the high risk of silent aspiration and the need to identify rehabilitative strategies to promote airway protection. Instrumental assessments routinely performed such as fibreoptic nasolaryngoscopy and fibreoptic endoscopic evaluation of swallowing assessments are considered non-mandatory due to their status as AGPs [35]. Recent Royal College of Speech and Language Therapy (RCSLT) guidance has only recently allowed a staged return to therapist-led endoscopy for urgent and essential cases with MDT approval [41]. Despite the reduced access to instrumental assessments, the goal of bedside swallowing assessments remains to reinstate oral intake as quickly and safely as possible. Cuff deflation trials should progress as clinically indicated with time built up as tolerated using clinical criteria to guide trial duration and a patient-worn surgical mask during these trials is a low cost strategy that may further decrease aerosolisation.

#### One Way valves

One way valves are a useful tool in tracheostomy weaning. Through their use, dead space of the upper airway can be partially reinstated (at expiration), training the patient to regain control of the upper respiratory tract and promoting airway protection. In addition, they may facilitate phonation and therefore verbal communication, which has been associated with improved mood, outlook and sense of recovery [42]. Due to friends/family being unable to visit patient with COVID-19, reinstating voice enables communication by phone/video link, therefore reconnecting them to their loved ones. Furthermore, facilitating any means of communication (either verbal or non-verbal) is essential for psychological and emotional wellbeing and may help reduce delirium [43], which we have observed frequently in this patient group. This can and should be used with in-line suction where appropriate. Wearing a humidification bib over the valve will help to add a layer of filtration to inspired room air to further protect the patient.

#### Capping

This is not routinely done at our centres and any use is based on individualised clinical grounds. There has been debate about any change in need for this with the COVID-19 population, but no change to practice has been indicated thus far.

#### **COVID-19 Special situations and needs**

As previously indicated, full PPE is paramount while performing any of the previously mentioned steps. Another relevant consideration regarding these patients is that a positive COVID-19 status should not detract from the tracheostomy weaning process where possible.

Prone positioning for these patients is relatively rare as this is mostly done during the early stages of the disease according to our experience. However, it is possible to prone patients with a tracheostomy using extra chest and head support to provide good neck access. Suctioning becomes more complicated in these situations and this must be considered when planning the proning regime if the secretion load is high.

# Advanced planning for managing tracheotomised COVID-19 patients

#### i) Setting and location

Successful and prompt weaning requires experienced multidisciplinary staff; it would therefore be ideal to cohort recovering tracheostomised patients to facilitate efficient input. However, it is unclear whether patients who have been discharged from ICU yet still return positive swabs for COVID-19 viral RNA remain infectious [41]. Regardless, site-specific measures dependant on ward ergonomics and ventilation are required to effectively segregate COVID-19 positive and negative patients.

In our units thus far, capacity and patient status has been such that the majority of patients have progressed to decannulation whilst still in cohorted "COVID-19 positive" Level 3 care (data to be published).

#### ii) Tracheostomy management simulation training

HCWs have been redeployed in large numbers to intensive care units and designated COVID-19 wards. For many, this has led to the need to contribute to caring for patients with unfamiliar needs including tracheostomy management. Simulation therefore has a vital role [42] to train staff in both the procedure and the subsequent management of tracheostomies. Examples of procedural simulation include, cadaver-based training to teach the percutaneous technique of tracheostomies to surgeons. Examples of ward based care simulations include a dedicated rehearsal of clinically based scenarios, which may be in a simulation suite with high fidelity mannequins. Training sessions can use a variety of teaching methods to develop skills and reduce risk. Devising a program that incorporates a combination of didactic teaching and hands-on simulation has proved popular. Sessions include all relevant members of the multi-disciplinary team to ensure scenarios are as realistic as possible. Advanced patient simulators can be used with scenarios based on real complications and played out in real time. Non-technical skills such as communication, teamworking, and decision-making can also be rehearsed although it is recognised that it can be difficult to accurately recreate complex clinical scenarios in a non-clinical setting [Figure 4].

