Investigating the Therapeutic Potential of a Probiotic in a Rat Model for Infection Following Fracture Fixation
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Abstract

Background: Staphylococcus aureus (S. aureus) is the most common pathogen responsible for osteomyelitis.

Objectives: Our objective was to investigate the potential of a probiotic as a treatment for S. aureus-induced infection following fracture fixation in a rat model.

Methods: Fifty male Sprague-Dawley rats were assigned to five groups (Control, S. aureus, S. aureus + ceftriaxone, S. aureus + once weekly probiotic, and S. aureus + twice weekly probiotic). Lactobacillus casei subsp. casei (ATCC: 39392) was selected from eight strains of probiotic bacteria with anti-staphylococcal activity. Infection was induced by inoculation with 10^6 colony-forming units (CFU) of S. aureus in a closed femur fracture model stabilized with an intramedullary pin. Three weeks after the surgery, the development of infection and response to the therapy was documented using radiographs, microbiological and histopathological analysis.

Results: No bacteria were recovered from rats in the Control group. The analysis of variance revealed a significant difference in the CFU/femur (P < 0.001) and CFU/pin (P = 0.001) across all five treatment groups. When the results were compared, the CFU/femur was significantly lower in the S. aureus + Probiotic twice weekly in comparison with S. aureus (P = 0.008) and the S. aureus + ceftriaxone (P = 0.012) groups. Repeated measure ANOVA to test the radiographic scores during the follow-up time between the intervention groups revealed no significant differences (P = 0.479).

Conclusions: Parenteral administration of viable L. casei inhibits S. aureus-induced infection as shown by the bacteriologic analysis, but makes no difference to the radiological union rates. This could be the first step towards developing an effective, biologic adjunctive therapy for the management of osteomyelitis following fracture fixation.
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1. Background

Osteomyelitis is an inflammatory process of bone with resultant destructive and necrotic change accompanied by new bone formation, which can progress to a chronic or persistent stage (1). The incidence of osteomyelitis differs according to the primary inoculation and the underlying disease with a range of 1% to 15% (2, 3). Rubin evaluated 1,351,362 non-obstetric hospital discharges in New York city hospitals in 1995 and showed that an estimated 2,000 (0.00147) were patients with S. aureus osteomyelitis with an average hospital stay estimated to be 23.9 days with subsequent incurred costs of $35,000 dollars indicating a significant burden to the health economy (4). S. aureus is the most common pathogen responsible for both acute and chronic forms of osteomyelitis (1, 5, 6). S. aureus has developed a plethora of strategies to evade the host innate and adaptive immune systems, with a high resistance to different therapeutic options (7). Tice et al. in a long-term follow-up study of osteomyelitis treated in the outpatient setting found that 31% of 452 patients had recurrent infection, most within one year of occurrence (8).

Stengel et al. in a systematic review with meta-analysis of antibiotic therapy for osteomyelitis reported that the penetration of an antibiotic agent into an infected bone is determined by the pharmacological characteristics of the drug, degree of vascularization, soft-tissue coverage and the presence of a foreign body (9). To overcome the limited penetration of the systemic agents into poorly vascularized bone, systems for local delivery of antibiotics have been implemented in the previous studies (10, 11). Buchholz and Engelbrecht introduced the delivery of high-dose antibiotics to the skeletal tissues by resin (Palacos) in 1970.
Bone cement (3, 14) and polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) beads (15) are other skeletal drug-delivery systems commonly used for this purpose. However, all of these techniques are static in nature with the potential of bacterial resistance.

Probiotics are viable cell preparations that have beneficial effects (16). Different strains of probiotics have different strategies to compete with S. aureus. Probiotic has been used in the treatment of many clinical infections in the middle ear, bladder, gut and the vagina of the humans and in the wounds of the animals (17-20). Since some probiotics are a dynamic source of antimicrobial agent with variable strategy, we hypothesized that specific probiotic strains of lactobacilli with predefined anti-infective properties against S. aureus could inhibit S. aureus-induced osteomyelitis. We, therefore, implemented an infection model following trauma fixation and investigated the therapeutic potential of the probiotics. A successful therapeutic strategy at this stage of trauma comprising an infected fracture with metalwork in situ would prevent the further development of infection in critically ill patients and allow the retention of fixation until osseous union occurs.