#### iii) Weaning and decannulation process

One of the key goals of tracheostomy is to facilitate weaning from ventilation support. Tracheostomy weaning aims to restore physiological breathing, offering the advantages of filtering, warming and humidifying inhaled air through the upper respiratory tract. Weaning is achieved with progressive reductions in pressure support. Prior to COVID-19, regular periods of cuff deflation, use of vocalisation strategies trough one way valves, swallowing rehabilitation, and promotion of coughing to the mouth. However, all these steps have the potential of both droplet and aerosol generation when on a ventilator, hence the decannulation process should be modified to only move through these stages once the patient can tolerate self-ventilation. Although downsizing of tracheostomy tubes is not routine practice, it could be required in order to facilitate weaning for patients that are not progressing. However, appropriate risk management of this will be required as tracheostomy tube removal and insertion are AGPs. This sequence of events leads towards the final step; tracheostomy decannulation. Each institution should to have its own well-honed decannulation protocol reflecting local expertise, experience, and resources.

During these unprecedented times, every effort must be made to expedite the safe decannulation of patients once ventilator support is no longer required. This facilitates effective patient care and decreases the pressure on our stretched healthcare systems.

A unified and experienced tracheostomy team comprising tracheostomy nurses, speech and language therapists, respiratory physiotherapists, critical care specialists, respiratory physicians and head and neck surgeons are fundamental to achieving this, particularly when leading redeployed staff.

# **Conclusion**

The healthcare response to COVID-19 pandemic is likely to generate an increased number of tracheotomised patients in our hospitals. There are still many controversial aspects in the management of patients with COVID-19 as researchers struggle to keep pace with the rapidly evolving disease paradigm. Randomised control trials comparing patients with different care strategies or equipment usage, whilst providing robust evidence, require time and resources that are not reasonable in the environment of a global pandemic. We therefore propose a pragmatic approach to tracheotomised patient care, weaning and decannulation. This is based on the highest quality evidence available and interpreted in light of our experience of COVID-19 patients. It is clearly of utmost importance to ensure the safety of patients and staff. This requires a single multidisciplinary team for all patients who require a tracheostomy during their recovery from COVID-19. Finally, we recommend continuous prospective data collection and audit to refine future practice.

- Phua J et al (2020) Intensive care management of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): challenges and recommendations. Lancet Respir 8(5):506-517. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30161-2">https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30161-2</a>
- 2. Intensive Care National Audit and Research Centre (2020). ICNARC report on COVID-19 in critical care. https://www.icnarc.org/Our-Audit/Audits/Cmp/Reports. Accessed 7 May 2020
- Griffiths J et al (2005) Systematic review and meta-analysis of studies of the timing of tracheostomy in adult patients undergoing artificial ventilation. BMJ 330(7502):1243. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.38467.485671.E0">https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.38467.485671.E0</a>
- 4. Adly A, Youssef TA, El-Begermy MM et al (2018) Timing of tracheostomy in patients with prolonged endotracheal intubation:a systematic review. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 275:679–690. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00405-017-4838-7
- Angel L et al (2020) Novel Percutaneous Tracheostomy for Critically III Patients with COVID-19. Ann Thorac Surg. Apr 24. pii: S0003-4975(20)30603-2.
   <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.athoracsur.2020.04.010">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.athoracsur.2020.04.010</a>
- 6. Takhar A et al (2020) Recommendation of a practical guideline for safe tracheostomy. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol. Apr 21. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1007/s00405-020-05993-x">https://doi.org/10.1007/s00405-020-05993-x</a>
- 7. Barry BN, Bodenham AR (2004) The role of tracheostomy in ICU. Anaesth Intensive Care Med. 5(11): 375–8. https://doi.org/10.1383/anes.5.11.375.53408
- Shinn JR et al (2019) Incidence and outcomes of acute laryngeal injury after prolonged mechanical ventilation. Crit Care Med. 47(12):1699-1706 https://doi.org/10.1097/ CCM.00000 00000 00401 5).
- Chan JYK, Wong EWY, Lam W (2020) Practical aspects of otolaryngologic clinical services during the 2019 novel coronavirus epidemic: an experience in Hong Kong [published online March 20, 2020]. JAMA Otolaryngol Neck Surg. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoto.2020.0488">https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoto.2020.0488</a>
- 10. Tran K, Cimon K, Severn M, Pessoa-Silva CL, Conly J (2012) Aerosol generating procedures and risk of transmission of acute respiratory infections to healthcare workers: a systematic review. PloS One. 7(4):e35797. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0035797">https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0035797</a>
- 11. Thamboo A et al (2020) Clinical evidence based review and recommendations of aerosol generating medical procedures in otolaryngology head and neck surgery during the COVID-19 pandemic. J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 49(1):28. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1186/s40463-020-00425-6">https://doi.org/10.1186/s40463-020-00425-6</a>
- 12. Chung FF et al (2015) Aerosol distribution during open suctioning and long-term surveillance of air quality in a respiratory care center within a medical center. Respir Care. 60(1):30–7. https://doi.org/10.4187/respcare.03310

- 13. Thompson KA et al (2013) Influenza aerosols in UK hospitals during the H1N1 (2009) pandemic--the risk of aerosol generation during medical procedures. PLoS One. 8(2):e56278. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0056278">https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0056278</a>
- 14. COVID-19 personal protective equipment (PPE).
  <a href="https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/wuhan-novel-coronavirus-infection-prevention-and-control/covid-19-personal-protective-equipment-ppe">https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/wuhan-novel-coronavirus-infection-prevention-and-control/covid-19-personal-protective-equipment-ppe</a>. Accessed 7 May 2020.
- 15. Lazzerini M, Putoto G (2020). COVID-19 in Italy: momentous decisions and many uncertainties. Lancet Glob Health. 8(5):e641-e642. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(20)30110-8">https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(20)30110-8</a>
- 16. Chou R et al (2020) Epidemiology of and Risk Factors for Coronavirus Infection in Health Care Workers: A Living Rapid Review. Ann Intern Med. <a href="https://doi.org/10.7326/M20-1632">https://doi.org/10.7326/M20-1632</a>
- COVID-19: Infection prevention and control (IPC)
   www.gov.uk/government/publications/wuhan-novel-coronavirus-infection-prevention-and-control. Accessed 7 May 2020
- 18. Coia J et al (2013). Guidance on the use of respiratory and facial protection equipment.

  Journal of hospital Infection. 85: 170 -82. 31. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2013.06.020">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2013.06.020</a>
- 19. Cook TM et al (2020) Consensus guidelines for managing the airway in patients with COVID-19: Guidelines from the Difficult Airway Society, the Association of Anaesthetists the Intensive Care Society, the Faculty of Intensive Care Medicine and the Royal College of Anaesthetists. Anaesthesia. 75(6):785-799. https://doi.org/10.1111/anae.15054
- 20. Xu K et al (2020) Factors associated with prolonged viral RNA shedding in patients with COVID-19 Clin Infect Dis. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa351
- 21. Wang W et al (2020) Detection of SARS-CoV-2 in Different Types of Clinical Specimens. JAMA
   J Am Med Assoc. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.3786
- 22. American Academy of Otolaryngology and Head and Neck Surgery (2020) AAO position statement: tracheotomy recommendations during the COVID-19 pandemic. https://www.entnet.org/content/aao-posit ion-statement-tracheotomy-recommendations-during-covid-19-pandemic. Accessed 7 May 2020
- 23. Canadian Society of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery (2020) Recommendations from the CSO-HNS Taskforce on Performance of Tracheotomy During the COVID-19 Pandemic. https://www.entcanada.org/wp-content/uploa ds/COVID -19-Guidelines-CSOHN S-Task-Force -Mar-23-2020.pdf Accessed 7 May 2020