2. Methods

2.1. Study Design

Fifty male Sprague-Dawley rats were assigned to five groups:

- Control (pinned femur fracture + 0.1 mL Phosphate buffered saline (PBS))
- S. aureus (pinned femur fracture + 0.1 mL of S. aureus)
- S. aureus + ceftriaxone (pinned femur fracture + 0.1 mL of S. aureus + ceftriaxone (50 mg/kg) every 24 hours for 3 weeks)
- S. aureus + once weekly probiotic (pinned femur fracture + 0.1 mL of S. aureus + 0.1 mL Lactobacillus (LAD) every week for three weeks)
- S. aureus + twice weekly probiotic (pinned femur fracture + 0.1 mL of S. aureus + 0.1 mL LAD twice weekly for three weeks)

The rats were humanely euthanized three weeks after the surgery with a lethal dose of Xylazine. The development of osteomyelitis was documented using radiographs (all animals), postmortem microbiological (n = 8 per group) and histopathological (n = 1 per group) analysis. The study protocol was approved by the **** animal care and use committee (date of approval: 29.1.2010, Code number: 16).

2.2. Bacterial Preparation

2.2.1. Bacterial Strains

Eight strains of LAD and five strains of staphylococcus were purchased from the Iranian research organization for science and technology (IROST). These strains were selected due to their potential effect against S. aureus in the previous studies (18, 21-25).

2.2.2. Antimicrobial Activity

The antibiotic susceptibility of all isolated strains was tested based on the standard methodology recommended by the Canadian committee on antibiotic resistance (26). A modified Coconnier model (27) was used to assess the antibacterial activity of each LAD with a modified "spot on the lawn" method described by Navarro (28). Based on the antibacterial activity of each LAD, Lactobacillus casei subsp. casei (ATCC: 39392) was the most potent probiotic against S. aureus, subsp. aureus (ATCC: 33591) and was selected for the rest of the study.

2.3. Surgical Procedure

The surgical procedure was a modification of that outlined in detail by Skott et al. (29). Each rat was anesthetized using intraperitoneal injections of ketamine (100 - 200 mg/kg) and xylazine (2.0 - 4.0 mg/kg). The right femur was prepared aseptically and a 20-gauge needle was used to create an entry port into the distal aspect of the medullary canal of the femur which was reamed to allow the insertion of the intramedullary pin. An inoculation dose of 0.1 mL of staphylococcus suspension (10^6 bacteria) was slowly injected into the medullary cavity in the experimental groups, and in the control group PBS was injected instead.

A sterile stainless steel Kirschner wire, 1 mm in diameter and 20 mm in length was then inserted into the medullary canal and seated in the cortical bone in the proximal aspect of the femur. Thereafter, a mid-shaft closed fracture of the right femur was created using a specifically designed fracture apparatus that consisted of a blade which was placed in contact with the femur to fracture the femoral cortex before rotating the femur and fracturing the remainder of the cortex.

2.4. Treatment Procedure

We defined two time intervals for the probiotic injection to assess the dose-response effect. Rats in the S. aureus + probiotic group received 0.1 mL Lactobacillus casei subsp. casei (10^6 CFU in 0.1 mL) once or twice weekly starting 24 hours after the inoculation, via two sub-periosteal injections near the fracture site for the duration of the study (three weeks). The S. aureus + ceftriaxone group received
At the third week postoperatively, the fracture was most evident in the S. aureus group, followed by the S. au-
S. aureus + ceftriaxone group, but was only weakly evident in the control and probiotic groups. The radiographs from the control group were characterized by callus formation limited to the fracture site. There was also a periosteal reaction in the control group accompanying the newly formed bone which represented the continuation of the healing process. The S. aureus group was characterized by extensive periosteal reaction extending throughout the femoral length. New bone formation beside the periosteal reaction in the S. aureus group did not bridge the fracture site and was accompanied by osteolysis along the femur. The S. aureus + ceftriaxone group was characterized by limited periosteal reaction along with new bone formation which bridged the fracture site. The S. aureus + Probiotic once weekly group was characterized by mild periosteal reaction with new bone formation that bridged the fracture site. There was no evidence of osteolysis in this group. The S. aureus + Probiotic twice weekly group was characterized by minor periosteal reaction and cortical thickening without any evidence of a fracture line (Figure 2).