- 24. McGrath BA et al (2012) Multidisciplinary guidelines for the management of tracheostomy and laryngectomy airway emergencies. Anaesthesia. 67(9):1025-41. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2044.2012.07217.x
- 25. Dawson D (2014) Essential principles: tracheostomy care in the adult patient. Nurs Crit Care. 19(2):63-72. https://doi.org/10.1111/nicc.12076
- 26. Kutsukutsa J et al (2019) Tracheostomy decannulation methods and procedures for assessing readiness for decannulation in adults: A systematic scoping review. Int J Evid Based Healthc. 17(2):74–91. https://doi.org/10.1097/XEB.000000000000166
- 27. Bedwell JR et al (2019) Multidisciplinary Tracheostomy Care: How Collaboratives Drive Quality Improvement. Otolaryngologic Clinics of North America 52(1):135-147. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.otc.2018.08.006">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.otc.2018.08.006</a>
- 28. Respiratory Care Committee of Chinese Thoracic Society (2020) Expert consensus on preventing nosocomial transmission during respiratory care for critically ill patients infected by 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia. Zhonghua Jie He Hu Xi Za Zhi. 43(4):288-296. https://doi.org/10.3760/cma.j.cn112147-20200304-00239
- 29. Hess DR (2005) Tracheostomy tubes and related appliances. Resp Care. 50(4):497-510.
- 30. Rose L, Rendl L (2008) Survey of cuff management practies in intensive care units in Australia and New Zealand. Am J Crit Care 17(5):428-35.
- 31. Vargas M (2017) Heat and moisture exchangers (HMEs) and heated humidifiers (HHs) in adult critically ill patients: a systematic review, meta-analysis and meta-regression of randomized controlled trials. Crit Care. 21(1):123. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-017-1710-5">https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-017-1710-5</a>
- 32. Day-to-day management of Tracheostomies & Laryngectomies.

  Tracheostomy.org.uk/storage/files/HumidificationNew.pdf. Accessed 8 May 2020
- 33. Thomas P et al (2020) Physiotherapy management for COVID-19 in the acute hospital setting: clinical practice recommendations. J Physiother. 2020 Apr;66(2):73-82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphys.2020.03.011
- 34. Modes of transmission of virus causing COVID-19: implications for IPC precaution recommendations. <a href="https://www.who.int/news-room/commentaries/detail/modes-of-page-12">https://www.who.int/news-room/commentaries/detail/modes-of-page-12">https://www.who.int/news-room/commentaries/detail/modes-of-page-12">https://www.who.int/news-room/commentaries/detail/modes-of-page-12">https://www.who.int/news-room/commentaries/detail/modes-of-page-12">https://www.who.int/news-room/commentaries/detail/modes-of-page-12">https://www.who.int/news-room/commentaries/detail/modes-of-page-12">https://www.who.int/news-room/commentaries/detail/modes-of-page-12">https://www.who.int/news-room/commentaries/detail/modes-of-page-12">https://www.who.int/news-room/commentaries/detail/modes-of-page-12">https://www.who.int/news-room/commentaries/detail/modes-of-page-12">https://www.who.int/news-room/commentaries/detail/modes-of-page-12">https://www.who.int/news-room/commentaries/detail/modes-of-page-12">https://www.who.int/news-room/commentaries/detail/modes-of-page-12">https://www.who.int/news-room/commentaries/detail/modes-of-page-12">https://www.who.int/news-room/commentaries/detail/modes-of-page-12">https://www.who.int/news-room/commentaries/detail/modes-of-page-12">https://www.who.int/news-room/commentaries/detail/modes-of-page-12">https://www.who.int/news-room/commentaries/detail/modes-of-page-12">https://www.who.int/news-room/commentaries/detail/modes-of-page-12">https://www.who.int/news-room/commentaries/detail/modes-of-page-12">https://www.who.int/news-room/commentaries/detail/modes-of-page-12">https://www.who.int/news-room/commentaries/detail/modes-of-page-12">https://www.who.int/news-page-12">https://www.who.int/news-page-12">https://www.who.int/news-page-12">https://www.who.int/news-page-12">https://www.who.int/news-page-12">https://www.who.int/news-page-12">https://www.who.int/news-page-12">https://www.who.int/news-page-12">https://www.who.int/news-page-12">https://www.who.int/news-page-12">https://www.who.int/news-page-1

- <u>transmission-of-virus-causing-covid-19-implications-for-ipc-precaution-recommendations</u>
  Accessed 8 May 2020.
- 35. ENT-UK (2020) COVID-19 Tracheostomy guidance.

  <a href="https://www.entuk.org/sites/default/files/files/COVID%20tracheostomy%20guidancecompressed.pdf">https://www.entuk.org/sites/default/files/files/COVID%20tracheostomy%20guidancecompressed.pdf</a>
- 36. Hua F et al (2016) Oral hygiene care for critically ill patients to prevent ventilator-associated pneumonia. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 10:CD008367. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD008367.pub3
- 37. Goldman RA et al (2020) Tracheostomy Management during the COVID-19 Pandemic.

  Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. https://doi.org/10.1177/0194599820923632
- 38. Mao Z (2016) Subglottic secretion suction for preventing ventilator-associated pneumonia: an updated meta-analysis and trial sequential analysis. Crit Care. 20(1):353. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-016-1527-7
- 39. Singh RK, Saran S, Baronia AK (2017) The practice of tracheostomy decannulation-A systematic review. J Intensive Care. 5:38. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1186/s40560-017-0234-z">https://doi.org/10.1186/s40560-017-0234-z</a>
- 40. Dres M et al (2017) Critical Illness-associated diaphragm weakness. Intensive Care Medicine 43: 1441-1452. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-017-4928-4
- 41. RCSLT Guidance. Speech and language therapist-led endoscopic procedures in the COVID-19 pandemic. <a href="https://www.rcslt.org/-/media/docs/Covid/RCSLT-COVID-19-SLT-led-endoscopic-procedure-guidance\_FINAL-">https://www.rcslt.org/-/media/docs/Covid/RCSLT-COVID-19-SLT-led-endoscopic-procedure-guidance\_FINAL-</a>
  <a href="mailto:(2).PDF?la=en&hash=8101575091FE8F1ABA41B4B472387DAFB023A39D">https://www.rcslt.org/-/media/docs/Covid/RCSLT-COVID-19-SLT-led-endoscopic-procedure-guidance\_FINAL-</a>
  <a href="mailto:(2).PDF?la=en&hash=8101575091FE8F1ABA41B4B472387DAFB023A39D">https://www.rcslt.org/-/media/docs/Covid/RCSLT-COVID-19-SLT-led-endoscopic-procedure-guidance\_FINAL-">https://www.rcslt.org/-/media/docs/Covid/RCSLT-COVID-19-SLT-led-endoscopic-procedure-guidance\_FINAL-</a>
  <a href="mailto:(2).PDF?la=en&hash=8101575091FE8F1ABA41B4B472387DAFB023A39D">https://www.rcslt.org/-/media/docs/Covid/RCSLT-COVID-19-SLT-led-endoscopic-procedure-guidance\_FINAL-</a>
  <a href="mailto:(2).PDF?la=en&hash=8101575091FE8F1ABA41B4B472387DAFB023A39D">https://www.rcslt.org/-/media/docs/Covid/RCSLT-COVID-19-SLT-led-endoscopic-procedure-guidance\_FINAL-</a>
  <a href="mailto:(2).PDF?la=en&hash=8101575091FE8F1ABA41B4B472387DAFB023A39D">https://www.rcslt.org/-/media/docs/Covid/RCSLT-COVID-19-SLT-led-endoscopic-procedure-guidance\_FINAL-</a>
  <a href="mailto:(2).PDF?la=en&hash=8101575091FE8F1ABA41B4B472387DAFB023A39D">https://www.rcslt.org/-/media/docs/Covid/RCSLT-COVID-19-SLT-led-endoscopic-procedure-guidance\_FINAL-</a>
  <a href="mailto:(2).PDF?la=en&hash=8101575091FE8F1ABA41B4B472387DAFB023A39D">https://www.rcslt.org/-/media/docs/Covid/RCSLT-COVID-19-SLT-led-endoscopic-procedure-guidance\_FINAL-procedure-guidance\_FINAL-procedure-guidance\_FINAL-procedure-guidance\_FINAL-procedure-guidance\_FINAL-procedure-guidance\_FINAL-procedure-guidance\_FINAL-procedure-guidance\_FINAL-procedure-guidance\_FINAL-procedure-guidance\_FINAL-procedure-guidance\_FINAL-procedure-guidance\_FINAL-procedure-guidance\_FINAL-procedure-guidance\_FINAL-procedure-guidance\_FINAL-proc
- 42. Freeman-Sanderson AL et al (2018) Quality of life improves for tracheostomy patients with return of voice: A mixed methods evaluation of the patient experience across the care continuum. Intensive Crit Care Nurs. 46:10-16. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iccn.2018.02.004">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iccn.2018.02.004</a>
- 43. Tembo AC et al (2015)The experience of communication difficulties in critically ill patients in and beyond intensive care: Findings from a larger phenomenological study. Intensive Crit Care Nurs. 31(3):171-8. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iccn.2014.10.004">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iccn.2014.10.004</a>
- 44. Wölfel R et al (2020) Virological assessment of hospitalized patients with COVID-2019. Nature. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2196-x">https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2196-x</a>
- 45. Musbahi O et al (2017) Current role of simulation in Otolaryngology: A systematic review. <u>J</u>