Intra-class correlation coefficients (ICC) for the inter-observer reliability of the radiographic scores in weeks one, two and three were 0.52, 0.45 and 0.59, respectively. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test revealed a normal distribution of this variable (P = 0.2). The radiographic scores at week one were similar in all of the five treatment groups (ANOVA, P = 0.62). The repeated measure ANOVA to test the radiographic scores during the follow-up time between the intervention groups revealed no significant differences throughout the follow-up period (P = 0.179) (Figure 3).

3.2. Recovery of Bacteria

No bacteria were recovered from rats in the control group. The ANOVA revealed a significant difference in the CFU/femur (P < 0.001) and CFU/pin (P = 0.001) across all five treatment groups. When the results of each arm of the study were compared pair-wise using the Scheffe post-hoc test, the CFU/femur was significantly lower in the S. aureus + Probiotic twice weekly group in comparison with the S. aureus + Probiotic once weekly group, and prominent bone sequestrum in the probiotic twice weekly group.

3.3. Histopathology

The specimen from the control group was characterized by the presence of callus. In contrast, the specimen from the S. aureus group was characterized by active reactive bone and osteoid formation with fibrosis, extending to the marrow space and the cortex with encasement of callus including hyaline cartilage. Acute suppurative inflammation was seen which was enclosed in the reactive changes. The specimen from the S. aureus + Ceftriaxone group was characterized by the presence of severe and acute suppurative inflammation plus bone sequestrum (acute suppurative osteomyelitis) extending to the fracture site and the periosteal surface. There was a narrow rim of callus formation that was composed of hyaline cartilage at the periphery of the inflammation. The specimens from Probiotic groups were similar to that of S. aureus group except for more prominent fracture site callus formation in the probiotic once weekly group, and prominent bone sequestrum and infarct in the probiotic twice weekly group.

4. Discussion

The results of the present study revealed that viable Lactobacillus casei can inhibit acute infection caused by S. aureus. Although the histopathological deduction is limited due to inadequate histologic specimens, this assessment revealed that probiotic bacteria may slow down the healing process, in spite of improving the bacteriologic results. We could not deduct on the radiographic assessment due to the lack of significant difference between the intervention groups. This may be due to limited sample size or low inter-observer ICC. To our knowledge, this is the first study to demonstrate the administration of a viable probiotic strain through a parenteral route (subperiosteal) in vivo treatment of osteomyelitis.

Hospitals in the Europe link for infection control through surveillance (HELICS) have reported that 48.6% of surgical site infection secondary to orthopedic surgery was due to S. aureus (34). S. aureus adheres to fixation devices by producing a glycocalyx biofilm around prosthetic materials and forms large micro-colonies. The biofilm confers bacterial resistance to antibiotics and ingestion by neutrophils (6). Conversely, bone necrosis developing early in the disease process limits the possibility of eradicating the pathogen and leads to chronicity (35). Treatment strategies for osteomyelitis mainly consist of three stages, firstly antimicrobial agents, secondly surgical techniques and thirdly amputation (36). A variety of antimicrobial agents with a different spectrum of action, pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics have been used in this manner. Due to the physiological and anatomic characteristics of the bone, antimicrobial therapies are thus suboptimal in the treatment of osteomyelitis. Surgical techniques, including limb salvage, muscle grafts, ilizarov techniques, antibiotic coated prostheses and antibiotic bone cement
Sadeghi-Naini M et al.

Figure 1. Flow Chart of Intervention Groups

- Intervention: Control n=10, S. aureus n=10, S. aureus + Ceftriaxone n=10, S. aureus + Probiotic Once Weekly n=10, S. aureus + Probiotic Twice Weekly n=10

- Bacteriologic Evaluation: 8, 8, 8, 8, 7

- Radiography: 9, 9, 9, 9, 8

- Drop-out: 1, 1, 1, 1, 2

- Histopathology: 1, 1, 1, 1, 1

Figure 2. Representative Radiographs from a Rat in Each Group at 3 Weeks After Surgery

1. Control
2. S. aureus
3. S. aureus + Ceftriaxone
4. S. aureus + Probiotic Once Weekly
5. S. aureus + Probiotic Twice Weekly

Figure 3. Radiographic Scores at One, Two and Three Weeks After Surgery

Radiographic Score

Intervention
- Control
- S. aureus + Ceftriaxone
- S. aureus + Probiotic Once Weekly
- S. aureus + Probiotic Twice Weekly

have also been applied with varying degrees of success (1, 36).

The definition of a Probiotic is: a live micro-organism which when administered in adequate amounts confers a health benefit to the host (FAO/WHO report, October, 2001). There are few studies that have assessed the parenteral administration of the probiotic. Shell studied the parenteral administration of viable probiotic to alleviate mice induced colitis and arthritis (37). L. casei is a gram positive bacillus that ferments carbohydrates mainly to lactic acid which consequently leads to environmental acidification down to a pH of 3.5 (38). There are several hypotheses...
regarding the mechanism involved in the inhibition process of *S. aureus* by *L. casei*. They include environmental acidification, production of hydrogen peroxide, production of bacteriocins and nutritional competition (38). Sadowska et al. showed that LAB could inhibit staphylococcal adhesion and slime layer production using bacteriocin and bacteriocin-like inhibitory substances (24). In addition, laboratory studies revealed that the acidification of a growth medium by addition of lactic acid down to pH 4.5, completely inhibits the growth of *S. aureus* (38). The ratio of *S. aureus* against LAB is another determinant of the growth inhibition. Therefore, in media where the *S. aureus* population is larger than LAB, the size of the *S. aureus* can reach 10^10 CFU/mL (a level at which *S. aureus* begins enterotoxin production and limits its growth). However, for a *S. aureus*: LAB ratio of 1/1 and 1/10, maximum population reached by *S. aureus* is about 10^6 and 10^5 CFU/mL, respectively (38).

Lactic acid bacteria are generally safe with limited clinical infections reported in the humans where LAB were the causative pathogen (39) and few case reports describe the isolation of LAB from patients with infective endocarditis, localized infection and septicemia (40, 41). Adams and Marteau (42) concluded that LAB posed no significant risk of infection if administered orally. There are few studies on the parenteral use of probiotic (26, 37, 38, 43). Therefore, extending LAB safety to parenteral administration needs further study.

Our study has some limitations. Our experimental model does not exactly mirror the clinical scenario. Therefore, we cannot conclude that probiotic could be a treatment option for implant-related osteomyelitis. Meanwhile, this can be a new branch for potential treatment option in the future. Due to an executory problem in the radiology department, we could not radiograph one group (*S. aureus* + Probiotic twice weekly) in week 2 and, therefore, inputted the missing data by averaging the radiographs in weeks 1 and 3. We also could not determine the exact mechanism of the inhibition of *S. aureus* by *L. casei* in vivo and vitro. In the bacteriologic evaluation, we used selective media for *S. aureus* where *L. casei* could not grow and confirmed the finding. In addition, we performed specificity tests to validate observed colonies as *S. aureus* and differentiate them from other similar colonies such as Streptococcus and other strains of Staphylococcus. In the histologic evaluation, the number of specimen from each intervention group was not adequate for statistical analysis. Therefore, we narratively described the histologic finding without statistical analysis.

In conclusion, parenteral administration of viable *L. casei* inhibits *S. aureus*-induced osteomyelitis as shown by bacteriologic analysis, but makes no difference to radio-
logic union rates. This could be the first step in developing an effective, safe and inexpensive treatment for osteomyelitis.
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Table 1. P Value of Scheffe Post-Hoc Test Compare CFU/Pin And CFU/Femur in Each Pair of Treatment Groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CFU/Bone</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>S. aureus</th>
<th>S. aureus + Ceftriaxone</th>
<th>S. aureus + Once Weekly Probiotic</th>
<th>S. aureus + Twice Weekly Probiotic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.01*</td>
<td>0.02*</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. aureus</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01*</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. aureus + ceftriaxone</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01*</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. aureus + once weekly probiotic</td>
<td>0.02*</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. aureus + twice weekly probiotic</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01*</td>
<td>0.01*</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CFU/Pin</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>S. aureus</th>
<th>S. aureus + Ceftriaxone</th>
<th>S. aureus + Once Weekly Probiotic</th>
<th>S. aureus + Twice Weekly Probiotic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.01*</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. aureus</td>
<td>0.01*</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. aureus + ceftriaxone</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01*</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. aureus + once weekly probiotic</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. aureus + twice weekly probiotic</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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