  <u>Surg Educ.</u> 74(2):203-215. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsurg.2016.09.007</u>

- 46. de Montbrun SL, Macrae H (2012) Simulation in surgical education. <u>Clin Colon Rectal Surg</u>. 25(3): 156–165. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0032-1322553">https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0032-1322553</a>
- 47. Bannon R (2020) Non-technical skills and otolaryngology: systematic review. J Laryngol Otol. 8:1-4. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022215120000900">https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022215120000900</a>

Figure 1 In-line suctioning with HME attached.



Figure 2. Example of system to initiate cuff deflation during ventilator support weaning.



Table 1: Aerosol generating procedures in tracheostomy care

# Aerosol generating procedures related to tracheostomy care

- Open suction of the respiratory tract
- Tracheostomy related insertion, decannulation and care procedures
- Induction of sputum
- Fiberoptic examination of the nasal cavity and upper respiratory tract
- Bronchoscopy
- Tracheostomy tube changes
- Cycling between ventilator free and supportive mechanical ventilation during weaning
- Changing heat and moisture exchange filters.

Table 2. Key recommendations for tracheostomy care during COVID-19 pandemic.

|  | Function  | Potential for AGP | Key steps  |
|--|---|-------------------|--|
| Basic principles                                 | Ensure best possible patient care.  | N/A               | <ul> <li>Bedhead information signs</li> <li>Emergency protocols and equipment</li> <li>Multidisciplinary treatment</li> <li>Patient/family involvement</li> <li>Audit</li> </ul>   |
| Cuff management                                  | Provide closed circuit. Protect airway and decreases aspiration               | Yes               | <ul> <li>Whilst ventilated, keep pressure on upper limit (25-30cmH20) to decrease cuff leak.</li> <li>Decrease cuff pressure when self-ventilating</li> <li>Check pressure at the beginning of each shift</li> <li>Avoid unnecessary checks</li> </ul> |
| Humidification/Mucolytics (including nebulisers) | Reduce secretions<br>viscosity<br>Maintain tube patency                       | Controversial     | <ul> <li>Start with HME circuit</li> <li>Use regular saline nebulisers</li> <li>Add mucolytic if necessary</li> <li>Consider change to "wet" circuit</li> </ul>  |
| Suctioning                                       | Remove retained secretions to ensure airway patency and maintain gas exchange | Yes               | Consider closed in-line suction<br>Minimize suctioning without<br>compromising airway  |
| Inner Cannula                                    | Reduce risk of tube<br>occlusion  | Yes               | <ul> <li>When patient ventilated, do not break circuit to change</li> <li>Change when circuit has to be broken for other reasons or if clinical signs</li> <li>Encourage self-ventilating patient to do for themselves when able</li> </ul>            |
| Subglottic aspiration ports                      | Removal of secretion<br>load on top of the cuff                               | Yes               | <ul><li>Decide on a case by case basis.</li><li>Consider with high secretions</li></ul>  |
| Cuff Deflation trials                            | Restore upper<br>respiratory tract.<br>Facilitate<br>communication            | Yes               | <ul> <li>Balance initiate as soon as possible vs. waiting until patient able to maintain self-ventilation for 24h</li> <li>FNE/FEES after MDT agreement</li> <li>Consider surgical mask for the patient during cuff down</li> </ul>                    |
| One way valves                                   | Facilitate verbal<br>communication<br>Rehabilitation                          | Potential         | Use humidification bib and apply surgical mask to the patient  |